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#### Abstract

In the present paper, we introduce two new subclasses of the function class $\sum$ of bi-univalent functions defined in the open unit disc $U$. Furthermore, we find estimates on the coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ for functions in these new subclasses.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{G}(U)$ be a class of all analytic functions $f$ in the open unit disk $U=\{z:|z|<1\}$ normalized by the conditions $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(0)=1$, of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_{j} z^{j}, \quad(z \in U) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathbb{G}_{U}$ be the class of all functions in $\mathbb{G}(U)$, which are univalent in $U$. A function $f \in \mathbb{G}(U)$ is said to be starlike if $f(U)$ is a starlike domain with respect to the origin i.e.,the line segment joining any point of $f(U)$ to the origin lies entirely in $f(U)$ and a function $f \in \mathbb{G}(U)$ is said to be convex if $f(U)$ is convex domain i.e., the line segment joining any two points in $f(U)$ lies entirely in $f(U)$. Analytically $f \in \mathbb{G}(U)$ is starlike, denoted by $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>0$, whereas $f \in \mathbb{G}(U)$, is convex, denoted by $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>0$. The classes $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\tau)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\tau)$ of starlike and convex functions of order $\tau(0 \leq \tau \leq 1)$ are respectively characterized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>\tau, \quad z \in U \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>\tau, \quad z \in U \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Determination of the bounds for coefficients $a_{n}$ is an important problem of geometric function theory as it give information about the geometric properties of these functions. For example, the bound for the second coefficient $a_{2}$ of functions in $\mathbb{G}_{U}$ gives the growth and distortion bounds as well as covering theorems. It is well known that the n -th coefficient $a_{n}$ is bounded by $n$ for each $f \in \mathbb{G}(U)$.

In this paper, we estimate the initial coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ coefficients problem for certain subclasses of biunivalent functions.

The Koebe One-Quarter Theorem [17] proves that the image of $U$ under every univalent function $f \in \mathbb{G}_{U}$, contains the disk of radius $\frac{1}{4}$. Therefore every function $f \in \mathbb{G}_{U}$ has an inverse $f^{-1}$ defined by:

$$
f^{-1}(f(z))=z, \quad(z \in U)
$$

and

$$
f\left(f^{-1}(\omega)\right)=\omega, \quad\left(|\omega|<\mathfrak{r}_{0}(f), \mathfrak{r}_{0}(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\omega)=f^{-1}(\omega)=\omega+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} b_{j} \omega^{j}=\omega-a_{2} \omega^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) \omega^{3}-\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) \omega^{4}+\ldots \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $f \in \mathbb{G}(U)$ is said to be bi-univalent in the open unit disk $U$ if both the functions $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are univalent there. Let $\sum$ denote the class of all bi-univalent functions defined in the unit disk $U$. Examples of functions in the class $\sum$ are:

$$
\frac{z}{1-z}, \log \frac{z}{1-z}, \log \sqrt{\frac{1+z}{1-z}}
$$

However, the familiar Koebe function is not a member of $\sum$. Other common examples of functions in $U$ such as:

$$
\frac{2 z-z^{2}}{2} \text { and } \frac{z}{1-z^{2}}
$$

are not members of $\sum$ either. Finding bounds for the coefficients of classes of bi-univalent functions dates back to 1967 (see Lewin [21]). Brannan and Taha [14] (see also [30]) introduced certain subclasses of the bi-univalent functions class $\sum$ similar to the familiar subclasses $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\tau)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\tau)$ (see [14]). Thus, following Brannan and Taha [14] (see also [30]) a function $f \in \mathbb{G}(U)$ is in the class $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(\tau)$ of strongly bi-starlike functions of order $\tau(0<\tau \leq 1)$, if each of the following conditions are satisfied:

$$
f \in \sum \text { and }\left|\arg \left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)\right|<\frac{\tau \pi}{2}, \quad(0<\tau \leq 1, z \in U)
$$

and

$$
\left|\arg \left(\frac{\omega g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right)\right|<\frac{\tau \pi}{2}, \quad(0<\tau \leq 1, \omega \in U)
$$

where $g$ is the extension of $f^{-1}$ to $U$. The classes $\mathcal{S}_{\sum}^{*}(\tau)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}(\tau)$ of bi-starlike functions of order $\tau$, and bi-convex functions of order $\tau$, corresponding (respectively) to the function classes defined by equations (1.2) and (1.3) were also introduced analogously. For each of the function classes $\mathcal{S}_{\sum^{*}}(\tau)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}(\tau)$, it found non-sharp estimates on the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ (see [14, 30]).

Motivated by the earlier works of Atshan et al. [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, Srivastava et al. [29] and Frasin and Aouf [18] (see also [2, 3, 15, 16, 20, 22, 24, 25, 26, 32] and [1, 4, 5, 19, 23, 28, 31, 33]). In this paper, we introduce two new subclasses $\mathcal{J}_{\sum}^{\infty}(\lambda, m, n, \tau)$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\sum}^{\infty}(\lambda, m, n, \delta)$ of the function class $\sum$, that generalize the previous defined classes. This subclasses are defined with the aid of new integral operator $\mathcal{T}_{m, n}^{\propto}$ of analytic functions involving binomial series in the open unit disk $U$. In addition, upper bounds for the second and third coefficients for functions in this new subclasses are derive.

We introduce the following integral operator in the class $\mathcal{J}_{\Sigma}^{\infty}$ of analytic functions defined as follow:

Lemma 1.1. Let $f \in \mathbb{G}_{U}, m, n>0$ and $\propto \in \mathbb{N}$. The integral operator denoted $\mathcal{T}_{m, n}^{\propto}$ defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_{m, n}^{\propto} & : \mathbb{G}_{U} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_{U} \\
\mathcal{T}_{m, n}^{\propto} f(z) & =\frac{1}{\beta(m+1, n+1)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathfrak{t}^{m-1}}{(1-\mathfrak{t})^{m+n}} f(\mathfrak{t z}) d t \\
& =z+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\beta(m+j, n+j)}{\beta(m+1, n+1)}\right)^{\propto} a_{j} z^{j},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta(m, n)=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathfrak{t}^{m+1}}{(1-\mathfrak{t})^{1-n}} d \mathbf{t}$.

## Proof .

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_{m, n} f(z) & =\frac{1}{\beta(m+1, n+1)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathfrak{t}^{m-1}}{(1-\mathfrak{t})^{m+n}} f(\mathfrak{t} z) d t \\
& =\frac{1}{\beta(m+1, n+1)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathfrak{t}^{m-1}}{(1+\mathfrak{t})^{m+n}}\left(\mathfrak{t} z+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \mathfrak{t}^{j} a_{j} z^{j}\right) d \mathfrak{t} \\
& =\frac{1}{\beta(m+1, n+1)}\left[z \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathfrak{t}^{m}}{(1+\mathfrak{t})^{m+n}} d \mathfrak{t}+\left(\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_{j} z^{j}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathfrak{t}^{m+j-1}}{(1+\mathfrak{t})^{m+n}} d \mathfrak{t}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $x=\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{(1+\mathfrak{t})}$. Then $\mathfrak{t}=\frac{x}{1-x}$ and $d \mathfrak{t}=\frac{d x}{(1-x)^{2}}$. If $\mathfrak{t}=0$, we obtain $x=0$, while if $\mathfrak{t}=\infty$, we obtain $x=1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{\beta(m+1, n+1)}\left[z \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right)^{m}}{\left(1+\frac{x}{1-x}\right)^{m+n}} \frac{d x}{(1-x)^{2}}+\left(\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_{j} z^{j}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right)^{m+j-1}}{\left(1+\frac{x}{1-x}\right)^{m+n}} \frac{d x}{(1-x)^{2}}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\beta(m+1, n+1)}\left[z \int_{0}^{1} \frac{x^{m}}{(1-x)^{2-n}} d x+\left(\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_{j} z^{j}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{x^{m+j-1}}{(1-x)^{1+j-n}} d x\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\beta(m+1, n+1)}\left[z \beta(m+1, n+1)+\left(\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_{j} z^{j}\right) \beta(m+j, n+j)\right] \\
& =z+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{\beta(m+j, n+j)}{\beta(m+1, n+1)} a_{j} z^{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In general,

$$
\mathcal{T}_{m, n}^{\propto} f(z)=z+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\beta(m+j, n+j)}{\beta(m+1, n+1)}\right)^{\propto} a_{j} z^{j}=z+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{j}\right)^{\propto} a_{j} z^{j}
$$

A function $f \in \mathbb{G}_{U}$ is called bi-univalent in the open unit disk $U$ if both $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are univalent in $U$. In order to derive our main results, we have to recall here the following Lemma [13, 27.

Lemma 1.2. If $p \in P$, then $\left|p_{i}\right| \leq 2$ for each $i$, where $P$ is the family of all analytic functions $p$, for which $\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)>0\}$ where: $p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\ldots$.

## 2 Coefficient Bounds for the Function Class $\mathcal{J}_{\sum}^{\propto}(\lambda, m, n, \tau)$

Definition 2.1. A function $f$ given by 2.1 is said to be in the class $\mathcal{J}_{\sum}^{\infty}(\lambda, m, n, \tau)$, if the following are holds such that $0 \leq \tau \leq 1, m, n>0$ and $\propto \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in \sum \text { and }\left|\arg \left((1-\lambda) \frac{\mathcal{T}_{m, n}^{\propto} f(z)}{z}+\lambda\left(\mathcal{T}_{m, n}^{\propto} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\right)\right|<\frac{\tau \pi}{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \in \sum \text { and }\left|\arg \left((1-\lambda) \frac{\mathcal{T}_{m, n}^{\propto} g(\omega)}{\omega}+\lambda\left(\mathcal{T}_{m, n}^{\propto} g(\omega)\right)^{\prime}\right)\right|<\frac{\tau \pi}{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda \geq 1, z, \omega \in U$, and $g=f^{-1}$.
Theorem 2.2. Let a function $(z)$ given by 2.1. be in the class $\mathcal{J}_{\sum}^{\infty}(\lambda, m, n, \tau) 0 \leq \tau \leq 1, \lambda \geq 1$ and $m, n>0$. Then:

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{2 \tau}{\sqrt{2 \tau(1+2 \lambda)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{3}\right)^{2 \propto}+(1-\tau)(1+\lambda)^{2}\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{2}\right)^{2 \propto}}}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{4 \tau^{2}}{(1+\lambda)^{2}\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{2}\right)^{2 \propto}}+\frac{2 \tau}{(1+\lambda)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{3}\right)^{\propto}}
$$

Proof . It follows from (2.1) and 2.2 :

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1-\lambda) \frac{\mathcal{T}_{m, n}^{\propto} f(z)}{z}+\lambda\left(\mathcal{T}_{m, n}^{\propto} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=[u(z)]^{\tau}  \tag{2.3}\\
& (1-\lambda) \frac{\mathcal{T}_{m, n}^{\propto} g(\omega)}{\omega}+\lambda\left(\mathcal{T}_{m, n}^{\propto} g(\omega)\right)^{\prime}=[v(\omega)]^{\tau} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u(z)$ and $v(\omega)$ in $P$ and have the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(z)=1+u_{1} z+u_{2} z^{2}+\ldots  \tag{2.5}\\
& v(\omega)=1+v_{1} \omega+v_{2} \omega^{2}+\ldots \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, equating the coefficients in 2.3) and 2.4, we get:

$$
\begin{gather*}
(1+\lambda)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{2}\right)^{\propto} a_{2}=\tau u_{1}  \tag{2.7}\\
(1+2 \lambda)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{3}\right)^{\propto} a_{3}=\tau u_{2}+\frac{\tau(\tau-1)}{2} u_{1}^{2}  \tag{2.8}\\
-(1+\lambda)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{2}\right)^{\propto} a_{2}=\tau v_{1}  \tag{2.9}\\
(1+2 \lambda)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{3}\right)^{\propto}\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)=\tau v_{2}+\frac{\tau(\tau-1)}{2} v_{1}^{2} \tag{2.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

From 2.7) and 2.9 we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=-v_{1}, \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(1+\lambda)^{2}\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{2}\right)^{2 \propto} a_{2}^{2}=\tau^{2}\left(u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}\right), \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

now by adding (2.8), 2.10):

$$
2(1+2 \lambda)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{3}\right)^{\propto}\left(a_{2}^{2}\right)=\tau\left(u_{2}+v_{2}\right)+\frac{\tau(\tau-1)}{2}\left(u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}\right) .
$$

By using 2.12;:

$$
2(1+2 \lambda)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{3}\right)^{\propto}\left(a_{2}^{2}\right)=\tau\left(u_{2}+v_{2}\right)+\frac{\tau(\tau-1)}{2} \frac{2(1+2 \lambda)^{2}\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{2}\right)^{2 \propto} a_{2}^{2}}{\tau^{2}}
$$

Therefore, we have:

$$
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{\tau^{2}\left(u_{2}+v_{2}\right)}{2 \tau(1+2 \lambda)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{3}\right)^{\propto}+(1-\tau)(1+\lambda)^{2}\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{2}\right)^{2 \propto}}
$$

Applying Lemma 1.2 for the coefficients $u_{2}$ and $v_{2}$, we have:

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{2 \tau}{\sqrt{2 \tau(1+2 \lambda)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{3}\right)^{\propto}+(1-\tau)(1+\lambda)^{2}\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{2}\right)^{2 \propto}}}
$$

Next, in order to find the bound on $\left|a_{3}\right|$ by subtracting 2.10 from 2.8, we get:

$$
2(1+2 \lambda)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{3}\right)^{\propto} a_{3}-2(1+2 \lambda)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{3}\right)^{\propto}\left(a_{2}^{2}\right)=\tau\left(u_{2}+v_{2}\right)+\frac{\tau(\tau-1)}{2}\left(u_{1}^{2}-v_{1}^{2}\right)
$$

Or equivalent:

$$
a_{3}=\frac{\tau^{2}\left(u_{1}^{2}-v_{1}^{2}\right)}{2(1+\lambda)^{2}\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{2}\right)^{2 \propto}}+\frac{\tau\left(u_{2}-v_{2}\right)}{2(1+\lambda)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{3}\right)^{\propto}}
$$

Applying Lemma 1.2 for the coefficients $u_{1}, u_{2}, v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$, we have:

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{4 \tau^{2}}{(1+\lambda)^{2}\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{2}\right)^{2 \propto}}+\frac{2 \tau}{(1+2 \lambda)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{3}\right)^{\propto}}
$$

This completes the proof.
Corollary 2.3. Let a function $f(z)$ given by 2.1, be in the class $\mathcal{J}_{\Sigma}^{\infty}(1, m, n, \tau) 0 \leq \tau \leq 1$ and $m, n>0$. Then:

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2} \tau}{\sqrt{3 \tau\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{3}\right)^{\propto}+2(1-\tau)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{2}\right)^{2 \propto}}}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{\tau^{2}}{\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{2}\right)^{2 \propto}}+\frac{2 \tau}{3\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{3}\right)^{\propto}}
$$

Definition 2.4. A function $f$ given by 2.1 is said to be in the class $\mathcal{J}_{\sum}^{\infty}(\lambda, m, n, \delta)$, if the following are holds such that $\lambda \geq 1,0 \leq \delta \leq 1, m, n>0$ and $\propto \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in \sum \text { and } \operatorname{Re}\left((1-\lambda) \frac{\mathcal{T}_{m, n}^{\propto} f(z)}{z}+\lambda\left(\mathcal{T}_{m, n}^{\propto} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\right)>\delta \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \in \sum \text { and } \operatorname{Re}\left((1-\lambda) \frac{\mathcal{T}_{m, n}^{\propto} g(\omega)}{\omega}+\lambda\left(\mathcal{T}_{m, n}^{\propto} g(\omega)\right)^{\prime}\right)>\delta \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z, \omega \in U$, and $g=f^{-1}$.
Theorem 2.5. Let a function $f(z)$ given by 2.1. be in the class $\mathcal{J}_{\sum}^{\infty}(\lambda, m, n, \delta) \quad 0 \leq \delta \leq 1, \lambda \geq 1$ and $m, n>0$. Then:

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\delta)}{(1+2 \lambda)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{3}\right)^{\propto}}}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{4(1-\delta)^{2}}{(1+\lambda)^{2}\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{2}\right)^{2 \propto}}+\frac{2(1-\delta)}{(1+2 \lambda)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{3}\right)^{\propto}}
$$

Proof . It follows from 2.13 and 2.14 :

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1-\lambda) \frac{\mathcal{T}_{m, n}^{\propto} f(z)}{z}+\lambda\left(\mathcal{T}_{m, n}^{\propto} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=\delta+(1-\delta) u(z)  \tag{2.15}\\
& (1-\lambda) \frac{\mathcal{T}_{m, n}^{\propto} g(\omega)}{\omega}+\lambda\left(\mathcal{T}_{m, n}^{\propto} g(\omega)\right)^{\prime}=\delta+(1-\delta) v(\omega) \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u(z)$ and $v(\omega)$ have the form (2.5) and (2.6), respectively. Now, equating the coefficients in 2.3) and 2.4), equating coefficients in 2.15) and 2.16, we get:

$$
\begin{gather*}
(1+\lambda)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{2}\right)^{\propto} a_{2}=(1-\delta) u_{1}  \tag{2.17}\\
(1+2 \lambda)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{3}\right)^{\propto} a_{3}=(1-\delta) u_{2}  \tag{2.18}\\
-(1+\lambda)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{2}\right)^{\propto} a_{2}=(1-\delta) v_{1}  \tag{2.19}\\
(1+2 \lambda)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{3}\right)^{\propto}\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)=(1-\delta) v_{2} \tag{2.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

From 2.17 and 2.19 we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=-v_{1}, \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(1+\lambda)^{2}\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{2}\right)^{2 \propto} a_{2}^{2}=(1-\delta)^{2}\left(u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by adding (2.18), 2.20):

$$
2(1+2 \lambda)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{3}\right)^{\propto}\left(a_{2}^{2}\right)=(1-\delta)\left(u_{2}+v_{2}\right) .
$$

Therefore, we have:

$$
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{(1-\delta)\left(u_{2}+v_{2}\right)}{2(1+2 \lambda)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{3}\right)^{\propto}}
$$

Applying Lemma 1.2 for the coefficients $u_{2}$ and $v_{2}$, we have:

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\delta)}{(1+2 \lambda)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{3}\right)^{\propto}}}
$$

Next, in order to find the bound on $\left|a_{3}\right|$ by subtracting (2.20) from 2.18), we get:

$$
2(1+2 \lambda)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{3}\right)^{\propto} a_{3}-2(1+2 \lambda)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{3}\right)^{\propto}\left(a_{2}^{2}\right)=(1-\delta)^{2}\left(u_{2}-v_{2}\right)
$$

Or equivalent:

$$
a_{3}=\frac{(1-\delta)^{2}\left(u_{1}^{2}-v_{1}^{2}\right)}{2(1+\lambda)^{2}\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{2}\right)^{2 \propto}}+\frac{(1-\delta)^{2}\left(u_{2}-v_{2}\right)}{2(1+2 \lambda)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{3}\right)^{\propto}}
$$

Applying Lemma 1.2 for the coefficients $u_{1}, u_{2}, v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$, we have:

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{4(1-\delta)^{2}}{(1+\lambda)^{2}\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{2}\right)^{2 \propto}}+\frac{2(1-\delta)}{(1+2 \lambda)\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{3}\right)^{\propto}}
$$

This completes the proof.
Corollary 2.6. Let a function $f(z)$ given by 2.1. be in the class $\mathcal{J}_{\sum}^{\infty}(1, m, n, \delta) \quad 0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ and $m, n>0$. Then:

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\delta)}{3\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{3}\right)^{\propto}}}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{(1-\delta)^{2}}{\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{2}\right)^{2 \propto}}+\frac{2(1-\delta)}{3\left(\mathcal{K}_{m, n}^{3}\right)^{\propto}}
$$
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