



# An Existence Result of Three Solutions for a $2n$ -th-Order Boundary-Value Problem

Osman Halakoo<sup>a</sup>, Ghasem A. Afrouzi<sup>b,\*</sup>, Mahdi Azhini<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran

---

## Abstract

In this paper, we establish the existence of at least three weak solutions for some one-dimensional  $2n$ -th-order equations in a bounded domain. A particular case and a concrete example are then presented.

*Keywords:* Boundary value problem, Sobolev space, Critical point, Three solutions, Variational method

*2010 MSC:* Primary 35D05; Secondary 35J60.

---

## 1. Introduction

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{1\}$ . In this note, we consider the  $2n$ -th-order boundary-value problem

$$\begin{cases} [(-1)^n u^{(2n)} + (-1)^{n-1} u^{(2n-2)} + \dots + u^{(4)}]h(x, u') - u'' \\ = [\lambda f(x, u) + \mu g(x, u) + p(u)]h(x, u'), & x \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u(1) = u'(0) = u'(1) = \dots = u^{(n-2)}(0) = u^{(n-2)}(1) = 0 = u^{(n)}(0) = u^{(n)}(1), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\lambda$  is a positive parameter,  $\mu$  is a nonnegative parameter,  $f, g : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are two  $L^1$ -Carathéodory functions,  $p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (-\infty, 0]$  is a Lipschitz continuous function with the Lipschitz constant  $L > 0$  i.e.,  $|p(t_1) - p(t_2)| \leq L|t_1 - t_2|$  for every  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , with  $p(0) = 0$ , suppose that the Lipschitz constant  $L$  of the function  $p$  satisfies  $0 < L < \pi^4$ , and  $h : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is a bounded and continuous function with  $0 < m := \inf_{(x,t) \in [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}} h(x, t) \leq h(x, t) \leq \sup_{(x,t) \in [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}} h(x, t) = M < \infty$ .

---

\*Corresponding author

*Email addresses:* [osman.halakoo@srbiau.ac.ir](mailto:osman.halakoo@srbiau.ac.ir) (Osman Halakoo), [afrouzi@umz.ac.ir](mailto:afrouzi@umz.ac.ir) (Ghasem A. Afrouzi), [m.azhini@srbiau.ac.ir](mailto:m.azhini@srbiau.ac.ir) (Mahdi Azhini)

Many researchers have studied the existence and multiplicity of solutions for such a problem. For example, authors in [2], using Ricceri’s Variational Principle [9], established the existence of at least three weak solutions for the problem

$$\begin{cases} u'''' + \alpha u'' + \beta u = \lambda f(x, u) + \mu g(x, u), & x \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0 = u''(0) = u''(1), \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha, \beta$  are real constants,  $f, g : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are  $L^2$ - Carathéodory functions and  $\lambda, \mu > 0$ . Also the authors in [6], employing Ricceri’s Variational Principle [9], established the existence of at least three weak solutions for the problem

$$\begin{cases} u'''' h(x, u') - u'' = [\lambda f(x, u) + \mu g(x, u) + p(u)]h(x, u'), & x \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0 = u''(0) = u''(1), \end{cases}$$

where  $\lambda > 0, \mu \geq 0$  and  $f, g, p, h$  are functions with the same conditions in the problem (1.1). We also refer the reader to the papers [1, 3, 7], in which existence results for boundary value problems with nonlinear derivative dependence were established.

## 2. Preliminaries

The aim of this paper is to establish the existence of a non-empty open interval  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and a positive real number  $q$  with the following property: for each  $\lambda \in E$  and for each Carathéodory function  $g : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\sup_{|\zeta| \leq s} |g(\cdot, \zeta)| \in L^1(0, 1)$  for all  $s > 0$ , there is  $\delta > 0$  such that, for each  $\mu \in [0, \delta]$ , the problem (1.1) admits at least three solutions in  $X = H^n([0, 1]) \cap H_0^{n-1}([0, 1])$  whose norms are less than  $q$ .

Our analysis is based on the following critical point theorem.

**Theorem 2.1 ([9, Ricceri]).** *Let  $X$  be a reflexive real Banach space,  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  an interval,  $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous  $C^1$  functional, bounded on each bounded subset of  $X$ , whose derivative admits a continuous inverse on  $X^*$ ,  $J : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^1$  functional with compact derivative. Assume that  $\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow +\infty} (\Phi(x) + \lambda J(x)) = +\infty$  for all  $\lambda \in I$ , and there exists  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$\sup_{\lambda \in I} \inf_{x \in X} (\Phi(x) + \lambda(J(x) + \rho)) < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\lambda \in I} (\Phi(x) + \lambda(J(x) + \rho)).$$

*Then, there exist a non-empty open set interval  $E \subseteq I$  and a positive real number  $q$  with the following property: for every  $C^1$  functional  $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with compact derivative, there exists  $\tau > 0$  such that, for each  $\mu \in [0, \tau]$ , the equation*

$$\Phi'(u) + \lambda J'(u) + \mu \Psi'(u) = 0$$

*has at least three solutions in  $X$  whose norms less than  $q$ .*

*In the proof of our main result we also use the next result to verify the minimax inequality in Theorem 2.1.*

**Theorem 2.2 ([4, Bonanno]).** *Let  $X$  be a non- empty set and  $\Phi, J$  two real functions on  $X$ . Assume that  $\Phi(x) \geq 0$  for every  $x \in X$  and there exists  $u_0 \in X$  such that  $\Phi(u_0) = J(u_0) = 0$ . Further, assume that there exist  $u_1 \in X, r > 0$  such that*

$$(k_1) \Phi(u_1) > r, \quad (k_2) \sup_{\Phi(x) < r} (-J(x)) < r \frac{-J(u_1)}{\Phi(u_1)}.$$

Then, for every  $v > 1$  and for every  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying

$$\sup_{\Phi(x) < r} (-J(x)) + \frac{r \frac{-J(u_1)}{\Phi(u_1)} - \sup_{\Phi(x) < r} (-J(x))}{v} < \rho < r \frac{-J(u_1)}{\Phi(u_1)},$$

one has

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \inf_{x \in X} (\Phi(x) + \lambda(J(x) + \rho)) < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\lambda \in [0, \sigma]} (\Phi(x) + \lambda(J(x) + \rho)),$$

where

$$\sigma = \frac{vr}{r \frac{-J(u_1)}{\Phi(u_1)} - \sup_{\Phi(x) < r} (-J(x))}.$$

Let us introduce some notations which will be used later. Define

$$\begin{aligned} H^n([0, 1]) &:= \{u \in L^2([0, 1]) : u', u'', \dots, u^{(n)} \in L^2([0, 1])\}, \\ H_0^{n-1}([0, 1]) &:= \{u \in L^2([0, 1]) : u', u'', \dots, u^{(n-1)} \in L^2([0, 1]), \\ &u(0) = u(1) = u'(0) = u'(1) = \dots = u^{(n-2)}(0) = u^{(n-2)}(1) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Take  $X = H^n([0, 1]) \cap H_0^{n-1}([0, 1]) = \{u \in L^2([0, 1]) : u', u'', \dots, u^{(n)} \in L^2([0, 1]), u(0) = u(1) = u'(0) = u'(1) = \dots = u^{(n-2)}(0) = u^{(n-2)}(1) = 0\}$ ,

endowed with the norm

$$|||u||| := (\|u''\|_2^2 + \|u'''\|_2^2 + \dots + \|u^{(n)}\|_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{where } \|u\|_2 := \left(\int_0^1 |u(x)|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We recall the following Poincaré type inequalities ([8, Lemma 2.3]):

$$\|u\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{\pi^2} \|u''\|_2, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\|u'\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \|u''\|_2, \tag{2.2}$$

for all  $u \in X$ . For the norm in  $C^{n-1}([0, 1])$ ,

$$|||u|||_\infty := \max \left\{ \max_{x \in [0, 1]} |u(x)|, \max_{x \in [0, 1]} |u'(x)|, \dots, \max_{x \in [0, 1]} |u^{(n-1)}(x)| \right\},$$

since  $C^{n-1}([0, 1]) \subseteq C^1([0, 1])$ , we have the well-known inequality ([10]):  $\|u\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u'\|_2$ , then, by (2.2), we have

$$\max_{x \in [0, 1]} |u(x)| \leq \|u\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|u''\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} |||u|||, \tag{2.3}$$

for all  $u \in X$ . The norm  $|||\cdot|||$ , is equivalent with the usual norm of Sobolev space  $H^n((0, 1)) = W^{n,2}((0, 1))$ :

$\|u\|_{W^{n,2}} := (\|u\|_2^2 + \|u'\|_2^2 + \|u''\|_2^2 + \dots + \|u^{(n)}\|_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Because by (2.1) and (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\| &= (\|u''\|_2^2 + \|u'''\|_2^2 + \dots + \|u^{(n)}\|_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq (\|u\|_2^2 + \|u'\|_2^2 + \|u''\|_2^2 + \dots + \|u^{(n)}\|_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\pi^4}\|u''\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{\pi^2}\|u'''\|_2^2 + \|u''\|_2^2 + \dots + \|u^{(n)}\|_2^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\pi^4} + \frac{1}{\pi^2} + 1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|u''\|_2^2 + \dots + \|u^{(n)}\|_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\pi^4} + \frac{1}{\pi^2} + 1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

We recall that  $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Carathéodory function if

- (a) the mapping  $x \mapsto f(x, t)$  is measurable for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (b) the mapping  $t \mapsto f(x, t)$  is continuous for almost every  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

Also if for every  $\rho > 0$  there exists a function  $\ell_\rho \in L^1([0, 1])$  such that

$$\sup_{|t| \leq \rho} |f(x, t)| \leq \ell_\rho(x)$$

for almost every  $x \in [0, 1]$ , then the Carathéodory function  $f$  is called  $L^1$ -Carathéodory function. Corresponding to  $f, g, p$  and  $h$ , we introduce the functions  $F, G, P$  and  $H$ , respectively, as follows

$$\begin{aligned} F : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} & G : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (x, t) &\mapsto F(x, t) := \int_0^t f(x, \zeta) d\zeta, & (x, t) &\mapsto G(x, t) := \int_0^t g(x, \zeta) d\zeta, \\ \\ P : \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow [0, +\infty) & H : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow [0, +\infty) \\ t &\mapsto P(t) := - \int_0^t p(\zeta) d\zeta, & (x, t) &\mapsto H(x, t) := \int_0^t \left( \int_0^\tau \frac{1}{h(x, \delta)} d\delta \right) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in [0, 1], t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

If the parts of equation in (1.1) divided by  $h(x, u')$  and then multiplied by an arbitrary function  $v \in X$  and then integrated in  $x \in [0, 1]$  then by  $n$  times integration by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 u^{(n)}(x)v^{(n)}(x)dx + \int_0^1 u^{(n-1)}(x)v^{(n-1)}(x)dx + \dots + \int_0^1 u''(x)v''(x)dx \\ &+ \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^{u'(x)} \frac{1}{h(x, \tau)} d\tau \right) v'(x)dx - \lambda \int_0^1 f(x, u(x))v(x)dx \\ &- \mu \int_0^1 g(x, u(x))v(x)dx - \int_0^1 p(u(x))v(x)dx = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

for all  $v \in X$ . Then we say that function  $u \in X$  in (2.4) is a weak solution of (1.1).

### 3. Main Results

Put  $A := \frac{\pi^4 - L}{2\pi^4}$ ,  $B := \frac{\pi^2 + m(\pi^4 + L)}{2m\pi^4}$  and suppose that  $B \leq 4A\pi^2$ . We formulate our main result as follows.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume that there exist a positive constant  $r$  and a function  $w \in X$  such that*

- (i)  $\frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + \int_0^1 [H(x, w'(x)) + P(w(x))] dx > r$ ;
- (ii)  $\int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [-\sqrt{\frac{r}{B}}, \sqrt{\frac{r}{B}}]} F(x, t) dx < r \frac{\int_0^1 F(x, w(x)) dx}{\frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + \int_0^1 [H(x, w'(x)) + P(w(x))] dx}$ ;
- (iii)  $\frac{1}{\pi^4 A} \lim_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} \sup \frac{F(x, t)}{t^2} < \frac{1}{\theta}$  for almost every  $x \in [0, 1]$  and for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and for some  $\theta$  satisfying

$$\theta > \frac{1}{r \frac{\int_0^1 F(x, w(x)) dx}{\frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + \int_0^1 [H(x, w'(x)) + P(w(x))] dx} - \int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [-\sqrt{\frac{r}{B}}, \sqrt{\frac{r}{B}}]} F(x, t) dx}$$

Then, there exist a non-empty open interval  $E \subseteq (0, r\theta)$  and a number  $q > 0$  with the following property: for each  $\lambda \in E$  and for an arbitrary  $L^1$ -Carathéodory function  $g : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , there is  $\tau > 0$  such that, whenever  $\mu \in [0, \tau]$ , problem (1.1) admits at least three weak solutions whose norms in  $X$  are less than  $q$ .

**Proof .** Our aim is to apply Theorem 2.1 to problem (1.1). Taking  $X = H^n([0, 1]) \cap H_0^{n-1}([0, 1])$  endowed with the norm

$$\|u\| = (\|u''\|_2^2 + \|u'''\|_2^2 + \dots + \|u^{(n)}\|_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{where} \quad \|u\|_2 = \left( \int_0^1 |u(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for every  $u \in X$ . We introduce the following functionals:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : X &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} & J : X &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ u &\mapsto \Phi(u) := \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \int_0^1 [H(x, u'(x)) + P(u(x))] dx, & u &\mapsto J(u) := - \int_0^1 F(x, u(x)) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $X$  is a reflexive real Banach space and  $X$  is compactly embedded into  $C([0, 1])$  then by classical results and that every norm in Banach space  $X$ , is a sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous functional, hence  $\Phi$  is a sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous functional and Gâteaux differentiable with compact Gâteaux derivative hence by definition with continuous Gâteaux derivative, also  $\Phi(u) \geq 0$ , for every  $u \in X$ . By classical results, the functional  $J$  is well defined and Gâteaux differentiable whose Gâteaux derivative is compact hence by definition with continuous derivative. In particular, for each  $u \in X$  one has  $\Phi'(u) \in X^*$ ,  $J'(u) \in X^*$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi'(u)(v) &= \int_0^1 u^{(n)}(x)v^{(n)}(x) dx + \dots + \int_0^1 u''(x)v''(x) dx \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^{u'(x)} \frac{1}{h(x, \tau)} d\tau \right) v'(x) dx - \int_0^1 p(u(x))v(x) dx, \\ J'(u)(v) &= - \int_0^1 f(x, u(x))v(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $v \in X$ .

Hence  $\Phi'$  is a strongly monotone operator, because for every  $u, v \in X$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\Phi'(u) - \Phi'(v), u - v) &= \Phi'(u)(u - v) - \Phi'(v)(u - v) \\
 &= \int_0^1 (u^{(n)} - v^{(n)})(u^{(n)} - v^{(n)})dx + \dots + \int_0^1 (u'' - v'')(u'' - v'')dx \\
 &\quad + \int_0^1 \left( \int_{v'(x)}^{u'(x)} \frac{1}{h(x, \tau)} d\tau \right) (u' - v')dx - \int_0^1 (p(u) - p(v))(u - v)dx \\
 &\geq (\|u^{(n)} - v^{(n)}\|_2^2 + \dots + \|u'' - v''\|_2^2) + \frac{1}{M} \|u' - v'\|_2^2 - L \|u - v\|_2^2 \\
 &\geq (\|u^{(n)} - v^{(n)}\|_2^2 + \dots + \|u'' - v''\|_2^2) - L \|u - v\|_2^2 \\
 &\geq (\|u^{(n)} - v^{(n)}\|_2^2 + \dots + \|u'' - v''\|_2^2) - \frac{L}{\pi^4} \|u'' - v''\|_2^2 \\
 &\geq (1 - \frac{L}{\pi^4}) (\|u^{(n)} - v^{(n)}\|_2^2 + \dots + \|u'' - v''\|_2^2) \\
 &= 2A (\|u^{(n)} - v^{(n)}\|_2^2 + \dots + \|u'' - v''\|_2^2) \\
 &= 2A \|u - v\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

That with the assumption  $0 < L < \pi^4$  we have  $\Phi'$  is a strongly monotone operator. Then by Minty-Browder theorem [11, Theorem 26.A],  $\Phi' : X \rightarrow X^*$  admits a Lipschitz continuous inverse. Since  $p$  is Lipschitz continuous and satisfies  $p(0) = 0$ , while  $h$  is bounded away from zero, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\Phi(u)| &= \left| \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \int_0^1 H(x, u'(x))dx + \int_0^1 P(u(x))dx \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \int_0^1 \int_0^{u'(x)} \left( \int_0^\tau \frac{1}{h(x, \delta)} d\delta \right) d\tau dx - \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^{u(x)} p(\zeta) d\zeta \right) dx \right| \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2M} (u'(x))^2 dx - \int_0^1 \frac{L}{2} (u(x))^2 dx \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \frac{L}{2} \|u\|_2^2 \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \frac{L}{2\pi^4} \|u''\|_2^2 \geq \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{L}{2\pi^4} \right) (\|u''\|_2^2 + \dots + \|u^{(n)}\|_2^2) \\
 &= A \|u\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\Phi(u)| &= \left| \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \int_0^1 \int_0^{u'(x)} \left( \int_0^\tau \frac{1}{h(x, \delta)} d\delta \right) d\tau dx - \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^{u(x)} p(\zeta) d\zeta \right) dx \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2m} (u'(x))^2 dx + \int_0^1 \frac{L}{2} (u(x))^2 dx \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2m} \|u'\|_2^2 + \frac{L}{2} \|u\|_2^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2m\pi^2} \|u''\|_2^2 + \frac{L}{2\pi^4} \|u''\|_2^2 \\
 &\leq \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2m\pi^2} + \frac{L}{2\pi^4} \right) \|u\|^2 = B \|u\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Phi(u) \geq 0$ , for all  $u \in X$ , then we have:

$$A|||u|||^2 \leq \Phi(u) \leq B|||u|||^2. \tag{3.1}$$

Then  $\Phi$  is bounded on each bounded subset of  $X$ . Furthermore from (iii) there exist two constants  $\gamma, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{\theta}$  such that  $\frac{1}{\pi^4 A} F(x, t) \leq \gamma t^2 + \tau$  for a.e.  $x \in (0, 1)$  and all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Fix  $u \in X$ , then

$$F(x, u(x)) \leq \pi^4 A(\gamma|u(x)|^2 + \tau) \quad \text{for all } x \in (0, 1) \tag{3.2}$$

Then, for any fixed  $\lambda \in (0, \theta]$ , from (3.1), (3.2) and (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u) + \lambda J(u) &\geq A|||u|||^2 - \lambda \int_0^1 F(x, u(x)) dx \\ &\geq A|||u|||^2 - \pi^4 A \lambda \int_0^1 (\gamma|u(x)|^2 + \tau) dx \geq A|||u|||^2 - \pi^4 A \lambda \left( \frac{\gamma}{\pi^4} \|u''\|_2^2 + \tau \right) \\ &\geq A|||u|||^2 - \pi^4 A \theta \left( \frac{\gamma}{\pi^4} \|u''\|_2^2 + \tau \right) \geq A|||u|||^2 - \pi^4 A \theta \left( \frac{\gamma}{\pi^4} |||u|||^2 + \tau \right) \\ &= A(1 - \theta\gamma) |||u|||^2 - \pi^4 A \theta \tau \end{aligned}$$

for all  $u \in X$  and so  $\lim_{|||u||| \rightarrow +\infty} (\Phi(u) + \lambda J(u)) = +\infty$ .

We claim that there exist  $r > 0$  and  $w \in X$  such that

$$\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}((-\infty, r))} (-J(u)) < r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)}.$$

From (3.1) and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{-1}((-\infty, r)) &= \{u \in X : \Phi(u) < r\} \subseteq \{u \in X : A|||u|||^2 < r\} \\ &\subseteq \{u \in X : \frac{B}{4\pi^2} |||u|||^2 < r\} = \{u \in X : |||u||| < 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{r}{B}}\} \\ &\subseteq \{u \in X : |u(x)| < \sqrt{\frac{r}{B}}\} \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}((-\infty, r))} (-J(u)) = \sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}((-\infty, r))} \int_0^1 F(x, u(x)) dx \leq \int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [-\sqrt{\frac{r}{B}}, \sqrt{\frac{r}{B}}]} F(x, t) dx.$$

Now from (ii) we have

$$\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}((-\infty, r))} (-J(u)) < r \frac{\int_0^1 F(x, w(x)) dx}{\frac{1}{2} |||w|||^2 + \int_0^1 [H(x, w'(x)) + P(w(x))] dx} = r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)},$$

also from (i) we have  $\Phi(w) > r$ . Next recall from (iii) that

$$\theta > \frac{1}{r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)} - \sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}((-\infty, r))} (-J(u))},$$

choose

$$\alpha = \theta \left( r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)} - \sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}((-\infty, r))} (-J(u)) \right),$$

and note  $\alpha > 1$ , also, since

$$\theta > \frac{1}{r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)} - \sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}((-\infty, r))} (-J(u))},$$

we have

$$\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}((-\infty, r))} (-J(u)) + \frac{1}{\theta} < r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)},$$

and so with our choice of  $\alpha$  we have

$$\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}((-\infty, r))} (-J(u)) + \frac{r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)} - \sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}((-\infty, r))} (-J(u))}{\alpha} < r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)}.$$

Now from Theorem 2.2 (with  $u_0 = 0$  and  $u_1 = w$ ) for every  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying

$$\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}((-\infty, r))} (-J(u)) + \frac{r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)} - \sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}((-\infty, r))} (-J(u))}{\alpha} < \rho < r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)},$$

with choice  $\sigma = r\theta$  and  $I = [0, r\theta]$ , we have

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \inf_{u \in X} (\Phi(u) + \lambda J(u) + \lambda \rho) < \inf_{u \in X} \sup_{\lambda \in [0, r\theta]} (\Phi(u) + \lambda J(u) + \lambda \rho).$$

For any fixed  $L^1$ - Carathéodory function  $g : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , set

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi : X &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ u &\mapsto \Psi(u) = - \int_0^1 \int_0^{u(x)} g(x, t) dt dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $X$  is a reflexive real Banach space and  $X$  is compactly embedded into  $C([0, 1])$  then by classical results, the functional  $\Psi$  is well defined and Gâteaux differentiable whose Gâteaux derivative is compact and continuous, and  $\Psi'(u) \in X^*$ , at  $u \in X$  is given by

$$\Psi'(u)(v) = - \int_0^1 g(x, u(x))v(x) dx$$

for all  $v \in X$ . Now, all the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, are satisfied. Hence, applying Theorem 2.1 taking into account that the critical points of the functional  $\Phi + \lambda J + \mu \Psi$  are exactly the weak solutions of the problem (1.1), we have that problem (1.1) admits at least three weak solutions in  $X = W_0^{n-1,2}([0, 1]) \cap W^{n,2}([0, 1])$  whose norms in  $X$  are less than  $q$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** In Theorem 3.1, the aim of taking  $p$  as a non-positive function, that's  $\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \int_0^1 [H(x, u'(x)) + P(u(x))] dx$  be nonnegative. Hence if  $p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $\Phi \geq 0$  then Theorem 3.1 is satisfied.



The following lemma which is motivated from [5], will be used in the proof of next corollary.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$  and assume that there exist two positive constants  $c$  and  $d$  satisfying  $c < (n - 1) \frac{d}{\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^3} + \frac{1}{(1 - \beta)^3} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , such that*

(j)  $F(x, t) \geq 0$  for each  $(x, t) \in ([0, \alpha] \cup [\beta, 1]) \times [0, d]$ ,

(jj)

$$\int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [-c, c]} F(x, t) dx < \min \left\{ \frac{\pi^2 c^2}{(n - 1)^2 d^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^3} + \frac{1}{(1 - \beta)^3} \right]} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} F(x, d) dx, \frac{c^2}{(n - 1) d^2 \left( \frac{(2n - 2)!}{(n - 2)!} \right)^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^{2n - 1}} + \frac{1}{(1 - \beta)^{2n - 1}} \right]} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} F(x, d) dx \right\}.$$

Then there exist  $r > 0$  and  $w \in X$  such that  $\frac{1}{2} |||w|||^2 + \int_0^1 [H(x, w'(x)) + P(w(x))] dx > r$  and

$$\int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [-\sqrt{\frac{r}{B}}, \sqrt{\frac{r}{B}}]} F(x, t) dx < r \frac{\int_0^1 F(x, w(x)) dx}{\frac{1}{2} |||w|||^2 + \int_0^1 [H(x, w'(x)) + P(w(x))] dx}.$$

**Proof .** We put  $r = Bc^2$  and

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} d \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1-i} \binom{2n-3-i}{n-1-i} \binom{2n-2}{i} \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^{2n-2-i}, & x \in [0, \alpha), \\ d, & x \in [\alpha, \beta], \\ \frac{d}{(1-\beta)^{2n-2}} \left[ \binom{2n-3}{n-1} (2n-2) \sum_{i=0}^{2n-3} \frac{(-1)^{n-1-i}}{2n-2-i} \left( \sum_{j=\max\{0, -n+1+i\}}^{\min\{i, n-2\}} \binom{n-2}{n-2-j} \binom{n-1}{n-1-i+j} \beta^{i-j} \right) \right. \\ \left. x^{2n-2-i} + \sum_{i=n-1}^{2n-2} (-1)^i \binom{2n-2}{i} \beta^{2n-2-i} \right], & x \in (\beta, 1]. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that  $w \in X$  and, in particular,

$$4(n - 1)^2 d^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^3} + \frac{1}{(1 - \beta)^3} \right] \leq |||w|||^2 \leq (n - 1) d^2 \left( \frac{(2n - 2)!}{(n - 2)!} \right)^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^{2n - 1}} + \frac{1}{(1 - \beta)^{2n - 1}} \right].$$

Since

$$w'(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} k_n d}{\alpha^{2n-2}} x^{n-2} (x - \alpha)^{n-1}, & x \in [0, \alpha), \\ 0, & x \in [\alpha, \beta], \\ \frac{(-1)^{n-1} k_n d}{(1 - \beta)^{2n-2}} (x - 1)^{n-2} (x - \beta)^{n-1}, & x \in (\beta, 1], \end{cases}$$

that  $k_n$  is a real constant dependent on  $n$ , then  $0 \leq w(x) \leq d$  for each  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Hence taking into account that  $c < (n - 1) \frac{d}{\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^3} + \frac{1}{(1 - \beta)^3} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and (3.1), one has

$$\begin{aligned} r &= Bc^2 < \frac{Bd^2}{\pi^2} (n - 1)^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^3} + \frac{1}{(1 - \beta)^3} \right] \leq \frac{B}{4\pi^2} |||w|||^2 \leq A |||w|||^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} |||w|||^2 + \int_0^1 [H(x, w'(x)) + P(w(x))] dx \leq B |||w|||^2 \\ &\leq (n - 1) Bd^2 \left( \frac{(2n - 2)!}{(n - 2)!} \right)^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^{2n-1}} + \frac{1}{(1 - \beta)^{2n-1}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $0 \leq w(x) \leq d$  for each  $x \in [0, 1]$ , condition (j) ensures that

$$\int_0^\alpha F(x, w(x)) dx + \int_\beta^1 F(x, w(x)) dx \geq 0.$$

Moreover, if  $\int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [-\sqrt{\frac{r}{B}}, \sqrt{\frac{r}{B}}]} F(x, t) dx \geq 0$ , from (jj) and  $r = Bc^2$  and the above inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [-\sqrt{\frac{r}{B}}, \sqrt{\frac{r}{B}}]} F(x, t) dx \\ &< \frac{c^2}{(n - 1)d^2 \left( \frac{(2n - 2)!}{(n - 2)!} \right)^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^{2n-1}} + \frac{1}{(1 - \beta)^{2n-1}} \right]} \int_\alpha^\beta F(x, d) dx \\ &\leq \frac{Bc^2}{(n - 1)Bd^2 \left( \frac{(2n - 2)!}{(n - 2)!} \right)^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^{2n-1}} + \frac{1}{(1 - \beta)^{2n-1}} \right]} \int_0^1 F(x, w(x)) dx \\ &\leq r \frac{\int_0^1 F(x, w(x)) dx}{\frac{1}{2} |||w|||^2 + \int_0^1 [H(x, w'(x)) + P(w(x))] dx}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if  $\int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [-\sqrt{\frac{r}{B}}, \sqrt{\frac{r}{B}}]} F(x, t) dx < 0$ , from  $B \leq 4A\pi^2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [-\sqrt{\frac{r}{B}}, \sqrt{\frac{r}{B}}]} F(x, t) dx &< \frac{\pi^2 c^2}{(n - 1)^2 d^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^3} + \frac{1}{(1 - \beta)^3} \right]} \int_\alpha^\beta F(x, d) dx \\ &\leq \frac{\pi^2 Bc^2}{4A\pi^2 d^2 (n - 1)^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^3} + \frac{1}{(1 - \beta)^3} \right]} \int_\alpha^\beta F(x, d) dx \\ &\leq \frac{Bc^2 \int_0^1 F(x, w(x)) dx}{4Ad^2 (n - 1)^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^3} + \frac{1}{(1 - \beta)^3} \right]} \\ &\leq r \frac{\int_0^1 F(x, w(x)) dx}{\frac{1}{2} |||w|||^2 + \int_0^1 [H(x, w'(x)) + P(w(x))] dx}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [-\sqrt{\frac{r}{B}}, \sqrt{\frac{r}{B}}]} F(x, t) dx < r \frac{\int_0^1 F(x, w(x)) dx}{\frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + \int_0^1 [H(x, w'(x)) + P(w(x))] dx},$$

so the proof is complete.  $\square$  We prove the following corollary with help of the above lemma.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$  and assume that there exist two positive constants  $c$  and  $d$  satisfying  $c < (n - 1) \frac{d}{\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^3} + \frac{1}{(1 - \beta)^3} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , such that:*

(j)  $F(x, t) \geq 0$  for each  $(x, t) \in ([0, \alpha] \cup [\beta, 1]) \times [0, d]$ ,

(jj)

$$\int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [-c, c]} F(x, t) dx < \min \left\{ \frac{\pi^2 c^2}{(n - 1)^2 d^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^3} + \frac{1}{(1 - \beta)^3} \right]} \int_\alpha^\beta F(x, d) dx, \frac{c^2}{(n - 1) d^2 \left( \frac{(2n - 2)!}{(n - 2)!} \right)^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^{2n - 1}} + \frac{1}{(1 - \beta)^{2n - 1}} \right]} \int_\alpha^\beta F(x, d) dx \right\},$$

(jjj)  $\frac{1}{\pi^4 A} \lim_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} \sup \frac{F(x, t)}{t^2} < \frac{1}{\theta}$  for almost every  $x \in [0, 1]$  and for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and for some  $\theta$  satisfying

$$\theta > \frac{1}{\min \left\{ \frac{\pi^2 c^2}{(n - 1)^2 d^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^3} + \frac{1}{(1 - \beta)^3} \right]} \int_\alpha^\beta F(x, d) dx, \frac{c^2 \int_\alpha^\beta F(x, d) dx}{(n - 1) d^2 \left( \frac{(2n - 2)!}{(n - 2)!} \right)^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^{2n - 1}} + \frac{1}{(1 - \beta)^{2n - 1}} \right]} \right\} - \int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [-c, c]} F(x, t) dx}.$$

Then, there exist a non-empty open interval  $E \subseteq (0, r\theta)$  and a number  $q > 0$  with the following property: for each  $\lambda \in E$  and for an arbitrary  $L^1$ -Carathéodory function  $g : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , there is  $\tau > 0$  such that, whenever  $\mu \in [0, \tau]$ , problem (1.1) admits at least three weak solutions whose norms in  $X$  are less than  $q$ .

**Proof .** From Lemma 3.3 we see that assumptions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.1 are fulfilled for  $w$  given in the first of proof of Lemma 3.3. Also from (jjj), one has that (iii) is satisfied. Hence, the conclusion follows directly from Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

**Example 3.5.** Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} (-1)^n u^{(2n)} + (-1)^{n-1} u^{(2n-2)} + \dots + u^{(4)} - u'' [(2 + x) \cos u' + \sin u'] + 3u \\ = \lambda f(x, u) + \mu g(x, u), & x \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u(1) = u'(0) = u'(1) = \dots = u^{(n-2)}(0) = u^{(n-2)}(1) = 0 = u^{(n)}(0) = u^{(n)}(1), \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad (x, t) \mapsto f(x, t) = \begin{cases} -e^{-t} + e^{-1}, & (x, t) \in [0, 1] \times (1, +\infty), \\ 0, & (x, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1], \\ -e^t t^9 (t + 10), & (x, t) \in [0, 1] \times (-\infty, 0), \end{cases}$$

and  $g : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a fixed  $L^1$ -Carathéodory function.

Here,  $p(t) = -3t$  and  $h(x, t) = [(2 + x) \cos t + \sin t]^{-1}$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \int_0^1 [H(x, u'(x) + P(u(x)))] dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \int_0^1 \int_0^{u'(x)} \left( \int_0^\tau [(2 + x) \cos t + \sin t] dt \right) d\tau dx + \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^{u(x)} 3\zeta d\zeta \right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \int_0^1 \int_0^{u'(x)} [(2 + x) \sin \tau - \cos \tau + 1] d\tau dx + \int_0^1 \frac{3}{2} (u(x))^2 dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \int_0^1 \int_0^{u'(x)} [(2 + x) \sin \tau] d\tau dx + \frac{3}{2} \|u\|_2^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \int_0^1 [(2 + x)(-\cos(u'(x)) + 1)] dx + \frac{3}{2} \|u\|_2^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{3}{2} \|u\|_2^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$F(x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, \zeta) d\zeta = \begin{cases} e^{-t} + (t - 2)e^{-1}, & (x, t) \in [0, 1] \times (1, +\infty), \\ 0, & (x, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1], \\ -e^t t^{10}, & (x, t) \in [0, 1] \times (-\infty, 0). \end{cases}$$

By choosing  $c = 1$  and  $d = 5$ , it is clear that  $F(x, t) \geq 0$  for all  $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$  and  $(x, t) \in ([0, \alpha] \cup [\beta, 1]) \times [0, d]$ , i.e. (j) is satisfied. Also we have  $c < (n - 1) \frac{d}{\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^3} + \frac{1}{(1 - \beta)^3} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{1\}$ . On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [-c, c]} F(x, t) dx &= \int_0^1 \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, c]} (0), \sup_{t \in [-c, 0]} (-e^t t^{10}) \right\} dx \\ &= \int_0^1 0 dx = 0 \\ &< \frac{(e^{-5} + 3e^{-1})(\beta - \alpha)}{25(n - 1) \left( \frac{(2n-2)!}{(n-2)!} \right)^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^{2n-1}} + \frac{1}{(1-\beta)^{2n-1}} \right]} \\ &= \min \left\{ \frac{\pi^2 c^2 \int_\alpha^\beta F(x, d) dx}{(n - 1)^2 d^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^3} + \frac{1}{(1-\beta)^3} \right]}, \frac{c^2 \int_\alpha^\beta F(x, d) dx}{(n - 1) d^2 \left( \frac{(2n - 2)!}{(n - 2)!} \right)^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^{2n-1}} + \frac{1}{(1-\beta)^{2n-1}} \right]} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

So (jj) is satisfied. Also since  $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} \sup \frac{F(x, t)}{t^2} = 0$ , then (jjj) holds. Now we can apply Corollary 3.4 for every

$$\theta > \frac{25(n - 1) \left( \frac{(2n - 2)!}{(n - 2)!} \right)^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^{2n-1}} + \frac{1}{(1-\beta)^{2n-1}} \right]}{(e^{-5} + 3e^{-1})(\beta - \alpha)}.$$

Then problem (3.3), admits at least three weak solutions.

## References

- [1] G. A. Afrouzi and S. Heidarkhani, *Three solutions for a quasilinear boundary value problem*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA. 69 (2008) 3330–3336.
- [2] G. A. Afrouzi, S. Heidarkhani and D. O'Regan, *Existence of three solutions for a doubly eigenvalue fourth-order boundary value problem*, Taiwanese J. Math. 15 (2011) 201–210.
- [3] D. Averna and G. Bonanno, *Three solutions for quasilinear two-point boundary value problem involving the one-dimensional  $p$ -Laplacian* Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 47 (2004) 257–270.
- [4] G. Bonanno, *Some remarks on a three critical points theorem*, Nonlinear Anal. 54 (2003) 651–665.
- [5] G. Bonanno and B. Di Bella, *A boundary value problem for fourth-order elastic beam equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 343 (2008) 1166–1176.
- [6] O. Halakoo, G. A. Afrouzi and M. Azhini, *An existence result of three solutions for a fourth-order boundary-value problem*, submitted.
- [7] R. Livrea, *Existence of three solutions for a quasilinear two point boundary value problem*, Arch. Math. 79 (2002) 288–298.
- [8] L. A. Peletier, W.C. Troy and R.C.A.M. Van der Vorst, *Stationary solutions of a fourth order nonlinear diffusion equation*, (Russian) Translated from the English by V. V. Kurt. Differentsialnye Uravneniya 31 (1995) 327–337. English translation in Differential Equations 31 (1995) 301–314.
- [9] B. Ricceri, *A three critical points theorem revisited*, Nonlinear Anal. 70 (2009) 3084–3089.
- [10] G. Talenti, *Some inequalities of Sobolev type on two-dimensional spheres*, W. Walter (ed.), General Inequalities, Vol. 5, Int. Ser. Numer. Math., Birkhäuser, Basel, 80 (1987) 401–408.
- [11] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications*, Vol. II/B and III, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1990 and 1985.