

UNIVALENT HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS WITH FIXED FINITELY MANY COEFFICIENTS INVOLVING SALAGEAN OPERATOR

M. ACU¹ AND SH. NAJAFZADEH^{2*}

ABSTRACT. By using generalized Salagean differential operator a new class of univalent holomorphic functions with fixed finitely many coefficients is defined. Coefficient estimates, extreme points, arithmetic mean, and weighted mean properties are investigated.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let A denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=t+1}^{+\infty} a_k z^k \quad (1.1)$$

which are holomorphic in the unit disk $\Delta = \{z : |z| < 1\}$.

We denote by N the subclass of A consisting of functions $f(z) \in A$ which are holomorphic univalent in Δ and are of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=t+1}^{+\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (a_k \geq 0). \quad (1.2)$$

The generalized Salagean operator is defined in [1] by

$$\begin{aligned} D_\lambda^0 f(z) &= f(z) \\ D_\lambda^1 f(z) &= (1 - \lambda)f(z) + \lambda z f'(z) \\ D_\lambda^n f(z) &= D_\lambda^1(D_\lambda^{n-1} f(z)), \quad \lambda \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

see also [2].

If $f(z)$ is given by (1.2), we see that

$$D_\lambda^n f(z) = z - \sum_{k=t+1}^{+\infty} [1 + (k-1)\lambda]^n a_k z^k. \quad (1.3)$$

Date: Received: March 2009; Revised: November 2009.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30C45; Secondary 30C50.

Key words and phrases. Salagean operator; Univalent function; Coefficient estimate; Extreme point; Arithmetic and weighted mean.

* Corresponding author.

When $\lambda = 1$, we get the classic Salagean differential operator [3]. A function $f(z) \in N$ is said to be in $N_{n,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$ if and only if

$$\left| \frac{[D_\lambda^{n+2} f(z)]' - \frac{1}{z} D_\lambda^{n+1} f(z)}{\frac{2\alpha}{z} D_\lambda^{n+1} f(z) - \beta(1 + \theta)\alpha} \right| < \gamma, \quad (1.4)$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta$ belong to $[0,1]$.

Now we introduce the class $N_{n,\lambda}^{c_m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$, the subclass of $N_{n,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$ consisting of functions with negative and fixed finitely many coefficient of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{m=2}^t \frac{\alpha\gamma(2 - \beta(1 + \theta))c_m}{[(1 + (m - 1)\lambda)^{n+1}(m^2\lambda + m(1 - \lambda) - 1 + 2\alpha\lambda)]}. \quad (1.5)$$

Such type of work was recently carried out by Shams and Kulkani [4]. See also [5]. We need the following lemma for proving our main results.

Lemma 1.1. *A function $f(z)$ given by (1.2) is in the class $N_{n,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{k=t+1}^{\infty} [(1 + (k - 1)\lambda)^{n+1}(k^2\lambda + k(1 - \lambda) - 1 + 2\alpha\gamma)a_k] \leq \alpha\gamma(2 - \beta(1 + \theta)). \quad (1.6)$$

Proof. Let the inequality (1.6) holds true and suppose $|z| = 1$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |(D_\lambda^{n+2} f(z))' - \frac{1}{z} D_\lambda^{n+1} f(z)| - \gamma |2\alpha - 2\alpha \sum_{k=t+1}^{+\infty} (1 + (k - 1)\lambda)^{n+1} a_k z^{k-1} - \beta(1 + \theta)\alpha| \\ &= \sum_{k=t+1}^{+\infty} [(1 + (k - 1)\lambda)^{n+1}(k^2\lambda + k(1 - \lambda) - 1 + 2\alpha\gamma)a_k - \alpha\gamma(2 - \beta(1 + \theta))] \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by maximum modulus theorem, we conclude that $f(z) \in N_{n,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$.

Conversely, let $f(z)$ defined by (1.2) be in the class $N_{n,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$, so the condition (1.4) yields

$$\left| \frac{\sum_{k=t+1}^{+\infty} [(1 + (k - 1)\lambda)^{n+1}(k^2\lambda + k(1 - \lambda) - 1)a_k z^{k-1}]}{2\alpha - \sum_{k=t+1}^{\infty} 2\alpha(1 + (k - 1)\lambda)^{n+1} - \beta(1 + \theta)\alpha} \right| < \gamma, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

Since for any z , $|Re(z)| < |z|$, then

$$Re \left\{ \frac{\sum_{k=t+1}^{+\infty} [(1 + (k - 1)\lambda)^{n+1}(k^2\lambda + k(1 - \lambda) - 1)a_k z^{k-1}]}{\alpha(2 - \beta(1 + \theta)) - \sum_{k=t+1}^{\infty} 2\alpha(1 + (k - 1)\lambda)^{n+1} a_k z^{k-1}} \right\} < \gamma.$$

By letting $z \rightarrow 1$ through real values, we get the required result. \square

2. MAIN RESULTS

We begin by proving a necessary and sufficient conditions for a function belonging to the class $N_{n,\lambda}^{c_m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f(z)$ defined by (1.2), then $f(z) \in N_{n,\lambda}^{c_m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=t+1}^{+\infty} \frac{[(1 + (k-1)\lambda)^{n+1}(k^2\lambda + k(1-\lambda) - 1 + 2\alpha\lambda)]a_k}{\alpha\gamma(2 - \beta(1 + \theta))} < 1 - \sum_{m=2}^t c_m. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. By letting

$$a_m = \frac{\alpha\gamma(2 - \beta(1 + \theta))c_m}{(1 + (m-1)\lambda)^{n+1}(m^2\lambda + m(1-\lambda) - 1 + 2\alpha\gamma)}, \quad (2.2)$$

since $N_{n,\lambda}^{c_m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta) \subset N_{n,\lambda}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$, so $f(z) \in N_{n,\lambda}^{c_m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=2}^t \frac{(1 + (m-1)\lambda)^{n+1}(m^2\lambda + m(1-\lambda) - 1 + 2\alpha\gamma)}{\alpha\gamma(2 - \beta(1 + \theta))} a_m \\ & + \sum_{k=t+1}^{+\infty} \frac{(1 + (k-1)\lambda)^{n+1}(k^2\lambda + k(1-\lambda) - 1 + 2\alpha\gamma)}{\alpha\gamma(2 - \beta(1 + \theta))} a_k < 1 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\sum_{k=t+1}^{+\infty} \frac{(1 + (k-1)\lambda)^{n+1}(k^2\lambda + k(1-\lambda) - 1 + 2\alpha\gamma)}{\alpha\gamma(2 - \beta(1 + \theta))} < 1 - \sum_{m=2}^t c_m,$$

and this gives the result. \square

Corollary 2.2. If $f(z)$ defined by (1.2) be in $N_{n,\lambda}^{c_m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$ then for $k \geq t + 1$ we have

$$a_k \leq \frac{\alpha\gamma(2 - \beta(1 + \theta))(1 - \sum_{m=2}^t c_m)}{(1 + (k-1)\lambda)^{n+1}(k^2\lambda + k(1-\lambda) - 1 + 2\alpha\gamma)}, \quad (2.3)$$

and result is best possible for the function

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) = z - & \sum_{m=2}^t \frac{\alpha\gamma(2 - \beta(1 + \theta))c_m}{(1 + (m-1)\lambda)^{n+1}(m^2\lambda + m(1-\lambda) - 1 + 2\alpha\gamma)} z^m \\ & - \frac{\alpha\gamma(2 - \beta(1 + \theta))(1 - \sum_{m=2}^t c_m)}{(1 + (k-1)\lambda)^{n+1}(k^2\lambda + k(1-\lambda) - 1 + 2\alpha\gamma)} z^k \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

3. EXTREME POINTS AND ARITHMETIC MEAN STRUCTURE

Now we find Extreme points and convolution structure for functions in $N_{n,\lambda}^{c_m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$.

Theorem 3.1. Let

$$f_t(z) = z - \sum_{m=2}^t \frac{\alpha\gamma(2 - \beta(1 + \theta))c_m}{(1 + (m-1)\lambda)^{n+1}(m^2\lambda + m(1-\lambda) - 1 + 2\alpha\gamma)} z^m$$

and for $k \geq t + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(z) = z - & \sum_{m=2}^t \frac{\alpha\gamma(2 - \beta(1 + \theta))c_m}{(1 + (m-1)\lambda)^{n+1}(m^2\lambda + m(1-\lambda) - 1 + 2\alpha\gamma)} z^m \\ & - \frac{\alpha\gamma(2 - \beta(1 + \theta))(1 - \sum_{m=2}^t c_m)}{(1 + (k-1)\lambda)^{n+1}(k^2\lambda + k(1-\lambda) - 1 + 2\alpha\gamma)} z^k. \end{aligned}$$

Then $F(z) \in N_{n,\lambda}^{c_m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$F(z) = \sum_{k=t}^{+\infty} \sigma_k f_k(z)$$

where $\sigma_k \geq 0$ ($k \geq t$) and $\sum_{k=t}^{+\infty} \sigma_k = 1$.

Proof. Let $F(z) = \sum_{k=t}^{+\infty} \sigma_k f_k(z)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= z - \sum_{m=2}^t \frac{\alpha\gamma(2 - \beta(1 + \theta))c_m}{(1 + (m-1)\lambda)^{n+1}(m^2\lambda + m(1-\lambda) - 1 + 2\alpha\gamma)} z^m \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=t+1}^{+\infty} \frac{(1 - \sum_{m=2}^{+\infty} c_m)\alpha\gamma(2 - \beta(1 + \theta))\sigma_k}{(1 + (k-1)\lambda)^{n+1}(k^2\lambda + k(1-\lambda) - 1 + 2\alpha\gamma)} z^k. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=t+1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + (k-1)\lambda)^{n+1}(k^2\lambda + k(1-\lambda) - 1 + 2\alpha\gamma)(1 - \sum_{m=2}^{+\infty} c_m)\alpha\gamma(2 - \beta(1 + \theta))\sigma_k}{\alpha\gamma(2 - \beta(1 + \theta))(1 + (k-1)\lambda)^{n+1}(k^2\lambda + k(1-\lambda) - 1 + 2\alpha\gamma)} \\ &= (1 - \sum_{m=2}^t c_m) \sum_{k=t+1}^{+\infty} \sigma_k = (1 - \sum_{m=2}^t c_m)(1 - \sigma_t) < 1 - \sum_{m=2}^t c_m. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $F(z) \in N_{n,\lambda}^{c_m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$.

Conversely suppose $F(z) \in N_{n,\lambda}^{c_m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$, so

$$F(z) = z - \sum_{m=2}^t \frac{\alpha\gamma(2 - \beta(1 + \theta))c_m}{(1 + (m-1)\lambda)^{n+1}(m^2\lambda + m(1-\lambda) - 1 + 2\alpha\gamma)} - \sum_{k=t+1}^{+\infty} a_k z^k.$$

By putting

$$\sigma_k = \frac{(1 + (k-1)\lambda)^{n+1}(k^2\lambda + k(1-\lambda) - 1 + 2\alpha\gamma)}{\alpha\gamma(2 - \beta(1 + \theta))(1 - \sum_{m=2}^t c_m)} a_k, \quad (k \geq t+1)$$

we have $\sigma_k \geq 0$ and if we put $\sigma_t = 1 - \sum_{k=t+1}^{+\infty} \sigma_k$, we conclude the required result. \square

Theorem 3.2. Let $f_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, l$) defined by

$$f_j(z) = z - \sum_{m=2}^l \frac{\alpha\gamma(2 - \beta(1 + \theta))}{(1 + (m-1)\lambda)^{n+1}(m^2\lambda + m(1-\lambda) - 1 + 2\alpha\gamma)} z^m - \sum_{\substack{k=t+1 \\ j=1, \dots, l}}^{+\infty} a_{k,j} z^k$$

be in $N_{n,\lambda}^{c_m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$, then the function

$$H(z) = z - \sum_{m=2}^{+\infty} \frac{\alpha\gamma(2 - \beta(1 + \theta))C_m}{(1 + (m-1)\lambda)^{n+1}(m^2\lambda + m(1-\lambda) - 1 + 2\alpha\gamma)} z^m - \sum_{k=t+1}^{+\infty} d_k z^k, \quad (c_k \geq 0)$$

is also in $N_{n,\lambda}^{c_m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$, where $d_k = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{j=1}^l a_{k,j}$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{k=t+1}^{+\infty} \frac{(1+(k-1)\lambda)^{n+1}(k^2\lambda+k(1-\lambda)-1+2\alpha\gamma)}{\alpha\gamma(2-\beta(1+\theta))} d_k \\
 &= \sum_{k=t+1}^{+\infty} \frac{(1+(k-1)\lambda)^{n+1}(k^2\lambda+k(1-\lambda)-1+2\alpha\gamma)}{l\alpha\gamma(2-\beta(1+\theta))} \left(\sum_{j=1}^l a_{k,j} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{l} \sum_{j=1}^l \left[\sum_{k=t+1}^{+\infty} \frac{(1+(k-1)\lambda)^{n+1}(k^2\lambda+k(1-\lambda)-1+2\alpha\gamma)}{\alpha\gamma(2-\beta(1+\theta))} a_{k,j} \right] \\
 &< \frac{1}{l} \sum_{j=1}^l \left(1 - \sum_{m=2}^t c_m \right) = 1 - \sum_{m=2}^t c_m
 \end{aligned}$$

and the proof by Theorem 2.1 is complete. So $N_{n,\lambda}^{c_m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$ is closed under arithmetic mean. \square

Remark 3.3. with the same calculation with theorem 3.2 we can prove that $N_{n,\lambda}^{c_m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$ is closed under weighted mean.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank the referees for their valuable comments.

REFERENCES

1. F.M. Al-Oboudi, *On univalent functions by a generalized Salagean operator*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 27 (2004), 1429-1436.
2. D. Raducanu, *On the Fekete Szego inequality for a class of analytic functions defined by using the generalized Salagean operator*, General Math. Vol. 10 (16) (2008), 19-27.
3. G. S. Salagean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Lect. Notes Math. 1013, (1983), 362-372
4. S, Shams and S. R. Kulkarni, *A class of univalent functions with negative and fixed finitely many coefficients*, Acta Ciencia Indica, XXIXM (3), (2003), 587-594.
5. Sh. Najafzadeh and S.R. Kulkarni, *Application of Ruscheweyh derivative on univalent functions with negative and fixed finitely many coefficients*, Rajasthan Acad. Phy. Sci. 5(1), (2006), 39-51.

¹ DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LUCIAN BLAGA UNIVERSITY, 2400 SIBIU, ROMANIA
E-mail address: Muger_Acu@yahoo.com

²DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF MARAGHEH, MARAGHEH-IRAN

E-mail address: najafzadeh1234@yahoo.ie