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#### Abstract

The purpose of this article is to introducing the notion of an $\mathfrak{A}$-meter, as an operator valued distance mapping on a set $X$ and investigating the theory of $\mathfrak{A}$-metric spaces, where $\mathfrak{A}$ is a noncommutative $C^{*}$-algebra. We demonstrate that each metric space may be seen as an $\mathfrak{A}$-metric space and that every $\mathfrak{A}$-metric space $(X, \delta)$ can be regarded as a topological space $\left(X, \tau_{\delta}\right)$.
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## 1. Introduction

Mirzavaziri [7] obtained some generalizations of usual metrics as real- valued mappings, which have been given in the last century.His generalizations are in such away that the values of a metric can be mappings.

Let $\mathfrak{A}=C(\Omega)$ be a commutative unital $C^{*}$-algebra, where $\Omega$ is a compact Hausdorff topological space. A Hilbert $\mathfrak{A}$-module is a right $\mathfrak{A}$-module $\xi$ equipped with an $\mathfrak{A}$-valued inner product. The reader is referred to [7] for more information on Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules.

Mirzavaziri [7, construct the ordered positive cone with family of positive elements of commutative unital $C^{*}$-algebra, $\mathcal{C}(\Omega)$,and named it $\mathfrak{A}^{+}$. Therefore, with the help of the usual definition of metric and Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules, he find an attractive idea to define the notion of an $\mathcal{A}$-valued $C^{*}$ metric $\mathfrak{A}$ on a set $X$ as a mapping from $X \times X$ into the positive cone $\mathfrak{A}^{+}$for a $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$, and his notation is $\mathcal{F}$-metric.He showed that $\mathfrak{A}^{+}$has the axiom of completeness and also the Archimedean

[^0]property for non-empty bounded subsets of $\mathfrak{A}$,i.e. $\mathfrak{A}^{+}$is an ordered complete set. This is very important for defining an induced topology by $\mathcal{F}$-meter.Partial order is necessary $\leq$ for triangle inequality of $\mathcal{F}$. He represented several examples to introduce an induced topology by an $\mathfrak{A}$-meter. This topology is defined by using elements of $\mathfrak{A}^{+}$as radius of open neighborhoods and $\mathcal{R}$-extended topology, with allowance sets. He prove that any metric space is $\mathcal{F}$-metric space and the category of all metric spaces is a proper subset of the category of all extended $\mathcal{F}$-metric spaces. He proposed completion of $\mathcal{F}$-metric, and checked $\mathcal{F}$-metrizability of topological spaces. As an application of the concept of $\mathcal{F}$-metrics, he proved that each normal topological space is $\mathcal{F}$-metrizable.

In this article we want to introduce the concept of $C^{*}$-metric by using the positive elements of a noncommutative unital $C^{*}$-algebra, that is, $\mathfrak{A}=B(\mathcal{H})$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space. We select projections, because the family of them is a partial ordered, lattice and it is downwards and upwards directed.The downwards directed property assists us to prove that the intersection of a finitely many open neighborhoods, is again an open neighborhood. Now we can construct the open neighborhood at a point $x \in X$ with radius $r \in \mathfrak{A}^{+}$. Not far from mind, each metric space is a $C^{*}$-metric space, for every commutative or noncommutative $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$. We show that $\mathfrak{A}^{+}$is ordered complete set, and then develop the theory of $C^{*}$-metric spaces. We will propose a few examples,to define topologies by using elements of $\mathfrak{A}^{+}$as radius of open neighborhoods and $\mathcal{R}$-extended topology with allowance sets,as some applications.

## 2. Preliminaries

In all of the following section $\mathfrak{A}=B(\mathcal{H})$ is an unital noncommutative $C^{*}$-algebra. An element $p$ in $\mathfrak{A}$ is called positive (denoted by $0 \leq p$ or $p \geq 0$ ) if $\{\langle p x, x\rangle: x \in \mathcal{H}\}$ is a subset of the nonnegative real numbers $\mathbb{R}^{+}$. It is strictly positive (denoted by $0 \prec p$ or $p \succ 0$ ) if $\{\langle p x, x\rangle: x \in \mathcal{H}\}$ is a subset of the positive real numbers $\mathbb{R}^{++}$. Note that $p \succ 0$ is not equivalent to $p>0$ (i.e., $p \geq 0$ and $p \neq 0$ ). The set of all positive elements and the set of all strictly positive elements of $\mathfrak{A}$ are denoted by $\mathfrak{A}^{+}$ and $\mathfrak{A}^{++}$, respectively. Obviously, $p \in \mathfrak{A}^{+}$is strictly positive if and only if it is invertible in $\mathfrak{A}$. (see Proposition 3.2.12 of [10]). It can viewed as the $C^{*}$-Archimedean property.

Let $P(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathfrak{A}^{+}$be the set of all projections $P_{\alpha}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ with norm $\|.\|_{\infty}$ defined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|P_{\alpha}\right\|_{\infty} & =\sup \left\{\left\|P_{\alpha} x\right\|: x \in \mathcal{H},\|x\| \leq 1\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\left(<P_{\alpha} x, x>\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}: x \in \mathcal{H},\|x\| \leq 1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For noncommutative $C^{*}$-algebra, it is important to show that $\mathfrak{A}^{++}$is downwards directed,that is, if $S, T \in \mathfrak{A}^{++}$, then there is $Q \in \mathfrak{A}^{++}$such that $Q \preceq T$ and $Q \preceq S$.

The set of closed subspaces can be partially ordered by inclusion, and it is complete lattice.Every family $\left\{Y_{\alpha}\right\}$ of closed subspaces in $\mathcal{H}$ possesses an infimum $\wedge Y_{\alpha}$ and a supremum $\vee Y_{\alpha}$, which are, respectively, the intersection of all $Y_{\alpha}$ and the closure of the subspace generated by all $Y_{\alpha}$.Next theorem,help us to define a partial order $\leq$ in the set of projections. As the next theorem shows, these two orderings coincide, in summary:

$$
P \leq Q \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Ran}(P) \subseteq \operatorname{Ran}(Q) .
$$

Theorem 2.1. [9]
Let $S$ and $T$ be in $P(\mathcal{H})$. The following conditions are equivalent:
a)Ran $(S) \subseteq \operatorname{Ran}(T)$, that is, $S \leq T$.
b) $T S=S$.
c) $S T=S$.
d) $\|S x\| \leq\|T x\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$.
e) $S \leq T$.

There is, of course, a correspondent in terms of projections: every family $\left\{P_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in I}$ of projections has an infimum $\wedge P_{\alpha}$ and a supremum $\vee P_{\alpha}$, which are, respectively, the projection onto the intersection of all $\operatorname{Ran}\left(P_{\alpha}\right)$ and the projection onto the closure of the subspace generated by all $\operatorname{Ran}\left(P_{\alpha}\right)$.

The above discussion clarifies that the set of projections in $B(\mathcal{H})$ has a lattice structure. In fact, the set of projections forms a complete lattice and it is downwards directed and upwards directed.

The sum of two strictly positive elements of $\mathfrak{A}^{+}$is again strictly positive and if $T \in \mathfrak{A}^{++}$, then $\lambda T \in \mathfrak{A}^{++}$for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}^{++}$[7]. This shows that $\mathfrak{A}^{++}$is a cone. Let $T, S \in \mathfrak{A}^{+}$. The notation $T \triangleleft S$ used for $T(x)<S(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $S(x) \neq 0$. The relation $\triangleleft$ is transitive on $\mathfrak{A}^{+}$, and $T \triangleleft S$ implies $T+Q \triangleleft S+Q$ for all real valued mapping $Q$ with $T+Q \in \mathfrak{A}^{+}$. Note that if $S \in \mathfrak{A}^{++}$, then $T \triangleleft S$ is equivalent to $T \prec S$.

Proposition 2.2. [7] A positive element $p$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ is invertible if and only if $p \geq \lambda \iota$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{++}$.
Proposition 2.3. [7] A pointwise infimum of any number of elements in $P(\mathcal{H})$ and a supremum of finitely many elements will again define an element in $P(\mathcal{H})$. Furthermore, $P(\mathcal{H})$ is stable under the addition and under the multiplication with positive real numbers. Finally, $P(\mathcal{H})$ is closed under uniform convergence.

Let $\mathfrak{A}^{+}=P(\mathcal{H})^{+}$, be the set of all positive projection operators on $\mathcal{H}$, and let $\mathfrak{A}^{++}=P(\mathcal{H})^{++}$be the set of all strictly positive projection operators on $\mathcal{H}$. Clearly every operator is continuous with norm topology, will be continuous with weak operator topology.

Theorem 2.4. [7] Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a nonempty subset of $\mathfrak{A}^{+}$. Then $\inf \mathcal{B}$ exists in $\mathfrak{A}^{+}$. In other words, there is $T_{0} \in \mathfrak{A}^{+}$such that $T_{0} \leq T$ for each $T \in \mathcal{B}$, and if $S$ is any lower bounded for $\mathcal{B}$, then $S \leq T_{0}$.

Proof .For each $T \in \mathcal{B}$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $T h \geq 0$. Thus the set $\{T h: T \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is a nonempty bounded below subset of $\mathbb{R}^{+}$and so its infimum exists. Let

$$
T_{0} h=\inf \{T h: T \in \mathcal{B}\}
$$

Then $T_{0} \in \mathcal{B}$. Now let $S$ be a lower bound for $\mathcal{B}$. Hence $S h \leq T h$ and so $S h$ is a lower bound for the set $\{T h: T \in \mathcal{B}\}$. Thus $S h \leq T_{0} h$ or equivalently $S \leq T_{0}$.

The set of positive elements of $\mathfrak{A}^{+}$and $\mathfrak{A}^{++}$are ordered, Archimedean, bounded below, downwards and upwards direct, and we use $\mathfrak{A}$ as the notation of noncommutative $C^{*}$-algebras.

## 3. $C^{*}$-Metric

Similarity between the cone of positive elements of $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{++}$and the notion of positive elements drives thought into introduce the following notion.

Definition 3.1. Let $X$ be a set. A mapping $\delta: X \times X \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}^{+}$is called an $\mathfrak{A}$-metric, or an $\mathfrak{A}$-metric, if for all $x, y, z \in X$ the following conditions hold:
(i) $\delta(x, y)=0$ if and only if $x=y$;
(ii) $\delta(x, y)=\delta(y, x)$;
(iii) $\delta(x, y) \unlhd \delta(x, z)+\delta(z, y)$ (triangle inequality).

In this case, $(X, \delta)$ is called a $C^{*}$-metric space, or an $\mathfrak{A}$-metric space.
Example 3.2. Let $T$ be a nonzero positive element of $\mathfrak{A}$. Then

$$
\delta(x, y)= \begin{cases}T & \text { if } x \neq y \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

gives an $\mathfrak{A}$-metric $\delta$ on $X$, which is called the discrete $\mathfrak{A}$-metric on $X$ constructed via $T$.
Example 3.3. Let $X:=B(\mathcal{H})$ and let $\delta(T, S)=|\langle(T-S) x, y\rangle|$ define an $\mathfrak{A}$-metric on $X$. Then

1- $\delta(T, T)=|<(T-T) x, y>| \unrhd 0$,
2- $\delta(T, S)=|<(T-S) x, y>|=|<(S-T) x, y>|=\delta(S, T)$.
For the triangle inequality, we have
3-

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta(T, S) & =|<(T-S) x, y>|=|<(T-S \pm Q) x, y>| \\
& \unlhd|<(T-Q) x, y>|+|<(Q-S) x, y>| \\
& =\delta(T, Q)+\delta(Q, S)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ and $T, S, Q \in X$. Thus $\delta(T, S) \unlhd \delta(T, Q)+\delta(Q, S)$.

## 4. TOPOLOGY

Let $(X, \delta)$ be an $\mathfrak{A}$-metric space. We can define the ball $N_{r}^{\delta}(x)$ centered at $x \in X$ with radius $r \in \mathfrak{A}^{++}$ by $N_{r}^{\delta}(x)=\{y \in X: \delta(x, y) \triangleleft r\}$. Open sets and interior points of a subset of $X$ are defined in the usual manner [3] and [4]. Note that $N_{r}^{\delta}(x)$ is an open set. If $y \in N_{r}^{\delta}(x)$, then $\delta(x, y) \triangleleft r$ and for the strictly positive element $r_{0}=r-\delta(x, y)$, we have $N_{r_{0}}^{\delta}(x) \subseteq N_{r}^{\delta}(x)$. This shows that $y$ is an interior point of $N_{r}^{\delta}(x)$.

The topology mentioned in the above theorem is called the topology on $X$ induced by the $\mathfrak{A}$-metric $\delta$ and is denoted by $\tau_{\delta}$.

We can consider a subset of $\mathfrak{A} \backslash\{0\}$ as a set of radiuses of our open balls as follows.
Definition 4.1. Let $(X, \delta)$ be an $\mathfrak{A}$-metric space. Then $\mathcal{R}$, a nonempty subset of $\mathfrak{A}^{+} \backslash\{0\}$, is called "allowance" with respect to $\delta$ if (a) it is downward directed, (b) $\lambda \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{++}$, and (c) $\delta(x, y) \triangleleft r$ for some $r \in \mathcal{R}$ implies the existence of an element $r_{0} \mathcal{R}$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}^{++}$such that $r_{0}+\delta(x, y) \triangleleft r \triangleleft \lambda r_{0}$. The " $\mathcal{R}$-extended topology" on $X$ induced by $\delta$, denoted by $\tau_{\delta}^{\mathcal{R}}$, is defined to be the topology on $X$ generated by the topological base $\left\{N_{r}^{\delta}(x)\right\}_{r \in \mathcal{R}, x \in X}$.

Third condition is required to show that open balls are indeed open. We can view a metric space as an $\mathfrak{A}$-metric space, in the following theorem. We will show the balls in $(X, d)$ by $N^{d}$ and the balls in $(X, \delta)$ by $N_{r}^{\delta}$.

The open subsets of an $\mathfrak{A}$-metric space form a topology and this will be shown in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. Let $(X, \delta)$ be an $\mathfrak{A}$-metric space. Then the family of all open subsets of $X$ with respect to $\delta$ forms a topology on $X$.

Proof . We need to show that for an arbitrary family $\left\{N_{r_{\gamma}}^{\delta}\left(x_{\gamma}\right): \gamma \in \Gamma\right\}$ of open balls, the set $U=\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} N_{r_{\gamma}}^{\delta}\left(x_{\gamma}\right)$ is open. If there is $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $x \in N_{r_{\gamma}}^{\delta}\left(x_{\gamma}\right)$. Then there is $r_{0}$ such that $N_{r_{0}}^{\delta}(x) \subseteq N_{r_{\gamma}}^{\delta}\left(x_{\gamma}\right) \subseteq U$. So $x$ is an interior point of $U$.

We have to show that $V=\bigcap_{j=1}^{n} N_{r_{j}}^{\delta}\left(x_{j}\right)$. Let $x \in V$, too. Then $x \in N_{r_{j}}^{\delta}\left(x_{j}\right)$ and so there are $s_{j} \in \mathfrak{A}^{++}$such that $N_{s_{j}}^{\delta}(x) \subseteq N_{r_{j}}^{\delta}\left(x_{j}\right)$. Pick an $r_{0} \in \mathfrak{A}^{++}$such that $r_{0} \triangleleft s_{j}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. (Note that $\mathfrak{A}^{++}$is a lattice and downward directed, consequently, and so $r_{0}$ exists.) We then have $N_{r_{0}}^{\delta}(x) \subseteq V$.

Theorem 4.3. Suppose that $(X, d)$ is a metric space and that $0 \neq T \in \mathfrak{A}^{+}$. If

$$
\delta_{T}: X \times X \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}^{+}
$$

is defined by $\delta_{T}(x, y)=d(x, y) T$, then $\left(X, \delta_{T}\right)$ is an $\mathfrak{A}$-metric space. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be an allowance set with respect to $\delta_{T}$.
(i) If $\mathcal{R}$ has the Archimedean property, then $\tau_{\delta_{T}}^{\mathcal{R}}=\tau_{d}$.
(ii) If there is $r$ in $\mathcal{R}$ such that $\lambda T \nrightarrow r$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{++}$, then $\tau_{\delta_{T}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ is the discrete topology.

Proof .Put $\delta=\delta_{T}$, to prove the triangle inequality for $\delta$. We recall that the set of a positive element of $\mathfrak{A}$ is a positive cone.
(i) We shall show that the family $\left\{N_{\lambda T}^{\delta}(x)\right\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{++}, x \in X}$ forms a topological base for $\tau_{\delta}^{\mathcal{R}}$. To see this, let $N_{r}^{\delta}(x)$ be an arbitrary open ball in $\tau_{\delta}^{\mathcal{R}}$ and let $y \in N_{r}^{\delta}(x)$. Since $\mathcal{R}$ is allowance, there is $r_{0} \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $r_{0} \triangleleft r-\delta(x, y)$. By the Archimedean property of $r_{0} \in \mathcal{R}$, there is $\lambda_{0} \in \mathcal{R}^{++}$such that $\lambda_{0} T \triangleleft r_{0}$. Now $N_{\lambda_{0} T}^{\delta}(y) \subseteq N_{r}^{\delta}(x)$, since $\delta(x, z) \triangleleft \delta(z, y)+\delta(y, x) \triangleleft \lambda_{0} T+\delta(x, y) \triangleleft r_{0}+\delta(x, y) \triangleleft r$ for $z \in N_{\lambda_{0} T}^{\delta}(y)$.

Let $N_{\lambda T}^{\delta}(x)$ be an arbitrary open ball in $\tau_{\delta}^{\mathcal{R}}$ and let $y \in N_{\lambda T}^{\delta}(x)$. Let $\lambda_{0}=\lambda-\delta(x, y)$. Then $\lambda_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{++}$, since $\delta(x, y) \triangleleft \lambda T$ implies that $d(x, y) T \triangleleft \lambda T$ and so $\lambda-d(x, y)>0$. We assert that $N_{\lambda_{0}}^{d}(y) \subseteq N_{\lambda T}^{\delta}(x)$. To see this, let $z \in N_{\lambda_{0}}^{d}(y)$. We have $d(z, y)<\lambda_{0}$ and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta(z, x) & \triangleleft \delta(z, y)+\delta(y, x)=d(z, y) T+d(y, x) T \\
& \triangleleft \lambda_{0} T+d(y, x) T \\
& =(\lambda-d(x, y)+d(y, x)) T \\
& =\lambda T .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $y$ is an interior point of $N_{\lambda T}^{\delta}(x)$ with respect to $d$. Thus $N_{\lambda T}^{\delta}(x) \in \tau_{d}$. On the other hand, if $N_{\lambda}^{d}(x)$ is an arbitrary basis element of the topology $\tau_{d}$ and $y \in N_{\lambda}^{d}(x)$, then for $\lambda_{0}=\lambda-d(x, y)$, we have $N_{\lambda_{0} T}^{\delta}(y) \subseteq N_{\lambda}^{d}(x)$ and so $N_{\lambda}^{d}(x)$ is open with respect to $\tau_{\delta}^{\mathcal{R}}$. Thus the topology coincide.
(ii) If $\delta(x, y) \triangleleft r$, then $d(x, y) T \triangleleft r$, and so $d(x, y)=0$, which implies $x=y$. Hence $N_{r}^{\delta}(x)=\{x\}$ for each $x \in X$ and the topology $\tau_{\delta}^{\mathcal{R}}$ is then discrete.

The following theorem states that if $\mathfrak{A}$ has the Archimedean property, then an $\mathfrak{A}$-extended $\mathfrak{A}$ metric space is nothing but a metric space.

Theorem 4.4. Let $(X, \delta)$ be an $\mathcal{R}$-extended $\mathfrak{A}$-metric space. If we define $d: X \times X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$by $d(x, y)=\|\delta(x, y)\|$, then $(X, d)$ is a metric space. Furthermore, if $\mathcal{R}$ has the Archimedean property, then $\tau_{d}=\tau_{\delta}^{\mathcal{R}}$.

Proof .For the triangle inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(x, y)=\|\delta(x, y)\| & \unlhd\|\delta(x, z)+\delta(z, y)\| \\
& \unlhd \mid\|\delta(x, z)\|+\|\delta(z, y)\| \\
& =d(x, z)+d(z, y),
\end{aligned}
$$

since $0 \leq T \leq S$ implies that $\|T\| \leq\|S\|$ for $T, S \in \mathfrak{A}$.
Now suppose that $\mathcal{R}$ has the Archimedean property. Let $N_{r}^{\delta}(x)$ be an arbitrary basis open set in $\tau_{\delta}^{\mathcal{R}}$ and let $y \in N_{r}^{\delta}(x)$. Since $\mathcal{R}$ is allowance, there is $T \triangleleft r-\delta(x, y)$ in $\mathcal{R}$ and so there is $\lambda_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{++}$ such that $\lambda_{0} \iota \triangleleft T$. We have $N_{\lambda_{0}}^{d}(y) \subseteq N_{r}^{\delta}(x)$, since for $z \in N_{\lambda_{0}}^{\delta}(y)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta(z, x) & \leq \delta(z, y)+\delta(y, x) \\
& \leq\|\delta(z, y)\| \iota+\delta(x, y) \\
& \leq d(z, y) \iota+\delta(x, y) \\
& \triangleleft \lambda_{0} \iota+\delta(x, y) \\
& \triangleleft T+\delta(x, y) \\
& =r .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $N_{r}^{\delta}(x) \in \tau_{d}$.
Now let $N_{\lambda}^{d}(x)$ be an arbitrary basis open set in $\tau_{d}$ and let $y \in N_{\lambda}^{d}(x)$. Let $r$ be a fixed element of $\mathcal{R}$ and let $r_{0}=\frac{\lambda_{0} r}{\|r\|}$, where $\lambda_{0}=\frac{1}{2}(\lambda-d(x, y))$. Then $r_{0} \in \mathcal{R} \bigcap \mathfrak{A}^{++}$and $N_{r_{0}}^{\delta}(x) \subset N_{\lambda}^{d}(x)$. To see this, let $z \in N_{r_{0}}^{\delta}(x)$. We have $\delta(z, y) \triangleleft r_{0}$, and since $r_{0}(x) \neq 0(x \in \mathcal{H})$, then $\delta(z, y)(x)<r_{0}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Thus $\|\delta(z, y)\| \leq\left\|r_{0}\right\|$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(z, x) & \leq d(z, y)+d(y, x) \\
& \leq\|d(z, y)\|+d(x, y) \\
& \leq\left\|r_{0}\right\|+d(x, y) \\
& =\lambda_{0}+d(x, y) \\
& <\lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $y$ in an interior point of $N_{\lambda}^{d}(x)$ with respect to $\tau_{\delta}^{\mathcal{R}}$ and so $N_{\lambda}^{d}(x) \in \tau_{\delta}^{\mathcal{R}}$.
According to the results obtained in this article and Mirzavaziri's article, it is possible to define the $C^{*}$-metric spaces with both commutative and noncommutative $C^{*}$-algebras.
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