

On Subclass of Analytic Univalent Functions Defined By Fractional Differ-integral Operator I

Rafid Habib Buti^{a*}, Ameen Khlaif Sachit^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics and Computer Applications College of Sciences, University of Al-Muthanna, Samawa, Iraq.

^b Babil Education Directorate ,Babil , Iraq.

(Communicated by Dr. Ehsan Kozegar)

Abstract

In this paper , we studied and introduced a new subclass of analytic univalent functions defined by differ – integral operator . We obtain distortion bounds, extreme points , and some theorem of this subclass .

Keywords: Univalent Function , Fractional Calculus , Differ-integral Operator .

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C45.

1. Introduction

Let RHB denoted the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, (n \in \{1, 2, ..\}) \quad (1)$$

Which are analytic and univalent functions in the unit disk: $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. RHB , we define the subclass of RH consisting of the functions defined by the form :

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, (a_n \geq 0, n \in \{1, 2, ..\}) \quad (2)$$

*Corresponding Author: Rafid Habib Buti

Email address: Rafidhbuti@yahoo.com, Ameenaltaa198315@gmail.com (Rafid Habib Buti^{a*}, Ameen Khlaif Sachit^b)

Let $g \in RH$ and $f \in RH$ if

$$g(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n, (b_n \geq 0, n \in \{1, 2, ..\}) \quad (3)$$

Then the Hadamard product or (Convolution) Defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n = (f * g)(z) \quad (4)$$

Definition 1: A function $f \in RH$ is said to be in the class $RH_{\nu}^{\mu}(\psi, \beta, \theta, \eta, A)$ if and only if satisfies the condition :

$$\left| \frac{z[H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)]'' + \theta(1 - [H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)]')}{\psi(1 - \beta) + zA[H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)]'' + \theta(1 - [H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)]')} \right| < 1 \quad (5)$$

where $0 \leq \beta < 1, A \geq 0, \theta \geq 0, -\infty < \mu < 1, \nu < 2, \eta \in R, \psi > 0$.

and $H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta}$ is the fractional differintegral operator of order μ ($-\infty < \mu < 1$) (see Goyal and Prajapat [1]). For this operator if $H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} : W(n) \rightarrow W(n)$ (6), then

$$H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} R_k(\mu, \nu, \eta) a_k z^k, (a_k \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}, z \in U) \quad (7)$$

where $R_k(\mu, \nu, \eta) = G(\mu, \nu, \eta)M(\mu, \nu, \eta, k)$ (8) and

$$G(\mu, \nu, \eta) = \frac{(1 - \nu)(1 - \mu + |\eta|)}{(1 - \nu + |\eta|)}, M(\mu, \nu, \eta, k) = \frac{\Gamma(k + 1)(1 - \nu + \eta)_k}{(1 - \nu)_k(1 - \mu + |\eta|)_k} \quad (9)$$

Throughout the paper

$$(a)_n = \prod_{k=1}^n a + k - 1 \text{ or } = (a + 1)(a + 2) \dots (a + n + 1) \quad (10)$$

is the factorial function , or if $a > 0$, then $(a)_n = \frac{\Gamma(a + n)}{\Gamma(a)}$ (11) (where Γ is Euler's Gamma function).

For $z \neq 0$, (1.5) may be expressed as

$$H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(2 - \nu)\Gamma(2 - \mu + n)}{\Gamma(2 - \nu + \eta)} z^{\nu} J_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z); 0 \leq \mu < 1 \\ \frac{\Gamma(2 - \nu)\Gamma(2 - \mu + n)}{\Gamma(2 - \nu + \eta)} z^{\nu} I_{0,z}^{-\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z); -\infty \leq \mu < 0 \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

where $J_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)$ is the fractional derivative operator of order μ ($0 \leq \mu < 1$) , while $I_{0,z}^{-\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)$ is the fractional integral operator of order $-\mu$ ($-\infty < \mu < 0$) introduced and studied by Saigo ([4],[5]).

It may be worth noting that, by choosing $-\infty < \mu = \nu < 1$ the operator $H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)$ becomes

$$H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z) = H_z^{\mu} f(z) = \Gamma(2 - \mu) z^{\mu} D_z^{\mu} f(z) \quad (13)$$

Where $D_z^\mu f(z)$ is respectively, the fractional integral operator of order $-\mu$ ($-\infty < \mu < 0$) and fractional derivative operator of order μ ($0 \leq \mu < 1$) considered by Owa[3] and defined by Liouville[2]. Further if $\mu = \nu = 0$, then

$$H_{0,z}^{0,0,\eta} f(z) = f(z) \quad (14)$$

and for $\mu \rightarrow 1^-$ and $\nu = 1$

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 1^-} H_{0,z}^{\mu,1,\eta} f(z) = z f'(z) \quad (15)$$

Theorem 1: A function $f \in RH_\nu^\mu(\psi, \beta, \theta, \eta, A)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[n(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]a_n \leq (1 - \beta)\psi \quad (16)$$

where $0 \leq \beta < 1, A \geq 0, \theta \geq 0, -\infty < \mu < 1, \nu < 2, \eta \in R, \psi > 0$.

Proof . Assume that the inequality (16) holds true and let $|z| = 1$, we have

$$\left| \frac{z [H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)]'' + \theta(1 - [H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)]')}{(1 - \beta) + zA [H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)]'' + \theta(1 - [H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)]')} \right|$$

So

$$\left| \frac{z[-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)(n - 1)a_n z^{n-2}] + \theta(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)a_n z^{n-1})}{(1 - \beta)\psi + z[A(-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)(n - 1)a_n z^{n-2})] + \theta(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)a_n z^{n-1})} \right| < 1$$

$$\left| \frac{-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)(n - 1)a_n z^{n-1} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \theta R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)a_n z^{n-1}}{(1 - \beta)\psi + [-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} AR_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)(n - 1)a_n z^{n-1}] + (\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \theta R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)a_n z^n)} \right| < 1$$

$$\left| \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)(n - 1 - \theta)a_n z^{n-1}}{(1 - \beta)\psi - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[A(n - 1) - \theta]a_n z^{n-1}} \right| < 1$$

$$\left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)(n - (1 + \theta))a_n z^{n-1} \right| < \left| (1 - \beta)\psi - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[A(n - 1) - \theta]a_n z^{n-1} \right|$$

$$\left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)(n - (1 + \theta))a_n z^{n-1} \right| - \left| (1 - \beta)\psi - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[A(n - 1) - \theta]a_n z^{n-1} \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)(n - (1 + \theta))a_n |z|^{n-1} - (1 - \beta)\psi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[A(n - 1) - \mu]a_n |z|^{n-1}$$

Since $|z| = 1$, we get

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[n(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]a_n \leq (1 - \beta)\psi$$

Conversely ,suppose that is in the class $RH_{\nu}^{\mu}(\psi, \beta, \theta, \eta, A)$

$$\left| \frac{z [H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)]'' + \theta(1 - [H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)]')}{(1 - \beta) + zA [H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)]'' + \theta(1 - [H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)]')} \right| < 1$$

For all z , we have $|Re(z)| \leq |z|$, since

$$Re \left\{ \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)(n - 1 - \theta)a_n z^{n-1}}{(1 - \beta)\psi - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta) [A(n - 1) - \theta] a_n z^{n-1}} \right\} < 1$$

Choose the value of z on the real axis and let $z \rightarrow 1$ we get

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[n(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]a_n \leq (1 - \beta)\psi$$

Corollary 2 : Let $f \in RH_{\nu}^{\mu}(\psi, \beta, \theta, \eta, A)$ then

$$a_n \leq \frac{(1 - \beta)}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[n(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]}$$

Theorem 3: Let the function f defined by (2) be in the class $RH_{\nu}^{\mu}(\psi, \beta, \theta, \eta, A)$. Then

$$r - \frac{(1 - \beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]}r^2 \leq |f(z)| \leq r + \frac{(1 - \beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]}r^2, \\ 0 < |z| = r < 1 \quad (17)$$

The equality in (17) is attained by the function f given by

$$f(z) = z - \frac{(1 - \beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]}z^2$$

Proof . Since the function f defined by (2) in the $RH_{\nu}^{\mu}(\psi, \beta, \theta, \eta, A)$, we have from theorem 1

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq \frac{(1 - \beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]}$$

Thus

$$|f(z)| = \left| z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \right| \leq |z| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n |z|^n \\ |f(z)| \leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \\ |f(z)| \leq r + r^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \\ |f(z)| \leq r + \frac{(1 - \beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]}r^2$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(z)| &\geq |z| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n |z|^n \\
 |f(z)| &\geq |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \\
 |f(z)| &\geq r - r^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \\
 |f(z)| &\geq r - \frac{(1-\beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1+A) - (1-A+2\theta)]} r^2
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof .

Theorem 4: Let $f_1(z) = z$ and $f_n(z) = z - \frac{(1-\beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1+A) - (1-A+2\theta)]} z^n$ Then f is in the class $RH_{\nu}^{\mu}(\psi, \beta, \theta, \eta, A)$ if and only if can be expressed in the form $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n f_n(z)$ where $(\sigma_n \geq 1, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n = 1 \text{ or } 1 = \sigma_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n)$.

Proof . Assume that

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(z) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n f_n(z) \\
 f(z) &= \sigma_1 f_1(z) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n f_n(z) \\
 f(z) &= \sigma_1 z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n \left(z - \frac{(1-\beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1+A) - (1-A+2\theta)]} z^n \right) \\
 f(z) &= \sigma_1 z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n \frac{(1-\beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1+A) - (1-A+2\theta)]} z^n \\
 f(z) &= z \left(\sigma_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n \right) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n \frac{(1-\beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1+A) - (1-A+2\theta)]} z^n \\
 f(z) &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n \frac{(1-\beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1+A) - (1-A+2\theta)]} z^n
 \end{aligned}$$

From theorem 1, $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1+A) - (1-A+2\theta)] a_n \leq (1-\beta)\psi$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1+A) - (1-A+2\theta)]}{(1-\beta)\psi} \right] \sigma_n \frac{(1-\beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1+A) - (1-A+2\theta)]} \\
 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n = 1 - \sigma_1 \leq 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Conversely ,suppose that $f \in RH_{\nu}^{\mu}(\psi, \beta, \theta, \eta, A)$ implies from theorem 1

$$a_n \leq \frac{(1-\beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1+A) - (1-A+2\theta)]}$$

Setting

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n &= \frac{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]}{(1 - \beta)\psi} a_n \\ a_n &= \frac{(1 - \beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]} \sigma_n \\ f(z) &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \\ f(z) &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]} \sigma_n z^n \end{aligned}$$

From

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(z) &= z - \frac{(1 - \beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]} z^n \\ \frac{(1 - \beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]} z^n &= z - f_n(z) \\ f(z) &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n (z - f_n(z)) \\ f(z) &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n f_n(z) \\ f(z) &= z(1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n f_n(z) \\ f(z) &= f_1 \sigma_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n f_n(z) \\ f(z) &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n f_n(z) \end{aligned}$$

This complete the proof .

Now ,we shall prove that the class $RH_{\nu}^{\mu}(\psi, \beta, \theta, \eta, A)$ is closed under arithmetic mean . Let the function $f_r(r = 2, 3, \dots, m)$ define by

$$f_r(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,r} z^n \quad (18)$$

Theorem 5: Let the function defined f by (2) be in the class $RH_{\nu}^{\mu}(\psi, \beta, \theta, \eta, A)$. For every $(r = 2, 3, \dots, m)$,then the arithmetic mean of $f_r(r = 2, 3, \dots, m)$ is defined by

$$g(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^n \quad , \quad (c_n \geq 2, n \geq 2, n \in \mathbb{N})$$

Also belong to the class $RH_{\nu}^{\mu}(\psi, \beta, \theta, \eta, A)$,where $c_n = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{r=2}^m a_{n,r}$.

Proof . Since $f_r \in RH_{\nu}^{\mu}(\psi, \beta, \theta, \eta, A)$,then from theorem 1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1+A) - (1-A+2\theta)]a_n \leq (1-\beta)\psi \quad (19) \\ & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1+A) - (1-A+2\theta)]c_n \\ & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1+A) - (1-A+2\theta)] \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{r=2}^m a_{n,r} \right] \\ & \frac{1}{m} \sum_{r=2}^m \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1+A) - (1-A+2\theta)]a_{n,r} \right] \end{aligned}$$

by (19)

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{r=2}^m (1-\beta)\psi \\ & (1-\beta)\psi \frac{1}{m} .m \\ & \leq (1-\beta)\psi \end{aligned}$$

This complete the proof .

References

- [1] S.P. Goyal and J.K. prajapat , New class of analytic functions involving certain fractional differ-integral operators, proceedings of 4th Annual conference of the society for special functions and their applications. (Eds: A.K. Agarwal, M.A. Pathan and S.P. Goyal), 4 (2004),27-35.
- [2] J. Liouville, Memoire sur and J. Ecole polytech.. Le calcul des differential's a indies quelcongues ,13 (1832) ,71-162.
- [3] S.Owa,On the distortion theorems I, Kyungpook Math. J. 18(1978), 53-59.
- [4] M. Saigo, Aremark on integral operators involving the Gauss hyper geometric functions , Math. Rep. College General Ed. Kyushu Univ. 11(1978), 135-143.
- [5] M. Saigo , A certain boundary value problem for the Euler- Darbox equation , Math . Japon , 25 (1979) , 377-385.