

Existence of weak solutions to boundary problem for elliptic equations with variable exponents under $p(\cdot)$ -uniformly convexity condition

Mykola Yaremenko

National Technical University of Ukraine, "Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Polytechnic Institute" Kyiv, Ukraine, 37, Prospect Beresteiskyi (former Peremohy), Kyiv, Ukraine, 03056.

(Communicated by Abdolrahman Razani)

Abstract

The main goal of this work is to establish the existence of a weak solution in $W_{1,0}^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ to the boundary problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u)) + d(x) u |u|^{p(x)-2} &= f(x, u), \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in R^n , $n \geq 3$. The main term $a(x, \xi) = \frac{\partial A(x, \xi)}{\partial \xi}$ satisfies: $p(\cdot)$ -uniformly convexity and $p(\cdot)$ -sub-homogeneous conditions; $d \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $d_m > 0$; and f is a real Caratheodory function on $\Omega \times R$, which satisfies the following non-standard growth condition $|f(x, s)| \leq A_1 \left(1 + |s|^{\gamma(x)-1}\right)$, where $p_S < \gamma_m \leq \gamma_S < p_m^*$, c_1 is a positive constant, and $p^*(x) = \frac{np(x)}{n-p(x)}$ for $p(x) < n$.

Keywords: elliptic equation, Dirichlet problem, variable exponent space, variational method, variable Lebesgue space, uniformly convex condition, variable subhomogeneous, weak solution

2020 MSC: 35A15, 35J15, 35J20, 35H30, 35J60

1 Introduction

We consider the following elliptic boundary problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u)) + d(x) u |u|^{p(x)-2} &= f(x, u), \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where Ω is an open bounded domain in R^n , $n \geq 3$, and $\partial\Omega$ is a C^2 -smooth boundary of Ω . We assume that variable exponent $p(\cdot)$ is log-Holder continuous function on Ω . We assume that $p_m = \inf p(x) > 1$ and $p_S = \sup p(x) < \infty$. We define a function $p^*(x) = \frac{np(x)}{n-p(x)}$ for $p(x) < n$ and $p^*(x) = \infty$ for $p(x) \geq n$. We assume that $d \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $d_m > 0$. A complete set of conditions is gathered in Section 2.

Email address: math.kiev@gmail.com (Mykola Yaremenko)

Variable exponent growth conditions, when applied to elliptic differential equations and variational problems, have found widespread application in many recent research areas [1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 16, 19]. Background information on variable exponents and their applications is available in [3, 4]. In [1], the authors analyzed a nonlinear partial differential equation using anisotropic variable exponent Sobolev spaces. The study aims to determine the number of positive weak solutions for an elliptic-Kirchhoff equation, contributing to the understanding of complex physical phenomena like electrorheological fluids. In [8, 9], the authors proved the existence of a nontrivial weak solution to the Schrodinger-Kirchhoff type equations with a fractional magnetic field, utilizing the mountain pass theorem under specific assumptions on the external force and without assuming the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition. They also demonstrate that the problem possesses infinitely many large- or small-energy solutions, authors used a Cerami condition. S.D. Zeng, A.A. Khan, and S. Migorski investigated a generalized quasi-variational inequality that involves two multivalued operators and two bifunctions within a Banach space framework. They showed the existence of solutions by coupling the Tychonov fixed point principle with the Kakutani-Ky Fan theorem for multivalued maps. For additional information, consult the reference list [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19] and the sources cited within those works. For some additional interested works, see [20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32].

The present paper investigates the existence of weak solutions to the boundary problem (1.1) under conditions of Section 2. The main term satisfies following variable exponent growth restriction $|a(x, \xi)| \leq c_0 \left(\delta_0(x) + \delta_1(x) |\xi|^{p(x)-1} \right)$. The nonnegative functions δ_0 and δ_1 are such that δ_0 belongs to $L^{\frac{p(\cdot)}{p(\cdot)-1}}(\Omega)$, and $1 \leq \delta_1(\cdot)$ is locally integrable. Also, we presume the existence of a measurable function $A : \Omega \times R^n \rightarrow R$ such that $a(x, \xi) = \frac{\partial A(x, \xi)}{\partial \xi}$. Our main assumptions on function A are that A is $p(\cdot)$ -uniformly convex and $p(\cdot)$ -subhomogeneous. A source term $f : \Omega \times R \rightarrow R$ is a real Caratheodory function, which satisfies the following growth condition $|f(x, s)| \leq A_1 \left(1 + |s|^{\gamma(x)-1} \right)$, where $p_S < \gamma_m \leq \gamma_S < p_m^*$, and c_1 is a positive constant, and Sobolev conjugation $p^*(x) = \frac{np(x)}{n-p(x)}$ for $p(x) < n$. Employing variational framework, we establish the existence of a weak solution to problem (1.1) under the condition of Section 2.

2 Preliminary information and main assumptions

We recall some essential information pertaining to variable exponent spaces. The variable Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}$ is a Banach space consisting of all measurable real-valued functions with the finite norm defined by

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \rho \left(\frac{f}{\lambda} \right) \leq 1 \right\},$$

where the modular is given by

$$\rho(f) = \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^{p(x)} dx.$$

The generalized Holder inequality is given by

$$\int |f(x)g(x)| dx \leq k_{Hol} \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \|g\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}},$$

for all $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $g \in L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, with $p_m = \text{ess inf } p(x)$ and $p_S = \text{ess sup } p(x)$, where $q(x) = \frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}$, and variable Holder constant $k_{Hol} = 1 + \frac{1}{p_m} - \frac{1}{p_S}$.

We formulate main assumptions as follows:

1) there is a measurable function $A : \Omega \times R^n \rightarrow R$ such that $A(x, 0) = 0$, and the function $a(x, \xi) = \frac{\partial A(x, \xi)}{\partial \xi}$ is such that the mapping $x \mapsto a(x, \xi)$ is a Lebesgue measurable function for all $\xi \in R^n$, and the mapping $\xi \mapsto a(x, \xi)$ is continuous for almost all $x \in \Omega$;

2) function A is $p(\cdot)$ -uniformly convex, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} & A(x, \tau\xi + (1-\tau)\eta) + \nu_1 \delta_1(x) |\xi - \eta|^{p(x)} \leq \\ & \leq \tau A(x, \xi) + (1-\tau) A(x, \eta) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\tau \in [0, 1]$, almost all $x \in \Omega$, and all $\xi, \eta \in R^n$;

3) function A is $p(\cdot)$ - subhomogeneous, namely

$$0 \leq \sum_{i=1, \dots, n} a_i(x, \xi) \xi_i < p(x) A(x, \xi)$$

for almost all $x \in \Omega$ and all $\xi \in R^n$;

4) $|a(x, \xi)| \leq c_0 \left(\delta_0(x) + \delta_1(x) |\xi|^{p(x)-1} \right)$ for almost all $x \in \Omega$ and all $\xi \in R^n$;

5) $A(x, \xi) \geq \nu_0 \delta_1(x) |\xi|^{p(x)}$ for almost all $x \in \Omega$ and all $\xi \in R^n$;

where we denoted c_0, ν_0, ν_1 are positive real numbers; and δ_0, δ_1 are a pair of nonnegative measurable functions on Ω , such that $\delta_0 \in L^{\frac{p(\cdot)}{p(\cdot)-1}}(\Omega)$ and $\delta_1 \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$, $\delta_1(\cdot) \geq 1$.

6) the function $\tau \mapsto f(x, \tau)$ is continuous for almost all $x \in \text{clos}(\Omega)$ and $x \rightarrow f(x, \tau)$ is Lebesgue measurable for all $\tau \in R$, and such that

$$|f(x, s)| \leq A_1 \left(1 + |s|^{\gamma(x)-1} \right)$$

for all $s \in R$ and almost all $x \in \text{clos}(\Omega)$, where $p_S < \gamma_m \leq \gamma_S < p_m^*$, and c_1 is a positive constant, where function $p^*(x) = \frac{np(x)}{n-p(x)}$ if $p(x) < n$;

7) there are a constant $M > 0$ and $\theta > p_S$ such that

$$0 < \theta F(x, s) \leq s f(x, s)$$

for all $|s| \geq M$ and almost all $x \in \text{clos}(\Omega)$, $F(x, s) = \int_{[0, s]} f(x, t) dt$;

8) there are positive numbers μ and β such that

$$\frac{f(x, s)}{s |s|^{p(x)-1}} \leq \mu$$

for all $s \in (-\beta, \beta) \setminus \{0\}$ and for almost all $x \in \Omega$.

3 Proof of a weak solution to boundary problems for an elliptic equation with variable exponents

We will prove the following existence theorem.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a Banach space. For functional $J : X \rightarrow R$, a weakly continuously differential $DJ(u)(v)$ is define by

$$DJ(u)(v) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{J(u + tv) - J(u)}{t}$$

for all $v \in X$.

The main theorem can be formulated as follows.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that conditions 1)-8) holds. There exists at least one nontrivial weak solution in $W^{p(\cdot)}_{1,0}(\Omega)$ to the elliptic boundary value problem (1.1).

Proof . We define some spaces and numbers:

$$X = \left\{ u \in W^{p(\cdot)}_{1,0}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} \delta_1(x) |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx < \infty \right\},$$

$$\|u\| = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \rho \left(\frac{\nabla u}{\lambda} \right) \leq 1 \right\},$$

$$\|u\|_X = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \delta_1(x) \left| \frac{\nabla u}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\},$$

and

$$\rho_1(u) = \int_{\Omega} \delta_1(x) |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx$$

for all $u \in X$, and we define a number

$$\sigma_1 = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} \delta_1(x) |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx} : u \in X \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$

For all $u \in W_{1,0}^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, we denote

$$I(u) = \int_{\Omega} A(x, \nabla u) dx,$$

$$T(u) = \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx,$$

$$K(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{d(x)}{p(x)} |u|^{p(x)} dx$$

and

$$J(u) = I(u) + K(u) - T(u),$$

with the norm

$$\|DJ(u)\| = \sup \{|DJ(u)(v)| : v \in X, \|v\|_X = 1\}.$$

Statement (Poincare inequality) 1. For all $\sigma_1 > 0$, the inequality

$$\rho(u) \leq \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \int_{\Omega} \delta_1(x) |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx$$

for all $u \in X$. The proof is like the classical one. We prove several lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. We have

1)

$$I(x, \tau\xi + (1-\tau)\eta) + \nu_1 \int_{\Omega} \delta_1(x) |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \leq \tau I(x, \xi) + (1-\tau) I(x, \eta),$$

for all $\tau \in [0, 1]$, almost all $x \in \Omega$, and all $\xi, \eta \in R^n$;

2) $|A(x, \xi)| \leq c_0 (\delta_0(x) |\xi| + \delta_1(x) |\xi|^{p(x)})$ for almost all $x \in \Omega$ and all $\xi \in R^n$;

3) $|F(x, s)| \leq A_2 (1 + |s|^{\gamma(x)})$ for all $s \in R$ and almost all $x \in \text{clos}(\Omega)$, where $p_S < \gamma_m \leq \gamma_S < p_m^*$, and c_2 is a positive constant, where function $p^*(x) = \frac{np(x)}{n-p(x)}$ if $p(x) < n$;

4) for $\theta > p_S$, there is a functions $\psi \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and a positive number $\tilde{M}$ such that

$$\psi(x) s^\theta \leq F(x, s)$$

for all $s \geq \tilde{M}$ and almost all $x \in \text{clos}(\Omega)$.

Proof . The statement 1) straightforwardly follows from assumption 2).

2) We have

$$\begin{aligned} |A(x, \xi)| &= \left| \int_{[0,1]} \frac{d}{d\tau} A(x, \tau\xi) d\tau \right| = \left| \int_{[0,1]} a_i(x, \tau\xi) \xi_i d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \int_{[0,1]} |a(x, \tau\xi)| |\xi| d\tau \\ &\leq \int_{[0,1]} c_0 (\delta_0(x) + \delta_1(x) \tau^{p(x)-1} |\xi|^{p(x)-1}) |\xi| d\tau \\ &\leq \tau_0 (\delta_0(x) |\xi| + \delta_1(x) |\xi|^{p(x)}). \end{aligned}$$

3) is a consequence of 8).

To prove statement 4), we use condition 7). For almost all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ and all $s \geq \tilde{M}$ (where $\tilde{M} \geq M$), we have

$$0 < \theta F(x, s) \leq s f(x, s).$$

Rewriting this inequality, we obtain for $s \geq \tilde{M}$:

$$\frac{\theta}{s} < \frac{f(x, s)}{F(x, s)} = \frac{1}{F(x, s)} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} F(x, s).$$

This differential inequality can be integrated with respect to s from $\tilde{M}$ to s (where $s > \tilde{M}$):

$$\int_{\tilde{M}}^s \frac{\theta}{t} dt \leq \int_{\tilde{M}}^s \frac{1}{F(x, t)} \frac{\partial F(x, t)}{\partial t} dt.$$

Evaluating the integrals yields:

$$\theta \ln \left(\frac{s}{\tilde{M}} \right) \leq \ln \left(\frac{F(x, s)}{F(x, \tilde{M})} \right).$$

Exponentiating both sides gives:

$$F(x, s) \geq \left(\frac{s}{\tilde{M}} \right)^{\theta} F(x, \tilde{M}) = \psi(x) s^{\theta},$$

where $\psi(x) := F(x, \tilde{M})/\tilde{M}^{\theta} > 0$. This completes the proof of statement (4). The lemma is proven.

From these statements, we obtain the following properties:

1) For a sequence $\{u_k\}$ weakly convergent in $W_{1,0}^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, we obtain that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T(u_k) = T(u)$$

and

$$I(u) + K(u) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} (I(u_k) + K(u_k));$$

2) $I + K$ is continuous in X ;

3)

$$D(I(u) + K(u))(v) = \int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla u) \nabla v dx + \int_{\Omega} d(x) u |u|^{p(x)-2} v dx$$

for all $u, v \in X$;

4) $I(u) - I(v) \geq DI(v)(u - v)$ for all $u, v \in X$. We show that 4) holds. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{I(u_k + \tau(u - u_k)) - I(u_k)}{\tau} &= \frac{I((1 - \tau)u_k + \tau u) - I(u_k)}{\tau} \\ &\leq \frac{(1 - \tau)I(u_k) + \tau I(u) - I(u_k)}{\tau} \\ &= I(u) - I(u_k), \end{aligned}$$

for all $\tau \in (0, 1)$. Taking the limit as $\tau \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$DI(u_k)(u - u_k) \leq I(u) - I(u_k).$$

The proof is proven. Now, from (8), we have

$$|f(x, s)| \leq \mu |s|^{p(x)-1}$$

with some positive numbers μ and β where $s \in (-\beta, \beta) \setminus \{0\}$, and for almost all $x \in \Omega$. Therefore, we have

$$F(x, s) \leq \frac{\mu}{p(x)} |s|^{p(x)}$$

for all $s \in (-\beta, \beta) \setminus \{0\}$. From $|F(x, s)| \leq A_2 (1 + |s|^{\gamma(x)})$, we have

$$|F(x, s)| \leq A_3 |s|^{\gamma(x)}$$

for all $s \in R \setminus (-\beta, \beta)$ and almost all $x \in \Omega$. Thence, we have

$$|F(x, s)| \leq A_3 |s|^{\gamma(x)} + \frac{\mu}{p(x)} |s|^{p(x)}.$$

Therefore, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} T(u) &= \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left(A_3 |u|^{\gamma(x)} + \frac{\mu}{p(x)} |u|^{p(x)} \right) dx \\ &\leq A_3 \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\gamma(x)} dx + \frac{\mu}{p_m} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we need to estimate $\int_{\Omega} |u|^{\gamma(x)} dx$ in terms of $\rho_1(u) = \int_{\Omega} \delta_1(x) |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx$. Since $\delta_1(x) \geq 1$ almost everywhere in Ω , we have:

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{\gamma(x)} dx \leq \sup_{x \in \Omega} \left(\frac{|u(x)|^{\gamma(x)}}{\delta_1(x) |\nabla u(x)|^{\gamma(x)}} \right) \cdot \int_{\Omega} \delta_1(x) |\nabla u|^{\gamma(x)} dx.$$

However, a more precise approach uses the variable exponent Sobolev embedding. Since $\gamma_S < p_m^*$, the embedding $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\gamma(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is continuous. Moreover, by the Poincaré inequality (Statement 1), we have:

$$\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \|\delta_1^{1/p(\cdot)} \nabla u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)}.$$

For the $\gamma(\cdot)$ -norm, we use an interpolation inequality. Since $p(x) < \gamma(x) < p^*(x)$ and $\delta_1(x) \geq 1$, there exists $\theta(x) \in (0, 1)$ such that $\gamma(x) = \theta(x)p(x) + (1 - \theta(x))p^*(x)$. By Hölder's inequality with variable exponents and the Sobolev embedding, we obtain:

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{\gamma(x)} dx \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} \delta_1(x) |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \right)^{\alpha} + C \left(\int_{\Omega} \delta_1(x) |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \right)^{\beta}$$

for some constants $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and $C > 0$ depending on $\Omega, p(\cdot), \gamma(\cdot)$, and the embedding constants.

For simplicity in the mountain pass argument, we use a weaker but sufficient estimate: for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $C_{\epsilon} > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{\gamma(x)} dx \leq \epsilon \int_{\Omega} \delta_1(x) |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + C_{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega} \delta_1(x) |\nabla u|^{\gamma(x)} dx.$$

This follows from Young's inequality with variable exponents applied pointwise: for almost every $x \in \Omega$,

$$|u(x)|^{\gamma(x)} \leq \epsilon \delta_1(x) |\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)} + C_{\epsilon} \delta_1(x) |\nabla u(x)|^{\gamma(x)}.$$

Thus, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &= I(u) + K(u) - T(u) \\ &\geq \nu_0 \int_{\Omega} \delta_1(x) |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + \frac{d_m}{p_S} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx - A_3 \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\gamma(x)} dx - \frac{\mu}{p_m} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx \\ &\geq \nu_0 \rho_1(u) + \left(\frac{d_m}{p_S} - \frac{\mu}{p_m} \right) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx - A_3 \left(\epsilon \rho_1(u) + C_{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega} \delta_1(x) |\nabla u|^{\gamma(x)} dx \right) \\ &= (\nu_0 - A_3 \epsilon) \rho_1(u) + \left(\frac{d_m}{p_S} - \frac{\mu}{p_m} \right) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx - A_3 C_{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega} \delta_1(x) |\nabla u|^{\gamma(x)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\epsilon > 0$ small enough so that $\tilde{c} := \nu_0 - A_3 \epsilon > 0$, and setting $\tilde{c}_1 := A_3 C_{\epsilon}$, we obtain:

$$J(u) \geq \tilde{c} \rho_1(u) - \tilde{c}_1 \int_{\Omega} \delta_1(x) |\nabla u|^{\gamma(x)} dx + \left(\frac{d_m}{p_S} - \frac{\mu}{p_m} \right) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx.$$

For the mountain pass geometry, it suffices to use the weaker estimate (since $\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx \geq 0$):

$$J(u) \geq \tilde{c}\rho_1(u) - \tilde{c}_1 \int_{\Omega} \delta_1(x) |\nabla u|^{\gamma(x)} dx.$$

This explains the appearance of the term $\tilde{c}_1 \int_{\Omega} \delta_1(x) |\nabla u|^{\gamma(x)} dx$, where $\tilde{c}_1$ depends on A_3 , ϵ , and the constant from the Young inequality with variable exponents. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &= I(u) + K(u) - T(u) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} A(x, \nabla u) dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{d(x)}{p(x)} |u|^{p(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx \\ &\geq \nu_0 \int_{\Omega} \delta_1(x) |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + \frac{d_m}{p_S} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx - \left(A_3 \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\gamma(x)} dx + \frac{\mu}{p_m} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx \right) \\ &\geq \tilde{c}\rho_1(u) - \tilde{c}_1 \int_{\Omega} \delta_1(x) |\nabla u|^{\gamma(x)} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{c} = \nu_0 - \frac{\mu}{p_m \sigma_1}$ and $\tilde{c}_1 = A_3 C$. Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) - \frac{1}{\theta} DI(u)(u) &= I(u) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} A(x, \nabla u) dx - \frac{1}{\theta} \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, \nabla u) \nabla_i u dx \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{p_S}{\theta}\right) \int_{\Omega} A(x, \nabla u) dx \\ &\geq \nu_0 \left(1 - \frac{p_S}{\theta}\right) \int_{\Omega} \delta_1(x) |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \\ &= A_4 \rho_1(u) \end{aligned}$$

for almost all $u \in X$. We denote a set $\tilde{\Omega} = \{x \in \Omega : |u(x)| > \tilde{M}\}$ for all $u \in X$. We have

$$\frac{1}{\theta} f(x, u) - F(x, u) \geq 0$$

for almost all $x \in \tilde{\Omega}$, and

$$\left| \frac{1}{\theta} f(x, u) - F(x, u) \right| \leq M_1$$

for almost all $x \in \Omega \setminus \tilde{\Omega}$. Hence, it is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\theta} DT(u)(u) - T(u) &= \int_{\Omega \setminus \tilde{\Omega}} \left(\frac{1}{\theta} f(x, u) - F(x, u) \right) dx + \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \left(\frac{1}{\theta} f(x, u) - F(x, u) \right) dx \\ &\geq -M_1 \text{meas}(\Omega \setminus \tilde{\Omega}) \geq -M_1 \text{meas}(\Omega) = c_6(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} K(u) - \frac{1}{\theta} DK(u)(u) &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{d(x)}{p(x)} |u|^{p(x)} - \frac{1}{\theta} d(x) |u|^{p(x)} \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) d(x) |u|^{p(x)} dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{p_S(x)} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \int_{\Omega} d(x) |u|^{p(x)} dx \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $\theta > p_S$. We estimate

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) - \frac{1}{\theta} DJ(u)(u) &= \left(I(u) - \frac{1}{\theta} DI(u)(u) \right) + \left(K(u) - \frac{1}{\theta} DK(u)(u) \right) + \left(\frac{1}{\theta} DT(u)(u) - T(u) \right) \\ &\geq c_5 \rho_1(u) + c_6(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 3.4 (Palais-Smale condition). The functional $J \in C^1(X)$ is said to satisfy the Palais-Smale condition if each sequence $\{u_k\} \subset E$ such that the sequence $\{J(u_k)\}$ is bounded, and $J(u_k) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \varpi$, $J'(u_k) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$, then we have that the sequence $\{u_k\}$ contains a convergent subsequence.

We recall the statement of the mountain-pass lemma.

Lemma 3.5 (Mountain-Pass Lemma). Let J be a continuous functional on a Banach space X . Let J be weakly continuously differentiable and the Palais-Smale condition. Assume $J(0) = 0$ and there is a positive number r and $\tilde{w} \in X$ such that $\|\tilde{w}\|_X > r$, $J(\tilde{w}) \leq J(0)$ and

$$\alpha = \inf \{J(u) : u \in X, \|u\|_X = r\} > 0.$$

We denote $\Gamma = \{g \in C([0, 1], X) : g(0) = 0, g(1) = \tilde{w}\}$. Assume that $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$. We denote

$$\varpi = \inf \{\sup J(g([0, 1])) : g \in \Gamma\}.$$

Then, ϖ is a critical value of functional J and $\varpi \geq \alpha$.

The statement of the existence theorem follows from the next considerations. There exists a $\tilde{u} \in X$ such that

$$0 < \alpha \leq J(\tilde{u}) = \inf \{\sup J(g([0, 1])) : g \in \Gamma\}, \\ DJ(\tilde{u})(v) = 0$$

for all $v \in X$. Thus, we deduce

$$\int_{\Omega} a_i(x, \nabla \tilde{u}) \nabla_i v dx + \int_{\Omega} d(x) u |u|^{p(x)-2} v dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x, \nabla \tilde{u}) v dx.$$

We obtain that $\tilde{u} \neq 0$ is a general solution. Thus, the existence theorem will be proven if we prove following Lemmas.

Lemma 3.6. Let assumption 1-8 be satisfied. Then, X is a Banach space.

Proof . We assume that a sequence $\{u_k\}$ is a fundamental in X . We have that it is bounded sequence and

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \delta_1(x) |\nabla u_k - \nabla u_l|^{p(x)} dx = 0.$$

By Fatou's lemma, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \delta_1(x) |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \leq \liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \delta_1(x) |\nabla u_l|^{p(x)} dx = \liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} \rho_1(u_l) < \infty,$$

and, applying Fatou's lemma once more,

$$\liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \delta_1(x) |\nabla u - \nabla u_l|^{p(x)} dx \leq \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \delta_1(x) |\nabla u_l - \nabla u_k|^{p(x)} dx = 0,$$

so, $u_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{X} u$ and the space X is complete in its norm. $\square$

Lemma 3.7. Let assumption 1-8 be satisfied. Then, a continuous functional J is weakly continuously differentiable and the Palais-Smale condition.

Proof . We assume that a sequence $\{u_k\} \subset X$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} J(u_k) = \varpi$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|DJ(u_k)\| = 0$. We show that the sequence $\{u_k\}$ is bounded in X . If $\{u_k\}$ is unbounded then there is a subsequence $\{u_{k(l)}\}$ such that $\|u_{k(l)}\|_X \geq l$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$, so,

$$J(u_{k(l)}) \geq \|u_{k(l)}\|_X \left(\tilde{c} \|u_{k(l)}\|_X^{p_S-1} - \frac{1}{\theta} \|DJ(u_{k(l)})\| \right) + c_6(\Omega).$$

Taking the limit as l tends to infinity, we conclude that $J(u_{k(l)})$ tends to infinity, which contradicts to $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} J(u_k) = \varpi$. Thus, sequence $\{u_k\}$ is bounded in X . Since $\{u_k\}$ is bounded in X , sequence $\{u_k\}$ converges weakly to some $u \in W_{1,0}^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$. We write

$$I(u) \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I(u_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (T(u_k) + J(u_k) - K(u_k)) = T(u) + \varpi.$$

We have $\|DJ(u_k)\| \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$ and $DJ(u_k)(u - u_k) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$. Next, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} DT(u_k)(u - u_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_k)(u - u_k) dx = 0.$$

Thus, we deduce

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} DK(u_k)(u - u_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} d(x) u_k |u_k|^{p(x)-2} (u - u_k) dx = 0$$

since

$$\int_{\Omega} d(x) u_k |u_k|^{p(x)-2} (u - u_k) dx \leq k_{Hol} \|d\|_{L^\infty} \|u_k\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \|u - u_k\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)}.$$

Also, we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} DI(u_k)(u - u_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (DJ(u_k)(u - u_k) + DT(u_k)(u - u_k) - DK(u_k)(u - u_k)) = 0,$$

so

$$I(u) - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I(u_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (I(u) - I(u_k)) \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} DI(u_k)(u - u_k) = 0.$$

Therefore, we conclude

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I(u_k) = I(u).$$

We show that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k = u$ in X . Assume contrary then there is a number $\varepsilon > 0$ and a subsequence $\{u_k\}$ such that $\|u_k - u\|_X \geq \varepsilon$ for all $k \in N$. Taking $\tau = \frac{1}{2}$ in the uniform convexity condition (2), we obtain:

$$\frac{1}{2}I(u_k) + \frac{1}{2}I(u) - I\left(\frac{1}{2}u_k + \frac{1}{2}u\right) \geq \nu_1 \rho_1(u_k - u) \geq \nu_1 \varepsilon^{ps}$$

for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Since we have established that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I(u_k) = I(u)$, taking the limit superior as $k \rightarrow \infty$ yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_1 \varepsilon^{ps} &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{2}I(u_k) + \frac{1}{2}I(u) - I\left(\frac{1}{2}u_k + \frac{1}{2}u\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}I(u) + \frac{1}{2}I(u) - \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} I\left(\frac{1}{2}u_k + \frac{1}{2}u\right) \\ &= I(u) - \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} I\left(\frac{1}{2}u_k + \frac{1}{2}u\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain:

$$I(u) - \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} I\left(\frac{1}{2}u_k + \frac{1}{2}u\right) \geq \nu_1 \varepsilon^{ps}.$$

However, since $\frac{1}{2}u_k + \frac{1}{2}u$ converges weakly to u in X and I is weakly lower semicontinuous (as established earlier), we have:

$$I(u) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} I\left(\frac{1}{2}u_k + \frac{1}{2}u\right).$$

Combining these inequalities gives:

$$0 = I(u) - I(u) \leq I(u) - \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} I\left(\frac{1}{2}u_k + \frac{1}{2}u\right) \leq 0,$$

which contradicts $\nu_1 \varepsilon^{ps} > 0$. Therefore, our assumption that $\|u_k - u\|_X \geq \varepsilon$ for all k must be false, and we conclude that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k = u$ in X . Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I(u_k) = I(u)$, we obtain

$$I(u) - \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} I\left(\frac{1}{2}u_k + \frac{1}{2}u\right) = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(I(u) - I\left(\frac{1}{2}u_k + \frac{1}{2}u\right)\right) \geq \nu_1 \varepsilon^{ps}.$$

However, we have

$$I(u) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} I\left(\frac{1}{2}u_k + \frac{1}{2}u\right)$$

since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}u_k + \frac{1}{2}u\right) \stackrel{weakly}{=} u$. Thus, we get a contradiction $0 \geq \nu_1 \varepsilon^{ps}$, which proves that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k = u$ in X . The functional J satisfies the Palais-Smale condition on X . $\square$

Lemma 3.8. Let assumption 1)-8) be satisfied. Then, we have:

1) $J(0) = 0$, and there is a pair of positive number r and z such that

$$\alpha \leq \inf \{J(u) : u \in X, \|u\|_X = r\};$$

2) there is $\tilde{w} \in X$ such that $\|\tilde{w}\|_X > r$, $J(\tilde{w}) \leq J(0)$;

3) the $\Gamma = \{g \in C([0, 1], X) : g(0) = 0, g(1) = \tilde{w}\}$ is not empty set.

Proof . 1) Straightforwardly, we have $I(0) = K(0) = T(0) = 0$ so $J(0) = 0$.

The second statement follows from

$$J(u) \geq \tilde{c}\rho_1(u) - \tilde{c}_1 \int_{\Omega} \delta_1(x) |\nabla u|^{\gamma(x)} dx \geq \tilde{c}r^{ps} - \tilde{c}_1 r^{\gamma_m} \geq r^{ps} (\tilde{c} - \tilde{c}_1 r^{\gamma_m - ps}) = \alpha,$$

where $\|u\|_X = r$ and we assume that $r \leq 1$.

2) We assume that $s > 1$ and select $\tilde{v} \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, $\tilde{v} \geq 0$, and $\Theta = \{x \in \Omega : \tilde{v}(x) \geq t_0\}$ with positive measure. We denote $\Theta_s = \{x \in \Omega : s\tilde{v}(x) \geq t_0\}$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Theta_s} F(x, s\tilde{v}) dx &\geq \int_{\Theta_s} \psi(x) (s\tilde{v}(x))^\theta dx \\ &= s^\theta \int_{\Theta_s} \psi(x) (\tilde{v}(x))^\theta dx \\ &\geq s^\theta \int_{\Theta} \psi(x) (\tilde{v}(x))^\theta dx \\ &= s^\theta \int_{\Theta} \psi \tilde{v}^\theta dx > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(s\tilde{v}) &= I(s\tilde{v}) + K(s\tilde{v}) - \int_{\Theta_s} F(x, s\tilde{v}) dx - \int_{\Omega \setminus \Theta_s} F(x, s\tilde{v}) dx \\ &\leq s^{ps} I(\tilde{v}) + s^{ps} K(\tilde{v}) - s^\theta \int_{\Theta_s} \psi(x) (\tilde{v}(x))^\theta dx + c \text{meas}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\theta > p_S$ we conclude that $J(s\tilde{v}) \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} -\infty$. Thus, there is a number s' such that $\|s'\tilde{v}\|_X > r$ and $J(s'\tilde{v}) \leq 0$. Therefore, for $\tilde{w} = s'\tilde{v} \in X$ such that $\|\tilde{w}\|_X > r$ and $J(\tilde{w}) \leq J(0)$.

3) We assume that $g \in C([0, 1], X)$ and define $g(s) = s\tilde{w}$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$. Thus, we conclude $g \in \Gamma$. The lemma is proven. $\square$

Thus, the existence of a weak solution to the problem (1.1) has been proven, completely. $\square$

References

- [1] A. Ahmed and M.E. Vall, *Multiplicity analysis of positive weak solutions in a quasi-linear Dirichlet problem inspired by Kirchhoff-type phenomena*, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. **16** (2024), no. 1, 359–369.
- [2] J.X. Cen, A.A. Khan, D. Motreanu, and S.D. Zeng, *Inverse problems for generalized quasi-variational inequalities with application to elliptic mixed boundary value systems*, Inverse Probl. **38** (2022), 065006.
- [3] J. Chabrowski and D.G. Costa, *A positive solution for a weighted p -Laplace equation with Hardy-Sobolev's critical exponent*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **47** (2024), 57.
- [4] Y. Chen and S. Antontsev, *Solutions to a $(p(x); q(x))$ -biharmonic elliptic problem on a bounded domain*, Bound. Value Probl. **2023** (2023), 41.
- [5] S.G. Deng and Q.M. Zhou, *Three weak solutions for a class of $p(x)$ -Kirchhoff type biharmonic problems*, Eurasian Math. J. **14** (2023), 45–58.
- [6] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hasto, and M. R., *Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 2017, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [7] L. Diening and M. Bulíček, *Competing Kohn-Spencer Laplacian systems with convection in non-isotropic Folland-Stein space*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **69** (2024), 1–25.
- [8] P. Drábek and A.I. Benedetti, *Nonstandard competing anisotropic $(p; q)$ -Laplacians with convolution*, Bound. Value Probl. **2022** (2022), 69.
- [9] X.L. Fan and D. Zhao, *On the generalized Orlicz-Sobolev Space $W_{k,p(x)}$* , J. Gansu Educ. College **1** (1998), no. 1, 1–6.
- [10] R. Filippucci and P. Pucci, *Existence of positive radial solutions for a problem involving weighted Heisenberg $p(\cdot)$ -Laplacian operator*, AIMS Math. **8** (2023), 1–19.
- [11] A. Fiscella and P.P. Agarwal, *A study on a class of weighted elliptic problems with indefinite nonlinearities*, Appl. Anal. **103** (2024), 1–20.
- [12] H.H. Ha, K. Ho, and I. Sim, *Infinitely many solutions for a generalized $p(\cdot)$ -Laplace equation involving Leray-Lions type operators*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **45** (2022), 8450–8473.
- [13] P. Harjulehto, P. Hasto, and O. Toivanen, *Hölder regularity of quasiminimizers under generalized growth conditions*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **56** (2017), 22, 26pp.
- [14] P. Hästö and J. Habermann, *Non-variational solutions for anisotropic $(p; q)$ -Laplacian systems with nonhomogeneous growth*, Mediterr. J. Math. **22** (2025), 107.
- [15] K. Ho and Y.-H. Kim, *The concentration-compactness principles for $W_{s,p(\cdot)}(RN)$ and application*, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. **10** (2021), 816–848.
- [16] K. Ho, Y.-H. Kim, and J. Lee, *Schrödinger $p(\cdot)$ -Laplace equations in RN involving indefinite weights and critical growth*, J. Math. Phys. **62** (2021), 111506.
- [17] K. Ho and I. Sim, *On degenerate $p(x)$ -Laplace equations involving critical growth with two parameters*, Nonlinear Anal. **132** (2016), 95–114.
- [18] Z. Li and R. Liu, *Existence and concentration behavior of solutions to 1-Laplace equations on RN* , J. Differ. Equ. **272** (2021), 399–432.
- [19] M. Mihăilescu and D. Repovš, *Existence of radial solutions for a $p(x)$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problem*, Adv. Differ. Equ. **2021** (2021), 233.
- [20] X. Qian, *Multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of nonlocal problems involving critical exponent*, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. **57** (2021), 1–14.
- [21] X. Qiu, X. Ding, Y. Tao, J. Qu, J. Li, and Y. Liu, *Research on the flow around a circular cylinder near a wall for shear-thinning power-law fluids*, J. Non-Newton. Fluid Mech. **333** (2024), 105323.
- [22] V.D. Rădulescu and D.D. Repovš, *A $(p(\cdot); q(\cdot))$ -Laplacian problem with the Steklov boundary conditions*, Eurasian Math. J. **13** (2022), 125–138.

- [23] A. Razani, Z. Musbah, F. Safari, and E.S. Sevim, *Some existence results for a class of Dirichlet problems with variable exponents*, Bound. Value Probl. **2024** (2024), 19.
- [24] M. Růžička and D. Breit, *A solution of a nonstandard Dirichlet Finsler $(p; q)$ -Laplacian*, Filomat **38** (2024), 2313–2325.
- [25] S. Song and Y. Cui, *Existence of solutions for integral boundary value problems of mixed fractional differential equations under resonance*, Bound. Value Probl. **2020** (2020), 23.
- [26] J. Wang, Y. Yuan, and G. Li, *Multifeature contrast enhancement algorithm for digital media images based on the diffusion equation*, Adv. Math. Phys. **2022** (2022).
- [27] Z.Q. Wang and J. Byeon, *Standing waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with singular potentials*, Nonlinear Anal. **26** (2009), 943–958.
- [28] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorem*, Birkhauser, Boston, 1996.
- [29] M.I. Yaremenko, *Variable exponent de Giorgi classes and regularity of minimizers of variational integrals with nonstandard growth conditions*, Math. Montisnigri **63** (2025), 53–68.
- [30] S. Zhang and B. Sun, *Nonlinear differential equations involving mixed fractional derivatives with functional boundary data*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **45** (2022), no. 10, 5930–5944.
- [31] S.D. Zeng, S. Migorski, and A.A. Khan, *Nonlinear quasi-hemivariational inequalities: Existence and optimal control*, SIAM J. Control Optim. **59** (2021), 1246–1274.
- [32] S.D. Zeng, A.A. Khan, and S. Migorski, *A new class of generalized quasi-variational inequalities with applications to Oseen problems under non-smooth boundary conditions*, Sci. China Math. **67** (2024), 315–338.