Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 13 (2022) No. 1, 555-562 ISSN: 2008-6822 (electronic) http://dx.doi.org/10.22075/ijnaa.2020.19996.2116



n-Jordan *-derivations in Fréchet locally C^* -algebras

Javad Jamalzadeh^{a,*}, Khatereh Ghasemi^a, Shahram Ghaffary^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics, University of Sistan and Baluchestan, P.O. Box 98135-674, Zahedan, Iran

(Communicated by Themistocles Michael Rassias)

Abstract

Using the fixed point method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability and the superstability of n-Jordan *-derivations in Fréchet locally C^* -algebras for the following generalized Jensen-type functional equation

$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{a-b}{2}\right) = f(a).$$

Keywords: n-Jordan *-derivation; Fréchet locally *C**-algebra; Fréchet algebra; fixed point method; Hyers-Ulam stability 2010 MSC: Primary 17C65 ; Secondary 47H10; 39B52; 39B72; 46L05

1. Introduction and preliminaries

In this paper, assume that n is an integer greater than 1.

Definition 1.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{1\}$ and let A be a ring and B be an A-module. An additive map $D: A \to B$ is called n-Jordan derivation if

$$D(a^{n}) = D(a)a^{n-1} + aD(a)a^{n-2} + \ldots + a^{n-2}D(a)a + a^{n-1}D(a),$$

for all $a \in A$.

Received: June 2021 Accepted: July 2021

^{*}Corresponding author

Email addresses: jamalzadeh1980@math.usb.ac.ir (Javad Jamalzadeh), khatere.ghasemi@gmail.com, khatere.ghasemi@pgs.usb.ac.ir (Khatereh Ghasemi), shahram.ghaffary@gmail.com, shahram.ghaffary@pgs.usb.ac.ir (Shahram Ghaffary)

The concept of n-jordan derivations was studied by Eshaghi Ghordji. (see also [7, 8, 13]).

Definition 1.2. Let A , B be C^{*}-algebras. A \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $D : A \to B$ is called n-Jordan *-derivation if

$$D(a^{n}) = D(a)a^{n-1} + aD(a)a^{n-2} + \ldots + a^{n-2}D(a)a + a^{n-1}D(a),$$
$$D(a^{*}) = D(a)^{*}$$

for all $a \in A$.

We say functional equation (ξ) is stable if any function g satisfying the equation (ξ) approximately is near to the true solution of (ξ) . We say that a functional equation is superstable if every approximate solution is an exact solution of it.

The stability of functional equations was first introduced by Ulam [28] in 1940. More precisely, he proposed the following problem: Given a group G_1 , a metric group (G_2, d) and $\epsilon > 0$, does there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that if a mapping $f: G_1 \to G_2$ satisfies the inequality $d(f(ab), f(a)f(b)) < \delta$ for all $a, b \in G_1$, then there exists a homomorphism $T: G_1 \to G_2$ such that $d(f(a), T(a)) < \epsilon$ for all $a \in G_1$? As mentioned above, when this problem has a solution, we say that the homomorphisms from G_1 to G_2 are stable. In 1941, Hyers [16] gave a partial solution of Ulam s problem for the case of approximate additive mappings under the assumption that G_1 and G_2 are Banach spaces. In 1950, Aoki [2] generalized the Hyers' theorem for approximately additive mappings. In 1978, Rassias [27] generalized the theorem of Hyers by considering the stability problem with unbounded Cauchy differences.

Theorem 1.3. [27] Let $f : E \to E'$ be a mapping from a normed vector space E into a Banach space E' subject to the inequality

$$\|f(a+b) - f(a) - f(b)\| \le \epsilon (\|a\|^p + \|b\|^p)$$
(1.1)

for all $a, b \in E$, where ϵ and p are constants with $\epsilon > 0$ and p < 1. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $T : E \to E'$ such that

$$\|f(a) - T(a)\| \le \frac{2\epsilon}{2 - 2^p} \|a\|^p \tag{1.2}$$

for all $a \in E$. If p < 0 then inequality (1.1) holds for all $a, b \neq 0$, and (1.2) holds for $a \neq 0$. Also, if the function $t \to f(ta)$ from \mathbb{R} into E' is continuous for each fixed $a \in X$, then T is linear.

The result of the Rassias theorem was generalized by Forti [14] and Gavruta [15] who permitted the Cauchy difference to become arbitrary unbounded. Some results on the stability of functional equations in single variable and nonlinear iterative equations can be found in [1, 29]. During the last decades several stability problems of functional equations have been investigated by many mathematicians (see [6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25]).

Let X be a set. A function $d: X \times X \to [0, \infty]$ is called a *generalized metric* on X if d satisfies (1) d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y;

(1) d(x, y) = 0 in and only if x = y; (2) d(x, y) = d(y, x) for all $x, y \in X$;

(3) $d(x,z) \le d(x,y) + d(y,z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

We recall a fundamental result in fixed point theory.

Theorem 1.4. ([3, 5]) Let (X, d) be a complete generalized metric space and let $J : X \to X$ be a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant L < 1. Then for each given element $x \in X$, either

$$d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) = \infty$$

for all nonnegative integers n or there exists a positive integer n_0 such that

- (1) $d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) < \infty, \qquad \forall n \ge n_0;$
- (2) the sequence $\{J^n x\}$ converges to a fixed point y^* of J;
- (3) y^* is the unique fixed point of J in the set $Y = \{y \in X \mid d(J^{n_0}x, y) < \infty\};$
- (4) $d(y, y^*) \le \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, Jy)$ for all $y \in Y$.

Definition 1.5. A topological vector space X is a Fréchet space if it satisfies the following three properties:

(1) it is complete as a uniform space;

(2) it is locally convex;

(3) its topology can be induced by a translation invariant metric, i.e., a metric $d : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that d(x, y) = d(x + a, y + a) for all $a, x, y \in X$.

For more detailed definitions of such terminologies, we can refer to [9]. Note that a ternary algebra is called a ternary Fréchet algebra if it is a Fréchet space with a metric d.

Fréchet algebras, named after Maurice Fréchet, are special topological algebras as follows.

Note that the topology on A can be induced by a translation invariant metric, i.e. a metric $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that d(x, y) = d(x + a, y + a) for all $a, x, y \in X$.

Trivially, every Banach algebra is a Fréchet algebra as the norm induces a translation invariant metric and the space is complete with respect to this metric.

A locally C^* -algebra is a complete Hausdorff complex *-algebra A whose topology is determined by its continuous C^* -seminorms in the sense that a net $\{a_i\}_{i\in I}$ converges to 0 if and if the net $\{p(a_i)\}_{i\in I}$ converges to 0 for each continuous C^* -seminorm p on A (see [19, 26]). The set of all continuous C^* -seminorms on A is denoted by S(A). A Fréchet locally C^* -algebra is a locally C^* -algebra whose topology is determined by a countable family of C^* -seminorms. Clearly, any C^* -algebra is a Fréchet locally C^* -algebra.

For given two locally C^* -algebras A and B, a morphism of locally C^* -algebras from A to B is a continuous *-morphism φ from A to B. An isomorphism of locally C^* -algebras from A to B is a bijective mapping $\varphi : A \to B$ such that φ and φ^{-1} are morphisms of locally C^* -algebras.

Hilbert modules over locally C^* -algebras are generalization of Hilbert C^* -modules by allowing the inner product to take values in a locally C^* -algebra rather than in a C^* -algebra.

In this paper, using the fixed point method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability and the superstability of n-Jordan *-derivations in Fréchet locally C^* -algebras for the following generalized Jensen-type functional equation

$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{a-b}{2}\right) = f(a)$$

2. Stability of *n*-Jordan *-derivations

Lemma 2.1. ([23]) Let A, B be C^{*}-algebras, and let $D: A \to B$ be a mapping such that

$$\|D\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + D\left(\frac{a-b}{2}\right)\|_B \le \|D(a)\|_B,\tag{2.1}$$

for all $a, b \in A$. Then D is Cauchy additive.

Proof. By putting a = b = 0 in (2.1) we get $||2D(0)|| \le ||D(0)||$. So D(0) = 0, for all $a, b \in A$. Letting $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$, $y = \frac{a-b}{2}$ in (2.1), we conclude that D is additive

$$D(x) + D(y) = D(a) = D\left(\frac{a+b}{2} + \frac{a-b}{2}\right) = D(x+y).$$

Now, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability problem for n-Jordan *-derivations in Fréchet locally C^* - algebras.

Theorem 2.2. Let A, B be Fréchet locally C^{*}-algebras, and θ be nonnegative real number. Let $f: A \to B$ be a mapping such that

$$\|\mu f(\frac{a+b}{2}) + \mu f(\frac{a-b}{2}) - f(\mu a) + f(c^n) - f(c)c^{n-1} + cf(c)c^{n-2} + \dots + c^{n-2}f(c)c + c^{n-1}f(c) + f(d^*) - f(d)^*\|_B \le \theta$$
(2.2)

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1 := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| = 1\}$ and all $a, b, c, d \in A$. Then the mapping $f : A \to B$ is an *n*-Jordan *-derivation.

Proof. Suppose that $\mu = 1$ and c, d = 0 in (2.2) by Lemma 2.1, the mapping $f : A \to B$ is additive. By putting a = b and c = d = 0 in (2.2), we get

$$\|\mu f(\frac{2a}{2}) + \mu f(0) - f(\mu a)\| \le \theta,$$

for all $a \in A$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$. So

$$\mu f(a) = f(\mu a),$$

for all $a \in A$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$.

By [4, Theorem 2.1], the mapping $f: A \to B$ is C-Linear. Letting a = b = d = 0 in (2.2), we get

$$f(c^{n}) = f(c)c^{n-1} + cf(c)c^{n-2} + \ldots + c^{n-2}f(c)c + c^{n-1}f(c)$$

for all $c \in A$ and by letting a = b = c = 0 in (2.2), we have

$$f(d^*) = f(d)^*,$$

for all $d \in A$. Hence the mapping $f : A \to B$ is a *n*-Jordan *-derivation. \Box

Theorem 2.3. Let A, B be Frechet locally C^* -algebras and let θ be nonnegative real number. Let $f: A \to B$ be a mapping satisfying then the mapping $f: A \to B$ is a n-Jordan *-derivation

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2. \Box

Now we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of n-Jordan derivations in C^* -algebras.

Theorem 2.4. Let A, B be Fréchet locally C^{*}-algebras. Let $f : A \to B$ be a mapping for which there exists a function $\varphi : A^4 \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\psi(a, b, c, d) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \varphi(2^{i}a, 2^{i}b, 2^{i}c, 2^{i}d) < \infty,$$
(2.3)

$$\|\mu f(\frac{a+b}{2}) + \mu f(\frac{a-b}{2}) - f(\mu a) + f(c^{n}) - f(c)c^{n-1} + cf(c)c^{n-2} + \dots + c^{n-2}f(c)c + c^{n-1}f(c) + f(d^{*}) - f(d)^{*}\|_{B} \le \varphi(a,b,c,d)$$
(2.4)

for all $a, b, c, d \in A$ and all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$. Then there exists a unique n-Jordan *-derivation $D : A \to B$ such that

$$||f(a) - D(a)||_B \le \psi(a, a, 0, 0)$$
(2.5)

for all $a \in A$.

Proof. By putting $\mu = 1$ and b = c = d = 0 and replacing a by 2a in (2.4), we get

$$\|2f(\frac{2a}{2}) - f(2a)\|_B \le \varphi(2a, 0, 0, 0)$$
(2.6)

for all $a \in A$. Using the induction method, we have

$$\|f(a) - 2^{-n} f(2^n a)\|_B \le \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(2^i a, 0, 0, 0)$$
(2.7)

for all $a \in A$. Replace a by a^m in (2.6) and then divide by 2^m , we have

$$||f(a^m) - 2^{-n-m}f(2^{n+m}a)||_B \le \frac{1}{2^{n+m}} \sum_{i=m}^{m+n} \varphi(2^i a, 0, 0, 0)$$

for all $a \in A$. Hence, $\{2^{-n}f(2^n a)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since A is complete, then

$$D(a) = \lim_{n} 2^{-n} f(2^n a)$$

exists for all $a \in A$. By (2.4) one can show that

$$\|D(\frac{a+b}{2}) + D(\frac{a-b}{2}) - D(a)\|_{B}$$

$$= \lim_{n} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \|f(2^{n-1}(a+b)) + f(2^{n-1}(a-b)) - f(2^{n}a)\|_{B}$$

$$\leq \lim_{n} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \varphi(2^{n}a, 2^{n}b, 0, 0)$$
(2.8)

for all $a, b \in A$. So

$$D(\frac{a+b}{2}) + D(\frac{a-b}{2}) = D(a)$$

for all $a, b \in A$. Put $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$, $y = \frac{a-b}{2}$ in above equation, we have

$$D(x) + D(y) = D(a) = D(\frac{a+b}{2} + \frac{a-b}{2}) = D(x+y)$$

for all $x, y \in A$. Hence, D is Cauchy additive. On the other hand, we have

$$D(\mu a) - \mu D(a) = \lim_{n} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \|f(\mu 2^{n} a) - \mu f(2^{n} a)\|_{B} \le \lim_{n} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \varphi(2^{n} a, 2^{n} a, 0, 0) = 0$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$, and all $a \in A$. So it is easy to show that D is linear. It follow from (2.4) that

$$\begin{split} \|D(c^{n}) - D(c)c^{n-1} + cD(c)c^{n-2} + \dots + c^{n-2}D(c)c + c^{n-1}D(c)\|_{B} \\ &= \lim_{m} \|\frac{1}{2^{mn}}f(2^{m}c)^{n}) - \frac{1}{2^{mn}}(f(2^{m}2^{m(n-1)}c) + f(2^{2m}2^{m(n-2)}c) \\ &+ f(2^{3m}2^{m(n-3)}c))^{n} + \dots + f(2^{m(n-1)}2^{m}c)\|_{B} \leq \lim_{m} \frac{1}{2^{mn}}\varphi(0,0,0,2^{m}c) \\ &\leq \lim_{m} \frac{1}{2^{m}}\varphi(0,0,0,2^{m}c) \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

$$(2.9)$$

for all $c \in A$. and we have

$$||D(d^*) - D(d)^*||_B = \lim_n ||\frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n d^*) - \frac{1}{2^n} (f(2^n d))^*||_B$$

$$\leq \lim_n \frac{1}{2^{mn}} \varphi(0, 0, 0, 2^n d)$$

$$= 0$$
(2.10)

for all $d \in A$. Hence $D: A \to B$ is a unique *n*-Jordan *-derivation. \Box

Corollary 2.5. Let A, B be Fréchet locally C^* -algebras, and let $f : A \to B$ be a mapping with f(0) = 0 for which there exist constants $\theta \ge 0$ and $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 \in (-\infty, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu f(\frac{a+b}{2}) + \mu f(\frac{a-b}{2}) - f(\mu a) + f(c^{n}) - f(c)c^{n-1} + cf(c)c^{n-2} + \dots \\ + c^{n-2}f(c)c + c^{n-1}f(c) + f(d^{*}) - f(d)^{*} \|_{B} \\ \leq \theta(\|a\|^{p_{1}} + \|b\|^{p_{2}} + \|c\|^{p_{3}} + \|d\|^{p_{4}}) \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.11)$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in A$ and all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$. Then there exists a unique n-Jordan *-derivation $D : A \to B$ such that

$$\|f(a) - D(a)\|_B \le \frac{2\theta \|a\|_A^{p_1}}{2 - 2^{p_1}} \tag{2.12}$$

for all $a \in A$.

Proof. By putting $\varphi(a, b, c, d) = \theta(\|a\|^{p_1} + \|b\|^{p_2} + \|c\|^{p_3} + \|d\|^{p_4})$ in Theorem 2.3, we have

$$||f(a) - D(a)||_B \le \frac{2\theta ||a||_A^{p_1}}{2 - 2^{p_1}}$$

for all $a \in A$, as desired. \Box

Theorem 2.6. Let A, B be Fréchet locally C^{*}-algebras. Let $f : A \to B$ be a mapping for which there exists a function $\varphi : A^4 \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\psi(a,b,c,d) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{i} \varphi(2^{-i}a, 2^{-i}b, 2^{-i}c, 2^{-i}d) < \infty,$$
(2.13)

$$\|\mu f(\frac{a+b}{2}) + \mu f(\frac{a-b}{2}) - f(\mu a) + f(c^{n}) - f(c)c^{n-1} + cf(c)c^{n-2} + \dots + c^{n-2}f(c)c + c^{n-1}f(c) + f(d^{*}) - f(d)^{*}\|_{B} \le \varphi(a, b, c, d)$$
(2.14)

for all $a, b, c, d \in A$ and all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$. Then there exists a unique n-Jordan *-derivation $D : A \to B$ such that

$$||f(a) - D(a)||_B \le \psi(a, a, 0, 0) \tag{2.15}$$

for all $a \in A$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mu = 1$ and b = c = d = 0 in (2.14), we get

$$\|f(a) - 2f(2^{-1}a)\|_B \le \varphi(a, 0, 0, 0)$$
(2.16)

for all $a \in A$. Using the induction method, we have

$$\|f(a) - 2^n f(2^{-n}a)\|_B \le \sum_{i=1}^n 2^i \varphi(2^{-i}a, 0, 0, 0)$$
(2.17)

for all $a \in A$. Replace a by a^m in (2.17) and then divide by 2^m , we have

$$||f(a^m) - 2^{n+m} f(2^{-n-m}a)||_B \le \sum_{i=m}^{m+n} 2^i \varphi(2^{-i}a, 0, 0, 0)$$

for all $a \in A$. Hence, $\{2^n f(2^{-n}a)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since A is complete, then

$$D(a) = \lim_{n} 2^n f(2^{-n}a)$$

exists for all $a \in A$. By (2.14) one can show that

$$\|D(\frac{a+b}{2}) + D(\frac{a-b}{2}) - D(a)\|_{B}$$

$$= \lim_{n} 2^{n} \|f(2^{-n-1}(a+b)) + f(2^{-n-1}(a-b)) - 2f(2^{-n}a)\|_{B}$$

$$\leq \lim_{n} 2^{n} \varphi(2^{-n}a, 2^{-n}b, 0, 0)$$
(2.18)

for all $a, b \in A$. So

$$D(\frac{a+b}{2}) + D(\frac{a-b}{2}) = D(a)$$

for all $a, b \in A$. Put $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$, $y = \frac{a-b}{2}$ in above equation, we have

$$D(x) + D(y) = D(a) = D(\frac{a+b}{2} + \frac{a-b}{2}) = D(x+y)$$

for all $x, y \in A$. Hence, D is Cauchy additive.

The rest of proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3. \Box

Corollary 2.7. Let A, B be Fréchet locally C^* -algebras, and let $f : A \to B$ be a mapping with f(0) = 0 for which there exist constants $\theta \ge 0$ and $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 \in (-\infty, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu f(\frac{a+b}{2}) + \mu f(\frac{a-b}{2}) - f(\mu a) + f(c^{n}) - f(c)c^{n-1} + cf(c)c^{n-2} + \dots \\ + c^{n-2}f(c)c + c^{n-1}f(c) + f(d^{*}) - f(d)^{*} \|_{B} \\ \leq \theta(\|a\|^{p_{1}} + \|b\|^{p_{2}} + \|c\|^{p_{3}} + \|d\|^{p_{4}}) \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.19)$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in A$ and all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$. Then there exists a unique n-Jordan *-derivation $D : A \to B$ such that

$$\|f(a) - D(a)\|_{B} \le \frac{r\theta \|a\|_{A}^{p_{1}}}{2 - 2^{p_{1}}}$$
(2.20)

for r < 1 and all $a \in A$.

Proof. Letting $\varphi(a, b, c, d) = \theta(||a||^{p_1} + ||b||^{p_2} + ||c||^{p_3} + ||d||^{p_4})$ in Theorem 2.5, we have

$$||f(a) - D(a)||_B \le \frac{r\theta ||a||_A^{p_1}}{2 - 2^{p_1}}$$

for r < 1 and all $a \in A$, as desired. \Box

References

- R.P. Agarwal and B. Xu, W. Zhang, Stability of functional equations in single variable, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 288 (2003) 852–869.
- [2] T. Aoki, On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces, J. Math. Soc. Japan 2 (1950) 64–66.
- [3] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, Fixed points and the stability of Jensen's functional equation, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 4(1) (2003) 1–15.
- [4] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, On the stability of the Cauchy functional equation: a fixed point approach, Grazer. Math. Ber. 346 (2004) 43–52.
- [5] J. Diaz and B. Margolis, A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 74 (1968) 305–309.
- [6] S. Czerwik, Function Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, USA, 2002.
- [7] M. Eshaghi Gordji, On approximate n-ring homomorphisms and n-ring derivations, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl. (2009) 1–8.
- [8] M. Eshaghi Gordji, Nearly Ring Homomorphisms and Nearly Ring Derivations on Non-Archimedean Banach Algebras, Abst. Appl. Anal. 2010 (2010) 1–12.
- M. Eshaghi Gordji and Z. Alizadeh, Stability and superstability of ring homomorphisms on nonarchimedean banach algebras, Abst. Appl. Anal. 2011 (2011) 1–10.
- [10] M. Eshaghi Gordji, A. Bodaghi and I.A. Alias, On the stability of quadratic double centralizers and quadratic multipliers: a fixed point approach, J. Ineq. Appl. 2011 (2011) 1–12.
- [11] M. Eshaghi Gordji, M.B. Ghaemi, S. Kaboli Gharetapeh, S. Shams and A. Ebadian, On the stability of J^{*}derivations, J. Geom. Phys. 60 (2010) 454–459.
- [12] M. Eshaghi Gordji, N. Ghobadipour and C. Park, Jordan *-homomorphisms on C*-algebras, Oper. Mat. 5 (2011) 541–551.
- [13] A. Ebadian, Approximately n-Jordan derivations: a fixed point approach, preprint.
- [14] G.L. Forti, Hyers-Ulam Stability of functional equations in several variables, Aequationes Math. 50 (1995) 143– 190.
- [15] P. Gavruta A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam Stability of approximately additive mapping, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 184 (1994) 431–436.
- [16] D.H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 27 (1941) 222–224.
- [17] D.H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias, Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications, Boston, MA, USA, 1998.
- [18] D.H. Hyers and Th.M. Rassias, Approximate homomorphisms Aequat. Math. 44 (1992) 125–153.
- [19] A. Inoue, Locally C*-algebra, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. A. 25 (1971) 197–235.
- [20] G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias, On The Hyers-Ulam Stability of ψ-additive mapping, J. Approx. Theory 72 (1993) 131–137.
- [21] G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias, Stability of ψ -additive mapping, J. Math. Sci. 19 (1996) 219–228.
- [22] S. Jung, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis, Harmonic Press, Palm Harbor, FL, USA, 2001.
- [23] C. Park, Homomorphisms between Poisson JC*-algebras, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. 36 (2005) 79–97.
- [24] C. Park, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras, Bull. Sci. Math. 132 (2008) 87–96.
- [25] C. Park and J.M. Rassias, Stability of the Jensen-type functional equation in C^{*}-algebras: a fixed point approach, Abst. Appl. Anal. 2009 (2009) 1–17.
- [26] N.C. Philips, Inverse limits of C*-algebras, J. Operator Theory 19 (1988) 159–195.
- [27] Th.M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1978) 297–300.
- [28] S.M. Ulam, Problems in Modern Mathematics, Chapter VI. Science ed. Wily, New York, 1940.
- [29] B. Xu and W. Zhang, Hyers-Ulam stability for a nonlinear iterative equation, Colloq. Math. 93 (2002) 1–9.