



Translation invariant mappings on KPC-hypergroups

Seyyed Mohammad Tabatabaie*, Faranak Haghighifar

Department of Mathematics, University of Qom, Qom, Iran

(Communicated by Themistocles M. Rassias)

Abstract

In this paper, we give an extension of the Wendel's theorem on KPC-hypergroups. We also show that every translation invariant mapping is corresponding with a unique positive measure on the KPC-hypergroup.

Keywords: DJS-hypergroup; KPC-hypergroup; Translation Invariant Mapping; Wendel's Theorem.

2010 MSC: Primary 43A62.

1. Introduction

Locally compact hypergroups, as extensions of locally compact groups, were introduced in a series of papers by Dunkl [2], Jewett [4], and Spector [10] in 70's (we refer to this definition of hypergroup as DJS-hypergroup). For more details about DJS-hypergroups we refer to [1] and [9]. In 2010, Kalyuzhnyi, Podkolzin, and Chapovsky [5] have introduced new axioms for hypergroups. This new concept is an extension of DJS-hypergroups, and generalizes a normal hypercomplex system with a basis unity to the nonunimodular case. We refer to this notion as KPC-hypergroup. They show that there is an example of a compact KPC-hypergroup related to the generalized Tchebycheff polynomials, which is not a DJS-hypergroup [5]. Kalyuzhnyi et al, study harmonic analysis on KPC-hypergroups in [5] (see also [11]). In this paper, for a KPC-hypergroup Q , we give an extension of Wendel's theorem which presents some equivalence conditions for bounded linear operators on $L^1(Q)$ commute with translation operators. This theorem was proved for locally compact abelian groups by Larsen in 1971 [8]. Then, Lasser extended this theorem on locally compact commutative DJS-hypergroups in 1982 [7]. In 2010, Youmbi proved it for not necessarily commutative DJS-hypergroups [12]. In this paper, we give an extension of this theorem for cocommutative KPC-hypergroups. Also, we show that any translation invariant mapping on a cocommutative KPC-hypergroup corresponds with a unique positive measure.

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: sm.tabatabaie@qom.ac.ir (Seyyed Mohammad Tabatabaie), f.haghighifar@yahoo.com (Faranak Haghighifar)

Received: April 2016 *Revised:* July 2017

2. Preliminaries

Let Q be a locally compact Hausdorff space. We denote by $M(Q)$ the space of all complex Radon measures on Q , by $M_b(Q)$ the set of all bounded measures in $M(Q)$, and by $M^+(Q)$ the set of all positive measures in $M(Q)$. The spaces of complex-valued functions that are continuous, continuous and bounded, continuous with compact support, continuous and equal to zero at infinity are denoted by $C(Q)$, $C_b(Q)$, $C_c(Q)$, and $C_0(Q)$, respectively. The support of a function f is denoted by $\text{supp}(f)$.

First, we recall the definition and some properties of the locally compact cocommutative KPC-hypergroups. For more details we refer to [5].

Definition 2.1. Let Q be a locally compact second countable Hausdorff space with an involutive homeomorphism $\star : Q \rightarrow Q$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. there is an element $e \in Q$ such that $e^\star = e$;
2. there is a \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $\Delta : C(Q) \rightarrow C(Q \times Q)$ such that
 - i. Δ is co-associative, that is,

$$(\Delta \times \text{id}) \circ \Delta = (\text{id} \times \Delta) \circ \Delta;$$

- ii. Δ is positive, that is, $\Delta f \geq 0$ for all $f \in C(Q)$ such that $f \geq 0$;
 - iii. Δ preserves the identity, that is, $(\Delta 1)(p, q) = 1$ for all $p, q \in Q$;
 - iv. For all $f, g \in C_c(Q)$ we have $(1 \otimes f) \cdot (\Delta g) \in C_c(Q \times Q)$ and $(f \otimes 1) \cdot (\Delta g) \in C_c(Q \times Q)$.
3. the homomorphism $\epsilon : C(Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\epsilon(f) = f(e)$, satisfies the counit property, that is,

$$(\epsilon \times \text{id}) \circ \Delta = (\text{id} \times \epsilon) \circ \Delta = \text{id},$$

in other words, $(\Delta f)(e, p) = (\Delta f)(p, e) = f(p)$ for all $p \in Q$.

4. the function \check{f} defined by $\check{f}(q) = f(q^\star)$ for $f \in C(Q)$ satisfies

$$(\Delta \check{f})(p, q) = (\Delta f)(q^\star, p^\star).$$

5. there exists a positive measure m on Q , $\text{supp } m = Q$, such that

$$\int_Q (\Delta f)(p, q) g(q) dm(q) = \int_Q f(q) (\Delta g)(p^\star, q) dm(q)$$

for all $f \in C_b(Q)$ and $g \in C_c(Q)$, or $f \in C_c(Q)$ and $g \in C_b(Q)$, $p \in Q$; such a measure m will be called a left Haar measure on Q .

Then (Q, \star, e, Δ, m) , or simply Q , is called a locally compact KPC-hypergroup.

Notation. In the above definition, we have used the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} [(\Delta \times \text{id}) \circ \Delta(f)](p, q, r) &:= \Delta(\Delta f(p, \cdot))(q, r), \\ [(\text{id} \times \Delta) \circ \Delta(f)](p, q, r) &:= \Delta(\Delta f(\cdot, q))(p, r), \\ [(\epsilon \times \text{id}) \circ \Delta(f)](p) &:= \epsilon(\Delta f(p, \cdot)) = \Delta f(p, e), \\ [(\text{id} \times \epsilon) \circ \Delta(f)](p) &:= \epsilon(\Delta f(\cdot, p)) = \Delta f(e, p), \\ (f \otimes 1)(p, q) \cdot (\Delta g)(p, q) &= f(p)1(q) \cdot \Delta g(p, q), \\ (1 \otimes f)(p, q) \cdot (\Delta g)(p, q) &:= 1(p)f(q) \cdot \Delta g(p, q), \end{aligned}$$

where $f \in C(Q)$ and $p, q, r \in Q$.

A KPC-hypergroup Q is called cocommutative if $\Delta f(p, q) = \Delta f(q, p)$, for all $f \in C_b(Q)$ and all $p, q \in Q$.

Throughout this paper Q is a locally compact cocommutative KPC-hypergroup and m is a left Haar measure on Q .

Definition 2.2. Let $\mu, \nu \in M(Q)$ be such that the linear functional $\mu * \nu$ defined by

$$(\mu * \nu)(f) = \int_Q \int_Q \Delta(f)(p, q) d\mu(p) d\nu(q), \quad (f \in C_c(Q))$$

is a measure. Then the measures μ and ν are called convolvable. Specially, we have $(\delta_p * \delta_q)(f) = (\Delta f)(p, q)$, where $p, q \in Q$.

If $\mu, \nu \in M(Q)$ are bounded, then μ and ν are convolvable ([5], Lemma 3.3).

Definition 2.3. Let m be a left Haar measure on Q . The convolution of complex-valued Borel measurable functions f and g on Q is denoted by $f * g$ and is defined by

$$(f * g)(q) = \int_Q f(p)(\Delta g)(p^*, q) dm(p),$$

where $q \in Q$.

Definition 2.4. Let A be a C^* -algebra and $B \subseteq A$ be a C^* -subalgebra of A . A bounded linear map $P : A \rightarrow B$ is called a conditional expectation if it satisfies in the following properties:

- (i) $P^2 = P$ and $\|P\| = 1$;
- (ii) P is positive, that is $P(a^*a) \geq 0$ for any $a \in A$;
- (iii) $P(b_1ab_2) = b_1P(a)b_2$ for any $a \in A$ and $b_1, b_2 \in B$;
- (iv) $P(a^*)P(a) \leq P(a^*a)$ for all $a \in A$.

It follows from (ii) and the polarization identity that

- (v) $P(a^*) = P(a)^*$ for all $a \in A$.

Example 2.5. Let (Q, \star, e, Δ, m) be a KPC-hypergroup, A denote the C^* -algebra $C_b(Q)$, A_0 its C^* -subalgebra $C_0(Q)$, and let I be the ideal of A consisting of functions with compact support. Let $P : A \rightarrow A$ be a conditional expectation such that $B := P(A_0)$ is a C^* -algebra, $P(I) \subseteq I$, and the following hold:

$$((P \times id) \circ \Delta \circ P)(f) = ((id \times P) \circ \Delta \circ P)(f) = ((P \times P) \circ \Delta)(f),$$

$$P(\check{f}) = (P(f))\check{,}$$

for all $f \in A$. Denote by \tilde{Q} the spectrum of the commutative algebra B , which is a Hausdorff locally compact space. For each $g \in B \subset A$, let

$$\tilde{\Delta}(g) = ((P \times P) \circ \Delta)(g).$$

If $\tilde{q} \in \tilde{Q}$ and $g \in B$, then we set

$$\tilde{q}^*(g) = \check{g}(q), \quad \tilde{e} = \epsilon,$$

and $\tilde{\mu}$ is defined by

$$\tilde{m} = m \circ P.$$

Then $(\tilde{Q}, \star, \tilde{e}, \tilde{\Delta}, \tilde{m})$ is a KPC-hypergroup [6].

Definition 2.6. We denote the convolution of the function $f \in C_c(Q)$ and the measure $\mu \in M_b(Q)$ by $\mu * f$ and define as following

$$(\mu * f)(q) := \int_Q \Delta f(p^*, q) d\mu(p). \quad (q \in Q)$$

Proposition 2.7. Let $\mu \in M_b(Q)$ and $f \in C_c(Q)$. Then $\mu * f$ is an element of $L^1(Q)$.

Proof . Let $\mu \in M_b(Q)$ and $f \in C_c(Q)$. We have $|\Delta f(p, q)| = |\int f(t) d(\delta_p * \delta_q)(t)| \leq \int |f(t)| d(\delta_p * \delta_q)(t) = \Delta|f|(p, q)$, where $p, q \in Q$. Thus, by Definition 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu * f\|_1 &= \int_Q |(\mu * f)(q)| dm(q) \\ &\leq \int_Q \left(\int_Q |\Delta f(p^*, q)| d|\mu|(p) \right) dm(q) \\ &\leq \int_Q \left(\int_Q \Delta|f|(p^*, q) dm(q) \right) d|\mu|(p) \\ &= \int_Q \left(\int_Q 1(q) \Delta|f|(p^*, q) dm(q) \right) d|\mu|(p) \\ &= \int_Q \left(\int_Q \Delta 1(p, q) |f|(q) dm(q) \right) d|\mu|(p) \\ &= \int_Q \int_Q |f|(q) dm(q) d|\mu|(p) \\ &= \|f\|_1 \int_Q d|\mu|(p) = \|f\|_1 \|\mu\| < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mu * f \in L^1(Q)$. \square

Corollary 2.8. Let $\mu \in M_b(Q)$ and $f \in L^1(Q)$. Let $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq C_c(Q)$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(Q)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mu * f_n)$ exists, is an element of $L^1(Q)$, and is independent from the choice of $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$.

Proof . Under the hypothesis, by Proposition 2.7, the function $\mu * f$ is an element of $L^1(Q)$ and for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\|\mu * f_n - \mu * f_m\|_1 = \|\mu * [f_n - f_m]\|_1 \leq \|\mu\| \cdot \|f_n - f_m\|_1.$$

Then, since $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(Q)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $(\mu * f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a cauchy (and so convergrnce) sequence in $L^1(Q)$. Let $f \in L^1(Q)$, and $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty, (h_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq C_c(Q)$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ and $h_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(Q)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then by Proposition 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu * f_n - \mu * h_n\|_1 &= \|\mu * (f_n - h_n)\|_1 \\ &\leq \|\mu\| \|f_n - h_n\|_1 \\ &= \|\mu\| \|f_n - h_n + f - f\|_1 \\ &\leq \|\mu\| (\|f_n - f\|_1 + \|h_n - f\|_1). \end{aligned}$$

So $\|\mu * f_n - \mu * h_n\|_1 \rightarrow 0$, and hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu * f_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu * h_n$. \square

Definition 2.9. Let μ, f , and $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be as in Corollary 2.8. We call the function $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mu * f_n)$, the convolution of μ and f , and denote it by $\mu * f$.

3. Translation invariant mappings on KPC-hypergroups

Definition 3.1. A positive linear mapping $T : C_c(Q) \rightarrow C(Q)$ is called translation invariant if for any $p \in Q$ and $f \in C_c(Q)$, $T(\delta_p * f) = \delta_p * Tf$.

Theorem 3.2. A mapping $T : C_c(Q) \rightarrow C(Q)$ is translation invariant if and only if there exists a unique positive measure $\mu \in M_b(Q)$ such that $Tf = \mu * f$ for any f in $C_c(Q)$.

Proof . Suppose that there exists a unique positive measure $\mu \in M_b(Q)$ such that $Tf = \mu * f$, for all $f \in C_c(Q)$. Since Δ is a positive mapping, $\mu * f$, T is positive too. Clearly, T is linear, and since Q is cocommutative, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu * \nu)(f) &= \int_Q \int_Q \Delta f(p, q) d\mu(p) d\nu(q) \\ &= \int_Q \int_Q \Delta f(q, p) d\nu(q) d\mu(p) \\ &= (\nu * \mu)(f). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\mu * \delta_a = \delta_a * \mu$, for all $a \in Q$. Therefore,

$$T(\delta_p * f) = \mu * (\delta_p * f) = (\mu * \delta_p) * f = (\delta_p * \mu) * f = \delta_p * (\mu * f) = \delta_p * Tf,$$

where $p \in Q$, i.e. T is translation invariant. Conversely, let T be a translation invariant mapping. Then, the mapping $f \mapsto T(\check{f})(e)$ is bounded, linear and positive. By Riesz representation theorem, there is a measure $\mu \in M(Q)$ such that $T(\check{f})(e) = \int f(p) d\mu(p)$, for all $f \in C_c(Q)$. Also, if $f \in C_c(Q)$ and $p \in Q$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu * f)(p) &= \int_Q \Delta f(q^*, p) d\mu(q) = \int_Q \Delta f(p, q^*) d\mu(q) = \int_Q \int_Q \Delta f(t, q^*) d\delta_p(t) d\mu(q) \\ &= \int_Q \int_Q \Delta f(t^*, q^*) d\delta_p(t^*) d\mu(q) = \int_Q \int_Q \Delta f(t^*, q^*) d\delta_{p^*}(t) d\mu(q) = \int_Q (\delta_{p^*} * f)(q^*) d\mu(q) \\ &= T(\delta_{p^*} * f)(e) = \delta_{p^*} * Tf(e) = \int_Q \Delta Tf(q^*, e) d\delta_{p^*}(q) = \Delta Tf(p, e) = Tf(p) \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is completed. \square

Definition 3.3. A function $\chi \in C_b(Q)$ is called a character of a cocommutative KPC-hypergroup Q if $(\Delta\chi)(p, q) = \chi(p)\chi(q)$ and $\chi(p^*) = \overline{\chi(p)}$, for all $p, q \in Q$.

Definition 3.4. For any $f \in L^1(Q)$ and $\mu \in M(Q)$, the Fourier-Stieltjes transform $\hat{\mu}$ of μ and the Fourier transform \hat{f} of f are defined by

$$\hat{\mu}(\xi) = \int_Q \overline{\xi(t)} d\mu(t) \text{ and } \hat{f}(\xi) = \int_Q f(t) \overline{\xi(t)} dm(t),$$

respectively, where $\xi \in \hat{Q}$. For definition of \hat{Q} we refer to [5].

Lemma 3.5. Let $\mu, \nu \in M_b(Q)$. Then $(\mu * \nu)^\wedge = \hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}$. In particular, $(f * g)^\wedge = \hat{f}\hat{g}$, for all $f, g \in C_c(Q)$.

Proof .

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mu}(\xi)\hat{\nu}(\xi) &= \int_Q \bar{\xi}(p)d\mu(p) \int_Q \bar{\xi}(q)d\nu(q) = \int_Q \int_Q \xi(p^*)\xi(q^*)d\mu(p)d\nu(q) \\
&= \int_Q \int_Q \Delta\xi(p^*, q^*)d\mu(p)d\nu(q) = \int_Q \int_Q \Delta\xi(q^*, p^*)d\mu(p)d\nu(q) \\
&= \int_Q \int_Q \Delta\check{\xi}(p, q)d\mu(p)d\nu(q) = \int_Q \bar{\xi}(t)d(\mu * \nu)(t) = (\mu * \nu)(\hat{\xi}).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one can see that $(f * g) = \hat{f}\hat{g}$, for all $f, g \in C_c(Q)$. \square

Lemma 3.6. For any $\mu \in M_b(Q)$ and $f \in C_c(Q)$, we have $(\mu * f) = \hat{\mu}\hat{f}$.

Proof . Let $\mu \in M_b(Q)$ and $f \in C_c(Q)$. By Definition 2.1, for any $\xi \in \hat{Q}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mu * f)(\hat{\xi}) &= \int (\mu * f)(p)\bar{\xi}(p)dm(p) = \int (\mu * f)(p)\xi(p^*)dm(p) \\
&= \int \int \Delta f(q^*, p)\xi(p^*)d\mu(q)dm(p) = \int \int \Delta f(q^*, p)\check{\xi}(p)dm(p)d\mu(q) \quad (H_4) \\
&= \int \int \Delta\check{\xi}(q, p)f(p)dm(p)d\mu(q) \quad (H_3) = \int \int \Delta\xi(p^*, q^*)f(p)dm(p)d\mu(q) \\
&= \int \int \xi(p^*)\xi(q^*)f(p)dm(p)d\mu(q) = \int \xi(p^*)f(p)dm(p) \int \xi(q^*)d\mu(q) \\
&= \hat{\mu}(\xi)\hat{f}(\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(\mu * f) = \hat{\mu}\hat{f}$. \square

For each $f \in C_b(Q)$ and $a, p \in Q$, put $f^a(p) := \Delta f(a, p)$ and $f_a(p) := \Delta f(p, a)$.

Proposition 3.7. Let $a \in Q$ and $\gamma \in \hat{Q}$. Then for any $f \in L^1(Q)$ we have $\hat{f}_a(\gamma) = \gamma(a)\hat{f}(\gamma)$.

Proof .

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}_a(\gamma) &= \int_Q f_a(p)\bar{\gamma}(p)dm(p) = \int_Q f_a(p)\gamma(p^*)dm(p) \\
&= \int_Q \Delta f(a, p)\gamma(p^*)dm(p) = \int_Q \Delta f(a, p)\check{\gamma}(p)dm(p) \\
&= \int_Q f(p)\Delta\check{\gamma}(a^*, p)dm(p) = \int_Q f(p)\Delta\gamma(p^*, a)dm(p) \\
&= \int_Q f(p)\gamma(p^*)\gamma(a)dm(p) = \gamma(a)\hat{f}(\gamma).
\end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 3.8. If Q is a cocommutative KPC-hypergroup then,

- i. for any $p \in Q$ we have $\delta(p) = 1$, where δ is the modular function of Q .

ii. for each $f, g \in C_c(Q)$, $f * g = g * f$.

Proof . i. Let $f \in C_c(Q)$ and $p \in Q$. Then by Definition 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(p)m(f) &= (m * \delta_{p^*})(f) = \int_Q \int_Q \Delta f(q, t) dm(q) d\delta_{p^*}(t) \\ &= \int_Q \Delta f(q, p^*) dm(q) = \int_Q \Delta f(p^*, q) 1(q) dm(q) \\ &= \int_Q \Delta 1(p, q) f(q) dm(q) = \int_Q f(q) dm(q) = m(f). \end{aligned}$$

So $\delta(p) = 1$ for any $p \in Q$.

ii. If $f, g \in C_c(Q)$, for any $q \in Q$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f * g)(q) &= \int_Q f(p) \Delta g(p^*, q) dm(p) = \int_Q f(p) \Delta g^-(q^*, p) dm(p) \\ &= \int_Q \Delta f(q, p) g^-(p) dm(p) = \int_Q \Delta f(p, q) g(p^*) dm(p) \\ &= \int_Q \Delta f(p^*, q) g(p) \delta(p^*) dm(p) = \int_Q \Delta f(p^*, q) g(p) dm(p) \\ &= (g * f)(q). \end{aligned}$$

□

The following theorem is called Wendel’s Theorem.

Theorem 3.9. *Let Q be a locally compact cocommutative KPC-hypergroup. Suppose that $T : L^1(Q) \rightarrow C_c(Q)$ is a bounded linear mapping. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- i. T commutes with right translation operators, that is $T(f^p) = T(f)^p$, for all $p \in Q$ and $f \in C_c(Q)$.
- ii. $T(f * g) = T(f) * g$, for all $f, g \in C_c(Q)$.
- iii. There exists a unique transformation ϕ on \widehat{Q} such that $\widehat{T(f)} = \phi \widehat{f}$, for all $f \in C_c(Q)$.
- iv. There exists a unique measure $\mu \in M(Q)$ such that $\widehat{T(f)} = \widehat{\mu f}$, for all $f \in C_c(Q)$.
- v. There exists a unique measure $\mu \in M(Q)$ such that $T(f) = f * \mu = \mu * f$, for all $f \in C_c(Q)$.

Proof . (i) implies (ii): Let T commute with right translation operators, and $k \in L^\infty(Q)$. We define the mapping ψ on $L^1(Q)$ by

$$\psi(f) = \int_Q T(f)(t) k(t^*) dm(t) \quad (f \in L^1(Q)).$$

Then ψ is a bounded linear mapping on $L^1(Q)$, because by ([5], Proposition 5.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int T(f)(t) k(t^*) dm(t) \right| &\leq \|k\|_\infty \|T(f)\|_1 \\ &\leq \|k\|_\infty \|T\| \|f\|_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\|T\|$ denotes the usual operator norm of T . Then by ([3], 20.20) there is a function $h \in L^\infty(Q)$ such that

$$\int T(f)(q, p)k(q^*)dm(q) = \int f(q, p)h(q^*)dm(q) \quad (*).$$

For each $f, g \in C_c(Q)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int [T(f) * g](q)k(q^*)dm(q) &= \int \left[\int T(f)(p)g^q(p^*)dm(p) \right] k(q^*)dm(q) \\ &= \int \left[\int T(f)^p(q)g(p^*)dm(p) \right] k(q^*)dm(q) \\ &= \int \left[\int T(f^p)(q)k(q^*)dm(q) \right] \check{g}(p)dm(p) \\ &= \int \left[\int f^p(q)h(q^*)dm(q) \right] \check{g}(p)dm(p) \\ &= \int \left[\int f^p(q)\check{g}(p)dm(p) \right] h(q^*)dm(q) \\ &= \int \left[\int \check{g}^p(q^*)f(p)dm(p) \right] h(q^*)dm(q) \\ &= \int \left[\int g^q(p^*)f(p)dm(p) \right] h(q^*)dm(q) \\ &= \int [(f * g)(q)]h(q^*)dm(q) \quad (\text{by } (*)) \\ &= \int [T(f * g)(q)]k(q^*)dm(q). \end{aligned}$$

Since $k \in L^\infty(Q)$ is arbitrary, we have $T(f) * g = T(f * g)$ for all $f, g \in C_c(Q)$.

(ii) implies (iii): Let $T(f) * g = T(f * g)$, for all $f, g \in C_c(Q)$. By Lemma 3.8, we have $T(f * g) = T(g * f)$, for all $f, g \in C_c(Q)$, and so $T(g) * f = T(g * f) = T(f * g) = T(f) * g$. Now, by Lemma 3.5, for all $f, g \in C_c(Q)$ we have

$$\widehat{T(f)g} = \widehat{T(g)f}. \quad (**)$$

For each $\xi \in \hat{Q}$, we can choose $g \in C_c(Q)$ such that $\hat{g}(\xi) \neq 0$. If ϕ is defined by $\phi(\xi) := \frac{\widehat{T(g)}(\xi)}{\hat{g}(\xi)}$, then by (**), ϕ is independent from g . For each $\xi \in \hat{Q}$, we have

$$\widehat{T(f)}(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) \left(\frac{\widehat{T(g)}}{\hat{g}} \right)(\xi) = \phi(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) = (\phi \hat{f})(\xi).$$

Therefore, $\widehat{T(f)} = \phi \hat{f}$.

(iii) implies (iv): Let $\widehat{T(f)} = \phi \hat{f}$, for all $f \in L^1(Q)$. Then $\phi \hat{f} \in \widehat{C_c(Q)}$. By ([5], Remark 10.7) the Fourier transform maps $L^1(Q)$ into $C_0(\hat{Q})$. Therefore, $\phi \hat{f}$ is continuous and $\phi \in C(\hat{Q})$. Thus, by ([7], Theorem 2.1), there exists $\mu \in M(Q)$ such that $\phi = \hat{\mu}$, and so $\widehat{T(f)} = \hat{\mu} \hat{f}$.

(iv) implies (v): By (iv) and Lemma 3.6, for all $\xi \in \hat{Q}$ we have $(Tf) = (\hat{\mu} \hat{f})(\xi) = (\mu * \hat{f})(\xi) = (f * \hat{\mu})(\xi)$. So, since $(Tf) - \mu * f = 0$, we have $T(f) = \mu * f = f * \mu$.

(v) implies (i): Let $f \in C_c(Q)$ and $p \in Q$. By hypothesis,

$$T(f^p) = \mu * f^p = \mu * (f * \delta_{p^*}) = (\mu * f) * \delta_{p^*} = (\mu * f)^p = T(f)^p.$$

Therefore, $T(f^p) = T(f)^p$. \square

References

- [1] W.R. Bloom and H. Heyer, *Harmonic Analysis of Probability Measures on Hypergroups*, De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1995.
- [2] C.F. Dunkl, *The measure algebra of a locally compact hypergroup*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 179 (1973) 331–348.
- [3] E. Hewitt and K. Stromberg, *Real and Abstract Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975.
- [4] R.I. Jewett, *Spaces with an abstract convolution of measures*, Adv. Math. 18 (1975) 1–101.
- [5] A.A. Kalyuzhnyi, G.B. Podkolzin and Y.A. Chapovski, *Harmonic analysis on a locally compact hypergroup*, Method. Funct. Anal. Topol. 16 (2010) 304–332.
- [6] A.A. Kalyuzhnyi, G.B. Podkolzin and Y.A. Chapovski, *On infinitesimal structure of a hypergroup that originates from a Lie group*, Method. Funct. Anal. Topol. 17 (2011) 319–329.
- [7] R. Lasser, *Fourier-Stieltjes transform on hypergroups*, Anal. 2 (1982) 281–303.
- [8] R. Larsen, *An Introduction to the Theory of Multipliers*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
- [9] A.R. Medghalchi and S.M. Tabatabaie, *Spectral subspaces on hypergroup algebras*, Publ. Math. Debrecen 74 (2009) 307–320.
- [10] R. Spector, *Measures invariant sure less hypergroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 239 (1978) 147–165.
- [11] S.M. Tabatabaie and F. Haghighifar, *The associated measure on locally compact cocommutative KPC-hypergroups*, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. 43 (2017) 1–15.
- [12] N. Youmbi, *Some multipliers results on compact hypergroups*, Int. Math. Forum 5 (2010) 2569–2580.