

# Operators commuting with certain module actions

Seyedeh Somayeh Jafari

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan 84156-83111, Iran

(Communicated by Mohammad Bagher Ghaemi)

---

## Abstract

In this note, we study bounded linear operators associated with unitary representations which commute with certain module actions.

Keywords: bounded linear operator, locally compact group, module action, unitary representation  
2020 MSC: Primary 46H25; Secondary 22D10

---

## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

Throughout  $G$  is a locally compact group with the unit  $e$ , a fixed left Haar-measure. The left Haar-integral of a complex-valued Haar-measurable function  $f$  on  $G$  will be denoted by  $\int_G f(x) dx$ . The convolution product of two complex-valued functions  $f$  and  $g$  on  $G$  is defined as follows.

$$f * g(x) = \int_G f(y)g(y^{-1}x) dx,$$

when the integral makes sense. As usual,  $L^1(G)$  denotes the group algebra of  $G$  as defined in [3]. The notation  $l_x$  is the left translation operator by  $x \in G$ ; i.e.,  $l_x f(y) = f(xy)$  for all complex-valued function  $f$  on  $G$ . Note that  $L^1(G)$  is a left  $G$ -module with the action  $x \cdot \phi = l_{x^{-1}}\phi$  for all  $x \in G$  and  $\phi \in L^1(G)$ . Let  $L^\infty(G)$  is usual Lebesgue space as defined in [3] equipped with the essential supremum  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ . Then  $L^\infty(G)$  can be identified by the first dual space of  $L^1(G)$  under the pairing

$$\langle f, \phi \rangle = \int_G f(x)\phi(x) dx \quad (f \in L^\infty(G), \phi \in L^1(G)).$$

Moreover, the dualization of the left  $G$ -module action on  $L^1(G)$  makes  $L^\infty(G)$  as a right  $G$ -module as follows

$$\langle f \cdot x, \phi \rangle = \langle f, x \cdot \phi \rangle \quad (f \in L^\infty(G), x \in G).$$

We can also consider  $L^\infty(G)$  as a right Banach  $L^1(G)$ -module by the following action.

$$f \cdot \phi = \int_G f \cdot x \phi(x) dx \quad (f \in L^\infty(G), \phi \in L^1(G)).$$

Let also,  $LUC(G)$  denote the  $C^*$ -algebra of left uniformly continuous functions; i.e.,  $f \in LUC(G)$  when the map  $x \mapsto l_x f$  from  $G$  into  $L^\infty(G)$  is norm continuous.

---

Email address: [ss.jafari@math.iut.ac.ir](mailto:ss.jafari@math.iut.ac.ir) (Seyedeh Somayeh Jafari)

In recent years, many authors have extensively studied the behavior and relations of  $G$ -module and  $L^1(G)$ -module maps, in the sense of the map commute with the translations, convolutions and conjugations; see for example [5, 7, 8, 9]. Special attention has focused on such operators on  $L^\infty(G)$ . As known, any bounded linear operator on  $L^\infty(G)$  that commutes with convolution from the left also commutes with left translations; see [8]. Here, we study such notions with an emphasis on unitary representations.

All over this paper,  $(\pi, H_\pi)$  is a unitary representation of a locally compact group  $G$ . As mentioned in [1],  $Tr(H_\pi)$ , all of the trace-class operators on  $H_\pi$  with norm  $\|T\|_1 = tr|T|$ , takes the role played by  $L^1(G)$  in the theory of amenable groups and the left action of  $G$  on  $L^1(G)$  being replaced by the following left action of  $G$  on  $Tr(H_\pi)$ .

$$x \cdot_\pi S = \pi(x)S\pi(x)^{-1} \quad (x \in G, S \in Tr(H_\pi)).$$

Moreover,  $Tr(H_\pi)$  is an isometric Banach  $G$ -module by Lemma 2.1 of [1]. Also,  $B(H_\pi)$  is known as the dual space of  $Tr(H_\pi)$  by the duality  $T(S) = tr(ST)$  for all  $T \in B(H_\pi)$  and  $S \in Tr(H_\pi)$ . Clearly,  $T \cdot_\pi x = \pi(x)^{-1}T\pi(x)$  for each  $T \in B(H_\pi)$  and  $x \in G$ . These facts imply that  $B(H_\pi)$  is a right Banach  $L^1(G)$ -module as follows.

$$T \cdot_\pi \phi = \int_G T \cdot_\pi x \phi(x) dx \quad (T \in B(H_\pi), \phi \in L^1(G)).$$

Since the map  $x \mapsto T \cdot_\pi x$  from  $G$  into  $B(H_\pi)$  is not necessarily norm-continuous,  $B(H_\pi)$  is not Banach as a  $G$ -module, in general. So, one has considered the set of all  $T \in B(H_\pi)$  for which  $G \rightarrow B(H_\pi)$ ,  $x \mapsto T \cdot_\pi x$  is norm-continuous,  $UCB(\pi)$ . Elements in  $UCB(\pi)$  are called  $G$ -continuous operators. Moreover, Cohen's factorization theorem implies that

$$B(H_\pi) \cdot_\pi L^1(G) = UCB(\pi) \cdot_\pi L^1(G) = UCB(\pi).$$

See [1] for more details and the survey article. For any  $M \in B(H_\pi)^*$  and  $T \in B(H_\pi)$ , we can define a complex-valued function  $MT$  on  $G$  by

$$MT(x) = \langle M, T \cdot_\pi x \rangle \quad (x \in G).$$

Obviously,  $MT$  is bounded by  $\|M\|\|T\|$ . Besides,

$$l_x MT = (M)(T \cdot_\pi x) \quad (x \in G).$$

Suppose that  $M \in B(H_\pi)^*$ . Then the linear operator  $\rho_M : UCB(\pi) \rightarrow LUC(G)$  given by  $T \mapsto MT$  is well-defined due to [2, Lemma 2.2]. Furthermore, let  $T \in UCB(\pi)$  and  $\phi \in L^1(G)$ . Then  $\langle MT, \phi \rangle = \langle M, T \cdot_\pi \phi \rangle$  by directly calculation. Therefore,  $\rho_M(T \cdot_\pi \phi) = \rho_M(T) \cdot \phi$ . Also,  $\rho_M(T \cdot_\pi x) = \rho_M(T) \cdot x$  for all  $x \in G$ . These simple properties of  $\rho_M$  are a motivating force for this research. We extend them by the following definition that is the starting point of our path to express the main results in this note.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $(\pi, H_\pi)$  be a unitary representation of a locally compact group  $G$ , and let  $\gamma : B(H_\pi) \rightarrow L^\infty(G)$  be a bounded linear operator.

(a)  $\gamma$  is said to commute with the action as  $L^1(G)$ -module if

$$\gamma(T \cdot_\pi \phi) = \gamma(T) \cdot \phi \quad (T \in B(H_\pi), \phi \in L^1(G)). \quad (1.1)$$

(b)  $\gamma$  is said to commute with the action as  $G$ -module if

$$\gamma(T \cdot_\pi x) = \gamma(T) \cdot x \quad (T \in B(H_\pi), x \in G), \quad (1.2)$$

Suppose that  $M \in B(H_\pi)^*$ . We do not yet whether  $MT \in L^\infty(G)$  for all  $T \in B(H_\pi)$  or not. Therefore, we can not define safely the operator  $\rho_M$  from  $B(H_\pi)$  into  $L^\infty(G)$  by  $\rho_M(T) = MT$ . But as will be seen, there exist such operators. For instance, the map  $\gamma_M$  defined by  $\langle \gamma_M(T), \phi \rangle = \langle M, T \cdot_\pi \phi \rangle$  for all  $T \in B(H_\pi)$  and  $\phi \in L^1(G)$  satisfies in the both of 1.1 and 1.2.

## 2 The results

We commence the note by the following result that shows 1.1 and 1.2 coincide when the operator  $\gamma$  restricts to  $UCB(\pi)$ . Before starting, note that for all  $M \in UCB(\pi)^*$  and  $T \in UCB(\pi)$ , we can also define the complex-valued function  $MT$  by  $\overline{MT}$  on  $G$ , where  $\overline{M}$  is any Hahn-Banach extension of  $M$ . Since the Hahn-Banach extension is not unique, in general, we use again the notation  $\rho_M$  instead of  $\rho_{\overline{M}}$  for unification.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $(\pi, H_\pi)$  be a unitary representation of a locally compact group  $G$ , and let  $\gamma : UCB(\pi) \rightarrow L^\infty(G)$  be a bounded linear operator. Then each of the following statements implies that the range of  $\gamma$  lies in  $LUC(G)$ . Also, they are equivalent.

- (a)  $\gamma$  commutes with the action as  $L^1(G)$ -module,
- (b)  $\gamma = \rho_M$  for some  $M \in UCB(\pi)^*$ ,
- (c)  $\gamma$  commutes with action as  $G$ -module.

**Proof .** Let  $T \in UCB(\pi)$ . If (a) holds, then  $\gamma(T) = \gamma(S \cdot_\pi \phi) = \gamma(S) \cdot \phi$  for some  $S \in UCB(\pi)$  and  $\phi \in L^1(G)$  that yields  $\gamma(T) \in LUC(G)$ . If (b) holds, then  $\gamma(T) = \rho_M(T) = MT \in LUC(G)$ . Finally, if (c) holds and  $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$  in  $G$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|l_{x_\alpha} \gamma(T) - l_x \gamma(T)\|_\infty &= \|\gamma(T) \cdot x_\alpha - \gamma(T) \cdot x\|_\infty \\ &= \|\gamma(T \cdot_\pi x_\alpha) - \gamma(T \cdot_\pi x)\|_\infty \\ &\leq \|\gamma\| \|T \cdot_\pi x_\alpha - T \cdot_\pi x\| \\ &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\gamma(T) \in LUC(G)$ .

Now, for equivalency of them, we can confirm (a) and (c) if (b) holds, as noted earlier. Suppose that (a) holds and  $(\phi_i)$  is a bounded approximate identity of  $L^1(G)$ . Then  $(\gamma^*(\phi_i))$  is bounded in  $UCB(\pi)^*$ , where  $\gamma^*$  is the usual adjoint of  $\gamma$ . Let now  $M \in UCB(\pi)^*$  be a weak\*-cluster point of  $(\gamma^*(\phi_i))$ . So, we may assume that  $\gamma^*(\phi_i) \rightarrow M$  in the weak\*-topology of  $UCB(\pi)^*$ . Let  $T \in UCB(\pi)$ . Then for each  $\phi \in L^1(G)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \rho_M(T), \phi \rangle &= \langle MT, \phi \rangle = \langle M, T \cdot_\pi \phi \rangle \\ &= \lim_i \langle \gamma^*(\phi_i), T \cdot_\pi \phi \rangle = \lim_i \langle \phi_i, \gamma(T \cdot_\pi \phi) \rangle \\ &= \lim_i \langle \phi_i, \gamma(T) \cdot \phi \rangle = \lim_i \langle \gamma(T) \cdot \phi, \phi_i \rangle \\ &= \lim_i \langle \gamma(T), \phi * \phi_i \rangle = \langle \gamma(T), \phi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, part (b) holds. Now, assume that  $\gamma$  is commuting with the action as  $G$ -module. Take  $M = \gamma^*(\delta_e) \in UCB(\pi)^*$ , where  $\delta_e(f) = f(e)$  for all  $f \in LUC(G)$ . Then for each  $T \in UCB(\pi)$  and  $x \in G$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(T)(x) &= (\gamma(T) \cdot x)(e) = \langle \delta_e, \gamma(T) \cdot x \rangle \\ &= \langle \delta_e, \gamma(T \cdot_\pi x) \rangle = \langle \gamma^*(\delta_e), T \cdot_\pi x \rangle \\ &= \langle M, T \cdot_\pi x \rangle = MT(x). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\gamma(T) = MT = \rho_M(T)$  for all  $T \in UCB(\pi)$  and so,  $\gamma = \rho_M$ . One shows the implication (c) into (b).  $\square$  As mentioned earlier, every bounded linear operator on  $L^\infty(G)$  commuting with the action as  $L^1(G)$ -module commute also, with the action as  $G$ -module. Here, we have the following result.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $(\pi, H_\pi)$  be a unitary representation of a locally compact group  $G$ , and let  $\gamma$  be a bounded linear operator from  $B(H_\pi)$  into  $L^\infty(G)$  that is commuting with the action as  $L^1(G)$ -module. Then  $\gamma$  commutes with the action as  $G$ -module.

**Proof .** Suppose that  $T \in B(H_\pi)$ ,  $x \in G$  and  $\phi \in L^1(G)$ . One can easily check that  $(T \cdot_\pi x) \cdot_\pi \phi = T \cdot_\pi (x \cdot \phi)$ . Furthermore, let  $(\phi_i)$  be an approximate identity for  $L^1(G)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \gamma(T \cdot_\pi x), \phi \rangle &= \lim_i \langle \gamma(T \cdot_\pi x), \phi_i * \phi \rangle \\ &= \lim_i \langle \gamma(T \cdot_\pi x) \cdot \phi_i, \phi \rangle \\ &= \lim_i \langle \gamma((T \cdot_\pi x) \cdot_\pi \phi_i), \phi \rangle \\ &= \lim_i \langle \gamma(T \cdot_\pi (x \cdot \phi_i)), \phi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_i \langle \gamma(T) \cdot (x \cdot \phi_i), \phi \rangle \\
&= \lim_i \langle \gamma(T), (x \cdot \phi_i) * \phi \rangle \\
&= \lim_i \langle \gamma(T), x \cdot (\phi_i * \phi) \rangle \\
&= \lim_i \langle \gamma(T) \cdot x, \phi_i * \phi \rangle \\
&= \langle \gamma(T) \cdot x, \phi \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\gamma$  commutes with the action as  $G$ -module.  $\square$

It is tempting to know whether the converse of Proposition 2.2 is valid or not. It is known that the converse fails in the same style of operators on  $L^\infty(G)$ . So, it turns out that the converse fails here, too. It is clear that  $B(H_\pi) = UCB(\pi)$  when  $G$  is discrete, and so the converse is true by Theorem 2.1. Note that sometimes there are some unitary representations of non-discrete groups such that  $B(H_\pi) = UCB(\pi)$ . For instance, we have the following example.

**Example 2.3.** let  $G = (\mathbb{R}, +)$ , and let  $\pi : G \rightarrow B(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$  be the unitary representation given by

$$(\pi(x)g)(t) = \exp(-ix)g(t)\chi_{(-\infty, 0)}(t) + \exp(ix)g(t)\chi_{(0, +\infty)}(t)$$

for all  $x, t \in G$  and  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Let now  $T \in B(L^2(G))$ , and  $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$  in  $G$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T \cdot x_\alpha - T \cdot x\| &\leq \|T\|(|\exp(-ix_\alpha) - \exp(-ix)| + |\exp(ix_\alpha) - \exp(ix)|) \\
&\rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $B(L^2(G)) = UCB(\pi)$ , whereas  $G$  is non-discrete.

Suppose that  $(\lambda, L^2(G))$  is the left unitary representation of  $G$ . We have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Then  $G$  is discrete if and only if either of the following statements holds.

- (a)  $L^\infty(G) = LUC(G)$ ,
- (b)  $B(H_\pi) = UCB(\pi)$  for all unitary representations  $(\pi, H_\pi)$  of  $G$ ,
- (c)  $B(L^2(G)) = UCB(\lambda)$ .

**Proof .** It is well known that a locally compact group  $G$  is discrete if and only if  $L^\infty(G) = LUC(G)$ . According to [4, Remark 3.11 (i)], an element  $f \in L^\infty(G)$  lies in  $LUC(G)$  if and only if  $T_f \in UCB(\lambda)$ , where  $T_f$  is the multiplication operator on  $L^2(G)$  by  $f$ . So, part (c) implies that part (a). The other implications are evident.  $\square$

The next example shows that the converse of Proposition 2.2 has been unable to confirm in general. Due to Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.4, one can consider a non-discrete group  $G$  and the left unitary representation  $(\lambda, L^2(G))$ .

**Example 2.5.** Let  $G$  be either  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$  or any infinite compact abelian group. We show that there exists a bounded linear operator  $\gamma$  from  $B(L^2(G))$  into  $L^\infty(G)$  such that  $\gamma$  commutes the action as  $G$ -module; whereas,

$$\gamma(T \cdot_\lambda \phi) \neq \gamma(T) \cdot \phi$$

for some  $T \in B(L^2(G))$  and  $\phi \in L^1(G)$ . Toward this end, first, recall that for each  $f \in L^\infty(G)$ , the map  $\tau : f \mapsto T_f$  is an isometric embedding of  $L^\infty(G)$  into  $B(L^2(G))$ . It is rutin checking that  $T_f \cdot_\lambda \phi = T_{f \cdot \phi}$  for each  $f \in L^\infty(G)$  and  $\phi \in L^1(G)$ . On the other hand,  $G$  satisfies in conditions of Theorem 4.1 of [9]. So, the following statements hold for some bounded linear operators  $\Psi$  on  $L^\infty(G)$  such that

- (a)  $\Psi$  commutes the action as  $G$ -module.
- (b) each  $\Psi(f)$  is a constant function for all  $f \in L^\infty(G)$ .
- (c)  $\Psi(f \cdot \phi) \neq \Psi(f) \cdot \phi$  for some  $f \in L^\infty(G)$  and some continuous function  $\phi$  with compact support.

Take now,  $\gamma = \Psi \circ \tau_l^{-1}$ , where  $\tau_l^{-1}$  is the left inverse of  $\tau$ . Note that  $G$  is non-discrete and so,  $B(L^2(G)) \neq UCB(\lambda)$ . However, it follows that

$$\gamma(T_f \cdot_\lambda x) = \Psi(f \cdot x) = \Psi(f) \cdot x = \gamma(T_f) \cdot x$$

for each  $f \in L^\infty(G)$  and  $\phi \in L^1(G)$ . Besides,

$$\gamma(T_f \cdot_\lambda \phi) = \Psi(f \cdot \phi) \neq \Psi(f) \cdot \phi = \gamma(T_f) \cdot \phi$$

for each  $f$  and  $\phi$  that satisfy part (c) in the above.

**Remark 2.6.** Extending to Theorem 2.1, we can show that for each bounded linear operator  $\gamma$  from  $B(H_\pi)$  into  $L^\infty(G)$  the following statements are equivalent.

- (a)  $\gamma$  commutes with the action as  $L^1(G)$ -module,
- (b)  $\gamma = \gamma_M$  for some  $M \in UCB(\pi)^*$ .

As seen in Theorem 2.1, when  $\gamma$  restricts to  $UCB(\pi)$ , the above statements are also equivalent to the following part.

- (c)  $\gamma$  commutes with action as  $G$ -module.

Moreover, one can readily show that if  $\gamma$  is weak\*-weak\*-continuous, then all of the above statements are equivalent.

Recall that  $LUC(G)^*$  is a Banach algebra endowed with the first Arens product as follows.

$$\langle m \odot n, f \rangle = \langle m, n \cdot f \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle n \cdot f, \phi \rangle = \langle n, f \cdot \phi \rangle$$

for all  $m, n \in LUC(G)^*$ ,  $f \in LUC(G)$  and  $\phi \in L^1(G)$ . For each  $(\pi, H_\pi)$  unitary representation of  $G$ , we have the bounded bilinear mapping  $LUC(G)^* \times UCB(\pi)^* \rightarrow UCB(\pi)^*$  given by  $(m, M) \mapsto m \cdot M$ , where  $\langle m \cdot M, T \rangle = \langle m, MT \rangle$ , which makes  $UCB(\pi)^*$  as a left Banach  $LUC(G)^*$ -module. This fact was proven by Proposition 2.3 of [2].

Now, let  $\mathcal{B}(\pi, G)$  be the space of all bounded linear operators from  $B(H_\pi)$  into  $L^\infty(G)$  commuting with the action as  $L^1(G)$ -module.

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $(\pi, H_\pi)$  be a unitary representation of a locally compact group  $G$ . Then

- (a)  $\mathcal{B}(\pi, G)$  is a Banach space with operator norm.
- (b)  $\mathcal{B}(\pi, G)$  is a left Banach  $LUC(G)^*$ -module by the following action.

$$\langle (m \bullet \gamma)(T), \phi \rangle = \langle m, \gamma(T) \cdot \phi \rangle.$$

where  $m \in LUC(G)^*$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\pi, G)$ ,  $T \in B(H_\pi)$  and  $\phi \in L^1(G)$ .

**Proof .** (a). Assume that  $\gamma$  is an element of the norm-cluster of  $\mathcal{B}(\pi, G)$ . Then there exists a net  $(\gamma_n) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\pi, G)$  such that converges to  $\gamma$ . So, for each  $T \in B(H_\pi)$  and  $\phi \in L^1(G)$  with  $\|T\| \leq 1$  and  $\|\phi\|_1 \leq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma(T \cdot_\pi \phi) - \gamma(T) \cdot \phi\|_\infty &\leq \|\gamma(T \cdot_\pi \phi) - \gamma_n(T \cdot_\pi \phi)\|_\infty \\ &\quad + \|\gamma_n(T) \cdot \phi - \gamma(T) \cdot \phi\|_\infty \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

and so,  $\gamma(T \cdot_\pi \phi) = \gamma(T) \cdot \phi$ . Therefore, for each  $T \in B(H_\pi)$  and  $\phi \in L^1(G)$ ,

$$\gamma\left(\frac{T}{\|T\|} \cdot_\pi \frac{\phi}{\|\phi\|_1}\right) = \gamma\left(\frac{T}{\|T\|}\right) \cdot \frac{\phi}{\|\phi\|_1}.$$

So, since  $\gamma$  and module actions are linear, we have  $\gamma(T \cdot_\pi \phi) = \gamma(T) \cdot \phi$ . It implies that  $\gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\pi, G)$  and hence,  $\mathcal{B}(\pi, G)$  is a closed subspace of  $B(B(H_\pi), L^\infty(G))$ , bounded linear operators from  $B(H_\pi)$  into  $L^\infty(G)$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{B}(\pi, G)$  is Banach.

- (b). Let  $m, n \in LUC(G)^*$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\pi, G)$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $\phi \in L^1(G)$ . It is easily to check that  $n \bullet \gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\pi, G)$  and

$$n \cdot \gamma(T \cdot_\pi \phi) = (n \bullet \gamma)(T \cdot_\pi \phi).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle ((m \odot n) \bullet \gamma)(T), \phi \rangle &= \langle m \odot n, \gamma(T) \cdot \phi \rangle \\ &= \langle m, n \cdot \gamma(T \cdot_\pi \phi) \rangle \\ &= \langle m, (n \bullet \gamma)(T \cdot_\pi \phi) \rangle \\ &= \langle m, (n \bullet \gamma)(T) \cdot \phi \rangle \\ &= \langle (m \bullet (n \bullet \gamma))(T), \phi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $(m \odot n) \bullet \gamma = m \bullet (n \bullet \gamma)$ . Others are evident.  $\square$

We end the work with the following result, as one of the important aims of this memoir.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $(\pi, H_\pi)$  be a unitary representation of a locally compact group  $G$ . Then there exists an isometric isomorphism as left Banach  $LUC(G)^*$ -modules between the dual of  $UCB(\pi)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\pi, G)$ .

**Proof .** We define a linear map  $\Theta$  from  $UCB(\pi)^*$  into  $\mathcal{B}(\pi, G)$  by  $M \mapsto \gamma_M$ . Note that  $\Theta$  is surjective by Remark 2.6. Now, we show that  $\Theta$  is an isometry. It is clear that  $\|\gamma_M\| \leq \|M\|$ . To prove the reverse inequality, let  $(\phi_i)$  be an approximate identity of  $L^1(G)$  bounded to 1. By a rutin calculation, a bounded linear operator  $T$  on  $H_\pi$  lies in  $UCB(\pi)$  if and only if

$$\|T \cdot_\pi \phi_i - T\| \longrightarrow 0.$$

So, for each  $i$  and  $T \in UCB(\pi)$  with  $\|T\| \leq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma_M\| &\geq \|\gamma_M(T)\|_\infty \geq |\langle \gamma_M(T), \phi_i \rangle| \\ &= |\langle M, T \cdot \phi_i \rangle| \longrightarrow |\langle M, T \rangle|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $\|\gamma_M\| \geq \|M\|$  and so,  $\Theta$  is one-to-one. The proof completes as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \gamma_{m \cdot M}(T), \phi \rangle &= \langle m \cdot M, T \cdot_\pi \phi \rangle \\ &= \langle m, \gamma_M(T) \cdot \phi \rangle \\ &= \langle (m \bullet \gamma_M)(T), \phi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\Theta(m \cdot M) = m \cdot \Theta(M)$  for all  $m \in LUC(G)^*$  and  $M \in UCB(\pi)^*$ .  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

This paper constitutes a part of the author's Ph.D. thesis, at the Isfahan University of Technology, under the supervision of Professor Rasoul Nasr-Isfahani. The author would like to express her gratitude to Professor Nasr-Isfahani for his guidance.

## References

- [1] M.E.B. Bekka, *Amenable unitary representations of locally compact groups*, Invent. Math. **100** (1990), 383–401.
- [2] P.K. Chan, *Topological centers of module actions induced by unitary representations*, J. Funct. Anal. **259** (2010), 2193–2214.
- [3] E. Hewitt and K. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis I*, Springer, New York, 1970.
- [4] S. S. Jafari, *On topological centers induced by unitary representations*, Arch. Math. **117** (2021), 323–333.
- [5] R. Larsen, *An introduction to the theory of multipliers*, Springer-Verlage, Berlin Heidelberg, New York, 1971.
- [6] A.T. Lau, *Operators which commute with convolutions on subspace of  $L^\infty(G)$* , Colloq. Math. **39** (1978), 357–359.
- [7] A.T. Lau, *Closed convex invariant subspaces of  $L^p(G)$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **232** (1977), 131–142.
- [8] A.T. Lau and J. Pym, *Concerning the second dual of the groupalgebra of a locally compact group*, J. London Math. Soc. **41** (1990), 445–460.
- [9] W. Rudin, *Invariant means on  $L^\infty$* , Studia Math. **4** (1972), 219–227.