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#### Abstract

Let $G_{\tau}=(V, E)$ be a topological graph which is a finite, simple, undirected, connected graph without isolated vertices. In this paper, several bounds and domination parameters are studied and applied to it: bi-domination, doubly connected bi-domination and pitchfork domination. The dominating set and domination number with its inverse for all these types are calculated. Also, some figures from the topological graph are introduced.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph where the set of vertices of $G$ is $V(G)$ and the set of edges of $G$ is $E(G)$. The vertex $u$ is adjacent to a vertex $v$ if there is an edge between them. The order of a graph $G$ is the number of all elements in $V(G)$, denoted by $|V(G)|$. The size of a graph $G$ is the number of all elements in $E(G)$. The subgraph $H$ of $G$ is induced subgraph denoted by $G[H]$ and constructed by all vertices of $H \subseteq V(G)$ and all edges between vertices of $H$. A graph $G$ is connected graph if every two vertices are joined by a path, see [32]. The subset $D$ is dominating set if for each vertex of $V-D$ is adjacent to one or more vertices of $D$. The domination number denoted by $\gamma(G)$ is the cardinality of the minimum dominating set [18]. The inverse dominating set in a graph $G$ is a minimum dominating set exist in the set $V-D$, denoted by $D^{-1}$. The inverse domination number denoted by $\gamma^{-1}(G)$ is the cardinality of the minimum inverse dominating set [29. The subset $D$ is called bi-dominating set if every vertex in $D$ is adjacent to exactly two vertices in $V-D$. The bi-domination number denoted by $\gamma_{b i}(G)$ [16]. The subset $D$ is a doubly connected bi-dominating set if $D$ is bi-dominating set and both $G[D]$ and $G[V-D]$ are connected. The doubly connected bidomination number denoted by $\gamma_{b i}^{c c}(G)$ 2. The subset $D$ is a pitchfork dominating set if every vertex in $D$ dominates at least $j=1$ and at most $k=2$ vertices of $V-D$. The pitchfork domination number denoted by $\gamma_{p f}(G)$ [1]. For more information about domination see [1-15, 17, 30, 31. The discrete topology is denoted by $(X, \tau)$ such that $X$ is a non-empty set and $\tau$ is a family of all subsets of $X$, where $\tau=P(X)$ [33]. There are many papers to linking the graph to topology, see [19]-[28]. In this paper, some types of domination are studied on the discrete topological graph and calculate the inverse domination for it.
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## 2 Main Results

In this section, the definition that form a topological graphs is written with different properties and theorems of this graphs are studied.

Definition 2.1. [26] Let $X$ be a non-empty set and $\tau$ be a discrete topology on $X$. The discrete topological graph denoted by $G_{\tau}=(V, E)$ is a graph of the vertex set $V=\{A ; A \in \tau$ and $A \neq \emptyset, X\}$, and the edge set $E=\{A B ; A \nsubseteq B$ and $B \nsubseteq A\}$.

Proposition 2.2. 26] Let $X$ be a non-empty set of order $n$ and let $\tau$ be a discrete topology on $X$. If $n=2$, then $G_{\tau} \cong K_{2}$.

Proposition 2.3. [26] Let $X$ be a non-empty set of order $n$ and let $\tau$ be a discrete topology on $X$. If $n=3$, then $G_{\tau} \cong \overline{C_{6}}$.

Proposition 2.4. [26] Let $|X|=n$ and $G_{\tau}$ be a discrete topological graph. Then, the graph $G_{\tau}$ has $n-1$ complete induced subgraphs $K_{t}$ such that $t \geq n$.

Theorem 2.5. Let $G_{\tau}$ be a discrete topological graph of a non-empty set $X$. Then, $G_{\tau}$ is a connected graph.
Proof. Assume that $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are any two vertices in a graph $G_{\tau}$, let $S$ be a set of all vertices of singleton element. Then, there are three cases as follows:

Case 1: If $u_{1}, u_{2} \in S$, since $G[S]=K_{n}$ from proof of Proposition 2.4. Then, $u_{1}$ adjacent to $u_{2}$ for all elements of $S$. So, there is an edge $u_{1} u_{2} \in E\left(G_{\tau}\right)$ in a graph $G_{\tau}$.
Case 2: If $u_{1} \in S$ and $u_{2} \notin S$, if $u_{1} \nsubseteq u_{2} \wedge u_{2} \nsubseteq u_{1}$ then $u_{1} u_{2} \in E\left(G_{\tau}\right)$. If $u_{1}$ not adjacent to $u_{2}$. Then, there is at least one vertex in $S$ say $v$ adjacent to $u_{2}$ such that $v \nsubseteq u_{2}$ and $u_{2} \nsubseteq v$. Since $v$ adjacent with $u_{1}$ from proof of Proposition 2.4, so that $v$ adjacent to $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$. Thus, $u_{1}-v-u_{2}$ is a path in a graph $G_{\tau}$.
Case 3: If $u_{1}, u_{2} \notin S$ and $u_{1}$ not adjacent to $u_{2}$. If there is a vertex $t \in S$ such that $u_{1} \nsubseteq t \wedge t \nsubseteq u_{1}$, also $u_{2} \nsubseteq t \wedge t \nsubseteq u_{2}$. Then, $u_{1} t \in E\left(G_{\tau}\right)$ and $u_{2} t \in E\left(G_{\tau}\right)$ and $u_{1}-t-u_{2}$ is a path in $G_{\tau}$. Otherwise, there is $t_{1}, \quad t_{2} \in S$ where $u_{1} t_{1} \in E\left(G_{\tau}\right)$ and $t_{2} u_{2} \in E\left(G_{\tau}\right)$, then $u_{1}-t_{1}-t_{2}-u_{2}$ is a path in $G_{\tau}$. Hence, $G_{\tau}$ is a connected graph.

Proposition 2.6. [26] Let $|X|=n$, then the order of discrete topological graph $G_{\tau}$ is $2^{n}-2$.
Corollary 2.7. [28] Let $|X|=n$, then the order of the topological graph $G_{\tau}$ is $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\binom{n}{i}$.

## 3 Domination on the Topological Graph

In this section, many results of domination are found on the discrete topological graph.
Observation 3.1. Let $G_{\tau}$ be a discrete topological graph of order $2^{n}-2$ has a bi-dominating set. If $\gamma_{b i}\left(G_{\tau}\right)>\frac{2^{n}-2}{2}$, thus it has no inverse bi-dominating set.

Observation 3.2. For any topological graph $G_{\tau}$ of order $2^{n}-2$ has a pitchfork domination. If $\gamma_{p f}\left(G_{\tau}\right)>\frac{2^{n}-2}{2}$, then $G_{\tau}$ has no inverse pitchfork domination.

Proposition 3.3. [28] Let $|X|=3$ and $G_{\tau}$ be a discrete topological graph. Then, $G_{\tau}$ has a bi-dominating set and $\gamma_{b i}\left(G_{\tau}\right)=2$.

Theorem 3.4. 28 Let $|X|=n \quad(n \geq 4)$ and $G_{\tau}$ be a discrete topological graph. Then, $G_{\tau}$ has bi-dominating set and $\gamma_{b i}\left(G_{\tau}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\binom{n}{i}-4$.

Proposition 3.5. [28] Let $|X|=n(n \geq 4)$ and $G_{\tau}$ be a discrete topological graph. Then, $G_{\tau}$ has no inverse bidominating set.

Proposition 3.6. Let $|X|=3$, then $G_{\tau}$ has a doubly connected bi-dominating set and $\gamma_{b i}^{c c}\left(G_{\tau}\right)=2$.
Proof . If $|X|=2$, then $G_{\tau} \cong K_{2}$ by Proposition 2.2, and it is clear $K_{2}$ has no bi-dominating set, also it has no doubly connected bi-dominating set. If $|X|=3$ by the same technique of proof of Proposition 3.3. Let $D=\left\{u, u^{c}\right\}$ such that this two vertices of $D$ dominate only two vertices of $V-D$ and it is bi-dominating set. Now, if we take $D=\{\{1\},\{2,3\}\}$ since $\{1\} \nsubseteq\{2,3\} \wedge\{2,3\} \nsubseteq\{1\}$. Then, there is an edge between them so $G[D]$ form a path and it is connected. Let $V-D=\{\{2\},\{3\},\{1,2\},\{1,3\}\}$ since $\{2\}$ adjacent with $\{3\}$ and $\{1,2\}$ adjacent with $\{1,3\}$ from proof of Proposition 2.3. Also, since $\{3\} \nsubseteq\{1,2\} \wedge\{1,2\} \nsubseteq\{3\}$ so there is an edge between them. Again, since $\{2\} \nsubseteq\{1,3\} \bigwedge\{1,3\} \nsubseteq\{2\}$ also there is an edge between them. Now, since $\{2\}$ adjacent to $\{3\},\{3\}$ adjacent to $\{1,2\},\{1,2\}$ adjacent to $\{1,3\}$ and $\{1,3\}$ adjacent to $\{2\}$. Hence, $G[V-D]$ form a cycle so that it is connected. Since both $G[D]$ and $G[V-D]$ are connected. Hence, $D$ is a doubly connected bi-dominating set and $\gamma_{b i}^{c c}\left(G_{\tau}\right)=2$. See Figure $1(a)$.

Proposition 3.7. Let $|X|=3$, then $G_{\tau}$ has inverse doubly connected bi-dominating set and $\gamma_{b i}^{-c c}\left(G_{\tau}\right)=2$.
Proof . By the same technique of proof of Proposition 3.6. Let $D^{-1}=\{\{2\},\{1,3\}\}$ such that $G\left[D^{-1}\right]$ form a path so it is connected. Also, let $V-D^{-1}=\{\{1\},\{3\},\{1,2\},\{2,3\}\}$ where $G\left[V-D^{-1}\right]$ form a cycle and it is connected. Since both $G\left[D^{-1}\right]$ and $G\left[V-D^{-1}\right]$ are connected. Thus, $D^{-1}$ is an inverse doubly connected bi-dominating set and $\gamma_{b i}^{-c c}\left(G_{\tau}\right)=2$. See Figure $1(b)$.


Figure 1: $D$ and $D^{-1}$ of doubly connected bi-domination for $\overline{C_{6}}$.

Theorem 3.8. Let $|X|=n(n \geq 4)$, then $G_{\tau}$ has a doubly connected bi-dominating set and $\gamma_{b i}^{c c}\left(G_{\tau}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\binom{n}{i}-4$.
Proof. By the same technique of proof of Theorem 3.4. Let $V-D=\left\{w, v, w^{c}, v^{c}\right\}$ where each vertex of $D$ dominates only two vertices of $V-D$, and it is a bi-dominating set. Now, in $G[D]$ and in similar proof of Theorem 2.5 we get it is connected. The remaining vertices in $V-D=\left\{w, v, w^{c}, v^{c}\right\}$. Such that let $w, v$ be two vertices of singleton element then $w^{c}, v^{c}$ are two vertices have $n-1$ elements. Since $w v \in E\left(G_{\tau}\right)$ and $w^{c} v^{c} \in E\left(G_{\tau}\right)$ from proof of Proposition 2.4. Also, since $w \nsubseteq w^{c} \bigwedge w^{c} \nsubseteq w$, so $w$ adjacent with $w^{c}$. Again, since $v \nsubseteq v^{c} \bigwedge v^{c} \nsubseteq v$, thus $v$ adjacent with $v^{c}$. Now, since $w$ adjacent to $v, v$ adjacent to $v^{c}, v^{c}$ adjacent to $w^{c}$ and $w^{c}$ adjacent to $w$. Then, $G[V-D]$ form a cycle and it is connected. Therefore, $D$ is a doubly connected bi-dominating set and $\gamma_{b i}^{c c}\left(G_{\tau}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\binom{n}{i}-4$. See Figure 2.

Corollary 3.9. Let $|X|=n(n \geq 4)$ and $G_{\tau}$ be a discrete topological graph defined on a set $X$. Then, $G_{\tau}$ has a doubly connected bi-dominating set and $\gamma_{b i}^{c c}\left(G_{\tau}\right)=2^{n}-6$.

Proof . From proof of Theorem 3.8. Since $D$ is a doubly connected bi-dominating set and has all vertices of $G_{\tau}$ unless four vertices of $V-D$. In addition the order of $G_{\tau}$ which is $2^{n}-2$ by Proposition 2.6. Hence, $\gamma_{b i}^{c c}\left(G_{\tau}\right)=2^{n}-6$.

Proposition 3.10. Let $|X|=n(n \geq 4)$, then $G_{\tau}$ has no inverse doubly connected bi-dominating set.
Proof. Since $G_{\tau}$ has no inverse bi-dominating set for $n \geq 4$ by Proposition 3.5, $G_{\tau}$ has no inverse doubly connected bi-dominating set.


Figure 2: The minimum doubly connected bi-domination when $|X|=4$.

Proposition 3.11. Let $|X|=n$, then $G_{\tau}$ has a pitchfork dominating set and

$$
\gamma_{p f}\left(G_{\tau}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
1, & \text { if } n=2 \\
2, & \text { if } n=3 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof . If $n=2$, then $G_{\tau} \cong K_{2}$ by Proposition 2.2 and it is clear the pitchfork domination number of $K_{2}$ is one, where $\gamma_{p f}\left(G_{\tau}\right)=1$. See Figure $3(a)$. If $n=3$ by the same technique of proof of Proposition 3.3, let $D=\left\{u, u^{c}\right\}$. Since each vertex in $D$ dominates only two vertices in $V-D$. Thus, $D$ is a minimum pitchfork dominating set and $\gamma_{p f}\left(G_{\tau}\right)=2$. See Figure $1(a)$.

Proposition 3.12. Let $|X|=n$, then $G_{\tau}$ has inverse pitchfork dominating set and

$$
\gamma_{p f}^{-1}\left(G_{\tau}\right)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } n=2 \\ 2, & \text { if } n=3\end{cases}
$$

Proof. If $n=2$, then $G_{\tau} \cong K_{2}$ by Proposition 2.2 and it is clear the inverse pitchfork domination number of $K_{2}$ is one, where $\gamma_{p f}^{-1}\left(G_{\tau}\right)=1$. See Figure $3(b)$. If $n=3$ in similar proof of Proposition 3.3, let $D^{-1}=\left\{v, v^{c}\right\}$ such that the vertices of $D^{-1}$ dominate only two vertices in $V-D^{-1}$. Thus, $D^{-1}$ is a minimum inverse pitchfork dominating set and $\gamma_{p f}^{-1}\left(G_{\tau}\right)=2$. See Figure $1(b)$.

(a)

(b)

Figure 3: $D$ and $D^{-1}$ of pitchfork domination for $K_{2}$.

Theorem 3.13. Let $|X|=n(n \geq 4)$, then $G_{\tau}$ has pitchfork dominating set and $\gamma_{p f}\left(G_{\tau}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\binom{n}{i}-4$.
Proof . By the same technique of proof of Theorem 3.4, let $V-D=\left\{u, w, u^{c}, w^{c}\right\}$. Since each vertex in $D$ dominates only two vertices of $V-D, D$ is a minimum pitchfork dominating set and $\gamma_{p f}\left(G_{\tau}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\binom{n}{i}-4$. See Figure 2 and Figure 4.

Corollary 3.14. Let $|X|=n \quad(n \geq 4)$ and $G_{\tau}$ be a discrete topological graph. Then, $G_{\tau}$ has a pitchfork dominating set where $\gamma_{p f}\left(G_{\tau}\right)=2^{n}-6$.

Proof . From proof of Theorem 3.13, since $D$ is a pitchfork dominating set and has all vertices of $G_{\tau}$ unless four vertices of $V-D$ such that the order of $G_{\tau}$ which is $2^{n}-2$ by Proposition 2.6 , we have $\gamma_{p f}\left(G_{\tau}\right)=2^{n}-6$.

Proposition 3.15. Let $|X|=n \quad(n \geq 4)$, then $G_{\tau}$ has no inverse pitchfork dominating set.

Proof . Since the order of $G_{\tau}$ is $2^{n}-2$ by Proposition 2.6 and $\gamma_{p f}\left(G_{\tau}\right)>\frac{2^{n}-2}{2}$, by Observation 3.2 the graph $G_{\tau}$ has no inverse pitchfork dominating set.


Figure 4: The pitchfork domination for $|X|=5$.

## 4 Conclusions

Many results of domination with it's inverse are applied on the topological graphs and introduced some figures for it.

## 5 Open problems

Applying other types of domination parameters on the topological graph such as: total pitchfork domination, arrow domination, Hn-domination, co-even domination.
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