

# Integral inequality for the polar derivatives of polynomials

Xingjun Zhao

School of Mathematics, Renmin University of China, Beijing, 100872, China

(Communicated by Michael Th. Rassias)

---

## Abstract

Let  $P(z)$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  and for any complex number  $\alpha$ , let

$$D_\alpha P(z) = nP(z) + (\alpha - z)P'(z)$$

denote the polar derivative of  $P(z)$  with respect to a complex number  $\alpha$ . In this paper, we prove some  $L_r$  inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial have all zeros in  $|z| \leq 1$ . Our theorem generalizes a result of Dewan and Mir [K. K. Dewan, A. Mir, *Inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial*, J. Interd. Math. 10 (2007), no. 4, 525–531] and includes as special cases several interesting many known results.

Keywords: Polar derivative, Inequality of polynomials, Integral inequality, Zeros.

2020 MSC: 30A10; 30C10

---

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{P}_n$  be the class of complex polynomials  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$  of degree at most  $n$  and  $P'(z)$  be its derivative. For  $P(z) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ , then

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \leq n \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|. \quad (1.1)$$

The inequality (1.1) was proved by Bernstein in 1912 [6], and it is best possible with equality holding for polynomials  $P(z) = cz^n$ , where  $c$  is an arbitrary complex.

If we restrict ourselves to the class of polynomials having no zeros in  $|z| < 1$ , then inequality (1.1) can be sharpened. In fact, Erdős conjectured and Lax [8] proved that, if  $P(z) \neq 0$  in  $|z| < 1$ , then (1.1) can be replaced by

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{2} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|, \quad (1.2)$$

whereas if  $P(z)$  has no zeros in  $|z| > 1$ , then

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \geq \frac{n}{2} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|. \quad (1.3)$$

Inequality (1.3) is due to Turán[14].

---

Email address: zhaoxingjun@ruc.edu.cn (Xingjun Zhao)

As an extension of (1.2) and (1.3), Malik [9] proved that, if  $P(z) \neq 0$  in  $|z| < k, k \geq 1$ , then

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{1+k} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|, \tag{1.4}$$

whereas if  $P(z)$  has all its zeros in  $|z| \leq k, k \leq 1$ , then

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \geq \frac{n}{1+k} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|. \tag{1.5}$$

For a complex number  $\alpha$  and for  $P(z) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ , let

$$D_\alpha P(z) := nP(z) + (\alpha - z)P'(z).$$

Note that  $D_\alpha P(z)$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $n - 1$ . This is the so-called polar derivative of  $P(z)$  with respect to point  $\alpha$ . It generalizes the ordinary derivative in the following sense:

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{D_\alpha P(z)}{\alpha} \right\} = P'(z).$$

Now corresponding to a given  $n^{th}$  degree polynomial  $P(z)$ , we construct a sequence of polar derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\alpha_1} P(z) &= nP(z) + (\alpha_1 - z)P'(z) \\ &\vdots \\ D_{\alpha_1} D_{\alpha_2} \dots D_{\alpha_t} P(z) &= (n - t + 1)D_{\alpha_1} D_{\alpha_2} \dots D_{\alpha_{t-1}} P(z) \\ &\quad + (\alpha_t - z) (D_{\alpha_1} D_{\alpha_2} \dots D_{\alpha_{t-1}} P(z))', t = 2, 3, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

The points  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_t, t = 1, 2, \dots, n$  may or may not be distinct. Like the  $t^{th}$  ordinary derivative  $P^{(t)}(z)$  of  $P(z)$ , the  $t^{th}$  polar derivative  $D_{\alpha_1} \dots D_{\alpha_t} P(z)$  of  $P(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n - t$ .

Where here and throughout we write

$$P_t(z) = D_{\alpha_1} D_{\alpha_2} \dots D_{\alpha_t} P(z), \tag{1.6}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} P_t(z) &= (n - t + 1)P_{t-1}(z) + (\alpha_t - z)P'_{t-1}(z), t = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ P_0(z) &= P(z). \end{aligned}$$

Aziz [1] was among the first to extend some of the above inequalities by replacing the derivative with the polar derivatives of polynomials. In fact, he extended (1.2) to the polar derivative of a polynomial and proved that if  $P(z) \in \mathbb{P}_n, P(z) \neq 0$  in  $|z| < 1$ , then for every complex number  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \geq 1$ ,

$$\max_{|z|=1} |D_\alpha P(z)| \leq \frac{n}{2} (|\alpha| + 1) \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|. \tag{1.7}$$

Fuchter, Rather, Ahangar and Suhail [13] proved that if  $P(z)$  having all its zeros in  $|z| < k$  where  $k \leq 1$ , then for  $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\delta| \leq 1$ ,

$$\max_{|z|=1} |D_\delta P(z)| \leq n \left( \frac{|\delta| + k}{1+k} \right) \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|. \tag{1.8}$$

As a generalization of (1.7), Aziz and Shah [5] proved that if  $P(z)$  have no zeros in the disk  $|z| < 1$ , for all real or complex number  $\alpha_i$  with  $|\alpha_i| \geq 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ , then for  $|z| \geq 1$ , we have

$$|P_t(z)| \leq \frac{nt}{2} \left\{ (|\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_t| |z|^{n-t} + 1) \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| - (|\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_t| |z|^{n-t} - 1) \min_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \right\} \tag{1.9}$$

where  $P_t(z)$  is defined as (1.6), and

$$n_t = n(n - 1) \dots (n - t + 1). \tag{1.10}$$

As an  $L_r$  analog of (1.9), Mir and Baba [11] proved that if  $P(z) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ ,  $P(z)$  having no zeros in  $|z| < 1$ , then for every real or complex number  $\alpha_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, t, t \leq n - 1$ , with  $|\alpha_i| \geq 1$ , and real or complex  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| \leq 1$ , and for  $r > 0$ ,

$$\left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \left| P_t(e^{i\theta}) + \beta \frac{mn_t(|\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_t| - 1)}{2} \right|^r d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq n_t B_{\alpha_t} C_r \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \tag{1.11}$$

where  $P_t(z)$  is defined as (1.6),  $n_t$  is defined by (1.10),  $m = \min_{|z|=1} |P(z)|$ , and  $B_{\alpha_t} = (|\alpha_1| + 1)(|\alpha_2| + 1) \cdots (|\alpha_t| + 1)$ ,

$$C_r = \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\gamma}|^r d\gamma \right\}^{-\frac{1}{r}} \tag{1.12}$$

If we put  $r \rightarrow \infty$  in (1.11) and choose the argument of  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| = 1$  suitably, we get (1.9).

In 2007, Dewan and Mir [7] proved the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** If  $P(z) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ ,  $P(z)$  having all its zeros in  $|z| \leq 1$ , then for every real or complex number  $\alpha_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, t, t \leq n - 1$ , with  $|\alpha_i| < 1$ , then for  $|z| \leq 1$

$$|P_t(z)| \leq \frac{n_t}{2} \left\{ (|\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_t| |z|^{n-t} + 1) \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| - (1 - |\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_t| |z|^{n-t}) \min_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \right\}, \tag{1.13}$$

where  $P_t, n_t$  are defined as (1.6), (1.10) respectively. The result is best possible and equality holds for the polynomial  $P(z) = \frac{z^n + 1}{2}$ .

## 2 Main results

In this paper, we shall prove the following more general result which is an  $L_r$  norm generalization of (1.13).

**Theorem 2.1.** If  $P(z) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ ,  $P(z)$  having all its zeros in  $|z| \leq 1$ , then for every real or complex number  $\alpha_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, t, t \leq n - 1$ , with  $|\alpha_i| < 1$ , and real or complex  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| \leq 1$ , and for  $r > 0$ ,

$$\left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \left| P_t(e^{i\theta}) + \beta \frac{mn_t(1 - |\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_t|)}{2} \right|^r d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq n_t B_{\alpha_t} C_r \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}, \tag{2.1}$$

where here and throughout

$$\begin{aligned} P_t(z) &= D_{\alpha_1} D_{\alpha_2} \dots D_{\alpha_t} P(z), \\ B_{\alpha_t} &= (|\alpha_1| + 1)(|\alpha_2| + 1) \cdots (|\alpha_t| + 1), \\ n_t &= n(n - 1) \dots (n - t + 1). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

$m = \min_{|z|=1} |P(z)|$  and  $C_r$  is defined in (1.12).

In the limiting case, when  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , the above inequality is sharp and the equality in (2.1) holds for  $P(z) = \frac{z^n + 1}{2}$ , where  $\alpha_i < 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, t$  are real.

Many interesting results easily follow from Theorem 2.1. Here, we mention a few of these.

**Remark 2.1.** If we let  $r \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.1), and choose the argument of  $|\beta|$  with  $|\beta| = 1$  suitably, we get (1.13).

If we put  $\beta = 0$  in (2.1), we have the following result.

**Corollary 2.2.** If  $P(z) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ ,  $P(z)$  having all zeros in  $|z| \leq 1$ , then for every complex number  $\alpha_i$  with  $|\alpha_i| < 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ , and for  $r > 0$ ,

$$\left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P_t(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq n_t B_{\alpha_t} C_r \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \tag{2.3}$$

where  $P_t, n_t, B_t, C_r$  are defined as Theorem 2.1.

If we put  $t = 1$  in (2.1), we get the following result.

**Corollary 2.3.** If  $P(z) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ ,  $P(z)$  having all its zeros in  $|z| \leq 1$ , then for every complex number  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| < 1$ , and for  $r > 0$ ,

$$\left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \left| D_\alpha P(e^{i\theta}) + \beta \frac{mn(1-|\alpha|)}{2} \right|^r d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq n(|\alpha| + 1)C_r \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}, \tag{2.4}$$

where  $m, C_r$  are defined as Theorem 2.1.

If we let  $r \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.4) and choose the argument of  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| = 1$  suitably, we get the following result, which is a special case of Theorem 1.1.

**Corollary 2.4.** If  $P(z) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ ,  $P(z)$  having all its zeros in  $|z| \leq 1$ , then for every real or complex number  $\alpha$ , with  $|\alpha| < 1$ , then for  $|z| \leq 1$

$$|D_\alpha P(z)| \leq \frac{n}{2} \left\{ (|\alpha||z|^{n-1} + 1) \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| - (1 - |\alpha||z|^{n-1}) \min_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \right\}. \tag{2.5}$$

The result is best possible and equality holds for the polynomial  $P(z) = \frac{z^n+1}{2}$ .

### 3 Lemmas

For the proof of our Theorem 2.1, we need the following lemmas. The following lemma is due to Aziz [2].

**Lemma 3.1.** If all the zeros of an  $n^{th}$  degree polynomial  $P(z)$  lie in a circular region  $\mathcal{C}$  and if none of the points  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_t$  lie in the region  $\mathcal{C}$ , then each of the polar derivatives

$$D_{\alpha_1} D_{\alpha_2} \cdots D_{\alpha_t} P(z), \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1,$$

has all of its zeros in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $P(z)$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  have all its zeros in  $|z| \leq 1$ , then for all real or complex numbers  $\alpha_i$  with  $|\alpha_i| < 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, t, t \leq n - 1$ , and for  $|z| \leq 1$ , we have

$$|P_t(z)| \leq |Q_t(z)| - mn_t(1 - |\alpha_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_t||z|^{n-t}),$$

where  $Q(z) = z^n \overline{P(\frac{1}{z})}$  and  $m, n_t, P_t$  are defined as Theorem 2.1.

**Proof of Lemma 2:** Let  $m = \min_{|z|=1} |P(z)|$ , we have  $|\lambda m| < |P(z)|$  on  $|z| = 1$  for any  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| < 1$ . By Rouché's theorem the polynomial  $F(z) = P(z) + \lambda m$  has all its zeros in  $|z| \leq 1$ .

Therefore the polynomial  $G(z) = z^n \overline{F(\frac{1}{z})} = Q(z) + \overline{\lambda} m z^n$  will have all its zeros in  $|z| \geq 1$ . Also  $|F(z)| = |G(z)|$  for  $|z| = 1$ . Therefore, for any complex number  $\delta$  with  $|\delta| > 1$ , the polynomial  $F(z) - \delta G(z)$  has all its zeros in  $|z| > 1$ . Hence by the repeated application of Laguerre's Theorem [10], if  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_t$  are complex numbers with  $|\alpha_i| < 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ , the polynomial  $D_{\alpha_1} D_{\alpha_2} \cdots D_{\alpha_t} (F(z) - \delta G(z))$  has all its zeros in  $|z| \geq 1$ . Equivalently all the zeros of  $D_{\alpha_1} D_{\alpha_2} \cdots D_{\alpha_t} F(z) - \delta D_{\alpha_1} D_{\alpha_2} \cdots D_{\alpha_t} G(z)$  lie in  $|z| \geq 1$ . This implies that for  $|z| \leq 1$ ,

$$D_{\alpha_1} D_{\alpha_2} \cdots D_{\alpha_t} F(z) \leq D_{\alpha_1} D_{\alpha_2} \cdots D_{\alpha_t} G(z).$$

On substituting  $F(z)$  and  $G(z)$  in the above inequality, we obtain the following for every real or complex number  $\alpha_i$  with  $|\alpha_i| < 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, t$  and for any real or complex number  $\delta$  with  $|\delta| > 1$  and  $|z| = 1$ ,

$$|D_{\alpha_1} D_{\alpha_2} \cdots D_{\alpha_t} (P(z) + \delta m)| \leq |D_{\alpha_1} D_{\alpha_2} \cdots D_{\alpha_t} (Q(z) + \overline{\delta} m z^n)|.$$

Equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} & |D_{\alpha_1} D_{\alpha_2} \cdots D_{\alpha_t} P(z) + m \delta n(n-1) \cdots (n-t+1)| \\ & \leq |D_{\alpha_1} D_{\alpha_2} \cdots D_{\alpha_t} Q(z) + m \overline{\delta} n(n-1) \cdots (n-t+1) \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_t z^{n-t}|. \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} & |D_{\alpha_1} D_{\alpha_2} \cdots D_{\alpha_t} P(z) + m\delta n(n-1) \cdots (n-t+1)| \\ & \leq |D_{\alpha_1} D_{\alpha_2} \cdots D_{\alpha_t} Q(z)| + m|\delta|n(n-1) \cdots (n-t+1)|\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_t| |z|^{n-t}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing argument of  $\delta$  suitably on left hand side above and letting  $|\delta| \rightarrow 1$ , we get, for  $|z| \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} |D_{\alpha_1} D_{\alpha_2} \cdots D_{\alpha_t} P(z)| & \leq |D_{\alpha_1} D_{\alpha_2} \cdots D_{\alpha_t} Q(z)| \\ & \quad - mn(n-1) \cdots (n-t+1)(1 - |\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_t| |z|^{n-t}). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** If  $P(z) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ , then for every complex  $\alpha$  and  $r > 0$ ,

$$\left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |D_\alpha P(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq n(|\alpha| + 1) \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

The above lemma is due to Rather [12].

**Lemma 3.4.** If  $P(z) \in \mathbb{P}_n$  and  $Q(z) = z^n \overline{P(\frac{1}{\bar{z}})}$ , then for every  $r > 0$  and  $\gamma$  real,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |P'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\gamma} Q'(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta d\gamma \leq 2\pi n^r \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta.$$

The above lemma is due to Aziz and Rather [4]. The following lemma is due to Aziz and Rather [3].

**Lemma 3.5.** If  $A, B$  and  $C$  are non-negative real numbers such that  $B + C \leq A$ , then for every real number  $\gamma$ ,

$$|(A - C)e^{i\gamma} + (B + C)| \leq |Ae^{i\gamma} + B|.$$

## 4 Proof of the Theorem

**Proof of the Theorem 2.1:** Since  $P(z) \in \mathbb{P}_n$  and  $P(z) \neq 0$  in  $|z| < 1$ , then the polynomial  $Q(z) = z^n \overline{P(\frac{1}{\bar{z}})} \in \mathbb{P}_n$ , and it can be easily verified that, for  $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ ,

$$nP(e^{i\theta}) - e^{i\theta} P'(e^{i\theta}) = e^{i(n-1)\theta} \overline{Q'(e^{i\theta})},$$

and

$$nQ(e^{i\theta}) - e^{i\theta} Q'(e^{i\theta}) = e^{i(n-1)\theta} \overline{P'(e^{i\theta})}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & nP(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\gamma} nQ(e^{i\theta}) \\ & = e^{i\theta} P'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i(n-1)\theta} \overline{Q'(e^{i\theta})} + e^{i\gamma} \left( e^{i\theta} Q'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i(n-1)\theta} \overline{P'(e^{i\theta})} \right) \\ & = e^{i\theta} \left( P'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\gamma} Q'(e^{i\theta}) \right) + e^{i(n-1)\theta} \left( \overline{Q'(e^{i\theta})} + e^{i\gamma} \overline{P'(e^{i\theta})} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} & n |P(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\gamma} Q(e^{i\theta})| \\ & \leq |P'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\gamma} Q'(e^{i\theta})| + \left| \overline{Q'(e^{i\theta})} + e^{i\gamma} \overline{P'(e^{i\theta})} \right| \\ & = 2 |P'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\gamma} Q'(e^{i\theta})|. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |D_\alpha P(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\gamma} D_\alpha Q(e^{i\theta})| \\
 &= |nP(e^{i\theta}) + (\alpha - e^{i\theta})P'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\gamma}(nQ(e^{i\theta}) + (\alpha - e^{i\theta})Q'(e^{i\theta}))| \\
 &= |(nP(e^{i\theta}) - e^{i\theta}P'(e^{i\theta})) + e^{i\gamma}(nQ(e^{i\theta}) - e^{i\theta}Q'(e^{i\theta})) + \alpha(P'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\gamma}Q'(e^{i\theta}))| \\
 &= |(\overline{Q'(e^{i\theta})} + e^{i\gamma}\overline{P'(e^{i\theta})})e^{i(n-1)\theta} + \alpha(P'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\gamma}Q'(e^{i\theta}))| \\
 &\leq |\overline{P'(e^{i\theta})} + e^{i\gamma}\overline{Q'(e^{i\theta})}| + |\alpha| |P'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\gamma}Q'(e^{i\theta})| \\
 &= (|\alpha| + 1) |P'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\gamma}Q'(e^{i\theta})|.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

The above inequality (4.2), with the help of Lemma 3.4, gives, for each  $r > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |D_\alpha P(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\gamma} D_\alpha Q(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta d\gamma \\
 & \leq (|\alpha| + 1)^r \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |P'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\gamma}Q'(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta d\gamma \\
 & \leq 2\pi n^r (|\alpha| + 1)^r \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Further, let  $T(z) = P(z) + e^{i\gamma}Q(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$  so that  $T_t(z) = P_t(z) + e^{i\gamma}Q_t(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n - t, t \leq n - 1$ , we have by the respected application of Lemma 3.3, for  $r > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^{2\pi} |D_{\alpha_1} D_{\alpha_2} \dots D_{\alpha_t} P(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\gamma} D_{\alpha_1} D_{\alpha_2} \dots D_{\alpha_t} Q(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \\
 & \leq (n - t + 1)^r (|\alpha_t| + 1)^r \int_0^{2\pi} |D_{\alpha_1} D_{\alpha_2} \dots D_{\alpha_{t-1}} P(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\gamma} D_{\alpha_1} D_{\alpha_2} \dots D_{\alpha_{t-1}} Q(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \\
 & \vdots \\
 & \leq (n - t + 1)^r \dots (n - 1)^r (|\alpha_t| + 1)^r \dots (|\alpha_2| + 1)^r \times \int_0^{2\pi} |P'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\gamma}Q'(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Integraing both sides of (4.4) with respect to  $\gamma$  from 0 to  $2\pi$ , we get with the help of (4.3) that for each  $r > 0$ ,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |P_t(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\gamma}Q_t(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta d\gamma \leq 2\pi n_t^r B_{\alpha_t}^r \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta, \tag{4.5}$$

where  $n_t, B_{\alpha_t}$  are defined as (2.2).

Now by Lemma 3.2, for each  $\theta, 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$  and  $\alpha_i, 1 \leq i \leq t, t \leq n - 1$  with  $|\alpha_i| < 1$ , we have

$$|P_t(e^{i\theta})| \leq |Q_t(e^{i\theta})| - mn_t(1 - |\alpha_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_t|),$$

This implies

$$|P_t(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{mn_t}{2}(1 - |\alpha_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_t|) \leq |Q_t(e^{i\theta})| - \frac{mn_t}{2}(1 - |\alpha_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_t|), \tag{4.6}$$

Take

$$A = |P_t(e^{i\theta})|, \quad B = |Q_t(e^{i\theta})|, \quad C = \frac{mn_t}{2}(1 - |\alpha_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_t|).$$

in Lemma 3.5, we get

$$B + C \leq A - C \leq A.$$

Hence for every real  $\gamma$ , with the help of Lemme 3.5, that

$$\left| \left\{ |Q_t(e^{i\theta})| - \frac{mn_t}{2}(1 - |\alpha_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_t|) \right\} e^{i\gamma} + \left\{ |P_t(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{mn_t}{2}(1 - |\alpha_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_t|) \right\} \right| \leq ||Q_t(e^{i\theta})|e^{i\gamma} + |P_t(e^{i\theta})||. \tag{4.7}$$

This implies for each  $r > 0$ ,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |F(\theta) + e^{i\gamma}G(\theta)|^r d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} ||Q_t(e^{i\theta})|e^{i\gamma} + |P_t(e^{i\theta})||^r d\theta, \tag{4.8}$$

where

$$F(\theta) = |P_t(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{mn_t}{2}(1 - |\alpha_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_t|); \quad G(\theta) = |Q_t(e^{i\theta})| - \frac{mn_t}{2}(1 - |\alpha_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_t|).$$

Integrating both sides of (4.8) with respect to  $\gamma$  from 0 to  $2\pi$ , we get with the help of (4.5), that for each  $r > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |F(\theta) + e^{i\gamma}G(\theta)|^r d\theta d\gamma \\ & \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| |Q_t(e^{i\theta})|e^{i\gamma} + |P_t(e^{i\theta})| \right|^r d\theta d\gamma \\ & \leq 2\pi n_t^r B_{\alpha_t}^r \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^r d\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Now for any real  $\gamma$  and  $s \geq 1$ , it can be easily verified that  $|s + e^{i\gamma}| \geq |1 + e^{i\gamma}|$ . Which implies for each  $r > 0$ ,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |s + e^{i\gamma}|^r d\gamma \geq \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\gamma}|^r d\gamma.$$

If  $F(\theta) \neq 0$ , we take  $s = \left| \frac{F(\theta)}{G(\theta)} \right|$ , then by (4.6),  $s \geq 1$  and we get

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |F(\theta) + e^{i\gamma}G(\theta)|^r d\gamma = |F(\theta)|^r \int_0^{2\pi} |s + e^{i\gamma}|^r d\gamma \geq |F(\theta)|^r \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\gamma}|^r d\gamma. \quad (4.10)$$

This inequality is true if  $F(\theta) = 0$ .

Integrating both sides of (4.10) with respect to  $\theta$  from 0 to  $2\pi$ , we get, for every  $r > 0$ ,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |F(\theta) + e^{i\gamma}G(\theta)|^r d\theta d\gamma \geq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| |P_t(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{mn_t}{2}(1 - |\alpha_1\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_t|) \right| \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\gamma}|^r d\gamma. \quad (4.11)$$

Now using the fact that for every complex number  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| \leq 1$ ,

$$\left| |P_t(e^{i\theta})| + \beta \frac{mn_t}{2}(1 - |\alpha_1\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_t|) \right| \leq \left| |P_t(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{mn_t}{2}(1 - |\alpha_1\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_t|) \right|, \quad (4.12)$$

which gives on using (4.9), (4.11), and (4.12), we get (2.1). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

## References

- [1] A. Aziz, *Inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial*, J. Approx. Theory **54** (1988), 306–313.
- [2] A. Aziz, *Inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial*, J. Approx. Theory **55** (1988), 183–193.
- [3] A. Aziz and N.A. Rather, *On an inequality concerning the polar derivative*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **117** (2003), 349–357.
- [4] A. Aziz and N.A. Rather, *Some Zygmund type  $L_p$  inequalities for polynomials*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **289** (2004), 14–29.
- [5] A. Aziz and W.M. Shah, *Some inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) **107** (1997), no. 3, 263–270.
- [6] S.N. Bernstein, *On best approximation of continuous functions by polynomials of given degree*, Soobšč. Har'kov. Mat. Obšč. (2) **13** (1912), 47–194.
- [7] K.K. Dewan and A. Mir, *Inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial*, J. Interd. Math. **10** (2007), no. 4, 525–531.
- [8] P.D. Lax, *Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdős on the derivative of a polynomial*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **50** (1944), 509–513.
- [9] M.A. Malik, *On the derivative of a polynomial*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **2** (1969), no. 1, 57–60.
- [10] M. Marden, *Geometry of polynomials, 2nd edition*, Math. Surveys, No. 3, Amer. Math. Soc. 1996.
- [11] A. Mir and S.A. Baba, *Some integral inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial*, Anal. Theory. Appl. **27** (2011), no. 4, 340–350.

- 
- [12] N.A. Rather,  *$L_p$  inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial*, J. Ineq. Pure. Appl. Math. **9** (2008), Article 103.
- [13] N.A. Rather, S.H. Ahangar and G. Suhail, *On the polar derivative of a polynomial*, Mat. Vesnik **67** (2015), 56–60.
- [14] P. Turań, *Über die ableitung von polynomen*, Compositio Math. **7** (1939), 89–95.