

# An example for the nonstability of multicubic mappings

Abasalt Bodaghi

Department of Mathematics, Garmsar Branch, Islamic Azad University, Garmsar, Iran

(Communicated by Michael Th. Rassias)

---

## Abstract

In this paper, we present a counterexample for the nonstability of multicubic mappings. In other words, we show that Corollary 3.5 of [A. Bodaghi and B. Shojaee, On an equation characterizing multi-cubic mappings and its stability and hyperstability, Fixed Point Theory. 22 (2021), No. 1, 83–92] does not hold when  $\alpha = 3n$ .

Keywords: Banach space, Hyers-Ulam stability, multicubic mapping  
2020 MSC: 39B52, 39B72, 39B82

---

## 1 Introduction

A functional equation  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be *stable* if any function  $f$  satisfying the equation  $\mathcal{F}$  approximately must be near to an exact solution of  $\mathcal{F}$ . In two last decades, the stability problem for functional equations which has been initiated by the celebrated question of Ulam [11] for group homomorphisms (answered by Hyers [7], Aoki [1] and Th. M. Rassias [10] for Banach algebras), was studied for multivariable mappings. One of them is the multicubic mapping. Let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces over the rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . A mapping  $f : V^n \rightarrow W$  is called *n-cubic* or *multicubic* if  $f$  satisfies

$$C(2x + y) + C(2x - y) = 2C(x + y) + 2C(x - y) + 12C(x) \quad (1.1)$$

in each variable [3]. Indeed,  $f$  is multicubic if

$$\begin{aligned} & f(v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, 2v_i + v'_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n) + f(v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, 2v_i - v'_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n) \\ &= 2f(v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_i + v'_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n) + 2f(v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_i - v'_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n) + 12f(v_1, \dots, v_n) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . In [3], the authors unified the system of functional equations defining a multicubic mapping to a single equation, namely, multi-cubic functional equation (Proposition 2.1). Moreover, they studied the Hyers-Ulam stability of such mappings. A lot of information about miscellaneous versions of multicubic mappings and their stabilities in various spaces are available in [2], [4], [6] and [9].

In this paper, we show that the stability result in Corollary 3.5 of [3] for multicubic mappings is not valid for  $\alpha = 3n$ .

---

Email address: abasalt.bodaghi@gmail.com (Abasalt Bodaghi)

## 2 Main results

Throughout this paper,  $\mathbb{N}$  stands for the set of all positive integers,  $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For any  $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_m) \in \{-1, 1\}^m$  and  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in V^m$  we write  $lx := (lx_1, \dots, lx_m)$  and  $tx := (t_1x_1, \dots, t_mx_m)$ , where  $lx$  stands, as usual, for the  $l$ th power of an element  $x$  of the commutative group  $V$ .

From now on, let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_i^n = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{in}) \in V^n$ , where  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . We will write  $x_i^n$  simply  $x_i$  when no confusion can arise. Given  $x_1, x_2 \in V^n$ . Put

$$\mathcal{M}^n = \{\mathfrak{N}_n = (N_1, \dots, N_n) \mid N_j \in \{x_{1j} \pm x_{2j}, x_{1j}\}\},$$

where  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . For  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , consider

$$\mathcal{M}_k^n := \{\mathfrak{N}_n \in \mathcal{M}^n \mid \text{Card}\{N_j : N_j = x_{1j}\} = k\}.$$

The upcoming result was proved in [3, Proposition 2.2], which shows that every multicubic mapping can be described a single equation.

**Proposition 2.1.** If a mapping  $f : V^n \rightarrow W$  is multi-cubic, then  $f$  satisfies the equation

$$\sum_{q \in \{-1, 1\}^n} f(2x_1 + qx_2) = \sum_{k=0}^n 2^{n-k} 12^k f(\mathcal{M}_k^n), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $f(\mathcal{M}_k^n) := \sum_{\mathfrak{N}_n \in \mathcal{M}_k^n} f(\mathfrak{N}_n)$ .

Recall from [3] that a mapping  $f : V^n \rightarrow W$  has the  $r$ -power condition in the  $j$ th variable if

$$f(z_1, \dots, z_{j-1}, 2z_j, z_{j+1}, \dots, z_n) = 2^r f(z_1, \dots, z_{j-1}, z_j, z_{j+1}, \dots, z_n),$$

for all  $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in V^n$ . Note that 3-power condition is also called the *cubic condition*.

The following proposition is a direct consequence of main result in [3], which shows that the functional equation (2.1) is stable. In fact, we improve Corollary 3.5 from [3].

**Proposition 2.2.** Given  $\delta > 0$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $\alpha \neq 3n$ . Let  $V$  be a normed space and  $W$  be a Banach space. If  $f : V^n \rightarrow W$  is a mapping satisfying the inequality

$$\left\| \sum_{q \in \{-1, 1\}^n} f(2x_1 + qx_2) - \sum_{k=0}^n 2^{n-k} 12^k f(\mathcal{M}_k^n) \right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_{ij}\|^\alpha \delta,$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in V^n$ , then there exists a unique solution  $\mathcal{C} : V^n \rightarrow W$  of (2.1) such that

$$\|f(x) - \mathcal{C}(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\delta}{2^{4n} - 2^{\alpha+n}} \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_{1j}\|^\alpha & \alpha < 3n, \\ \frac{2^\alpha}{2^{\alpha+n} - 2^{4n}} \delta \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_{1j}\|^\alpha & \alpha > 3n, \end{cases}$$

for all  $x = x_1 \in V^n$ . Moreover, if  $\mathcal{C}$  has the cubic condition in each variable, then it is a multicubic mapping.

We bring an elementary lemma without the proof as follows.

**Lemma 2.3.** If a function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and satisfies (1.1), then it has the form  $g(x) = cx^3$ , for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $c = f(1)$ .

In the next result, we extend Lemma 2.3 for several variables functions. For doing this, we use an idea taken from the proof of [8, Theorem 13.4.3].

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous  $n$ -cubic function. Then, there exists a constant  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = c \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^3 \quad (2.2)$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof .** We argue the proof by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$ , (2.2) is valid in view of Lemma 2.3. Let (2.2) hold for a  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume that  $f : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous  $(n+1)$ -cubic function. Fix the  $n$  variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Then, the function  $y \mapsto f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$  as a function of  $y$  is cubic and continuous, and so by Lemma 2.3, there exists a constant  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) = cy^3, \quad (y \in \mathbb{R}). \quad (2.3)$$

Note that  $c$  depends on  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and indeed

$$c = c(x_1, \dots, x_n). \quad (2.4)$$

Letting  $y = 1$  in (2.3) and applying (2.4), we get

$$c = c(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n, 1).$$

Since  $f$  is  $(n+1)$ -cubic, it follows that  $c$  is an  $n$ -cubic function and hence by the induction hypothesis there exists a real number  $c'$  such that

$$c = c(x_1, \dots, x_n) = c' \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^3. \quad (2.5)$$

Now, the result follows from (2.3) and (2.5).  $\square$

**Remark 2.5.** Note that in the proof of Proposition 2.4 only the continuity of  $g$  with respect to each variable separately was used. Therefore, the result is again true if and only if  $f$  is supposed separately continuous with respect to each variable. On the other hand, in virtue of the proof of Proposition 2.4, if the continuity condition of  $g$  is removed, then the result remains valid for a function  $g : \mathbb{Q}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ . We use this fact to make a non-stable example.

Here, we present the main result of this paper that is a nonstable example for the multicubic mappings on  $\mathbb{Q}^n$ . Indeed, we show the hypothesis  $\alpha \neq 3n$  cannot be removed in Proposition 2.2. Remember that the method of the proof is taken from [5].

**Example 2.6.** Let  $\delta > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and consider  $S \geq 6^n \sum_{k=0}^n 6^k$ . Put  $\mu = \frac{2^{3n}-1}{2^{6n}-1} \delta$ . Define the function  $\psi : \mathbb{Q}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  through

$$\psi(r_1, \dots, r_n) = \begin{cases} \mu \prod_{j=1}^n r_j^3 & \text{for all } r_j \text{ with } |r_j| < 1, \\ \mu & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, define the function  $f : \mathbb{Q}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  by

$$f(r_1, \dots, r_n) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(2^l r_1, \dots, 2^l r_n)}{2^{3nl}}, \quad (r_j \in \mathbb{Q}).$$

It is obvious that  $\psi$  is bounded by  $\mu$ . Indeed, for each  $(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ , we have

$$|f(r_1, \dots, r_n)| \leq \frac{2^{3n}}{2^{3n}-1} \mu.$$

It follows from the last inequality that

$$|\mathbf{D}f(x_1, x_2)| \leq \mu S, \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$\mathbf{D}f(x_1, x_2) := f(2x_1 + qx_2) - \sum_{k=0}^n 2^{n-k} 12^k f(\mathcal{M}_k^n)$$

in which  $x_j = (x_{j1}, \dots, x_{jn}) \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  with  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ . We wish to show that

$$|\mathbf{D}f(x_1, x_2)| \leq \delta \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^n |x_{ij}|^{3n}, \quad (2.7)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ . We have three cases as follows:

(i) If  $x_1 = x_2 = 0$ , then it is clear that (2.7) holds.

(ii) Let  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  with

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^n |x_{ij}|^{3n} < \frac{1}{2^{3n}}.$$

Thus, there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that

$$\frac{1}{2^{3n(N+1)}} < \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^n |x_{ij}|^{3n} < \frac{1}{2^{3nN}}, \quad (2.8)$$

and hence

$$|x_{ij}|^{3n} < \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^n |x_{ij}|^{3n} < \frac{1}{2^{3nN}}. \quad (2.9)$$

Relation (2.9) implies that  $2^N |x_{ij}| < 1$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Therefore,  $2^{N-1} |x_{ij}| < 1$ . If  $y_1, y_2 \in \{x_{ij} \mid i \in \{1, 2\}, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ , then

$$2^{N-1} |y_1 \pm y_2| < 1, \quad 2^{N-1} |2y_1 \pm y_2| < 1.$$

Since  $\psi$  is a multicubic function on  $(-1, 1)^n$ , we have  $\mathbf{D}\psi(2^l x_1, 2^l x_2) = 0$  for all  $l \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1\}$ . We conclude from the last equality and (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\mathbf{D}f(2^l x_1, 2^l x_2)|}{\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^n |x_{ij}|^{3n}} &\leq \sum_{l=N}^{\infty} \frac{|\mathbf{D}\psi(2^l x_1, 2^l x_2)|}{2^{3nl} \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^n |x_{ij}|^{3n}} \\ &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu S}{2^{3n(l+N)} \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^n |x_{ij}|^{3n}} \\ &\leq \mu 2^{3n} S \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{3nl}} \\ &= \mu S \frac{2^{6n}}{2^{3n} - 1} = \delta, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  and thus (2.7) is true in this case.

(iii) Assume that  $\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^n |x_{ij}|^{3n} \geq \frac{1}{2^{3n}}$ . Using (2.6), we have

$$\frac{|\mathbf{D}f(2^l x_1, 2^l x_2)|}{\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^n |x_{ij}|^{3n}} \leq 2^{3nl} \frac{2^{3n}}{2^{3n} - 1} \mu S = \delta.$$

Therefore,  $f$  satisfies (2.7) for all  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ .

Now, suppose the assertion is false, that is, there exist a number  $b \in [0, \infty)$  and a multicubic function  $\mathcal{C} : \mathbb{Q}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $|f(r_1, \dots, r_n) - \mathcal{C}(r_1, \dots, r_n)| < b \prod_{j=1}^n r_j$  for all  $(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ . It follows now from Lemma 2.5 that there is a constant  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mathcal{C}(r_1, \dots, r_n) = c \prod_{j=1}^n r_j^3$  for all  $(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  and therefore

$$|f(r_1, \dots, r_n)| \leq (|c| + b) \prod_{j=1}^n r_j^3, \quad (2.10)$$

for all  $(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ . On the other hand, one can choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $N\mu > |c| + b$ . If  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  such that  $r_j \in (0, \frac{1}{2^{N-1}})$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then  $2^l r_j \in (0, 1)$  for all  $l = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |f(r_1, \dots, r_n)| &= \left| \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(2^l r_1, \dots, 2^l r_n)}{2^{3nl}} \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \frac{\mu 2^{3nl} \prod_{j=1}^n r_j^3}{2^{3nl}} \right| \\ &= N\mu \prod_{j=1}^n |r_j|^3 \\ &> (|c| + b) \prod_{j=1}^n |r_j|^3, \end{aligned}$$

that leads us to a contradiction with (2.10).

### Acknowledgments

The author sincerely thanks the anonymous reviewer for the careful reading and suggesting the comments that improved the first draft.

### References

- [1] T. Aoki, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan. **2** (1950), 64–66.
- [2] A. Bodaghi, *Equalities and inequalities for several variables mappings*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2022** (2022), Paper No. 6.
- [3] A. Bodaghi and B. Shojaee, *On an equation characterizing multi-cubic mappings and its stability and hyperstability*, Fixed Point Theory **22** (2021), no. 1, 83–92.
- [4] N. Ebrahimi Hoseinzadeh, A. Bodaghi and M.R. Mardanbeigi, *Almost multi-cubic mappings and a fixed point application*, Sahand Commun. Math. Anal. **17** (2020), no. 3, 131–143.
- [5] Z. Gajda, *On stability of additive mappings*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **14** (1991), 431–434.
- [6] M.B. Ghaemi, M. Majani and M. Eshaghi Gordji, *General system of cubic functional equations in non-Archimedean spaces*, Tamsui Oxford J. Inf. Math. Sci. **28** (2012), no. 4, 407–423.
- [7] D.H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [8] M. Kuczma, *An Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities. Cauchy's Equation and Jensen's Inequality*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2009.
- [9] C. Park and A. Bodaghi, *Two multi-cubic functional equations and some results on the stability in modular spaces*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2020** (2020), Paper No. 6. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-019-2274-5>
- [10] Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **72**(2) (1978), no. 2, 297–300.
- [11] S.M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Science Editions, Wiley, New York, 1964.