

New subclasses of Ozaka's convex functions

Mohammad Ali Abolfathi

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Urmia University, P. O. Box 165, Urmia, Iran

(Communicated by Ali Jabbari)

Abstract

Let $\mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{CV}_L(\lambda)$ be the classes of functions f , analytic in the unit disc $\Delta = \{z: |z| < 1\}$, with the normalization $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$, which satisfies the conditions

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec (1+z)^\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) \prec (1+z)^\lambda \quad (0 < \lambda \leq 1),$$

where \prec is the subordination relation, respectively. The classes $\mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{CV}_L(\lambda)$ are subfamilies of the known classes of strongly starlike and convex functions of order λ . We consider the relations between $\mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda)$, $\mathcal{CV}_L(\lambda)$ and other classes geometrically defined. Also, we obtain the sharp radius of convexity for functions belonging to $\mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda)$ class. Furthermore, the norm of pre-Schwarzian derivatives and univalence of functions f which satisfy the condition

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} < 1 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

are considered.

Keywords: Univalent functions, Subordination, Strongly starlike functions, Domain bounded by Sinusoidal spiral
2020 MSC: Primary 30C45; Secondary 30C80

1 Introduction and preliminary

Let \mathcal{H} denote the class of *holomorphic functions* in the open unit disc $\Delta = \{z: |z| < 1\}$ on the complex plane \mathbb{C} , and let \mathcal{A} denote the subclass of functions $f \in \mathcal{H}$ of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (1.1)$$

The subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of all *univalent functions* f in Δ , is denoted by \mathcal{S} . Robertson [14], Brannan and Kirwan [5], introduced the classes $\mathcal{ST}(\beta)$, $\mathcal{CV}(\beta)$, of *starlike and convex functions of order* $0 \leq \beta < 1$, and $\mathcal{SS}^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{CV}^*(\alpha)$ *strongly starlike and convex functions of order* $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, respectively, which are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{ST}(\beta) &:= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A}: \Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \beta, \quad z \in \Delta \right\}, \\ \mathcal{CV}(\beta) &:= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A}: \Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \beta, \quad z \in \Delta \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

Email address: m.abolfathi@urmia.ac.ir (Mohammad Ali Abolfathi)

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{SS}^*(\alpha) &:= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \left| \text{Arg} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} \right| < \frac{\pi\alpha}{2}, \quad z \in \Delta \right\}, \\ \mathcal{CV}^*(\alpha) &:= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \left| \text{Arg} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} \right| < \frac{\pi\alpha}{2}, \quad z \in \Delta \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We also note that $\mathcal{SS}^*(1) = \mathcal{ST}(0) =: \mathcal{ST}$ and $\mathcal{CV}^*(1) = \mathcal{CV}(0) =: \mathcal{CV}$ are the well-known classes of all normalized starlike and convex functions in Δ , respectively. Let $\mathcal{S}(a, b)$ denote the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ which satisfy the inequality

$$a < \Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} < b \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

for some real number a ; ($0 \leq a < 1$) and some real number b ; ($b > 1$) (See [7]). We define the norm of pre-Schwarzian derivatives $\|T_f\|$, as follows:

$$\|T_f\| = \sup_{z \in \Delta} (1 - |z|^2) \left| \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right|,$$

for function $f \in \mathcal{S}$.

Definition 1.1 ([6]). Let f and g be analytic in Δ . Then the function f is said to be *subordinate* to g in Δ , written by $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists a function $\omega(z) \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $f(z) = g(\omega(z))$, $z \in \Delta$, where \mathcal{B} is the family of all self-maps functions

$$\omega(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n z^n \quad (|\omega(z)| < 1, \quad z \in \Delta). \tag{1.2}$$

From the definition of subordination, it is easy to show that the subordination $f(z) \prec g(z)$ implies that $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(\Delta) \subset g(\Delta)$. In particular, if $g(z)$ is univalent in Δ , then the subordination $f(z) \prec g(z)$ is equivalent to the condition $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(\Delta) \subset g(\Delta)$.

Let ϕ be an analytic function with positive real part in Δ , $\phi(0) = 1$, $\phi'(0) > 0$ and map Δ onto a region starlike with respect to $\phi(0) = 1$ and symmetric with respect to real axis. Ma and Minda [8] introduced the class $\mathcal{S}^*(\phi)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{S}^*(\phi) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \phi(z), \quad z \in \Delta \right\}, \tag{1.3}$$

$$\mathcal{C}(\phi) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \prec \phi(z), \quad z \in \Delta \right\}. \tag{1.4}$$

Associated to classes $\mathcal{S}^*(\phi)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\phi)$, a family $\mathcal{P}(\phi)$ to be introduced which consists of analytic functions p such that $p(0) = 1$ and $p(\mathbb{D}) \subset \phi(\mathbb{D})$, or equivalently $p \prec \phi$. The Carathéodory class

$$\mathcal{P} = \{p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots, \Re p(z) > 0, z \in \mathbb{D}\}$$

is a simply the class $\mathcal{P}((1+z)/(1-z))$.

Definition 1.2. A locally univalent function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to belong to $\mathcal{G}(s)$ for some $s > 0$, if it satisfies the condition

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} < 1 + \frac{s}{2} \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

In [11], Ozaki introduced the class $\mathcal{G}(1)$ and proved that functions in the class $\mathcal{G}(1)$ are univalent. In [20], Umezawa generalized Ozaki's result for a version of the class $\mathcal{G}(1)$ (convex functions in one direction). A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to belong to $\mathcal{N}(s)$ for some $s > 0$, if it satisfies the condition

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} < 1 + \frac{s}{2} \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

It is easy to see that $f \in \mathcal{G}(s)$ if and only if $zf' \in \mathcal{N}(s)$.

Let us denote by \mathcal{Q} the class of functions f that are analytic and injective on $\overline{\Delta} \setminus \mathbf{E}(f)$, where $\mathbf{E}(f) = \{\zeta: \zeta \in \partial\Delta \text{ and } \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} f(z) = \infty\}$ and are such that

$$f'(\zeta) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } \zeta \in \partial\Delta \setminus \mathbf{E}(f).$$

Lemma 1.3. [9, p.24] Let $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $q(0) = 1$ and let $p(z) = 1 + p_1z + \dots$ be analytic in Δ with $p(z) \neq 1$. If $p \not\prec q$ in Δ , then there exists points $z_0 \in \Delta$ and $\zeta \in \partial\Delta \setminus \mathbf{E}(q)$ and there exists a real number $m \geq 1$ for which

$$p(|z| < |z_0|) \subset q(\Delta), \quad p(z_0) = q(\zeta), \quad z_0 p'(z_0) = m \zeta q'(\zeta).$$

The purpose of this work is to define a new subfamily of \mathcal{P} related to a domain bounded by *sinusoidal spiral*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}\mathbb{B}(\lambda) &= \left\{ \rho e^{i\varphi} : \rho = \left(2 \cos \frac{\varphi}{\lambda}\right)^\lambda, \quad -\frac{\lambda\pi}{2} < \varphi \leq \frac{\lambda\pi}{2} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ w \in \mathbb{C} : \Re\{w\} > 0, \quad \Re\{w^{-1/\lambda}\} = \frac{1}{2} \right\} \cup \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\rho = \left(2 \cos \frac{\varphi}{\lambda}\right)^\lambda$, we have

$$\rho^{1/\lambda} = \left(2 \cos \frac{\varphi}{\lambda}\right) \quad \text{or} \quad \rho^{-1/\lambda} \cos \frac{\varphi}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{or} \quad \Re\{w^{-1/\lambda}\} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{when } w = \rho e^{i\varphi}.$$

$\mathcal{P}((1+z)^\lambda)$, we present a new resolution to get the norm of pre-Schwarzian derivatives and univalence from class functions $\mathcal{G}(\lambda)$.

The remainder of the paper proceeds as follows. In sections 2, in order to express our original theorem, we introduce a family of functions and properties. The classes $\mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{CV}_L(\lambda)$ are introduced and its properties and its relevance to other classes presented. In the sequel, we get the extremal functions of classes $\mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{CV}_L(\lambda)$. Furthermore, we obtain norm of pre-Schwarzian derivatives and univalence of functions f in class $\mathcal{G}(\lambda)$. Also, some examples are presented.

2 The classes $\mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{CV}_L(\lambda)$ and its properties

This section provides a detailed exposition of an analytic function that maps the unit disk onto a domain bounded by a *sinusoidal spiral* and contained in a right half-plane. In fact, taking into account:

$$q_\lambda(z) := (1+z)^\lambda \equiv e^{\lambda \log(1+z)} \quad (0 < \lambda \leq 1),$$

where the branch of the power is chosen to be $q_\lambda(0) = 1$, more explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} q_\lambda(z) &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)\dots(\lambda-k+1)}{k!} z^k = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k z^k \\ &= 1 + \lambda z + \frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)}{2} z^2 + \frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)}{6} z^3 + \dots \quad (z \in \Delta). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

The set $q_\lambda(\Delta)$ lies in the region bounded by the right loop of the *sinusoidal spiral* given by

$$\mathbb{L}\mathbb{B}(\lambda) = \left\{ \rho e^{i\varphi} : \rho = \left(2 \cos \frac{\varphi}{\lambda}\right)^\lambda, \quad -\frac{\lambda\pi}{2} < \varphi \leq \frac{\lambda\pi}{2} \right\}.$$

To see this, note that writing $z = e^{i\theta}$, where $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi)$, we have

$$q_\lambda(e^{i\theta}) = (1 + e^{i\theta})^\lambda = \left(2 \cos \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^\lambda e^{i\frac{\lambda\theta}{2}} = \left(2 \cos \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^\lambda \left(\cos \frac{\lambda\theta}{2} + i \sin \frac{\lambda\theta}{2}\right). \tag{2.2}$$

By (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Re\{q_\lambda(e^{i\theta})\} &= \left(2 \cos \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^\lambda \cos \frac{\lambda\theta}{2} =: u(\theta) = u \quad (-\pi < \theta < \pi), \\ \Im\{q_\lambda(e^{i\theta})\} &= \left(2 \cos \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^\lambda \sin \frac{\lambda\theta}{2} =: v(\theta) = v \quad (-\pi < \theta < \pi). \end{aligned}$$

So we can see that $u(\theta)$ and $v(\theta)$ are well defined also for $\theta = \pi$. The function $u(\theta)$ with $-\pi < \theta \leq \pi$ attains its minimal value when $\theta = \pi$, and maximum value when $\theta = 0$ and The function $v(\theta)$ with $-\pi < \theta \leq \pi$ attains its minimal value when $\theta = -\pi/(1 + \lambda)$, and maximum value when $\theta = \pi/(1 + \lambda)$. On the other hand for $-\pi < \theta \leq \pi$

$$0 \leq \Re\{q_\lambda(e^{i\theta})\} \leq 2^\lambda, \\ -\left(2 \cos \frac{\pi}{2\lambda + 2}\right)^\lambda \sin \frac{\pi\lambda}{2\lambda + 2} \leq \Im\{q_\lambda(e^{i\theta})\} \leq \left(2 \cos \frac{\pi}{2\lambda + 2}\right)^\lambda \sin \frac{\pi\lambda}{2\lambda + 2}.$$

If we take $q_\lambda(e^{i\theta}) = \rho e^{i\varphi}$, simple calculations show that $\varphi = \lambda\theta/2$ and $\rho = (2 \cos \frac{\theta}{2})^\lambda$. Therefore $q_\lambda(e^{i\theta})$ in the polar coordinates will be as follows

$$q_\lambda(e^{i\theta}) = \left\{ w = \rho e^{i\varphi} : \rho = \left(2 \cos \frac{\varphi}{\lambda}\right)^\lambda, \quad -\frac{\lambda\pi}{2} < \varphi \leq \frac{\lambda\pi}{2} \right\}. \tag{2.3}$$

Thus from (2.3) we have $|\text{Arg}\{q_\lambda(e^{i\theta})\}| < \lambda\pi/2$. Additionally, the right loop of the *sinusoidal spiral* $\mathbb{L}\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ is a boundary of the domain $q_\lambda(\Delta)$. Also note that

$$\begin{aligned} q_\lambda(\Delta) &= \left\{ w = \rho e^{i\varphi} : \rho < \left(2 \cos \frac{\varphi}{\lambda}\right)^\lambda, \quad -\frac{\lambda\pi}{2} < \varphi < \frac{\lambda\pi}{2} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ w \in \mathbb{C} : \Re\{w\} > 0, \quad \Re\{w^{-1/\lambda}\} > \frac{1}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

is a domain which is symmetric about the real axis, starlike with respect to the point $q_\lambda(0) = 1$, and satisfies $q'_\lambda(0) = \lambda > 0$. Also, $\mathbb{L}\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ has tangential radial vector $\varphi = \pm\lambda\pi/2$.

Lemma 2.1. The functions $q_\lambda(z)$ are convex univalent in Δ for each $0 < \lambda \leq 1$. Moreover $g_\lambda(z) = (q_\lambda(z) - 1)/\lambda \in \mathcal{CV}((1 + \lambda)/2)$ and $g_1(z) = q_1(z) - 1 = z \in \mathcal{CV}$. Also, if $|z| = r < 1$, then

$$\min_{|z|=r} |q_\lambda(z)| = q_\lambda(-r) \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{|z|=r} |q_\lambda(z)| = q_\lambda(r).$$

Proof . Let us consider

$$g_\lambda(z) = (q_\lambda(z) - 1)/\lambda \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Then, we have

$$\Re\left\{1 + \frac{zg''_\lambda(z)}{g'_\lambda(z)}\right\} = \Re\left\{\frac{1 + \lambda z}{1 + z}\right\} > \frac{\lambda + 1}{2},$$

so $g_\lambda \in \mathcal{CV}((\lambda + 1)/2) \subset \mathcal{ST}$. In order to prove the second part of lemma, if $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, then the function

$$Q(\theta) = |q_\lambda(re^{i\theta})| = |1 + re^{i\theta}|^\lambda = (1 + r^2 + 2r \cos \theta)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \quad (0 < r < 1)$$

attains its minimum at $\theta = \pi$ and maximum at $\theta = 0$. This ends the proof. \square

The following theorem describes some properties of the functions that are in class

$$\mathcal{P}(q_\lambda) = \{p \in \mathcal{H} : p \prec q_\lambda\}.$$

Theorem 2.2. Let $p \in \mathcal{P}(q_\lambda)$. Then

$$|\text{Arg}\{p(z)\}| < \frac{\lambda\pi}{2}, \quad 0 < \Re\{p(z)\} < 2^\lambda, \quad |\Im\{p(z)\}| < \left(2 \cos \frac{\pi}{2\lambda + 2}\right)^\lambda \sin \frac{\pi\lambda}{2\lambda + 2} \tag{2.4a}$$

and

$$\left|p^{1/\lambda}(z) - 1\right| < 1, \tag{2.4b}$$

or

$$0 < \Re\{p^{1/\lambda}(z)\} < 2. \tag{2.4c}$$

Conversely, if $p \in \mathcal{P}$ with $|\text{Arg}\{p(z)\}| < \lambda\pi/2$ and p satisfies (2.4b), then $p \prec q_\lambda$ in Δ .

Proof . The subordination $p \prec q_\lambda$ with $p(0) = q_\lambda(0)$, and the geometric properties of $q_\lambda(\Delta)$ yield (2.4a). In order to prove the second part of theorem, since $p \in \mathcal{P}(q_\lambda)$, then

$$p(z) = (1 + \omega(z))^\lambda \quad \text{or} \quad \omega(z) = p^{1/\lambda}(z) - 1, \quad |\omega(z)| < 1,$$

where $\omega \in \mathcal{B}$ and finally assertion (2.4b) as follows. For the prove (2.4c) we rewrite (2.4b) as

$$-1 < -\left|p^{1/\lambda}(z) - 1\right| \leq \Re\{p^{1/\lambda}(z) - 1\} \leq \left|p^{1/\lambda}(z) - 1\right| < 1,$$

that reduces to (2.4c). Conversely, it is enough to show that $p(\Delta) \subset q_\lambda(\Delta)$. To do this, let $w = \rho e^{i\varphi} \in p(\Delta)$. Since w satisfy the condition (2.4b), we conclude

$$\rho^{1/\lambda} < 2 \cos \frac{\varphi}{\lambda}. \tag{2.4d}$$

Making use of $|\text{Arg}\{w\}| < (\lambda\pi)/2$, we have $\Re w^{1/\lambda} > 0$ or, equivalently $\cos(\varphi/\lambda) > 0$. From (2.4d), we obtain $w \in q_\lambda(\Delta)$ and completes the proof. \square

Using the same notation and the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we get the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.3. Let $p \in \mathcal{P}(q_\lambda)$. Then

$$\Re\{p^{-1/\lambda}(z)\} > \frac{1}{2}, \tag{2.5a}$$

or

$$0 < \Re\{p^{1/\lambda}(z)\} < 2. \tag{2.5b}$$

Conversely, if $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and p satisfies (2.5a), then $p \prec q_\lambda$ in Δ .

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda)$ denote the class of analytic functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the condition

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec q_\lambda(z) \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{2.6a}$$

and $\mathcal{CV}_L(\lambda)$ denote the class of analytic functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the condition

$$\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) \prec q_\lambda(z) \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{2.6b}$$

Geometrically, the condition (2.6a) and (2.6b) means that the quantities $zf'(z)/f(z)$ and $1 + zf''/f'$ lies in the region bounded by the right loop of the *sinusoidal spiral* $\mathbb{L}\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$, respectively. Since a domain $q_\lambda(\Delta)$ is contained in a right half-plane, we deduce that $\mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{CV}_L(\lambda)$ are proper subset of classes of a starlike functions \mathcal{ST} and convex functions \mathcal{CV} , respectively. Now we turn to the relationship between the classes $\mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{CV}_L(\lambda)$ and the classes mentioned in the section 1. By Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda) &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{SS}^*(\lambda): \left| \left[\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right]^{1/\lambda} - 1 \right| < 1, z \in \Delta \right\} \\ &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{ST}: \Re \left\{ \left[\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right]^{-1/\lambda} \right\} > \frac{1}{2}, z \in \Delta \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{CV}_L(\lambda) &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{CV}^*(\lambda) : \left| \left[1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right]^{1/\lambda} - 1 \right| < 1, z \in \Delta \right\} \\ &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{CV} : \Re \left\{ \left[1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right]^{-1/\lambda} \right\} > \frac{1}{2}, z \in \Delta \right\}, \\ \mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda) &\subset \mathcal{SS}^*(\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{CV}_L(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{CV}^*(\alpha) \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda \leq \alpha \leq 1, \\ \mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda) &\subset \mathcal{S}(0, b) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{CV}_L(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{S}(0, b) \quad \text{for} \quad b \geq 2^\lambda, \\ \mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda_1) &\subset \mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{CV}_L(\lambda_1) \subset \mathcal{CV}_L(\lambda_2) \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 and the Briot-Bouquet differential subordination [9, Theorem 3.2a], we can easily see that $\mathcal{CV}_L(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda)$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $0 < \lambda \leq 1$. If $M \geq 2^{\lambda-1}$, then

$$(1+z)^\lambda \prec \frac{M+Mz}{M-(M-1)z} =: P_M(z) \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{2.7}$$

Proof . Since $q(0) = P_M(0) = 1$, from Definition 1.1 it is enough to prove $q_\lambda(\Delta) \subset P_M(\Delta)$. Since for $-\pi/2 < \varphi/\lambda < \pi/2$, we have

$$\left(2 \cos \frac{\varphi}{\lambda} \right)^\lambda \leq (2 \cos \varphi)^\lambda \leq 2^\lambda \cos \varphi. \tag{2.8}$$

Also, the function P_M is univalent in Δ , and maps the unit circle onto the circle

$$\left\{ \rho = 2M \cos \varphi : -\frac{\pi}{2} < \varphi < \frac{\pi}{2} \right\}.$$

For the establishment of relation $q_\lambda(\Delta) \subset P_M(\Delta)$, taking into account relation (2.8), we deduce $2^\lambda \leq 2M$ and

$$q_\lambda(\Delta) \subset \left\{ \rho e^{i\varphi} : \rho \leq 2M \cos \varphi, \quad -\frac{\pi}{2} < \varphi < \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad M \geq 2^{\lambda-1} \right\} = P_M(\Delta).$$

Moreover

$$\mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda) \subset \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - M \right| < M, \quad z \in \Delta, M \geq 2^{\lambda-1} \right\}.$$

□

The Relation (2.8) show that the image of the unit circle $|z| = 1$ under the functions q_λ (The right-half of the lemniscate of Bernoulli $\gamma_1 : \rho = (2 \cos \frac{\varphi}{\lambda})^\lambda$) and P_M (The circle $\gamma_2 : \rho = 2^\lambda \cos \varphi$ with $-\pi/2 < \varphi < \pi/2$) for $\lambda = 1/5$ and $M = 1/\sqrt[5]{16}$, respectively.

By possessing a comprehensive form of functions p , i.e. $p \in \mathcal{P}(q_\lambda)$, we obtain by integration, the exhibition formula for the functions in $\mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{CV}_L(\lambda)$. Namely, $f \in \mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda)$ if and only there exists a function $p \in \mathcal{P}(q_\lambda)$ such that

$$f(z) = z \exp \left(\int_0^z \frac{p(t) - 1}{t} dt \right) \quad (z \in \Delta), \tag{2.9a}$$

or, $f \in \mathcal{CV}_L(\lambda)$ if and only there exists a function $p \in \mathcal{P}(q_\lambda)$ such that

$$f(z) = \int_0^z \exp \left(\int_0^w \frac{p(t) - 1}{t} dt \right) dw \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{2.9b}$$

Let $g \in \mathcal{A}$ and let $zg'(z)/g(z) = p(z)$ ($1 + zg''(z)/g'(z) = p(z)$ resp.) with $p \in \mathcal{P}(q_\lambda)$, $z \in \Delta$. Clearly, $g \in \mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda)$ ($\mathcal{CV}_L(\lambda)$ resp.) and g is extremal function in the class $\mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda)$ ($\mathcal{CV}_L(\lambda)$ resp.). This representation gives many examples of functions in class $\mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda)$ ($\mathcal{CV}_L(\lambda)$ resp.). To do this, by taking $p(z) = q_\lambda(z^n)$ with $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, the function $F_{\lambda,n}$ with definition

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\lambda,n}(z) &= z \exp \left(\int_0^z \frac{q_\lambda(t^n) - 1}{t} dt \right) = z + \frac{\lambda}{n} z^{n+1} + \frac{\lambda^2(n+2) - n\lambda}{4n^2} z^{2n+1} \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda((2n^2 + 9n + 6)\lambda^2 - (6n^2 + 9n)\lambda + 4n^2)}{36n^3} z^{3n+1} + \dots \quad (z \in \Delta), \end{aligned} \tag{2.9c}$$

is extremal function for several problems in the class $\mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda)$. Especially for $n = 1$ we have

$$F_\lambda(z) := F_{\lambda,1}(z) = z \exp\left(\int_0^z \frac{q_\lambda(t) - 1}{t} dt\right) = z + \lambda z^2 + \left(\frac{3\lambda^2 - \lambda}{4}\right) z^3 + \left(\frac{17\lambda^3 - 15\lambda^2 + 4\lambda}{36}\right) z^4 + \dots \quad (2.9d)$$

Also, by taking $p(z) = q_\lambda(z^n)$ with $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, the function $K_{\lambda,n}$ with definition

$$K_{\lambda,n}(z) = \int_0^z \exp\left(\int_0^w \frac{q_\lambda(t^n) - 1}{t} dt\right) dw = z + \frac{\lambda}{n(n+1)} z^{n+1} + \frac{\lambda^2(n+2) - n\lambda}{4n^2(2n+1)} z^{2n+1} + \frac{\lambda((2n^2 + 9n + 6)\lambda^2 - (6n^2 + 9n)\lambda + 4n^2)}{36n^3(3n+1)} z^{3n+1} + \dots \quad (z \in \Delta), \quad (2.10a)$$

is extremal function for several problems in the class $\mathcal{CV}_L(\lambda)$. Especially for $n = 1$ we have

$$K_\lambda(z) := K_{\lambda,1}(z) = \int_0^z \exp\left(\int_0^w \frac{q_\lambda(t) - 1}{t} dt\right) dw = z + \frac{\lambda}{2} z^2 + \left(\frac{3\lambda^2 - \lambda}{12}\right) z^3 + \left(\frac{17\lambda^3 - 15\lambda^2 + 4\lambda}{144}\right) z^4 + \dots \quad (2.10b)$$

Theorem 2.6. Let p be an analytic function in the unit disk Δ , such that $p(0) = 1$. If

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right\} < \frac{\lambda}{2} \quad (0 < \lambda \leq 1, z \in \Delta), \quad (2.11)$$

then

$$p \in \mathcal{P}(q_\lambda).$$

Proof . From (2.11) it follows that $p(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \Delta$. Otherwise, suppose that p has a point zero of order m , $m \geq 1$ at the point ζ that satisfies $|\zeta| < 1$. Then we have $p(z) = (z - \zeta)^m q(z)$, $q(z) \neq 0$ on Δ and

$$\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = \frac{mz}{z - \zeta} + \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}.$$

A simple calculation shows that for $z \in \Delta$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} (z - \zeta) \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} &= \begin{cases} \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} mz + \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} (z - \zeta) \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} & \text{for } \zeta \neq 0 \\ m + \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{z^2 q'(z)}{q(z)} & \text{for } \zeta = 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} m\zeta & \text{for } \zeta \neq 0, \\ m & \text{for } \zeta = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then zp'/p has a simple pole at ζ , which contradicts (2.11). We conclude that $p(z) \neq 0$, as required. Let $p(z) \not\prec q_\lambda(z)$ on Δ . Then by Lemma 1.3 there exist $z_0 \in \Delta$ and $\zeta_0 \in \partial\Delta$ with $\zeta_0 \neq -1$ such that

$$p(z_0) = q_\lambda(\zeta_0), \quad z_0 p'(z_0) = m \zeta_0 q'_\lambda(\zeta_0) \quad m \geq 1.$$

Thus

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{z_0 p'(z_0)}{p(z_0)} \right\} = \Re \left\{ \frac{m \zeta_0 q'_\lambda(\zeta_0)}{q_\lambda(\zeta_0)} \right\} = m \lambda \Re \left\{ \frac{\zeta_0}{1 + \zeta_0} \right\} = \frac{m \lambda}{2} \geq \frac{\lambda}{2}.$$

But this contradicts our assumption (2.11) and therefore $p \prec q_\lambda$ on Δ . \square

Taking into account $p(z) = f'(z)$ in Theorem 2.6, the norm of pre-Schwarzian derivatives and univalence of functions on class $\mathcal{G}(\lambda)$ are investigated.

Lemma 2.7. If a function f belongs to the class $\mathcal{G}(\lambda)$, then $f' \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{q}_\lambda)$. Also, f is univalent function in Δ and

$$z \exp\left(\int_0^z \frac{f'(t) - 1}{t} dt\right) \in \mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^z \exp\left(\int_0^w \frac{f'(t) - 1}{t} dt\right) dw \in \mathcal{CV}_L(\lambda).$$

Lemma 2.8. Let f be a function in $\mathcal{G}(\lambda)$. Then $\|T_f\| \leq 2\lambda$. Moreover, equality holds for f given by $f(z) = \bar{\mu}\Phi(\mu z)$, where μ is an unimodular constant and

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{(1+z)^{1+\lambda} - 1}{1+\lambda} \quad (z \in \Delta). \tag{2.12}$$

Proof . Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{G}(\lambda)$. Making use of Lemma 2.7 there exists $\omega \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $f'(z) = (1 + \omega(z))^\lambda$ and

$$\left| \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| = \frac{\lambda |\omega'(z)|}{|1 + \omega(z)|} \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

By the Schwarz-Pick Lemma,

$$|\omega'(z)| \leq \frac{1 - |\omega(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2} \quad (z \in \Delta), \tag{2.13}$$

we conclude

$$\left| \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| = \frac{\lambda |\omega'(z)|}{|1 + \omega(z)|} \leq \frac{\lambda(1 - |\omega(z)|^2)}{(1 - |z|^2)(1 - |\omega(z)|)} \leq \frac{\lambda(1 + |z|)}{1 - |z|^2}$$

and

$$\|T_f\| \leq \sup_{z \in \Delta} \lambda(1 + |z|) \leq 2\lambda.$$

We have equality in the Schwarz-Pick lemma inequality (2.13), if and only if $\omega(z) = \mu z$ with $|\mu| = 1$ and μ is complex number. Thus for function

$$f'(z) = (1 + \omega(z))^\lambda = (1 + \mu z)^\lambda \quad \text{or} \quad f(z) = \bar{\mu}\Phi(\mu z),$$

where Φ given by (2.12), it follows that $\|T_f\| = 2\lambda$. \square

For $p(z) = f(z)/z$ or $p(z) = z/f(z)$ in Theorem 2.6 and taking into account relation 2.9a, we get the following results.

Corollary 2.9. 1. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. If $f \in \mathcal{N}(\lambda)$, then

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} \prec \mathfrak{q}_\lambda(z) \quad \text{and} \quad z \exp\left(\int_0^z \frac{f(t) - t}{t^2} dt\right) \in \mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda).$$

2. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. If $f \in \mathcal{ST}(1 - \lambda/2)$, then

$$\frac{z}{f(z)} \prec \mathfrak{q}_\lambda(z) \quad \text{and} \quad z \exp\left(\int_0^z \frac{t - f(t)}{tf(t)} dt\right) \in \mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda).$$

Taking into account $p(z) = zf'(z)/f(z)$ in Theorem 2.6, we have the following corollary is a starlikeness condition for analytic functions of the unit disk.

Corollary 2.10. If a function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfy the condition

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} < \frac{\lambda}{2} \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

then $f \in \mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{ST}$.

Example 2.11. The Corollary 2.9 provides many examples of functions in class $\mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda)$. Let

$$f_1(z) = z + A_1 z^n, (n \geq 2), \quad f_2(z) = \frac{z}{1 - A_2 z}, \quad f_3(z) = \frac{z}{(1 - A_3 z)^2}.$$

For

$$0 < |A_1| \leq \frac{\lambda}{2n - 2 - \lambda}, \quad 0 < |A_2| \leq \frac{\lambda}{2 + \lambda}, \quad 0 < |A_3| \leq \frac{\lambda}{4 + \lambda},$$

the functions $f_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ belong in class $\mathcal{N}(\lambda)$. Then the appropriate functions

$$g_1(z) = z \exp\left(\frac{A_1 z^{n-1}}{n-1}\right), \quad g_2(z) = \frac{z}{1 - A_2 z}, \quad g_3(z) = \frac{z}{1 - A_3 z} \exp\left(\frac{A_3 z}{1 - A_3 z}\right),$$

belong to the class $\mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda)$.

Example 2.12. For $0 < |A| \leq \lambda/(2 - \lambda)$

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{A}(e^{Az} - 1) \in \mathcal{CV}_L(\lambda)$$

and for $0 < |A| \leq \lambda/(2 + \lambda)$

$$f(z) = -\frac{1}{A} \ln(1 - Az) \in \mathcal{CV}_L(\lambda).$$

From the results in [8], function (2.9d), and Lemma 2.1, we have the following sharp estimates for function $f \in \mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda)$ ($f \in \mathcal{CV}_L(\lambda)$ resp.).

Theorem 2.13. If $f \in \mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda)$ and $|z| = r < 1$, then

1. Growth Theorem: $-F_\lambda(-r) \leq |f(z)| \leq F_\lambda(r)$,
2. Distortion Theorem: $F'_\lambda(-r) \leq |f'(z)| \leq F'_\lambda(r)$,
3. Rotation Theorem: $|\text{Arg}\{f(z)/z\}| \leq \max_{|z|=r} \text{Arg}\{F_\lambda(z)/z\}$. Equality holds for some $z \neq 0$ if and only if f is a rotation of F_λ given by (2.9d).
4. Covering Theorem: If $f \in \mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda)$, then either f is a rotation of F_λ or

$$\{w \in \mathbb{C}: |w| \leq -F_\lambda(-1)\} \subset f(\Delta).$$

Here $-F_\lambda(-1) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} -F_\lambda(-r)$.

Theorem 2.14. If $f \in \mathcal{CV}_L(\lambda)$ and $|z| = r < 1$, then

1. Growth Theorem: $-K_\lambda(-r) \leq |f(z)| \leq K_\lambda(r)$,
2. Distortion Theorem: $K'_\lambda(-r) \leq |f'(z)| \leq K'_\lambda(r)$,
3. Rotation Theorem: $|\text{Arg}\{f'(z)\}| \leq \max_{|z|=r} \text{Arg}\{K'_\lambda(z)\}$. Equality holds for some $z \neq 0$ if and only if f is a rotation of K_λ given by (2.10b).
4. Covering Theorem: If $f \in \mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda)$, then either f is a rotation of F_λ or

$$\{w \in \mathbb{C}: |w| \leq -K_\lambda(-1)\} \subset f(\Delta).$$

Here $-K_\lambda(-1) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} -K_\lambda(-r)$.

For the special case $\lambda = 1/2$, results for functions belonging to the class

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_L^* &:= \mathcal{S}_L^*(1/2) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{SS}^*\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) : \left| \left[\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right]^2 - 1 \right| < 1, z \in \Delta \right\}, \\ &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{ST}: \Re \left\{ \left[\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right]^{-2} \right\} > \frac{1}{2}, z \in \Delta \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and its generalizations can be found in [1, 2, 3, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19]. The function $f \in \mathcal{S}_L^*$ if and only if quantity $zf'(z)/f(z)$ lies in the region bounded by the right loop of the *lemniscate of Bernoulli*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{LB}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) &= \left\{ \rho e^{i\varphi} : \rho = (2 \cos 2\varphi)^{1/2}, \quad -\frac{\pi}{4} < \varphi \leq \frac{\pi}{4} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ w \in \mathbb{C}: \Re\{w\} > 0, \quad \Re\left\{ \frac{1}{w^2} \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \right\} \cup \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Below, we get the sharp radius of convexity of the class $\mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda)$.

Theorem 2.15. Let r_0 denote the positive root of the equation

$$(1 - r)^{1+\lambda} = \lambda r \quad r \in [0, 1).$$

If $f \in \mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda)$, then f is convex in the disk $|z| < r_0$. This result is sharp.

Proof . Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_L^*(\lambda)$. Then from Definition 2.4 we obtain

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = [1 + \omega(z)]^\lambda \quad (z \in \Delta), \tag{2.14}$$

where $\omega \in \mathcal{B}$ with $|\omega(z)| \leq |z|$, $z \in \Delta$. Logarithmic differentiation of (2.14) yields that

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} = \Re \left\{ [1 + \omega(z)]^\lambda - \frac{\lambda z \omega'(z)}{[1 + \omega(z)]} \right\}.$$

From Lemma 2.1 and inequality (2.13), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} &\geq \Re \left\{ [1 + \omega(z)]^\lambda \right\} - \lambda |z| \frac{1 - |\omega(z)|^2}{[1 - |\omega(z)|][1 - |z|^2]} \\ &\geq (1 - |z|)^\lambda - \frac{\lambda |z|}{(1 - |z|)}. \end{aligned}$$

The function $g(x) = (1 - r)^\lambda - \frac{\lambda r}{(1-r)}$ with $|z| = r \in [0, 1)$ is decreasing in $[0, 1)$ and $g(0) = 1$. The equation $g(r) = 0$ is equivalent to

$$(1 - r)^{1+\lambda} = \lambda r \quad r \in [0, 1). \tag{2.15}$$

The only real positive root of (2.15) is equal to r_0 . For a function F_λ given by (2.9d), we have

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zF''_\lambda(z)}{F'_\lambda(z)} \right\} = \Re \left\{ (1 + z)^\lambda + \frac{\lambda z}{1 + z} \right\} =: G(z)$$

and $G(-r_0) = 0$, this shows the sharpness of r_0 . \square

References

- [1] R.M. Ali, N.E. Cho, N.K. Jain and V. Ravichandran, *Radii of starlikeness and convexity for functions with fixed second coefficient defined by subordination*, Filomat **26** (2012), no. 3, 553–561.
- [2] M.K. Aouf, J. Dziok and J. Sokół, *On a subclass of strongly starlike functions*, Appl. Math. Comput. **24** (2011), no. , 27–32.
- [3] R.M. Ali, N.K. Jain and V. Ravichandran, *Radii of starlikeness associated with the lemniscate of Bernoulli and the left-half plane*, Appl. Math. Comput. **218** (2012), no. 1, 6557–6565.
- [4] R.M. Ali, V. Ravichandran and N. Seenivasagan, *Coefficient bounds for p -valent functions*, Appl. Math. Comput. **187** (2007), no. 1, 35–46.
- [5] D.A. Brannan and W.E. Kirwan, *On some classes of bounded univalent functions*, J. London Math. Soc. **2** (1969), no. 1, 431–443.
- [6] P.L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 259. Springer, New York (1983)
- [7] K. Kuroki and S. Owa, *Notes on new class for certain analytic functions*, Adv. Math. Sci. J. **1** (2012), no. 1, 127–131.
- [8] W. Ma and D. Minda, *A unied treatment of some special classes of univalent functions*, in Proc. Conf. on Complex Analysis, Tianjin, 1992, Conference Proceedings and Lecture Notes in Analysis, Vol. 1 (International Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994, 157–169.

-
- [9] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications*, Series of Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 225, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, Basel, 2000.
- [10] J.W. Noonan and D.K. Thomas, *On the second Hankel determinant of areally mean p -valent functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **223** (1976), 337–346.
- [11] S. Ozaki, *On the theory of multivalent functions. II*, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Bunrika Daigaku. Sect. A. **4** (1941), 45–87.
- [12] M. Obradović, S. Ponnusamy and K.-J. Wirths, *Coefficient characterizations and sections for some univalent functions*, Sib. Math. J. **54** (2013), 679–696.
- [13] E. Paprocki and J. Sokół, *The external problems in some subclasses of strongly functions*, Folia Scient. Univ. Tech. Resov. **20** (1996), 89–94.
- [14] M.I. Robertson, *On the theory of univalent functions*, Ann. Math. **37** (1936), no. 2, 374–408.
- [15] J. Sokół, *On application of certain sufficient condition for starlikeness*, J. Math. Appl. **30** (2008), 131–135.
- [16] J. Sokół, *On some subclass of strongly starlike functions*, Demonstr. Math. **31** (1998), no. 1, 81–86.
- [17] J. Sokół, *Coefficient Estimates in a Class of Strongly Starlike Functions*, Kyungpook Math. J. **49** (2009), no. 2, 349–353.
- [18] J. Sokół and J. Stankiewicz, *Radius of convexity of some subclasses of strongly starlike functions*, Folia Scient. Univ. Tech. Resov. **19** (1996), 101–105.
- [19] J. Sokół and D. K. Thomas, *Further Results on a Class of Starlike Functions Related to the Bernoulli Lemniscate*, Houston J. Math. **44** (2018), 83–95.
- [20] T. Umezawa, *Analytic functions convex in one direction*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **4** (1952), 194–202.