

A new extragradient-viscosity algorithm for finite families of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and variational inequality problems in Banach spaces

Imo Kalu Agwu*, Donatus Ikechi Igbokwe

Department of Mathematics, Micheal Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Umuahia Abia State, Nigeria

(Communicated by Madjid Eshaghi Gordji)

Abstract

In this paper, a new approach for finding common element of the set of solutions of the variational inequality problem for accretive mappings and the set of fixed points for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings is introduced and studied. Consequently, strong convergence results for finite families of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and variational inequality problems are established in the setting of uniformly convex Banach space and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space. Furthermore, we prove that a slight modification of our novel scheme could be applied in finding common element of solution of variational inequality problems in Hilbert space. Our results improve, extend and generalize several recently announced results in literature.

Keywords: Asymptotically nonexpansive mapping, Banach space, Common fixed point, Extragradient-Viscosity algorithm, Strong convergence, Variational inequality
2020 MSC: 47H09, 47H10, 47J05, 65J15

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we assume, unless otherwise specified, that C is a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a Banach space E whose dual space is represented by E^* , $D(T)$ and $R(T)$ are the domain and range of T , N , R , R_+ , \rightarrow and \rightharpoonup will denote the set of natural numbers, the set of real numbers, the set of nonnegative real numbers, strong convergence and weak convergence respectively. In what follows, the mapping $J : E \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$ defined by

$$J(x) = \{x^* \in E^* : \langle x, x^* \rangle = \|x\| \|x^*\|, \|x\| = \|x^*\|\}, \quad (1.1)$$

is called normalised duality mapping, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the generalized duality pairing of elements between E and E^* . It is well known that E is smooth if and only if J is single-valued, uniformly smooth if and only if each duality map J is norm-to-norm uniformly continuous on bounded subset of E . (see [3], [40] for more details on the duality mapping and its properties).

A Banach space is said to have a weakly continuous duality map, in the sense of Browder [9], if there exists a gauge function $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\phi(0) = 0$ such that the duality map J with the gauge function ϕ is single-valued

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: agwuimo@mail.com (Imo Kalu Agwu), igbokwedi@yahoo.com (Donatus Ikechi Igbokwe)

and is weak-to-weak* sequentially continuous; that is, if $\{x_n\} \subset E, x_n \xrightarrow{w} x$, then $J_\phi(x_n) \xrightarrow{w^*} J_\phi(x)$. It is known that $\ell^p(1 < p < \infty)$ has a weakly continuous duality map with guage function $\phi(t) = t^{p-1}$, see for example [3] for more details.

Let C be nonempty subset of a real Banach space E . Let $S, T : C \rightarrow C$ be two given nonlinear mappings. The set of common fixed point of the two mappings S and T will be denoted by $\mathcal{F} = F(S) \cap F(T)$.

Definition 1.1. Recall that a nonlinear mapping T is said to be:

- (a) Lipschitzian if there exists a constant L such that

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq L\|x - y\|, \forall x, y \in D(T), \tag{1.2}$$

where L is the Lipschitzian constant of T . If $L \in (0, 1)$ in (1.2), then T is called contraction. Note that (1.2) is equivalent to the following property: for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a constant $k_n > 0$ such that

$$\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq k_n \|x - y\|, \forall x, y \in D(T). \tag{1.3}$$

For a Lipschitzian mapping $T : C \rightarrow C$, we call T :

- (b) uniformly Lipschitzian if $k_n = L$ and (1.3) reduces to

$$\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq L\|x - y\|, \forall x, y \in D(T),$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$;

- (c) nonexpansive if $k_n = 1$ in (1.3) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$; that is,

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|, \forall x, y \in D(T). \tag{1.4}$$

- (d) asymptotically nonexpansive [18] if for all $x, y \in D(T)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a sequence $k_n \subset [1, \infty)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 1$ such that (1.3) is satisfied; that is, for every $x, y \in D(T)$, there exists a constant $k_n \in [1, \infty)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 1$ such that the following inequality:

$$\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq k_n \|x - y\| \tag{1.5}$$

holds.

Remark 1.2. If we denote the classes of mappings which are nonexpansive, asymptotically nonexpansive, uniformly Lipschitzian, Lipschitzian, uniformly continuous and continuous by $(N), (AN), (UL), (L), (UC)$ and C respectively, then the following relationship:

$$(N) \subset (AN) \subset (UL) \subset (L) \subset (UC) \subset (C) \tag{1.6}$$

holds well (see, for example, [44] for details).

Definition 1.3. A nonlinear mapping $T : C \rightarrow C$ is called:

- (a*) quasi-nonexpansive if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and condition (c) in Definition 1.1 is satisfied; that is, for every $(x, q) \in (C \times F(T))$, the following inequality:

$$\|Tx - q\| \leq \|x - q\| \tag{1.7}$$

holds.

Example 1.4. (see [21]) Let $X = R$ be a normed linear space and $C = [0, 1]$. For each $x \in C$, we define

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \lambda x, & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $0 < \lambda < 1$. It is clear that $F(T) = \{0\}$. Now, take $q = 0$, then T is asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mapping with constant sequence $\{1\}$.

The following examples show further relationship between nonexpansive, quasi-nonexpansive and asymptotically non-expansive mappings.

Example 1.5. (see [13]) Let $H = R^1$ and define a mapping $T : H \rightarrow H$ by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{2} \sin \frac{1}{x}, & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, T is quasi-nonexpansive but not nonexpansive.

Example 1.6. (see [44], [45]) Let $X = \ell^p$, where $i < p < \infty$ and define a mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$Tx = (0, x_1^2, a_2x_2, a_3x_3, \dots), \forall x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots),$$

where $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a sequence of real numbers such that $a_2 > 0, a_n \in (0, 1)$ for $n \neq 2$ and $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n = \frac{1}{2}$. Then, $F(T) \neq \emptyset, T \in (AN) \setminus (QN)$ and $T \in (AN) \setminus (N)$.

Example 1.7. (see [46]) Let $X = R$ and $C = [0, 1]$. For each $x \in C$, define a mapping $T : C \rightarrow C$ by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} kx, & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}; \\ \frac{k}{2k-1}(k-x), & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq k; \\ 0, & \text{if } k \leq x \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\frac{1}{2} < k < 1$. Then, $T \in (AN) \setminus (N)$.

Remark 1.8. From (1.6) and the examples above, it is clear that the class of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings is larger than the classes of nonexpansive and quasi-nonexpansive mappings.

It is interesting to note that a wide range of real life problems arising from different areas of optimisation, engineering, variational inequalities, differential equations, mathematical sciences can be modeled by the equation of the form:

$$x = Tx, \tag{1.8}$$

where T is a nonexpansive mapping. The solution set of the problem defined by (1.8) coincides with the fixed point set of T . Different researchers have studied this type of operator in recent times, and more investigations are still on going to expose some of the practical implications of its inherent properties (see, for example, [5], [20], [32] for more details).

Definition 1.9. Let C be a nonempty subset of a real Banach space E . Recall that an operator $A : C \rightarrow E$ is called :

(a) accretive if there exists $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$ such that

$$\langle Ax - Ay, j(x - y) \rangle \geq 0, \forall x, y \in C. \tag{1.9}$$

(b) α -inverse strongly accretive if for some $\alpha > 0$

$$\langle Ax - Ay, j(x - y) \rangle \geq \alpha \|x - y\|, \forall x, y \in C. \tag{1.10}$$

Remark 1.10. In Hilbert spaces, the normalized duality map is the identity map. Hence, in Hilbert space, accretivity and monotonicity coincide.

The following are some recent studies carried out on variational inequality problems for accretive and α -inverse strongly accretive operators:

In [1], Aoyama et al considered the following general variational inequality problem in the setting of a real Banach space: find a point $x^* \in C$ such that, for some $j(x - x^*) \in J(x - x^*)$ such that

$$\langle Ax^*, j(x - x^*) \rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in C. \tag{1.11}$$

The solution set of (1.11) is denoted by $VI(C, A)$; that is,

$$VI(C, A) = \{x^* \in C : \langle Ax^*, j(x - x^*) \rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in C\}.$$

Recently, Ceng et al [29] considered the following inequality problem: find $(x^*, y^*) \in C \times C$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \langle \lambda Ay^* + x^* - y^*, x - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in C, \\ \langle \mu Bx^* + y^* - x^*, x - y^* \rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in C, \end{cases} \tag{1.12}$$

which is called general system of variational inequality, where $A, B : C \rightarrow H$ are nonlinear mappings and $\lambda, \mu > 0$ are two constants. Simultaneously, they introduced an iteration scheme for finding common element of solutions of (1.12) and the fixed point problem of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert space; and strong convergence theorems were achieved under appropriate condition on the iteration parameters.

Very recently, Yao et al [43] studied the following general system of variational inequality problem in the setting of a real Banach space E : find $(x^*, y^*) \in C \times C$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \langle Ay^* + x^* - y^*, j(x - x^*) \rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in C, \\ \langle Bx^* + y^* - x^*, j(x - y^*) \rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in C, \end{cases} \tag{1.13}$$

where $A, B : C \rightarrow H$ are nonlinear mappings.

Most recently, Cai, Shehu and Iyiola [2] introduced and studied the following general system of variational inequality problem in the framework of a real Banach space: find $(x^*, y^*) \in C \times C$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \langle \lambda Ay^* + x^* - y^*, j(x - x^*) \rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in C, \\ \langle \mu Bx^* + y^* - x^*, j(x - y^*) \rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in C, \end{cases} \tag{1.14}$$

where $A, B : C \rightarrow H$ are nonlinear mappings and $\lambda, \mu > 0$ are two constants. Observe that if $\lambda = 1 = \mu$, then (1.14) reduces to (1.13).

We note here that the constraints of variety of real life problems inherent in image recovery, resource allocation, signal processing, etc can be expressed as the variational inequality problem. Consequently, the problem of finding solutions of variational inequality problems is currently an interesting area of research for a good number of renown mathematicians in nonlinear operator theory.

To solve the variational inequality problem of (1.11), Aoyama et al [1] studied the following algorithm:

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) Q_C(I - \lambda_n A)x_n, \tag{1.15}$$

where Q_C is sunny nonexpansive retraction from E onto C and $\alpha_n \in (0, 1)$ and $\{\lambda_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$ are real number sequences. They proved the following weak convergent result:

Theorem 1.11. (Aoyama et al [1])

Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of 2-uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space E . Let Q_C be the sunny nonexpansive retraction from E onto C . Let $A : C \rightarrow E$ be an α -inverse-strongly accretive operator with $VI(C, A) \neq \emptyset$. If $\{\lambda_n\}$ and $\{\alpha_n\}$ are chosen so that $\lambda_n \in [a, \frac{\alpha}{K^2}]$ for some $a > 0$ and $\alpha_n \in [b, c]$ for some b, c with $0 < b < c < 1$, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.15) converges weakly to z , a solution of the variational inequality (1.11), where the real number K is the 2-uniformly smoothness constant of the Banach space E .

In approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings, many researchers in operator theory has employed the viscosity approximation method which was introduced by Moudafi [32] in the following manner: Let C be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a real Banach space E . Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a nonexpansive mapping such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $f : C \rightarrow C$ be a contraction mapping. The viscosity iteration method is defined as follows:

For $x_0 \in C$, let $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence generated by

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) T x_n, \tag{1.16}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence of real numbers in $(0, 1)$. Under appropriate conditions, the sequence defined by (1.16) converges to a fixed point of T .

Recently, Cai, Shehu and Iyiola [2] introduced the following iterative scheme: Let C be a nonempty, closed subset of a real uniformly convex and 2–uniformly smooth Banach space E . Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $f : C \rightarrow C$ be a contraction mapping. Then, the extragradient-viscosity iteration method for the above mapping and problem (1.14) is defined as follows:

$$\begin{cases} x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n T^n z_n; \\ z_n = Q_C(I - \lambda A)u_n; \\ u_n = Q_C(I - \mu B)x_n, \end{cases} \tag{1.17}$$

Under suitable conditions on the iteration parameters, they proved strong convergence theorem of the sequence defined by (1.17) to common element of solution of the variational inequality problem (1.14) and fixed point problem of asymptotically nonexpansive mapping. More precisely, they proved the following theorem:

Theorem 1.12. (Cai, Shehu, Iyiola [2])

Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of 2-uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space X , which admits weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping. Assume that C is a sunny nonexpansive retract of X and let Q_C be the sunny nonexpansive retraction of X onto C . Let $A, B : C \rightarrow X$ be α -inverse-strongly accretive and β -inverse-strongly accretive mappings, respectively. Let $f : C \rightarrow C$ be a δ -strict contraction of C into itself with coefficient $\rho \in (0, 1)$. Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be asymptotically nonexpansive self mapping on C such that $\mathcal{F} = F(T) \cap F(G) \neq \emptyset$, where G is as defined by Lemma 2.9. For arbitrarily chosen $x_1 \in C$, let the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be defined iteratively as follows:

$$\begin{cases} x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n T^n z_n; \\ z_n = Q_C(I - \lambda A)u_n; \\ u_n = Q_C(I - \mu B)x_n, \end{cases}$$

where $0 < \lambda < \frac{\alpha}{K^2}, 0 < \mu < \frac{\beta}{K^2}$. Suppose $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}$ are sequences in $[0, 1]$ satisfying suitable conditons. Then, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $q^* = Q_{Ff}(q)$ and (q, q^*) is a solution of problem (1.14), where $q^* = Q_C(q - \mu Sq)$ Q_F is the sunny nonexpansive retraction of C onto F .

Moltivated and inspired by the idea in [16] and other information above, we introduce a new mapping as follows: Let C be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a real uniformly convex and 2–uniformly smooth Banach space E . Let $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^N, \{B_i\}_{i=1}^N : C \rightarrow X$ be finite families of α -inverse-strongly accretive and β -inverse-strongly accretive operators, respectively and $\{\delta_{n,i}\}_{i=1}^N$ be a real sequence in $[0, 1]$. We define the mapping $Z : C \rightarrow C$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} w_{n,0} = I; \\ w_{n,1} = \delta_{n,1} G_{\lambda\mu}^1 w_{n,0} + (1 - \delta_{n,1})w_{n,0}; \\ w_{n,2} = \delta_{n,1} G_{\lambda\mu}^2 w_{n,1} + (1 - \delta_{n,2})w_{n,1}; \\ \vdots \\ w_{n,N-1} = \delta_{n,N-1} G_{\lambda\mu}^{N-1} w_{n,N-2} + (1 - \delta_{n,N-1})w_{n,N-2}; \\ Z_n = w_{n,N} = \delta_{n,N} G_{\lambda\mu}^N w_{n,N-1} + (1 - \delta_{n,N})w_{n,N-1}, \end{cases} \tag{1.18}$$

where $\{G_{\lambda\mu}^i\}_{i=1}^N = Q_C[Q_C(I - \mu_i B_i) - \lambda_i A_i(I - \mu_i B_i)], 0 < \lambda_i < \frac{\alpha}{K^2}, 0 < \mu_i < \frac{\beta}{K^2}$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, K^2 is a uniform smoothness constant and I is the identity mapping. The above mapping Z_n is called Z -mapping generated by $G_{\lambda\mu}^1, G_{\lambda\mu}^2, \dots, G_{\lambda\mu}^N$ and $\delta_{n,1}, \delta_{n,2}, \dots, \delta_{n,N}$.

Using the above definition, we introduce an iterative scheme for finding a common solution for fixed point problem of finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and finite family of variational inequality problems as follows:

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in C; \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_{n,i} S_i^n y_n; \\ y_n = Z_n x_n, \end{cases} \tag{1.19}$$

where Z_n is as defined in (1.18) and $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}$ are sequences in $[0, 1]$ with $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{n,i} = 1$. In addition, we obtain strong convergence theorems of the scheme defined by (1.19) under some suitable conditions on the control sequences in the setting of 2-uniformly smooth and uniformly convex real Banach space, which admits weakly sequentially duality mapping.

Remark 1.13. The following remarks are evident from (1.19):

(1) If $i = 1$ and $\delta_{n,1} = \delta_n = 1, \gamma_{n,1} = \gamma_n, A_1 = A$ and $B_1 = B$, then (1.19) reduces to:

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in C; \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n S^n y_n; \\ y_n = Q_C[Q_C(x_n - \mu Bx_n) - \lambda A(x_n - \mu Bx_n)], \end{cases} \tag{1.20}$$

(2) If $\mu = 0 = \lambda$ in (1.20), then we get

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n S^n x_n, \tag{1.21}$$

where $x_0 \in C$.

(3) If $\mu = 0 = \lambda$ in (1.19), then we get

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_{n,i} S_i^n x_n, \tag{1.22}$$

where $x_0 \in C$.

(4) If $\delta_{n,i} = 1, B_i = I, \mu_i = 0, \alpha_n = 0 = \beta_n$ and $S_i = S = I$, where I is the identity mapping, (1.19) reduces to:

$$x_{n+1} = \gamma_{n,0} x_n + \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{n,i} Q_C(I - \lambda_i A_i) x_n, \tag{1.23}$$

where $\sum_{i=0}^N \gamma_{in} = 1$.

(5) If $B_i = I, \mu_i = 0, \alpha_n = 0 = \beta_n$ and $S_i = S = I$, where I is the identity mapping, (1.19) reduces to:

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in C; \\ x_{n+1} = \gamma_{n,0} x_n + \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_{n,i} y_n; \\ y_n = Z_n x_n, \end{cases} \tag{1.24}$$

where $\sum_{i=0}^N \gamma_{in} = 1$.

Note that (1.17) is the same as (1.20), (1.21) is more general than (1.16) since T is a subclass of S , (1.23) generalizes (1.15) while (1.21) is more general than (1.20). Consequently, the results presented in this paper extend, improve and generalize some recently announced results in the existing literature (see, for example, [1]-[10], [17], [27]-[53] and the reference therein).

2 Preliminary

For the sake of convenience, we restate the following concepts and results:

Let E be a Banach space with its dimension greater than or equal to 2. The modulus of convexity of E is a function $\delta_E(\varepsilon) : (0, 2] \rightarrow (0, 2]$ defined by

$$\delta_E(\varepsilon) = \inf \{ 1 - \frac{1}{2} \|x + y\| : \|x\| = 1, \|y\| = 1, \varepsilon = \|x - y\| \}.$$

A Banach space E is uniformly convex if and if $\delta_E(\varepsilon) > 0$, for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$.

Let E be a normed linear space and let $S = \{x \in E : \|x\| = 1\}$. E is called smooth if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\|x + ty\| - \|x\|}{t}$$

exists for each $x, y \in S$. E is called uniformly smooth if it is smooth and the limit above is attained uniformly for each $x, y \in S$.

Let E be a normed space with dimension greater than or equal to 2. The modulus of smoothness of E is the function $\rho_E : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\rho_E(\tau) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|x + y\| + \|x - y\|}{2} - 1 : \|x\| = 1, \|y\| = \tau \right\}.$$

It is known that a normed linear space E is uniformly smooth if

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho_E(\tau)}{\tau} = 0.$$

Note that if there exists a constant $c > 0$ and a real number $q > 1$ such that $\rho_E(\tau) \leq c\tau$, then E is called q -uniformly smooth. Typical examples of smooth spaces are L_p, ℓ_p and W_p^m for $1 < p < \infty$, where L_p, ℓ_p or W_p^m is 2-uniformly smooth and p -uniformly convex if $2 \leq p < \infty$; 2-uniformly convex and p -uniformly smooth if $1 < p < 2$.

Let D be a subset of C and let Q be a mapping of C into D . The Q is said to sunny if

$$Q(Qx + t(x - Qx)) = Qx, \tag{2.1}$$

whenever $Qx + t(x - Qx) \in C$ and $t \geq 0$. A mapping Q of C into itself is called a retraction if $Q^2 = Q$. If mapping Q into itself is a retraction, then $Qz = z$ for every $z \in R(Q)$, where $R(Q)$ is the range of Q . A subset D of C is called a sunny nonexpansive retract of C if there exists a sunny nonexpansive retraction from C onto D . The following three lemmas [2.1, 2.2, 2.3] are known for sunny nonexpansive retraction:

Lemma 2.1. (see [28]) Let C be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space E and let T be a nonexpansive mapping of C into itself with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Then the set $F(T)$ is a sunny nonexpansive retraction on C .

Lemma 2.2. (see [20]) Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth Banach space E and let Q_C be a retraction from E onto C . Let D be a nonempty subset of C . Let $Q : C \rightarrow D$ be a retraction and J be a normalised duality map on E . Then, the following are equivalent:

- (i) Q_C is both sunny and nonexpansive;
- (ii) $\|Qx - Qy\|^2 \leq \langle x - y, J(Ox - Qy) \rangle, \forall x, y \in C$;
- (iii) $\langle x - Q_Cx, J(y - Q_Cx) \rangle \leq 0, \forall x \in E$ and $y \in CD$ nonumber.

It is well known that if E is a Hilbert space, then a sunny nonexpansive retraction Q_C coincides with the metric projection P_C from E onto C . Let C be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a smooth Banach space $E, x \in E$ and $x_0 \in C$. Then, we have from Lemma 2.2 that $x_0 \in Q_Cx$ if and only if $\langle x - x_0, J(y - x_0) \rangle \leq 0, \forall y \in C$, where Q_C is a sunny nonexpansive retraction from E onto C .

Lemma 2.3. (see [1]) Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth Banach space E, Q_C be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from E onto C and A be an accretive operator of C into E . Then, for all $\lambda > 0$,

$$VI(C, A) = Fix(Q_C(I - \lambda A)).$$

Proposition 2.4. (see [2], also see [28, Theorem 4]) Let D be a closed and convex subset of a reflexive Banach space E with a uniformly Gateaux differentiable norm. If C is nonexpansive retract of D , then it in fact a sunny nonexpansive retract of D .

Lemma 2.5. (see [31]) Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be bounded sequences in a Banach space E and let β_n be a sequence in $[0, 1]$, which satisfies the following condition: $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$. Suppose $x_{n+1} = \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n)y_n, n \geq 0$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0$. Then, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - x_n\| = 0$.

Lemma 2.6. (see [48]) Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers with $a_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)a_n + b_n, n \geq 0$, where α_n is a sequence in $(0, 1)$ and b_n is a sequence in R such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n = \infty$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{\alpha_n} \leq 0$. Then, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$.

Lemma 2.7. (see [25], [37]) Let E be a real smooth and uniformly convex Banach space and $r > 0$. Then there exists a strictly increasing, continuous and convex function $g : [0, 2r] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $g(0) = 0$ such that $g(\|x - y\| \leq \|x\|^2 - 2\langle x, j(y) \rangle + \|y\|^2$, for all $x, y \in B_r$.

Lemma 2.8. (see [9]) Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real 2-uniformly smooth Banach space E . Let the mapping $A : C \rightarrow E$ be an α -inverse-strongly accretive. Then, we have the following:

$$\|(I - \lambda A)x - (I - \lambda A)y\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + 2\lambda(\lambda K^2 - \alpha)\|Ax - Ay\|^2,$$

where $\lambda > 0$. In particular, if $0 < \lambda \leq \frac{\alpha}{K^2}$, then $I - \lambda A$ is nonexpansive.

Lemma 2.9. (see [9]) Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real 2-uniformly smooth Banach space E . Assume that C is sunny nonexpansive retract of E and let Q_C be a sunny nonexpansive retraction of E onto C . Let the mappings $A, B : C \rightarrow E$ be α -inverse-strongly accretive and β -inverse-strongly accretive respectively. Let $G : C \rightarrow C$ be a mapping defined by

$$G(x) = Q_C[Q_C(x - \mu Bx) - \lambda A Q_C(x - \mu Bx)], \forall x \in C.$$

If $0 < \lambda < \frac{\alpha}{K^2}$ and $0 < \mu < \frac{\beta}{K^2}$, then $G : C \rightarrow C$ is nonexpansive.

Lemma 2.10. (see [14]) Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real 2-uniformly smooth Banach space E and let Q_C be a sunny nonexpansive retraction of E onto C . $A, B : C \rightarrow E$ be two nonlinear mappings. For a given $x^*, y^* \in C$, (x^*, y^*) is a solution to problem (1.18) if and only if $x^* = Q_C(y^* - \lambda A y^*)$, where $y^* = Q_C(x^* - \mu B x^*)$, that is $x^* = G x^*$, where G is as defined by Lemma 2.9.

Lemma 2.11. (see [1],[14]) Let E be a real Banach space and $J : E \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$ be a normalised duality mapping, then for any $x, y \in E$, the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + y\|^2 &\leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, j(x + y) \rangle, \forall j(x + y) \in J(x + y); \\ \|x + y\|^2 &\geq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, j(x) \rangle, \forall j(x) \in J(x); \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.12. (see [3]) Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real uniformly convex Banach space E and let T a nonexpansive mapping of C into itself. If $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence of C such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $x_n - T x_n \rightarrow 0$, then x is a fixed point of T .

Lemma 2.13. (see [30]) Let E be a Banach space satisfying weakly continuous duality map, K a nonempty closed convex subset of E and let $T : K \rightarrow K$ be an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping with a fixed point. Then $I - T$ is demiclosed at zero; if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence of K such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and if $x_n - T x_n \rightarrow 0$, then $x - T x = 0$.

Lemma 2.14. (see [2]) Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H and $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a k -strictly pseudocontractive mapping. Define $A = I - T : C \rightarrow H$. Then, A is $\frac{1-k}{2}$ -inverse-strongly accretive mapping; that is, for all $x, y \in C$,

$$\langle x - y, Ax - Ay \rangle \geq \frac{1-k}{2} \|Ax - Ay\|^2.$$

3 Results

Lemma 3.1. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of 2-uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space X whose norm is strictly convex. Let $\{A_i\}_i^m, \{B_i\}_i^m : C \rightarrow C$ be finite families of α -inverse-strongly accretive and β -inverse-strongly accretive operators, respectively such that $\cap_{i=1}^m F(G_{\lambda\mu}^i) \neq \emptyset$. where $G_{\lambda\mu}^i$ is as defined in (1.18). Let $\{\delta_{n,i}\}_{i=1}^N$ be a sequence of real numbers such that $0 \leq \delta_{n,i} \leq 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Let Z_n be the Z -mapping generated by $\{G_{\lambda\mu}^i\}_{i=1}^N$ and $\{\delta_{n,i}\}_{i=1}^N$. Then, $\{w_{n,i}\}_{i=1}^{N-1}$ and Z are nonexpansive. Moreover, $Fix(Z) = \cap_{i=1}^m F(G_{\lambda\mu}^i)$.

Proof . For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, $G_{\lambda\mu}^i$ is nonexpansive (see lemma 2.7). Thus, from (1.18), we have the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_{n,1}x - w_{n,1}y\| &= \|\delta_{n,1}G_{\lambda\mu}^1x + (1 - \delta_{n,1})x - (\delta_{n,1}G_{\lambda\mu}^1y + (1 - \delta_{n,1})y)\| \\ &\leq \delta_{n,1}\|G_{\lambda\mu}^1x - G_{\lambda\mu}^1y\| + (1 - \delta_{n,1})\|x - y\| \\ &\leq \delta_{n,1}\|x - y\| + (1 - \delta_{n,1})\|x - y\| \\ &\leq \|x - y\|, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_{n,2}x - w_{n,2}y\| &= \|\delta_{n,2}G_{\lambda\mu}^2w_{n,1}x + (1 - \delta_{n,2})w_{n,1}x - (\delta_{n,2}G_{\lambda\mu}^2w_{n,1}y + (1 - \delta_{n,2})w_{n,1}y)\| \\ &\leq \delta_{n,2}\|G_{\lambda\mu}^2w_{n,1}x - G_{\lambda\mu}^2w_{n,1}y\| + (1 - \delta_{n,2})\|w_{n,1}x - w_{n,1}y\| \\ &\leq \delta_{n,2}\|w_{n,1}x - w_{n,1}y\| + (1 - \delta_{n,2})\|w_{n,1}x - w_{n,1}y\| \\ &\leq \|w_{n,1}x - w_{n,1}y\|; \\ &\leq \|x - y\|, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_{n,3}x - w_{n,3}y\| &= \|\delta_{n,3}G_{\lambda\mu}^3w_{n,2}x + (1 - \delta_{n,3})w_{n,2}x - (\delta_{n,3}G_{\lambda\mu}^3w_{n,2}y + (1 - \delta_{n,3})w_{n,2}y)\| \\ &\leq \delta_{n,3}\|G_{\lambda\mu}^3w_{n,2}x - G_{\lambda\mu}^3w_{n,2}y\| + (1 - \delta_{n,3})\|w_{n,2}x - w_{n,2}y\| \\ &\leq \delta_{n,3}\|w_{n,2}x - w_{n,2}y\| + (1 - \delta_{n,3})\|w_{n,2}x - w_{n,2}y\| \\ &\leq \|w_{n,2}x - w_{n,2}y\|; \\ &\leq \|x - y\| \end{aligned}$$

Continuing in this manner, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_{n,N-1}x - w_{n,N-1}y\| &= \|\delta_{n,N-1}G_{\lambda\mu}^{N-1}w_{n,N-2}x + (1 - \delta_{n,N-1})w_{n,N-2}x \\ &\quad - (\delta_{n,N-1}G_{\lambda\mu}^{N-1}w_{n,N-2}y + (1 - \delta_{n,N-1})w_{n,N-2}y)\| \\ &\leq \delta_{n,N-1}\|G_{\lambda\mu}^{N-1}w_{n,N-2}x - G_{\lambda\mu}^{N-1}w_{n,N-2}y\| \\ &\quad + (1 - \delta_{n,N-1})\|w_{n,N-2}x - w_{n,N-2}y\| \\ &\leq \delta_{n,N-1}\|w_{n,N-2}x - w_{n,N-2}y\| + (1 - \delta_{n,N-1})\|w_{n,N-2}x - w_{n,N-2}y\| \\ &\leq \|w_{n,N-2}x - w_{n,N-2}y\|; \\ &\leq \|x - y\|; \end{aligned}$$

Next, we show that $Fix(Z_n) = \cap_{i=1}^N F(G_{\lambda\mu}^i)$. Firstly, we show that $\cap_{i=1}^N F(G_{\lambda\mu}^i) \subseteq Fix(Z_n)$. Let $a \in \cap_{i=1}^N F(G_{\lambda\mu}^i)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} w_{n,1}a &= \delta_{n,1}G_{\lambda\mu}^1a + (1 - \delta_{n,1})a = a \\ w_{n,2}a &= \delta_{n,2}G_{\lambda\mu}^2w_{n,1}a + (1 - \delta_{n,2})w_{n,1}a \\ &= \delta_{n,2}G_{\lambda\mu}^2a + (1 - \delta_{n,2})a = a \\ w_{n,N-1}a &= \delta_{n,N-1}G_{\lambda\mu}^{N-1}w_{n,N-2}a + (1 - \delta_{n,N-1})w_{n,N-2}a \\ &\vdots \\ &= \delta_{n,N-1}G_{\lambda\mu}^{N-1}a + (1 - \delta_{n,N-1})a = a \\ w_{n,N}a &= \delta_{n,N}G_{\lambda\mu}^Nw_{n,N-1}a + (1 - \delta_{n,N})w_{n,N-1}a \\ &= \delta_{n,N}G_{\lambda\mu}^Na + (1 - \delta_{n,N})a = a \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $Z_n a = a$; that is $a \in Fix(Z_n)$.

Again, we will show that $Fix(Z_n) \subseteq \cap_{i=1}^N F(G_{\lambda\mu}^i)$. Let $b \in Fix(Z_n)$ and $a \in \cap_{i=1}^N F(G_{\lambda\mu}^i)$. By the definition of Z_n ,

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|a - b\| &= \|Z_n a - b\| \\
 &= \|\delta_{n,N} G_{\lambda\mu}^N w_{n,N-1} a - b + (1 - \delta_{n,N}) w_{n,N-1} a - b\| \\
 &= \|\delta_{n,N} (G_{\lambda\mu}^N w_{n,N-1} a - b) + (1 - \delta_{n,N})(w_{n,N-1} a - b)\| \\
 &\leq \delta_{n,N} \|G_{\lambda\mu}^N w_{n,N-1} a - b\| + (1 - \delta_{n,N}) \|w_{n,N-1} a - b\| \\
 &\leq \delta_{n,N} \|w_{n,N-1} a - b\| + (1 - \delta_{n,N}) \|w_{n,N-1} a - b\| \\
 &\leq \|w_{n,N-1} a - b\| \\
 &= \|\delta_{n,N-1} G_{\lambda\mu}^{N-1} w_{n,N-2} a - b + (1 - \delta_{n,N-1}) w_{n,N-2} a - b\| \\
 &= \|\delta_{n,N-1} (G_{\lambda\mu}^{N-1} w_{n,N-2} a - b) + (1 - \delta_{n,N-1})(w_{n,N-2} a - b)\| \\
 &\leq \delta_{n,N-1} \|G_{\lambda\mu}^{N-1} w_{n,N-2} a - b\| + (1 - \delta_{n,N-1}) \|w_{n,N-2} a - b\| \\
 &\leq \delta_{n,N-1} \|w_{n,N-2} a - b\| + (1 - \delta_{n,N-1}) \|w_{n,N-2} a - b\| \\
 &\leq \|w_{n,N-2} a - b\| \\
 &\vdots \\
 &= \|\delta_{n,2} G_{\lambda\mu}^2 w_{n,1} a - b + (1 - \delta_{n,2}) w_{n,1} a - b\| \\
 &= \|\delta_{n,2} (G_{\lambda\mu}^2 w_{n,1} a - b) + (1 - \delta_{n,2})(w_{n,1} a - b)\| \\
 &\leq \delta_{n,2} \|G_{\lambda\mu}^2 w_{n,1} a - b\| + (1 - \delta_{n,2}) \|w_{n,1} a - b\| \\
 &\leq \delta_{n,2} \|w_{n,1} a - b\| + (1 - \delta_{n,2}) \|w_{n,1} a - b\| \\
 &\leq \|w_{n,1} a - b\| \\
 &= \|\delta_{n,1} G_{\lambda\mu}^1 a - b + (1 - \delta_{n,1}) a - b\| \\
 &= \|\delta_{n,1} (G_{\lambda\mu}^1 a - b) + (1 - \delta_{n,1})(a - b)\| \\
 &\leq \delta_{n,1} \|G_{\lambda\mu}^1 a - b\| + (1 - \delta_{n,1}) \|a - b\| \tag{3.1} \\
 &\leq \delta_{n,1} \|a - b\| + (1 - \delta_{n,1}) \|a - b\| \\
 &\leq \|a - b\| \tag{3.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

(3.2) implies that

$$\|G_{\lambda\mu}^1 a - b\| = \|a - b\|$$

By the strict convexity of the norm of X , we obtain $G_{\lambda\mu}^1 a = a$; that is, $a \in F(G_{\lambda\mu}^1)$. This implies that $w_{n,1} a = a$. Also, from (3.2) and the fact that $w_{n,1} a = a$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|a - b\| &= \|\delta_{n,2} G_{\lambda\mu}^2 w_{n,1} a + (1 - \delta_{n,2}) w_{n,1} a - b\| \\
 &= \|\delta_{n,2} (G_{\lambda\mu}^2 w_{n,1} a - b) + (1 - \delta_{n,2})(w_{n,1} a - b)\| \\
 &\leq \delta_{n,2} \|G_{\lambda\mu}^2 w_{n,1} a - b\| + (1 - \delta_{n,2}) \|w_{n,1} a - b\| \\
 &= \delta_{n,2} \|G_{\lambda\mu}^2 a - b\| + (1 - \delta_{n,2}) \|a - b\|,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

so that

$$\|G_{\lambda\mu}^2 a - b\| = \|a - b\|$$

By the strict convexity of the norm of X , we obtain $G_{\lambda\mu}^2 a = a$; that is, $a \in F(G_{\lambda\mu}^2)$. This implies that $w_{n,2} a = a$. Continuing in this manner, we obtain

$$a = G_{\lambda\mu}^1 a = G_{\lambda\mu}^2 a = \dots = G_{\lambda\mu}^{N-1} a$$

and

$$a = w_{n,1} a = w_{n,2} a = \dots = w_{n,N-1} a.$$

Since $a \in \text{Fix}(Z_n) = \text{Fix}(w_{n,N})$ and $w_{n,N-1} a = a$, it follows that

$$a = \delta_{n,N} G_{\lambda\mu}^N a + (1 - \delta_{n,N}) a.$$

This implies that $a = G_{\lambda\mu}^N a$. Consequently, $a \in F(G_{\lambda\mu}^N)$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.2. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of 2-uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space X , which admits weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping. Assume that C is a sunny nonexpansive retract of X and let Q_C be the sunny nonexpansive retraction of X onto C . Let $\{A_i, B_i\}_{i=1}^m : C \rightarrow X$ be finite families of α -inverse-strongly accretive and β -inverse-strongly accretive operators, respectively. Let $f : C \rightarrow C$ be a ρ -strict contraction of C into itself with coefficient $\rho \in (0, 1)$. Let $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^m : C \rightarrow C$ be a finite families of asymptotically nonexpansive self mappings on C such that $\mathcal{F} = (\cap_{i=1}^m F(S_i)) \cap (\cap_{i=1}^m F(G_{\lambda\mu}^i)) \neq \emptyset$, where $G_{\lambda\mu}^i$ is as defined in (1.18). For arbitrarily chosen $x_1 \in C$, let the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be defined iteratively as follows:

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in C; \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_{n,i} S_i^n y_n; \\ y_n = Z_n x_n, \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

where Z_n is as defined in (1.18) and $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}$ are sequences in $[0, 1]$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{n,i} = 1$;
- (b) $0 < \liminf \beta_n \leq \limsup \beta_n < 1$;
- (c) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n^2 < \infty, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_n - 1}{\alpha_n} = 0$;
- (d) S satisfy the asymptotically regularity: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S^{n+1}x_n - S^n x_n\| = 0$.

Then, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0$.

Proof . Let $k_n = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \{k_n^{(i)}\}$. Firstly, we prove that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. Let $x^* \in \mathcal{F}$. Then, it follows from Lemma 2.9 that $x^* = Q_C(Q_C(I - \mu B)x^* - \lambda A Q_C(I - \mu B)x^*)$. Let $s^* = Q_C(I - \mu B)x^*$, then $x^* = Q_C(I - \lambda A)s^*$. Also, from Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\|y_n - x^*\| = \|G_{\lambda\mu}^i x_n - G_{\lambda\mu}^i x^*\| \leq \|x_n - x^*\| \tag{3.5}$$

By condition (c), there exists a constant ϵ with $0 < \epsilon < 1 - \delta$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i}(k_n - 1) < \epsilon \alpha_n$, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, such that following estimates hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x^*\| &= \|\alpha_n f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} S_i^n y_n - x^*\| \\ &= \|\alpha_n (f(x_n) - x^*) + \beta_n (x_n - x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} (S_i^n y_n - x^*)\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|f(x_n) - x^*\| + \beta_n \|x_n - x^*\| + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} \|S_i^n y_n - x^*\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \rho \|x_n - x^*\| + \alpha_n \|f(x^*) - x^*\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - x^*\| \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} (k_n^{(i)} - 1) \|y_n - x^*\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \rho \|x_n - x^*\| + \alpha_n \|f(x^*) - x^*\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - x^*\| \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} (k_n - 1) \|x_n - x^*\| \\ &= (1 - (1 - \rho - \epsilon)\alpha_n) \|x_n - x^*\| + (1 - \rho - \epsilon)\alpha_n \frac{\|f(x^*) - x^*\|}{1 - \rho - \epsilon} \\ &\leq \max\{\|x_n - x^*\|, \frac{\|f(x^*) - x^*\|}{1 - \rho - \epsilon}\} \end{aligned}$$

By applying mathematical induction, we obtain

$$\|x_n - x^*\| \leq \max\{\|x_0 - x^*\|, \frac{\|f(x^*) - x^*\|}{1 - \rho - \epsilon}\}, n \geq 1,$$

which implies that the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded, and so are the sequences $\{f(x_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$.

Next, we show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0$. Define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ as follows:

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n - \gamma_n)x_n + (\alpha_n + \gamma_n)\ell_n, \tag{3.6}$$

Then, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{n+1} - \ell_n &= \frac{x_{n+2} - \beta_{n+1}x_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} - \frac{x_{n+1} - \beta_n x_n}{1 - \beta_n} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_{n+1}f(x_{n+1}) + \beta_{n+1}x_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n+1,i}S_i^{n+1}x_{n+1} - \beta_{n+1}x_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha_n f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i}S_i^n x_n - \beta_n x_n}{1 - \beta_n} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_{n+1}f(x_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n+1,i}S_i^{n+1}x_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} - \frac{\alpha_n f(x_n) + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i}S_i^n x_n}{1 - \beta_n} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_{n+1}f(x_{n+1}) - (1 - \beta_{n+1})S_i^{n+1}x_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n+1,i}S_i^{n+1}x_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha_n f(x_n) - (1 - \beta_n)S_i^n x_n + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i}S_i^n x_n}{1 - \beta_n} + S_i^{n+1}x_{n+1} - S_i^n x_n \\ &= \frac{\alpha_{n+1}(f(x_{n+1}) - S_i^{n+1}x_{n+1})}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} - \frac{\alpha_n(f(x_n) - S_i^n x_n)}{1 - \beta_n} + S_i^{n+1}x_{n+1} - S_i^n x_n, \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. The last equation implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\ell_{n+1} - \ell_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| &\leq \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} \|f(x_{n+1}) - S_i^{n+1}x_{n+1}\| + \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} \|f(x_n) - S_i^n x_n\| \\ &\quad + \|S_i^{n+1}x_{n+1} - S_i^n x_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} \|f(x_{n+1}) - S_i^{n+1}x_{n+1}\| + \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} \|f(x_n) - S_i^n x_n\| \\ &\quad + \|S_i^{n+1}x_{n+1} - S_i^{n+1}x_n\| + \|S_i^{n+1}x_n - S_i^n x_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} \|f(x_{n+1}) - S_i^{n+1}x_{n+1}\| + \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} \|f(x_n) - S_i^n x_n\| \\ &\quad + k_n^i \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \|S_i^{n+1}x_n - S_i^n x_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} \|f(x_{n+1}) - S_i^{n+1}x_{n+1}\| + \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} \|f(x_n) - S_i^n x_n\| \\ &\quad + \|S_i^{n+1}x_n - S_i^n x_n\| + (k_n - 1)\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 1$ and, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, S_i is asymptotically regular, it follows from conditions (c,d) that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|\ell_{n+1} - \ell_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0.$$

Using Lemma 2.3, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\ell_n - x_n\| = 0, \tag{3.7}$$

and by (3.6), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \beta_n)\|\ell_n - x_n\| = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

□

Lemma 3.3. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of 2-uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space X , which admits weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping. Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.2, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - S_i x_n\| = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Proof . From

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{n+1} - S_i^n y_n\| &= \|\alpha_n f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} S_i^n y_n - S_i^n y_n\| \\
 &= \|\alpha_n f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n) S_i^n y_n - S_i^n y_n\| \\
 &= \|\alpha_n (f(x_n) - S_i^n y_n) + \beta_n (x_n - S_i^n y_n)\| \\
 &\leq \alpha_n \|f(x_n) - S_i^n y_n\| + \beta_n \|x_n - S_i^n y_n\| \\
 &\leq \alpha_n \|f(x_n) - S_i^n y_n\| + \beta_n \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \beta_n \|x_{n+1} - S_i^n y_n\|,
 \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\|x_{n+1} - S_i^n y_n\| \leq \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} \|f(x_n) - S_i^n y_n\| + \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \beta_n} \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.9}$$

Observe that

$$\|x_n - S_i^n y_n\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - S_i^n y_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.10}$$

Using (1.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|w_{n,N} x_n - x^*\| &= \|\delta_{n,N} G_{\lambda\mu}^N w_{n,N-1} x_n + (1 - \delta_{n,N}) w_{n,N-1} x_n - x^*\| \\
 &= \|\delta_{n,N} (G_{\lambda\mu}^N w_{n,N-1} x_n - x^*) + (1 - \delta_{n,N}) (w_{n,N-1} x_n - x^*)\| \\
 &\leq \delta_{n,N} \|G_{\lambda\mu}^N w_{n,N-1} x_n - x^*\| + (1 - \delta_{n,N}) \|w_{n,N-1} x_n - x^*\| \\
 &\leq \delta_{n,N} \|w_{n,N-1} x_n - x^*\| + (1 - \delta_{n,N}) \|w_{n,N-1} x_n - x^*\| \\
 &\leq \|w_{n,N-1} x_n - x^*\| \\
 &= \|\delta_{n,N-1} G_{\lambda\mu}^{N-1} w_{n,N-2} x_n + (1 - \delta_{n,N-1}) w_{n,N-2} x_n - x^*\| \\
 &= \|\delta_{n,N-1} (G_{\lambda\mu}^{N-1} w_{n,N-2} x_n - x^*) + (1 - \delta_{n,N-1}) (w_{n,N-2} x_n - x^*)\| \\
 &\leq \delta_{n,N-1} \|G_{\lambda\mu}^{N-1} w_{n,N-2} x_n - x^*\| + (1 - \delta_{n,N-1}) \|w_{n,N-2} x_n - x^*\| \\
 &\leq \delta_{n,N-1} \|w_{n,N-2} x_n - x^*\| + (1 - \delta_{n,N-1}) \|w_{n,N-2} x_n - x^*\| \\
 &\leq \|w_{n,N-2} x_n - x^*\| \\
 &\vdots \\
 &\leq \|w_{n,2} x_n - x^*\| \\
 &= \|\delta_{n,2} G_{\lambda\mu}^2 w_{n,1} x_n + (1 - \delta_{n,2}) w_{n,1} x_n - x^*\| \\
 &= \|\delta_{n,2} (G_{\lambda\mu}^2 w_{n,1} x_n - x^*) + (1 - \delta_{n,2}) (w_{n,1} x_n - x^*)\| \\
 &\leq \delta_{n,2} \|G_{\lambda\mu}^2 w_{n,1} x_n - x^*\| + (1 - \delta_{n,2}) \|w_{n,1} x_n - x^*\| \\
 &\leq \delta_{n,2} \|w_{n,1} x_n - x^*\| + (1 - \delta_{n,2}) \|w_{n,1} x_n - x^*\| \\
 &\leq \|w_{n,1} x_n - x^*\|
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

(3.11) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|w_{n,N} x_n - x^*\|^2 &\leq \|\delta_{n,1} G_{\lambda\mu}^1 x_n + (1 - \delta_{n,1}) x_n - x^*\|^2 \\
 &= \|\delta_{n,1} (G_{\lambda\mu}^1 x_n - x^*) + (1 - \delta_{n,1}) (x_n - x^*)\|^2 \\
 &\leq \delta_{n,1}^2 \|G_{\lambda\mu}^1 x_n - x^*\|^2 + (1 - \delta_{n,1})^2 \|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\quad + 2\delta_{n,1} (1 - \delta_{n,1}) \|G_{\lambda\mu}^1 x_n - x^*\| \|x_n - x^*\| \\
 &\leq \delta_{n,1}^2 \|G_{\lambda\mu}^1 x_n - x^*\|^2 + (1 - \delta_{n,1})^2 \|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\quad + \delta_{n,1} (1 - \delta_{n,1}) [\|G_{\lambda\mu}^1 x_n - x^*\|^2 + \|x_n - x^*\|^2] \\
 &\leq [\delta_{n,1}^2 + \delta_{n,1} (1 - \delta_{n,1})] \|G_{\lambda\mu}^1 x_n - x^*\|^2 + [(1 - \delta_{n,1})^2 + \delta_{n,1} (1 - \delta_{n,1})] \|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\
 &= \delta_{n,1} \|G_{\lambda\mu}^1 x_n - x^*\|^2 + (1 - \delta_{n,1}) \|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\
 &= \delta_{n,1} \|Q_C((I - \mu_1 B_1)x_n - \lambda A_1(I - \mu_1 B_1)x_n) - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\quad + (1 - \delta_{n,1}) \|x_n - x^*\|^2
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

By setting $u_{n,1} = Q_C((I - \mu_1 B_1)x_n)$ and $v_{n,1} = Q_C((I - \lambda_1 A_1)u_{n,1})$, (3.12) becomes

$$\|w_{n,N}x_n - x^*\|^2 \leq \delta_{n,1}\|v_{n,1} - x^*\|^2 + (1 - \delta_{n,1})\|x_n - x^*\|^2 \tag{3.13}$$

From Lemma 2.8, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{n,1} - s^*\|^2 &= \|Q_C(I - \mu_1 B_1)x_n - Q_C(I - \mu_1 B_1)x^*\|^2 \\ &\leq \|(I - \mu_1 B_1)x_n - (I - \mu_1 B_1)x^*\|^2 \\ &= \|x_n - x^* - \mu_1(B_1x_n - B_1x^*)\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - 2\mu_1(\beta - K^2\beta)\|B_1x_n - B_1x^*\|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_{n,1} - x^*\|^2 &= \|Q_C(I - \lambda_1 A_1)u_{n,1} - Q_C(I - \lambda_1 A_1)s^*\|^2 \\ &\leq \|(I - \lambda_1 A_1)u_{n,1} - (I - \lambda_1 A_1)s^*\|^2 \\ &= \|u_{n,1} - s^* - \lambda_1(A_1u_{n,1} - A_1s^*)\|^2 \\ &\leq \|u_{n,1} - s^*\|^2 - 2\lambda_1(\alpha - K^2\alpha)\|A_1u_{n,1} - A_1s^*\|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

(3.14) and (3.15) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_{n,1} - x^*\|^2 &\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - 2\mu_1(\beta - K^2\beta)\|B_1x_n - B_1x^*\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2\lambda_1(\alpha - K^2\alpha)\|A_1u_{n,1} - A_1s^*\|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

(3.13) and (3.16) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_{n,N}x_n - x^*\|^2 &\leq \delta_{n,1}[\|x_n - x^*\|^2 - 2\mu_1(\beta - K^2\beta)\|B_1x_n - B_1x^*\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2\lambda_1(\alpha - K^2\alpha)\|A_1u_{n,1} - A_1s^*\|^2] + (1 - \delta_{n,1})\|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - 2\delta_{n,1}\mu_1(\beta - K^2\beta)\|B_1x_n - B_1x^*\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2\delta_{n,1}\lambda_1(\alpha - K^2\alpha)\|A_1u_{n,1} - A_1s^*\|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Also, from (3.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_{n,N}x_n - x^*\|^2 &\leq \|w_{n,2}x_n - x^*\|^2 \\ &\leq \|\delta_{n,2}G_{\lambda\mu}^2w_{n,1}x_n + (1 - \delta_{n,2})w_{n,1}x_n - x^*\|^2 \\ &= \|\delta_{n,2}(G_{\lambda\mu}^2w_{n,1}x_n - x^*) + (1 - \delta_{n,2})(w_{n,1}x_n - x^*)\|^2 \\ &\leq \delta_{n,2}^2\|G_{\lambda\mu}^2w_{n,1}x_n - x^*\|^2 + (1 - \delta_{n,2})^2\|w_{n,1}x_n - x^*\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\delta_{n,2}(1 - \delta_{n,2})\|G_{\lambda\mu}^2w_{n,1}x_n - x^*\|\|w_{n,1}x_n - x^*\| \\ &\leq \delta_{n,2}^2\|G_{\lambda\mu}^2w_{n,1}x_n - x^*\|^2 + (1 - \delta_{n,2})^2\|w_{n,1}x_n - x^*\|^2 \\ &\quad + \delta_{n,2}(1 - \delta_{n,2})[\|G_{\lambda\mu}^2w_{n,1}x_n - x^*\|^2 + \|w_{n,1}x_n - x^*\|^2] \\ &\leq [\delta_{n,2}^2 + \delta_{n,2}(1 - \delta_{n,2})]\|G_{\lambda\mu}^2w_{n,1}x_n - x^*\|^2 + [(1 - \delta_{n,2})^2 \\ &\quad + \delta_{n,2}(1 - \delta_{n,2})]\|w_{n,1}x_n - x^*\|^2 \\ &= \delta_{n,2}\|G_{\lambda\mu}^2w_{n,1}x_n - x^*\|^2 + (1 - \delta_{n,2})\|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\ &= \delta_{n,2}\|Q_C((I - \mu_2 B_2)w_{n,1}x_n - \lambda_2 A_2(I - \mu_2 B_2)w_{n,1}x_n) - x^*\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \delta_{n,2})\|x_n - x^*\|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Setting $u_{n,2} = Q_C((I - \mu_2 B_1)w_{n,1}x_n)$ and $v_{n,2} = Q_C((I - \lambda_2 A_2)u_{n,2})$, (3.18) becomes

$$\|w_{n,N}x_n - x^*\|^2 \leq \delta_{n,2}\|v_{n,2} - x^*\|^2 + (1 - \delta_{n,2})\|x_n - x^*\|^2 \tag{3.19}$$

Using Lemma 2.8, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_{n,2} - s^*\|^2 &= \|Q_C(I - \mu_2 B_2)w_{n,1}x_n - Q_C(I - \mu_2 B_2)w_{n,1}x^*\|^2 \\
&\leq \|(I - \mu_2 B_2)w_{n,1}x_n - (I - \mu_2 B_2)w_{n,1}x^*\|^2 \\
&= \|w_{n,1}x_n - x^* - \mu_2(B_2 w_{n,1}x_n - B_2 w_{n,1}x^*)\|^2 \\
&\leq \|w_{n,1}x_n - x^*\|^2 - 2\mu_2(\beta - K^2\beta)\|B_2 w_{n,1}x_n - B_2 w_{n,1}x^*\|^2 \\
&\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - 2\mu_2(\beta - K^2\beta)\|B_2 w_{n,1}x_n - B_2 w_{n,1}x^*\|^2
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v_{n,2} - x^*\|^2 &= \|Q_C(I - \lambda_2 A_2)u_{n,2} - Q_C(I - \lambda_2 A_2)s^*\|^2 \\
&\leq \|(I - \lambda_2 A_2)u_{n,2} - (I - \lambda_2 A_2)s^*\|^2 \\
&= \|u_{n,2} - s^* - \lambda_2(A_2 u_{n,2} - A_2 s^*)\|^2 \\
&\leq \|u_{n,2} - s^*\|^2 - 2\lambda_2(\alpha - K^2\alpha)\|A_2 u_{n,2} - A_2 s^*\|^2
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

(3.20) and (3.21) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v_{n,2} - x^*\|^2 &\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - 2\mu_2(\beta - K^2\beta)\|B_2 w_{n,1}x_n - B_2 w_{n,1}x^*\|^2 \\
&\quad - 2\lambda_2(\alpha - K^2\alpha)\|A_2 u_{n,2} - A_2 s^*\|^2
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

(3.19) and (3.22) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|w_{n,N}x_n - x^*\|^2 &\leq \delta_{n,2}[\|x_n - x^*\|^2 - 2\mu_2(\beta - K^2\beta)\|B_2 w_{n,1}x_n - B_2 w_{n,1}x^*\|^2 \\
&\quad - 2\lambda_2(\alpha - K^2\alpha)\|A_2 u_{n,2} - A_2 s^*\|^2] + (1 - \delta_{n,2})\|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\
&\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - 2\delta_{n,2}\mu_1(\beta - K^2\beta)\|B_2 w_{n,1}x_n - B_2 w_{n,1}x^*\|^2 \\
&\quad - 2\delta_{n,2}\lambda_2(\alpha - K^2\alpha)\|A_2 u_{n,2} - A_2 s^*\|^2
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Again, using (3.11) and continuing in the manner as above, with $u_{n,N} = Q_C((I - \mu_N B_N)w_{n,N-1}x_n)$ and $v_{n,N} = Q_C((I - \lambda_N A_N)u_{n,N})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v_{n,N} - x^*\|^2 &\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - 2\mu_N(\beta - K^2\beta)\|B_N w_{n,N}x_n - B_N w_{n,N-1}x^*\|^2 \\
&\quad - 2\lambda_N(\alpha - K^2\alpha)\|A_N u_{n,N} - A_N s^*\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|w_{n,N}x_n - x^*\|^2 &\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - 2\delta_{n,N}\mu_N(\beta - K^2\beta)\|B_N w_{n,N-1}x_n - B_N w_{n,N-1}x^*\|^2 \\
&\quad - 2\delta_{n,N}\lambda_N(\alpha - K^2\alpha)\|A_N u_{n,N} - A_N s^*\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

In general, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v_{n,i} - x^*\|^2 &\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - 2\mu_i(\beta - K^2\beta)\|B_i w_{n,i-1}x_n - B_i w_{n,i-1}x^*\|^2 \\
&\quad - 2\lambda_i(\alpha - K^2\alpha)\|A_i u_{n,i} - A_i s^*\|^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

$$\|w_{n,i}x_n - x^*\|^2 \leq \delta_{n,i}\|v_{n,i} - x^*\|^2 + (1 - \delta_{n,i})\|x_n - x^*\|^2 \tag{3.25}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|w_{n,i}x_n - x^*\|^2 &\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - 2\delta_{n,i}\mu_i(\beta - K^2\beta)\|B_i w_{n,i-1}x_n - B_i w_{n,i-1}x^*\|^2 \\
&\quad - 2\delta_{n,i}\lambda_i(\alpha - K^2\alpha)\|A_i u_{n,i} - A_i s^*\|^2
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

From (3.4) and the convexity of $\|\cdot, \cdot\|^2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 &= \|\alpha_n f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} S_i^n y_n - x^*\|^2 \\
 &= \|\alpha_n (f(x_n) - x^*) + \beta_n (x_n - x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} (S_i^n y_n - x^*)\|^2 \\
 &\leq \alpha_n \|f(x_n) - x^*\|^2 + \beta_n \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} \|S_i^n y_n - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\leq \alpha_n M^* + \beta_n \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} k_n^2 \|y_n - x^*\|^2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

where $M^* = \sup_{n \geq 1} \|f(x_n) - x^*\|^2$.

(3.26) and (3.27) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 &\leq \alpha_n M^* + \beta_n \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} k_n^2 \|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\quad - 2\delta_{n,i} \mu_i (\beta - K^2 \beta) \|B_i w_{n,i-1} x_n - B_i w_{n,i-1} x^*\|^2 \\
 &\quad - 2\delta_{n,i} \lambda_i (\alpha - K^2 \alpha) \|A_i u_{n,i} - A_i s^*\|^2 \\
 &= \alpha_n M^* + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} (k_n^2 - 1) \|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\quad - 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_{n,i} \gamma_{n,i} \mu_i (\beta - K^2 \beta) \|B_i w_{n,i-1} x_n - B_i w_{n,i-1} x^*\|^2 \\
 &\quad - 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_{n,i} \gamma_{n,i} \lambda_i (\alpha - K^2 \alpha) \|A_i u_{n,i} - A_i s^*\|^2
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Set $D = 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_{n,i} \gamma_{n,i} \mu_i (\beta - K^2 \beta) \|B_i w_{n,i-1} x_n - B_i w_{n,i-1} x^*\|^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_{n,i} \gamma_{n,i} \lambda_i (\alpha - K^2 \alpha) \|A_i u_{n,i} - A_i s^*\|^2$. Then, it follows from the last inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
 D &\leq \alpha_n M^* + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} (k_n^2 - 1) \|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\
 &= \alpha_n M^* + \|-(x_{n+1} - x_n) + x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} (k_n^2 - 1) \|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\leq \alpha_n M^* + \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} (k_n^2 - 1) \|x_n - x^*\|^2
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

Using (3.8), conditions (c), Lemma 3.1, the fact that $0 < \lambda < \frac{\xi}{K^2}, 0 < \mu < \frac{\eta}{K^2}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 1$, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D = 0$$

Thus, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|B_i w_{n,i-1} x_n - B_i w_{n,i-1} x^*\| = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_i u_{n,i} - A_i t^*\| \tag{3.30}$$

Since, using Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{n,i} - s^*\|^2 &= \|Q_C(I - \mu_i B_i)w_{n,i-1}x_n - Q_C(I - \mu_i B_i)w_{n,i-1}x^*\|^2 \\ &\leq \langle w_{n,i-1}x_n - \mu_i B_i w_{n,i-1}x_n - (w_{n,i-1}x^* - \mu_i B_i w_{n,i-1}x^*), j(u_{n,i} - s^*) \rangle \\ &= \langle w_{n,i-1}x_n - w_{n,i-1}x^*, j(u_{n,i} - s^*) \rangle + \mu_i \langle B_i w_{n,i-1}x^* - B_i w_{n,i-1}x_n, j(u_{n,i} - s^*) \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} [\|w_{n,i-1}x_n - w_{n,i-1}x^*\|^2 + \|u_{n,i} - s^*\|^2 - g^*(\|w_{n,i-1}x_n - u_{n,i} - (x^* - s^*)\|)] \\ &\quad + \mu_i \|B_i w_{n,i-1}x_n - B_i w_{n,i-1}x^*\| \|u_{n,i} - s^*\|, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{n,i} - s^*\|^2 &\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - g^*(\|w_{n,i-1}x_n - u_{n,i} - (x^* - s^*)\|) \\ &\quad + 2\mu_i \|B_i w_{n,i-1}x_n - B_i w_{n,i-1}x^*\| \|u_{n,i} - s^*\| \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Applying the same method used for (3.31), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_{n,i} - x^*\|^2 &= \|Q_C(I - \lambda_i A_i)u_{n,i} - Q_C(I - \lambda_i A_i)s^*\|^2 \\ &\leq \langle u_{n,i} - \lambda_i A_i u_{n,i} - (s^* - \lambda_i A_i s^*), j(v_{n,i} - x^*) \rangle \\ &= \langle u_{n,i} - s^*, j(v_{n,i} - x^*) \rangle + \lambda_i \langle A_i s^* - A_i u_{n,i}, j(v_{n,i} - x^*) \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} [\|u_{n,i} - s^*\|^2 + \|v_{n,i} - x^*\|^2 - g^{**}(\|u_{n,i} - v_{n,i} + (x^* - s^*)\|)] \\ &\quad + \lambda_i \|A_i s^* - A_i u_{n,i}\| \|v_{n,i} - x^*\|, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_{n,i} - x^*\|^2 &\leq \|u_{n,i} - s^*\|^2 - g^{**}(\|u_{n,i} - v_{n,i} + (x^* - s^*)\|) \\ &\quad + 2\lambda_i \|A_i s^* - A_i u_{n,i}\| \|v_{n,i} - x^*\| \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

(3.31) and (3.32) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_{n,i} - x^*\|^2 &\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - g^*(\|w_{n,i-1}x_n - u_{n,i} - (x^* - s^*)\|) \\ &\quad + 2\mu_i \|B_i w_{n,i-1}x_n - B_i w_{n,i-1}x^*\| \|u_{n,i} - s^*\| \\ &\quad - g^{**}(\|u_{n,i} - v_{n,i} + (x^* - s^*)\|) \\ &\quad + 2\lambda_i \|A_i s^* - A_i u_{n,i}\| \|v_{n,i} - x^*\| \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

From (3.25) and (3.33), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_{n,N} - x^*\|^2 &\leq \delta_{n,i} [\|x_n - x^*\|^2 - g^*(\|w_{n,i-1}x_n - u_{n,i} - (x^* - s^*)\|) \\ &\quad + 2\mu_i \|B_i w_{n,i-1}x_n - B_i w_{n,i-1}x^*\| \|u_{n,i} - s^*\| - g^{**}(\|u_{n,i} - v_{n,i} + (x^* - s^*)\|) \\ &\quad + 2\lambda_i \|A_i s^* - A_i u_{n,i}\| \|v_{n,i} - x^*\|] + (1 - \delta_{n,i}) \|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\ &= \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - g^*(\|w_{n,i-1}x_n - u_{n,i} - (x^* - s^*)\|) \\ &\quad + 2\mu_i \|B_i w_{n,i-1}x_n - B_i w_{n,i-1}x^*\| \|u_{n,i} - s^*\| \\ &\quad - g^{**}(\|u_{n,i} - v_{n,i} + (x^* - s^*)\|) + 2\lambda_i \|A_i s^* - A_i u_{n,i}\| \|v_{n,i} - x^*\| \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

Thus, from (3.27), (3.34) and the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 &\leq \alpha_n M^* + \beta_n \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} k_n^2 [\|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\ &\quad - g^*(\|w_{n,i-1}x_n - u_{n,i} - (x^* - s^*)\|) + 2\mu_i \|B_i w_{n,i-1}x_n - B_i w_{n,i-1}x^*\| \|u_{n,i} - s^*\| \\ &\quad - g^{**}(\|u_{n,i} - v_{n,i} + (x^* - s^*)\|) + 2\lambda_i \|A_i s^* - A_i u_{n,i}\| \|v_{n,i} - x^*\|] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \alpha_n M^* + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} (k_n^2 - 1) \|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} k_n^2 g^* (\|w_{n,i-1} x_n - u_{n,i} - (x^* - s^*)\|) \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} k_n^2 \mu_i \|B_i w_{n,i-1} x_n - B_i w_{n,i-1} x^*\| \|u_{n,i} - s^*\| \\
 &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} k_n^2 g^{**} (\|u_{n,i} - v_{n,i} + (x^* - s^*)\|) \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} k_n^2 \lambda_i \|A_i s^* - A_i u_{n,i}\| \|v_{n,i} - x^*\|
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

we obtain, with $D^* = \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} k_n^2 g^* (\|w_{n,i-1} x_n - u_{n,i} - (x^* - s^*)\|) + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} k_n^2 g^{**} (\|u_{n,i} - v_{n,i} + (x^* - s^*)\|)$, the following estimation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^* &\leq \alpha_n M^* + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} (k_n^2 - 1) \|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} k_n^2 \mu_i \|B_i w_{n,i-1} x_n - B_i w_{n,i-1} x^*\| \|u_{n,i} - s^*\| \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} k_n^2 \lambda_i \|A_i s^* - A_i u_{n,i}\| \|v_{n,i} - x^*\| \\
 &\leq \alpha_n M^* + \|-(x_{n+1} - x_n) + (x_{n+1} - x^*)\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} (k_n^2 - 1) \|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} k_n^2 \mu_i \|B_i w_{n,i-1} x_n - B_i w_{n,i-1} x^*\| \|u_{n,i} - s^*\| \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} k_n^2 \lambda_i \|A_i s^* - A_i u_{n,i}\| \|v_{n,i} - x^*\| \\
 &\leq \alpha_n M^* + \|-(x_{n+1} - x_n)\|^2 + \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} (k_n^2 - 1) \|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} k_n^2 \mu_i \|B_i w_{n,i-1} x_n - B_i w_{n,i-1} x^*\| \|u_{n,i} - s^*\| \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} k_n^2 \lambda_i \|A_i s^* - A_i u_{n,i}\| \|v_{n,i} - x^*\| \\
 &\leq \alpha_n M^* + \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} (k_n^2 - 1) \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} k_n^2 \mu_i \|B_i w_{n,i-1} x_n - B_i w_{n,i-1} x^*\| \|u_{n,i} - s^*\| \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} k_n^2 \lambda_i \|A_i s^* - A_i u_{n,i}\| \|v_{n,i} - x^*\|
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.8), conditions (c), Lemma 3.1, the fact that $0 < \lambda < \frac{\xi}{K^2}$ and $0 < \mu < \frac{\eta}{K^2}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 1$, we get from the last inequality that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^* = 0$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g^* (\|w_{n,i-1} x_n - u_{n,i} - (x^* - s^*)\|) = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g^{**} (\|u_{n,i} - v_{n,i} + (x^* - s^*)\|) \tag{3.36}$$

Hence, from the properties of g^* and g^{**} , we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_{n,i-1} x_n - u_{n,i} - (x^* - s^*)\| = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{n,i} - v_{n,i} + (x^* - s^*)\| \tag{3.37}$$

Using (3.37) and the inequality:

$$\|w_{n,i-1}x_n - v_{n,i}\| \leq \|w_{n,i-1}x_n - u_{n,i} - (x^* - s^*)\| + \|u_{n,i} - v_{n,i} + (x^* - s^*)\|, \tag{3.38}$$

we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_{n,i-1}x_n - v_{n,i}\| = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, N. \tag{3.39}$$

Recall that

$$w_{n,N}x_n = \delta_{n,i}v_{n,i}x_n + (1 - \delta_{n,i})w_{n,i-1}x_n$$

Consequently, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, we have

$$\|w_{n,N}x_n - w_{n,i-1}x_n\| \leq \|v_{n,i}x_n - w_{n,i-1}x_n\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.40}$$

(3.40) implies that

$$\|w_{n,N}x_n - w_{n,i-1}x_n\| = \|w_{n,N}x_n - w_{n,i-2}x_n\| = \dots = \|w_{n,N}x_n - x_n\| = 0 \tag{3.41}$$

Since $\{w_{n,N}x_n\}$ is bounded, by Lemma 3.2, it follows that the limit exists. Define the mapping $w : C \rightarrow C$ by

$$wx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_{n,N}x_n,$$

so that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_{n,N}x_n - wx_n\| = 0, \forall n \geq 1. \tag{3.42}$$

Observe that

$$\|x_n - wx_n\| \leq \|x_n - w_{n,N}x_n\| + \|w_{n,N}x_n - wx_n\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.43}$$

From (3.10) and (3.41), we obtain

$$\|y_n - S_i^n y_n\| \leq \|y_n - x_n\| + \|x_n - S_i^n y_n\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.44}$$

Again, from (3.41) and (3.44), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - S_i^n x_n\| &\leq \|x_n - y_n\| + \|y_n - S_i^n y_n\| + \|S_i^n y_n - S_i^n x_n\| \\ &\leq (1 + k_n)\|x_n - y_n\| + \|y_n - S_i^n y_n\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.45}$$

Furthermore, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - S_i x_n\| &\leq \|x_n - S_i^n x_n\| + \|S_i^n x_n - S_i^{n+1} x_n\| + \|S_i^{n+1} x_n - S_i x_n\| \\ &\leq (1 + k_n)\|x_n - S_i^n x_n\| + \|S_i^{n+1} x_n - S_i x_n\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

□

Theorem 3.4. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of 2-uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space X , which admits weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping and for which the norm is strictly convex. Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.2, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $q^* = Q_F f(q)$ and (q, q^*) is a solution of problem 1.18, where $q^* = Q_C(q - \mu B_i q)$ and Q_F is the sunny nonexpansive retraction of C onto F .

Proof . Since $Q_{\mathcal{F}} f$ is a contraction mapping (very easy to verify), it follows from Banach contraction principle that there exists a unique $q \in C$ such that $Q_{\mathcal{F}} f(q) = q$. By the definition of sunny nonexpansive retraction $Q_{\mathcal{F}}$, we have $q \in F$.

Next, we show that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(q) - q, j(x_n - q) \rangle \leq 0, \tag{3.47}$$

where $Q_{\mathcal{F}} f(q) = q$. Boundedness of $\{x_n\}$ guarantees the existence of a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n_k} \rightarrow z$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(q) - q, j(x_n - q) \rangle = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(q) - q, j(x_{n_k} - q) \rangle \tag{3.48}$$

(3.43) and Lemma 2.12 imply that $z \in \cap_{i=1}^m F(G_{\lambda\mu}^i)$. Again, (3.46) and Lemma 2.11 imply that $z \in (\cap_{i=1}^m F(S_i)) \cap (\cap_{i=1}^m (F(G_{\lambda\mu}^i)))$.

Since j is weakly sequentially continuous, Lemma 2.2 and (3.48) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(q) - q, j(x_n - q) \rangle &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(q) - q, j(x_{n_k} - q) \rangle \\ &= \langle f(q) - q, j(z - q) \rangle \\ &\leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is as desired. Next, we show that $x_n \rightarrow q = Q_F f(q)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now, using (3.4) and Lemma 2.11, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - q\|^2 &= \|\beta_n(x_n - q) + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i}(S_i^n y_n - q) + \alpha_n(f(x_n) - q)\|^2 \\ &\leq \|\beta_n(x_n - q) + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i}(S_i^n y_n - q)\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle f(x_n) - q, j(x_{n+1} - q) \rangle \\ &\leq (\beta_n \|x_n - q\| + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} \|S_i^n y_n - q\|)^2 + 2\alpha_n \rho \|x_n - q\| \|x_{n+1} - q\| \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_n \langle f(q) - q, j(x_{n+1} - q) \rangle \\ &\leq ((1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - q\| + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} (k_n - 1) \|y_n - q\|)^2 + 2\alpha_n \rho \|x_n - q\| \|x_{n+1} - q\| \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_n \langle f(q) - q, j(x_{n+1} - q) \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - 2\alpha_n + \alpha_n^2 + 2(1 - \alpha_n) \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} (k_n - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i}^2 (k_n - 1)^2) \|x_n - q\|^2 \\ &\quad + \alpha_n \rho (\|x_n - q\|^2 + \|x_{n+1} - q\|^2) + 2\alpha_n \langle f(q) - q, j(x_{n+1} - q) \rangle \\ &\leq (1 + \alpha_n \rho - 2\alpha_n + 2(1 - \alpha_n) \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} (k_n - 1)) \|x_n - q\|^2 \\ &\quad + [\alpha_n^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i}^2 (k_n - 1)^2] \|x_n - q\|^2 + \alpha_n \rho \|x_{n+1} - q\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle f(q) - q, j(x_{n+1} - q) \rangle \\ &\leq [1 - (2 - \rho)\alpha_n] \|x_n - q\|^2 + \{\alpha_n^2 + (k_n - 1) [\sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i}^2 (k_n - 1)] \\ &\quad + 2(1 - \alpha_n) \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i}\} M_2 + \alpha_n \rho \|x_{n+1} - q\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle f(q) - q, j(x_{n+1} - q) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where $M_2 = \sup_{n \geq 1} \|x_n - q\|^2$. Put

$$\sigma_n = \frac{2(1 - \rho)\alpha_n}{1 - \alpha_n \rho},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_n &= \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \alpha_n \rho} \left\{ \left[\alpha_n + \frac{(k_n - 1)}{\alpha_n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i}^2 (k_n - 1) + 2(1 - \alpha_n) \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} \right] \right] M_2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \langle f(q) - q, j(x_{n+1} - q) \rangle \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_n &= \frac{1}{1 - \rho} \left\{ \left[\alpha_n + \frac{(k_n - 1)}{\alpha_n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i}^2 (k_n - 1) + 2(1 - \alpha_n) \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{n,i} \right] \right] M_2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \langle f(q) - q, j(x_{n+1} - q) \rangle \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the last inequality becomes

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1 - \sigma_n)a_n + \omega_n, \tag{3.49}$$

where $a_n = \|x_n - q\|^2$ and $\omega_n = \frac{\tau_n}{\sigma_n}$. Hence, from condition (c) and (3.49), we get

$$\sigma_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n = \infty \text{ and } \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_n \leq 0.$$

Thus, from Lemma 2.6, the result follows as required (i.e., $x_n \rightarrow q$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$) and this completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.5. In Hilbert space, the sunny nonexpansive operator Q_C automatically becomes the projection operator P_C .

Base on the remark above, (1.18) becomes: Let K be a nonempty subset of a Hilbert space H . The mapping $Z : K \rightarrow K$ is defined as follows:

$$\begin{cases} w_{n,0} = I; \\ w_{n,1} = \delta_{n,1}G_{\lambda\mu}^1 w_{n,0} + (1 - \delta_{n,1})w_{n,0}; \\ w_{n,2} = \delta_{n,1}G_{\lambda\mu}^2 w_{n,1} + (1 - \delta_{n,2})w_{n,1}; \\ \vdots \\ w_{n,N-1} = \delta_{n,N-1}G_{\lambda\mu}^{N-1} w_{n,N-2} + (1 - \delta_{n,N-1})w_{n,N-2}; \\ Z_n = w_{n,N} = \delta_{n,N}G_{\lambda\mu}^N w_{n,N-1} + (1 - \delta_{n,N})w_{n,N-1}, \end{cases} \tag{3.50}$$

where $\{G_{\lambda\mu}^i\}_{i=1}^N = P_C[P_C(I - \mu_i B_i) - \lambda_i A_i(I - \mu_i B_i)]$, $0 < \lambda_i < \frac{\alpha}{K^2}$, $0 < \mu_i < \frac{\beta}{K^2}$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, K^2 is a uniformly smoothness constant and I is the identity mapping. The above mapping Z_n is called Z -mapping generated by $G_{\lambda\mu}^1, G_{\lambda\mu}^2, \dots, G_{\lambda\mu}^N$ and $\delta_{n,1}, \delta_{n,2}, \dots, \delta_{n,N}$.

Corollary 3.6. Let K be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H . Let P_C be a metric projection from H onto C . Let $\{A_i, B_i\}_{i=1}^m : K \rightarrow X$ be finite families of α -inverse-strongly accretive and β -inverse-strongly accretive operators, respectively. Let $f : K \rightarrow K$ be a ρ -strict contraction of C into itself with coefficient $\rho \in (0, 1)$. Let $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^m : K \rightarrow K$ be a finite families of asymptotically nonexpansive self mappings on C such that $\mathcal{F} = (\cap_{i=1}^m F(S_i)) \cap (\cap_{i=1}^m F(G_{\lambda\mu}^i)) \neq \emptyset$, where $G_{\lambda\mu}^i$ is as defined in (3.50). For arbitrarily chosen $x_1 \in C$, let the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be defined iteratively as follows:

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in K; \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_{n,i} S_i^n y_n; \\ y_n = Z_n x_n, \end{cases} \tag{3.51}$$

where Z_n is as defined in (3.50) and $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}$ are sequences in $[0, 1]$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{n,i} = 1$;
- (b) $0 < \liminf \beta_n \leq \limsup \beta_n < 1$;
- (c) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n^2 < \infty, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_n - 1}{\alpha_n} = 0$;
- (d) S satisfy the asymptotically regularity: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S^{n+1}x_n - S^n x_n\| = 0$.

Then, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0$.

Proof . Since H is a Hilbert space, then 2-uniformly smooth constant $K = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$. The result follows from Theorem 3.4. \square

Remark 3.7. Since every asymptotically nonexpansive mapping is a superclass of the classes of nonexpansive and quasi-nonexpnsive mappings (see Example 1.3 above), the above results remain valid when S is either nonexpansive or quasi-nonexpansive mapping.

Application

As a direct consequence of Theorem 3.4, we have the following result:

Let K be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . A mapping $T : K \rightarrow K$ is said to be k -strictly pseudocontractive mapping if there exists $k \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|^2 + k\|(I - T)x - (I - T)y\|^2, \forall x, y \in K. \tag{3.52}$$

It is well known that if T is k -strictly pseudocontractive, then $A = I - T : K \rightarrow H$ is $\frac{1 - k}{2}$ -inverse-strongly accretive (see [5] for details).

Theorem 3.8. Let K be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H . Let P_C be a metric projection from H onto K . Let the mappings $\{T_i, T_i^*\}_{i=1}^m : K \rightarrow K$ be two finite families of $\{k_i, k_i^*\}_{i=1}^m$ -strictly pseudocontractive, respectively. Let $\{A_i, B_i\}_{i=1}^m : K \rightarrow X$ be finite families of α -inverse-strongly accretive and β -inverse-strongly accretive operators, respectively. Let $f : K \rightarrow K$ be a ρ -strict contraction of C into itself with coefficient $\rho \in (0, 1)$. Let $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^m : K \rightarrow K$ be a finite families of asymptotically nonexpansive self mappings on C such that $\mathcal{F} = (\cap_{i=1}^m F(S_i)) \cap (\cap_{i=1}^m F(G_{\lambda\mu}^i)) \neq \emptyset$, where $G_{\lambda\mu}^i$ is as defined in (3.50). For arbitrarily chosen $x_1 \in C$, let the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be defined iteratively as follows:

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in K; \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_{n,i} S_i^n y_n; \\ y_n = \delta_{n,i} [(1 - \lambda_i)I + \lambda_i T_i] u_{n,i} + (1 - \delta_{n,i}) w_{n,i-1}; \\ u_{n,i} = [(1 - \mu_i)I + \mu_i T_i^*] x_n, i = 2, 3, \dots, N, \end{cases} \tag{3.53}$$

where $w_{n,1} = \delta_{n,1} [(1 - \lambda_1)I + \lambda_1 T_1] u_{n,1}$, $u_{n,1} = \delta_{n,1} [(1 - \mu_1)I + \mu_1 T_1^*] x_n$ and $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \{\delta_{n,i}\}$ are sequences in $[0, 1]$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{n,i} = 1$;
- (b) $0 < \liminf \beta_n \leq \limsup \beta_n < 1$;
- (c) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n^2 < \infty, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_n - 1}{\alpha_n} = 0$;
- (d) S satisfy the asymptotically regularity: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S^{n+1} x_n - S^n x_n\| = 0$.

Then, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0$.

Proof . Taking $A = I - T_i : K \rightarrow H$ and $B = I - T_i^* : K \rightarrow H$, it follows from Lemma 2.14 that $A =: K \rightarrow H$ is α -inverse-strongly accretive with $\alpha = \frac{1 - k_i}{2}$ and $B =: K \rightarrow H$ is β -inverse-strongly accretive with $\beta = \frac{1 - k_i^*}{2}$.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} P_C[P_C(I - \mu_i B_i) - \lambda_i A_i(I - \mu_i B_i)] &= P_C[P_C(I - \mu_i(I - T_i^*) - \lambda_i(I - T_i)(I - \mu_i(I - T_i^*))) \\ &= P_C[P_C((I - \mu_i)I + \mu_i T_i^* - \lambda_i(I - T_i)((I - \mu_i)I + \mu_i T_i^*)) \\ &= P_C((I - \mu_i)I + \mu_i T_i^* - \lambda_i(I - T_i)((I - \mu_i)I + \mu_i T_i^*)) \\ &= ((I - \mu_i)I + \mu_i T_i^* - \lambda_i(I - T_i)((I - \mu_i)I + \mu_i T_i^*)) \\ &= [(I - \lambda_i)I + \lambda_i T_i]((I - \mu_i)I + \mu_i T_i) \end{aligned}$$

For $i = 2, \dots, N$, let $\eta_n = P_C[P_C(w_{n,i-1} x_n - \mu_i B_i w_{n,i-1} x_n) - \lambda_i A_i(w_{n,i-1} x_n - \mu_i B_i w_{n,i-1} x_n)]$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{n,i} \eta_n + (1 - \delta_{n,i}) w_{n,1} x_n &= \delta_{n,i} [(I - \lambda_i)I + \lambda_i T_i] ((I - \mu_i) w_{n,i-1} x_n + \mu_i T_i w_{n,i-1} x_n) \\ &\quad + (1 - \delta_{n,i}) w_{n,i} x_n \end{aligned} \tag{3.54}$$

Conclusion

Fixed point problem has so many practical applications, especially in convex feasibility problems and set theoretic signal estimations (see [2] and the reference therein). Conversely, numerous problems in physics, optimization, economics and engineering reduce to finding a solution of a particular variational inequality. Using modified extragradient method, we study an iteration algorithm for finding a common element of a set of solutions of a finite family of variational inequality problems for inverse strongly accretive mappings and the set of fixed points for a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in the setting of a real 2-uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space. Strong convergence results, which improve, extend and generalize most of the currently existing results in literature, were obtained. However, it still remains an open question on whether the result of Theorem 3.4 could be obtained if the mapping S is asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive (or mappings larger than asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive). \square

References

- [1] I.K. Agwu and D.I. Igbokwe, *Approximation of common fixed points of finite family of mixed-type total asymptotically quasi-pseudocontractive-type mappings in uniformly convex Banach spaces*, Adv. Inequal. Appl. Appl. **2020** (2020), no. 4.
- [2] K. Aoyama, H. Iiduka, W. Takahashi, *Weak convergence of an iterative sequence for accretive operators in Banach spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Appl. **2006** (2006), 13 pages.
- [3] F.E. Browder, *Convergence theorem for sequence of nonlinear operators in Banach space*, Math. Z **100** (1967), no. 3, 201–225 .
- [4] F.E. Browder, *Semicontractive and semiaccretive nonlinear mappings in Banach spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1968), 660–665.
- [5] F.E. Browder and W.V. Petryshyn, *Construction of fixed points of nonlinear mappings in Hilbert space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **20** (1967), 197–228.
- [6] C.E. Chidume, *Geometric properties of Banach space and nonlinear iterations*, Series: Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, 2009.
- [7] C.E. Chidume, J. Li and A. Udoemene, *Convergence of path and approximation of fixed points of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **113** (2005), 473–480.
- [8] I. Ciranescu, *Geometry of Banach space, duality mapping and nonlinear problems*, vol 62 of Mathematics and its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherland, 1990.
- [9] G. Cai, Y. Shehu and O.S. Iyiola, *Iterative algorithm for solving variational inequalities and fixed point problems for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces*, Numer. Algor. **2016** (2016), 35 pages.
- [10] G. Cai and S. Bu, *Convergence analysis for variational inequality problems and fixed point problems in 2-uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach spaces*, Math. Comput. Model **55** (2012), 538–546.
- [11] G. Cai and S. Bu, *An iterative algorithm for a general system of variational inequality problem and fixed point problems in q -uniformly smooth Banach spaces*, Optim. Lett. **7** (2013), 267–287.
- [12] V. Censor, A.N. Iusem and S.A. Zenios, *An interior point method with Bregman functions for the variational inequality problem with paramonotone operators*, Math. Program. **81** (1998), 373–400.
- [13] Y.C. Cho, H. Zhou and G. Guo, *Weak and strong convergence theorems for three-step iteration with errors for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*, Comput. Math. Appl. **47** (2004), 707–717.
- [14] S.S. Chang, H.W.J. Lee, C.K. Chan and J.K. Kim, *Approximating solutions of variational inequalities for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*, Appl. Math. Comput. **212** (2009), 51–59.
- [15] S.S. Chang, *Some problems and results in the study of nonlinear analysis*, Nonlinear Anal. **30** (1997), 4197–4208.
- [16] L.C. Ceng, C. Wang and J.C. Yao, *Strong convergence theorems by a relaxed extragradient method for a general system of variational inequalities*, Math. Meth. Oper. Res. **67** (2008), 375–390.
- [17] W.G. Dotson(Jr), *Fixed points of quasi-nonexpansive mappings*, Aust. Math. Soc. **13** (1992), 167–170.
- [18] K. Goebel and W. Kirk, *A fixed point theorem for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **35** (1972), no. 1, 171–174.

- [19] K. Goebel and S. Reich, *Uniform convexity, hyperbolic geometry and nonexpansive mappings*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1984.
- [20] B. Halpern, *Fixed point of nonexpansive maps*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1967), 957–961.
- [21] A.N. Iusem and A.R. De Pierro, *On the convergence of Hans method for convex programming quadratic objective*, Math. Program. Ser. B. **52** (1991), 265–284.
- [22] D.I. Igbokwe and S.J. Uko, *Weak and strong convergence theorems for approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings using composite hybrid iteration method*, J. Nig. Math. Soc. **33** (2014), 129–144.
- [23] D.I. Igbokwe and S.J. Uko, *Weak and strong convergence of hybrid iteration methods for fixed points of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*, Adv. Fixed Point Theory **5** (2015), no. 1, 120–134.
- [24] P. Kumama, N. Petrot and R. Wangkeeree, *A hybrid iterative scheme for equilibrium problems and fixed point problems for asymptotically k -strictly pseudocontractions*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **233** (2010), 2013–2026.
- [25] P. Kumama and K. Wattanawitoon, *A general composite explicit iterative scheme fixed point problems of variational inequalities for nonexpansive semigroup*, Math. Comput. Model. **53** (2011), 998–1006.
- [26] S. Kamimura and W. Takahashi, *Strong convergence of proximal-type algorithm in Banach space*, SIAM J. Optim. **13** (2002), 938–945.
- [27] E. Kopecka and S. Reich, *Nonexpansive retracts in Banach spaces*, Banach Center Pub. **77** (2007), 161–174.
- [28] S. Kitahara and W. Takahashi, *Image recovery by convex combination of sunny nonexpansive retractions*, Topo. Methods. Nonlinear Anal. **2** (1993), no. 2, 333–342.
- [29] T.H. Kim and D.H. Kim, *Demiclosedness principle for continuous TAN mappings*, RIMS Koykuroku **1821** (2013), 90–106 .
- [30] J. Lou, L. Zhang and Z. He, *Viscosity approximation method for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*, Appl. Math. Comput. **203** (2008), 171–177.
- [31] T.C. Lim and H.K. Xu, *Fixed point theorems for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*, Nonlinear Anal. **22** (1994), 1345–1355.
- [32] G. Marino and H.K. Xu, *Weak and strong convergence theorems for strict pseudocontractive in Hilbert spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **329** (2007), 336–346.
- [33] A. Moudafi, *Viscosity approximation methods for fixed point problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **241** (2000), 46–55.
- [34] M.A. Noor, *Some development in general variational inequalities*, Appl. Math. Comput. **152** (2004), 199–277.
- [35] X. Qin and L. Wang, *On asymptotic quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2012** (2012), 13 pages.
- [36] X. Qin and Y.C. Cho, *Iterative methods for generalized equilibrium problems and fixed point problems with applications*, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. **11** (2010), no. 4, 2963–2972.
- [37] S. Reich, *Asymptotic behaviour of contractions in Banach space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **44** (1973), no. 1, 57–70.
- [38] S. Reich, *Product formulas, accretive operators and nonlinear mappings*, J. Funct. Anal. **36** (1980), 147–168.
- [39] S. Reich, *Constructive techniques for accretive and monotone operators in applied nonlinear analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [40] S. Reich, *Extension problems for accretive sets in Banach spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **26** (1977), 1378–395.
- [41] G.S. Saluja, *Convergence to common fixed point of two asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense in Banach spaces*, Math. Morvica **19** (2015), 33–48.
- [42] T. Suzuki, *Strong convergence of Krasnoselskii and Mann’s type sequences for one parameter nonexpansive semigroup without Bchner integrals*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **305** (2005), 227–239.
- [43] Y.L. Song and C. Ceng, *A general iterative scheme for variational inequality problems and common fixed point problems of nonexpansive mappings in q -uniformly smooth Banach spaces*, J. Glob. Optim. **57** (2013), 1325–1348.

- [44] T.M.M. Sow, M. Sene, M. Ndiaye, Y.B. El Yekheir and N. Djitte, *Algorithms for a system of variational inequality problems and fixed point problems with demicontractive mappings*, J. Nig. Math. Soc. **38** (2019), no. 3, 341–361.
- [45] K. Tae-hwa, *Review on some examples of nonlinear mappings*, RIMS Kokyuroku **2114** (2019), 48–72.
- [46] M.O. Osilike, A. Udoemene, D.I. Igbokwe and B.G. Akuchu, *Demiclosedness principle and convergence theorems for k -strictly pseudocontractive maps*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **326** (2007), no. 3, 1334–1345.
- [47] K. Sitthithakerngkiet, P. Sunthrayuth and P. Kumam, *Some iterative methods for finding a common zero of finite family of accretive operators in Banach spaces*, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. **43** (2017), no. 1, 239–258.
- [48] H.K. Xu and T.H. Kim, *Convergence of Hybrid steepest-descent methods for variational inequalities*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **119** (2003), 185–201.
- [49] H.K. Xu, *Iterative algorithm for nonlinear operators*, J. London. Math. Soc. **66** (2002), no. 2, 240–256.
- [50] H.K. Xu, *Viscosity approximation method for nonexpansive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **298** (2004), 279–291.
- [51] Y. Yao, M. Aslam Noor, K. Inayat Noor, Y.C. Liou and H. Yaqoob, *Modified extragradient methods for a system of variational inequalities in Banach spaces*, Acta Appl. Math. **110** (2010), no. 3, 1211–1224.
- [52] Y. Yao and S. Maruster, *Strong convergence of an iterative scheme for variational inequalities in Banach spaces*, Math. Comput. Model. **54** (2011), 325–329.
- [53] Y. Yao, C. Lou, S.M. Kang and Y. Yu, *Algorithms with strong convergence for a system of nonlinear variational inequalities in Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **74** (2011), 624–634.