

On certain coupled fixed point theorems via C -class functions in S_b -metric spaces with applications

Gujjula Upender Reddy^a, Parkala Naresh^{a,b,*}, Bagathi Srinuvasa Rao^c

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Mahatma Gandhi University, Nalgonda, Telangana, India

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Sreenidhi Institute of Science and Technology, Ghatkesar, Hyderabad-501301, Telangana, India

^cDepartment of Mathematics, Dr.B.R.Ambedkar University, Srikakulam, Etcherla-532410, Andhra Pradesh, India

(Communicated by Abasalt Bodaghi)

Abstract

In this study, the concept of C -class functions in the setup of S_b -metric spaces, and some common coupled fixed point theorems for these mappings in complete S_b -metric spaces that involve altering distance functions and ultra altering distance functions are established. A few instances are given to support our major findings. We also provided an application for integral equations as well as Homotopy.

Keywords: C -class functions, coupled fixed point, complete S_b -metric spaces and ω -compatible mappings
2020 MSC: 54H25, 47J25, 54E50

1 Introduction

In 1922, the Banach contraction principle was first introduced by S. Banach[7]. It is the most important tool in nonlinear analysis, and certain findings linked to the generalisation of various metric type spaces come from using it(see [8, 13, 18, 21, 22, 23]).

Previously, Sedghi et al. [27], using the concepts of S and b -metric spaces, developed S_b -metric spaces and proved common fixed point outcomes in these spaces. Following this, various authors developed numerous results on S_b -metric spaces (see e.g.[17, 25, 29, 30])

The idea of a coupled fixed point was first developed by Guo and Lakshmikantham [10] in 1987. Later, employing a weak contractivity type assumption, Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [9] developed a novel fixed point theorem for a mixed monotone mapping in a metric space driven by partial ordering. Jungck and Rhoades [14] introduced the idea of weak compatibility in 1998 and demonstrated that compatible mappings are weakly compatible but the reverse is not true. See the results in ([1, 2, 3, 15, 19, 20]) and related references for additional results on coupled fixed point outcomes.

A.H. Ansari et al. [4] presented the idea of C -class functions in 2016 and proved some unique fixed point theorems for certain contractive mappings with regard to the C -class functions, which started a lot of work in this field (See, [5, 6, 11, 12, 24, 26, 28]).

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: upendermathsmgu@gmail.com (Gujjula Upender Reddy), parakala2@gmail.com (Parkala Naresh), srinivasabagathi@gmail.com (Bagathi Srinuvasa Rao)

The purpose of the current paper is to provide common fixed point theorems for mappings of C -class function type in the context of S_b -metric spaces. We can also provide examples that are appropriate and relevant for integral equations and Homooopy theory. First we recall some basic results.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. ([27]) Let \mathcal{G} be a non-empty set and $\kappa \geq 1$ be any real number. Let a mapping $S_b : \mathcal{G}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following properties :

- (S_b1) $0 < S_b(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{G}$ with $\alpha \neq \beta \neq \gamma$,
- (S_b2) $S_b(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha = \beta = \gamma$,
- (S_b3) $S_b(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \leq \kappa(S_b(\alpha, \alpha, \theta) + S_b(\beta, \beta, \theta) + S_b(\gamma, \gamma, \theta))$ for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta \in \mathcal{G}$.

Then the function S_b is called a S_b -metric on \mathcal{G} and the pair (\mathcal{G}, S_b) is called a S_b -metric space.

Example 2.2. ([27]) Let (\mathcal{G}, S) be an S -metric space and $S_*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = S(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)^p$, where $p > 1$ is a real. Then S_* is a S_b -metric with $\kappa = 2^{2(p-1)}$.

Definition 2.3. ([27]) If (\mathcal{G}, S_b) be a S_b -metric space. $\{\chi_n\}$ in \mathcal{G} said to be:

- (1) S_b -Cauchy sequence if, for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $S_b(\chi_n, \chi_n, \chi_m) < \epsilon$ for each $m, n \geq n_0$.
- (2) S_b - convergent to a point $\chi \in \mathcal{G}$ if, for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer n_0 such that $S_b(\chi_n, \chi_n, \chi) < \epsilon$ or $S_b(\chi, \chi, \chi_n) < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$ and we denote by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_n = \chi$.
- (3) if every S_b -Cauchy sequence is S_b -convergent in \mathcal{G} . Then S_b -metric space (\mathcal{G}, S_b) is called complete.

Lemma 2.4. ([27]) According to the definition of S_b -metric space, we have

$$S_b(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) \leq \kappa S_b(\beta, \beta, \alpha) \text{ and } S_b(\beta, \beta, \alpha) \leq \kappa S_b(\alpha, \alpha, \beta).$$

Lemma 2.5. ([27]) In a S_b -metric space, we have

$$S_b(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) \leq 2\kappa S_b(\alpha, \alpha, \gamma) + \kappa^2 S_b(\gamma, \gamma, \beta).$$

Lemma 2.6. ([27]) If (\mathcal{G}, S_b) be a S_b -metric space with $\kappa \geq 1$ and $\{\alpha_n\}$ be S_b -convergent to α , then we have

- (i) $\frac{1}{2\kappa} S_b(\beta, \beta, \alpha) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\beta, \beta, \alpha_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\beta, \beta, \alpha_n) \leq 2\kappa S_b(\beta, \beta, \alpha)$ and
- (ii) $\frac{1}{\kappa^2} S_b(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \beta) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \beta) \leq \kappa^2 S_b(\alpha, \alpha, \beta)$

for all $\beta \in \mathcal{G}$. In particular, if $\alpha = \beta$, then we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \beta) = 0$.

Definition 2.7. [4] A continuous mapping $\Delta : [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a C -class function if for all $s^*, t^* \in [0, \infty)$,

- (a) $\Delta(s^*, t^*) \leq s^*$;
- (b) $\Delta(s^*, t^*) = s^*$ implies that either $s^* = 0$ or $t^* = 0$.

The family of all C -class functions is denoted by C .

Example 2.8. [4] Each of the functions $\Delta : [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined below are elements of C .

- (a) $\Delta(s^*, t^*) = s^* - t^*$.
- (b) $\Delta(s^*, t^*) = ms^*$ where $m \in (0, 1)$.
- (c) $\Delta(s^*, t^*) = \frac{s^*}{(1+t^*)^r}$ where $r \in (0, \infty)$.
- (d) $\Delta(s^*, t^*) = s^* \eta(s^*)$ where $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function.
- (e) $\Delta(s^*, t^*) = s^* - \varphi(s^*)$ for all $s^*, t^* \in [0, +\infty)$ where $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function such that $\varphi(s^*) = 0 \Leftrightarrow s^* = 0$.
- (f) $\Delta(s^*, t^*) = s \Omega(s^*, t^*)$ for all $s^*, t^* \in [0, +\infty)$ where $\Omega : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function such that $\Omega(s^*, t^*) < 1$.

3 Main Results

In this section, we give some common coupled fixed point theorems for C -class functions in complete S_b -metric spaces which involve altering distance functions and ultra altering distance functions.

Definition 3.1. Let (\mathcal{G}, S_b) be a S_b -metric space. A pair (\wp, ϖ) is called

- (a) a coupled fixed point of $\Omega : \mathcal{G}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ if $\Omega(\wp, \varpi) = \wp$ and $\Omega(\varpi, \wp) = \varpi$;
- (b) a coupled coincident point of $\Omega : \mathcal{G}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ and $\Lambda : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ if
 $F(\wp, \varpi) = \Lambda\wp, \quad \Omega(\varpi, \wp) = \Lambda\varpi$;
- (c) common fixed point of $\Omega : \mathcal{G}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ and $\Lambda : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ if
 $\Omega(\wp, \varpi) = \Lambda\wp = \wp, \quad \Omega(\varpi, \wp) = \Lambda\varpi = \varpi$;
- (d) the pair (Ω, Λ) is weakly compatible if $\Lambda(\Omega(\wp, \varpi)) = \Omega(\Lambda\wp, \Lambda\varpi)$ whenever $\Omega(\wp, \varpi) = \Lambda\wp, \quad \Omega(\varpi, \wp) = \Lambda\varpi$.

A new category of contractive fixed point results was addressed by Khan et al. [16] and A. H Ansari et al.[5]. In their study they introduced the notion of an altering distance and ultra altering distance functions which are control functions that alters distance between two points in a metric space.

Let \mathfrak{F} be the class of all altering distance function $\psi_\star : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and \mathfrak{G} be the class of all ultra altering distance functions $\phi_\star : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (ψ_0) ψ_\star is nondecreasing and continuous;
- (ψ_1) $\psi_\star(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.
- (ϕ_0) ϕ_\star is continuous;
- (ϕ_1) $\phi_\star(t) > 0$, for all $t > 0$ and $\phi_\star(0) \geq 0$.

Theorem 3.2. Let (\mathcal{G}, S_b) be a complete S_b -metric space with coefficient $\kappa > 1$. Let $\Gamma : \mathcal{G}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}, \Lambda : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be two mappings and $\Theta \geq 0$ such that

$$\psi_\star(2\kappa^4 S_b(\Gamma(u, v), \Gamma(u, v), \Gamma(p, q))) \leq \Delta(\psi_\star(M_b^S(u, v, p, q)), \phi_\star(M_b^S(u, v, p, q))) + \Theta\phi_\star(N_b^S(u, v, p, q)) \quad (3.1)$$

$$\text{where, } M_b^S(u, v, p, q) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, \Lambda p), S_b(\Lambda v, \Lambda v, \Lambda q), S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, \Gamma(u, v)), \\ S_b(\Lambda v, \Lambda v, \Gamma(v, u)), S_b(\Lambda p, \Lambda p, \Gamma(p, q)), S_b(\Lambda q, \Lambda q, \Gamma(q, p)), \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, \Gamma(p, q)) + S_b(\Lambda p, \Lambda p, \Gamma(u, v))], \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(\Lambda v, \Lambda v, \Gamma(q, p)) + S_b(\Lambda q, \Lambda q, \Gamma(v, u))] \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$N_b^S(u, v, p, q) = \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, \Gamma(u, v)), S_b(\Lambda p, \Lambda p, \Gamma(p, q)), S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, \Gamma(p, q)), \\ S_b(\Lambda v, \Lambda v, \Gamma(q, p)), S_b(\Lambda p, \Lambda p, \Gamma(u, v)), S_b(\Lambda q, \Lambda q, \Gamma(v, u)) \end{array} \right\}$$

for all $u, v, p, q \in \mathcal{G}$ where $\Delta \in C, \psi_\star \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $\phi_\star \in \mathfrak{G}$

- (i) $\Gamma(\mathcal{G}^2) \subseteq \Lambda(\mathcal{G})$ and $\Lambda(\mathcal{G})$ is a complete subspace of \mathcal{G} ,
- (ii) the pair (Γ, Λ) is ω -compatible.

Then there is a unique common coupled fixed point of Γ and Λ in \mathcal{G} .

Proof . Let $\lambda_0, \zeta_0 \in \mathcal{G}$ be arbitrary, and from (i), we construct the sequences $\{\lambda_p\}, \{\zeta_p\}$ in \mathcal{G} as

$$\Gamma(\lambda_p, \zeta_p) = \Lambda\lambda_{p+1} = \alpha_p, \quad \Gamma(\zeta_p, \lambda_p) = \Lambda\zeta_{p+1} = \beta_p, \quad \text{where } p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Now we show that Γ and Λ have a common coupled fixed point in \mathcal{G} . Assume that $S_b(\alpha_p, \alpha_p, \alpha_{p+1}) > 0$ and $S_b(\beta_p, \beta_p, \beta_{p+1}) > 0 \forall p$. Otherwise, there exists some positive integer p such that $\alpha_p = \alpha_{p+1}, \beta_p = \beta_{p+1}$ and so (Λ_p, ζ_p) is a coupled coincidence point of Γ, Λ , and the proof is complete. By using (3.1), for each $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\star(2\kappa^4 S_b(\alpha_p, \alpha_p, \alpha_{p+1})) &= \psi_\star(2\kappa^4 S_b(\Gamma(\lambda_p, \zeta_p), \Gamma(\lambda_p, \zeta_p), \Gamma(\lambda_{p+1}, \zeta_{p+1}))) \\ &\leq \Delta(\psi_\star(M_b^S(\lambda_p, \zeta_p, \lambda_{p+1}, \zeta_{p+1})), \phi_\star(M_b^S(\lambda_p, \zeta_p, \lambda_{p+1}, \zeta_{p+1}))) \\ &\quad + \Theta\phi_\star(N_b^S(\lambda_p, \zeta_p, \lambda_{p+1}, \zeta_{p+1})) \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
& M_b^S(\lambda_p, \zeta_p, \lambda_{p+1}, \zeta_{p+1}) \\
&= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\Lambda\lambda_p, \Lambda\lambda_p, \Lambda\lambda_{p+1}), S_b(\Lambda\zeta_p, \Lambda\zeta_p, \Lambda\zeta_{p+1}), S_b(\Lambda\lambda_p, \Lambda\lambda_p, \Gamma(\lambda_p, \zeta_p)), \\ S_b(\Lambda\zeta_p, \Lambda\zeta_p, \Gamma(\zeta_p, \lambda_p)), S_b(\Lambda\lambda_{p+1}, \Lambda\lambda_{p+1}, \Gamma(\lambda_{p+1}, \zeta_{p+1})), S_b(\Lambda\zeta_{p+1}, \Lambda\zeta_{p+1}, \Gamma(\zeta_{p+1}, \lambda_{p+1})), \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(\Lambda\lambda_p, \Lambda\lambda_p, \Gamma(\lambda_{p+1}, \zeta_{p+1})) + S_b(\Lambda\lambda_{p+1}, \Lambda\lambda_{p+1}, \Gamma(\lambda_p, \zeta_p))] , \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(\Lambda\zeta_p, \Lambda\zeta_p, \Gamma(\zeta_{p+1}, \lambda_{p+1})) + S_b(\Lambda\zeta_{p+1}, \Lambda\zeta_{p+1}, \Gamma(\zeta_p, \lambda_p))] \end{array} \right\} \\
&= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_p), S_b(\beta_{p-1}, \beta_{p-1}, \beta_p), S_b(\alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_p), \\ S_b(\beta_{p-1}, \beta_{p-1}, \beta_p), S_b(\alpha_p, \alpha_p, \alpha_{p+1}), S_b(\beta_p, \beta_p, \beta_{p+1}), \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(\alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_{p+1}) + S_b(\alpha_p, \alpha_p, \alpha_p)], \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(\beta_{p-1}, \beta_{p-1}, \beta_{p+1}) + S_b(\beta_p, \beta_p, \beta_p)] \end{array} \right\} \\
&= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_p), S_b(\beta_{p-1}, \beta_{p-1}, \beta_p), \\ S_b(\alpha_p, \alpha_p, \alpha_{p+1}), S_b(\beta_p, \beta_p, \beta_{p+1}) \end{array} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& N_b^S(\lambda_p, \zeta_p, \lambda_{p+1}, \zeta_{p+1}) \\
&= \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\Lambda\lambda_p, \Lambda\lambda_p, \Gamma(\lambda_p, \zeta_p)), S_b(\Lambda\lambda_{p+1}, \Lambda\lambda_{p+1}, \Gamma(\lambda_{p+1}, \zeta_{p+1})), S_b(\Lambda\lambda_p, \Lambda\lambda_p, \Gamma(\lambda_{p+1}, \zeta_{p+1})), \\ S_b(\Lambda\zeta_p, \Lambda\zeta_p, \Gamma(\zeta_{p+1}, \lambda_{p+1})), S_b(\Lambda\lambda_{p+1}, \Lambda\lambda_{p+1}, \Gamma(\lambda_p, \zeta_p)), S_b(\Lambda\zeta_{p+1}, \Lambda\zeta_{p+1}, \Gamma(\zeta_p, \lambda_p)) \end{array} \right\} \\
&= \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_p), S_b(\alpha_p, \alpha_p, \alpha_{p+1}), S_b(\alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_{p+1}), \\ S_b(\beta_{p-1}, \beta_{p-1}, \beta_{p+1}), S_b(\alpha_p, \alpha_p, \alpha_p), S_b(\beta_p, \beta_p, \beta_p) \end{array} \right\} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.2), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_\star(2\kappa^4 S_b(\alpha_p, \alpha_p, \alpha_{p+1})) &\leq \Delta \left(\psi_\star \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_p), \\ S_b(\beta_{p-1}, \beta_{p-1}, \beta_p), \\ S_b(\alpha_p, \alpha_p, \alpha_{p+1}), \\ S_b(\beta_p, \beta_p, \beta_{p+1}) \end{array} \right\} \right), \phi_\star \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_p), \\ S_b(\beta_{p-1}, \beta_{p-1}, \beta_p), \\ S_b(\alpha_p, \alpha_p, \alpha_{p+1}), \\ S_b(\beta_p, \beta_p, \beta_{p+1}) \end{array} \right\} \right) \right) \\
&\leq \psi_\star \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_p), S_b(\beta_{p-1}, \beta_{p-1}, \beta_p), \\ S_b(\alpha_p, \alpha_p, \alpha_{p+1}), S_b(\beta_p, \beta_p, \beta_{p+1}) \end{array} \right\} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By using (ψ_0) , we have

$$S_b(\alpha_p, \alpha_p, \alpha_{p+1}) \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} S_b(\alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_p), \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} S_b(\beta_{p-1}, \beta_{p-1}, \beta_p), \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} S_b(\alpha_p, \alpha_p, \alpha_{p+1}), \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} S_b(\beta_p, \beta_p, \beta_{p+1}) \end{array} \right\}.$$

If for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\frac{1}{2\kappa^4} S_b(\alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_p) < \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} S_b(\alpha_p, \alpha_p, \alpha_{p+1})$ and $\frac{1}{2\kappa^4} S_b(\beta_{p-1}, \beta_{p-1}, \beta_p) < \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} S_b(\beta_p, \beta_p, \beta_{p+1})$, then we have

$$S_b(\alpha_p, \alpha_p, \alpha_{p+1}) \leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} S_b(\alpha_p, \alpha_p, \alpha_{p+1}), \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} S_b(\beta_p, \beta_p, \beta_{p+1}) \right\}. \quad (3.3)$$

By similar arguments we obtain

$$S_b(\beta_p, \beta_p, \beta_{p+1}) \leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} S_b(\beta_p, \beta_p, \beta_{p+1}), \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} S_b(\alpha_p, \alpha_p, \alpha_{p+1}) \right\}. \quad (3.4)$$

Combining (3.3) and (3.4), we can get

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\alpha_p, \alpha_p, \alpha_{p+1}), \\ S_b(\beta_p, \beta_p, \beta_{p+1}) \end{array} \right\} \leq \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\alpha_p, \alpha_p, \alpha_{p+1}), \\ S_b(\beta_p, \beta_p, \beta_{p+1}) \end{array} \right\}.$$

This is contradiction. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \max \{ S_b(\alpha_p, \alpha_p, \alpha_{p+1}), S_b(\beta_p, \beta_p, \beta_{p+1}) \} &\leq \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} \max \{ S_b(\alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_p), S_b(\beta_{p-1}, \beta_{p-1}, \beta_p) \} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(2\kappa^4)^2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\alpha_{p-2}, \alpha_{p-2}, \alpha_{p-1}), \\ S_b(\beta_{p-2}, \beta_{p-2}, \beta_{p-1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(2\kappa^4)^p} \max \{ S_b(\alpha_0, \alpha_0, \alpha_1), S_b(\beta_0, \beta_0, \beta_1) \} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } p \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we prove that $\{\alpha_p\}$ and $\{\beta_p\}$ are Cauchy sequences in (\mathcal{G}, S_b) . On contrary we suppose that $\{\alpha_p\}$ and $\{\beta_p\}$ are not Cauchy. Then there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and monotonically increasing sequences of natural numbers $\{q_k\}$ and $\{p_k\}$ such that $p_k > q_k$.

$$S_b(\alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{p_k}) \geq \epsilon \quad S_b(\beta_{q_k}, \beta_{q_k}, \beta_{p_k}) \geq \epsilon \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$S_b(\alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{p_k-1}) < \epsilon \quad S_b(\beta_{q_k}, \beta_{q_k}, \beta_{p_k-1}) < \epsilon. \quad (3.6)$$

From Lemma (2.5), (3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &\leq S_b(\alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{p_k}) \\ &\leq 2\kappa S_b(\alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{q_k+1}) + \kappa^2 S_b(\alpha_{q_k+1}, \alpha_{q_k+1}, \alpha_{p_k}). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$2\kappa^2 \epsilon \leq 4\kappa^3 S_b(\alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{q_k+1}) + 2\kappa^4 S_b(\alpha_{q_k+1}, \alpha_{q_k+1}, \alpha_{p_k}).$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ and applying ψ_* on both sides, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_*(2\kappa^2 \epsilon) &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi_*(2\kappa^4 S_b(\alpha_{q_k+1}, \alpha_{q_k+1}, \alpha_{p_k})) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi \left(2\kappa^4 S_b(\Gamma(\lambda_{q_k+1}, \zeta_{q_k+1}), \Gamma(\lambda_{q_k+1}, \zeta_{q_k+1}), \Gamma(\lambda_{p_k}, \zeta_{p_k})) \right) \\ &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Delta \left(\psi_* \left(M_b^S(\lambda_{q_k+1}, \zeta_{q_k+1}, \lambda_{p_k}, \zeta_{p_k}) \right), \phi_* \left(M_b^S(\lambda_{q_k+1}, \zeta_{q_k+1}, \lambda_{p_k}, \zeta_{p_k}) \right) \right) \\ &\quad + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Theta \phi_* \left(N_b^S(\lambda_{q_k+1}, \zeta_{q_k+1}, \lambda_{p_k}, \zeta_{p_k}) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M_b^S(\lambda_{q_k+1}, \zeta_{q_k+1}, \lambda_{p_k}, \zeta_{p_k}) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\Lambda \lambda_{q_k+1}, \Lambda \lambda_{q_k+1}, \Lambda \lambda_{p_k}), S_b(\Lambda \zeta_{q_k+1}, \Lambda \zeta_{q_k+1}, \Lambda \zeta_{p_k}), S_b(\Lambda \lambda_{q_k+1}, \Lambda \lambda_{q_k+1}, \Gamma(\lambda_{q_k+1}, \zeta_{q_k+1})), \\ S_b(\Lambda \zeta_{q_k+1}, \Lambda \zeta_{q_k+1}, \Gamma(\zeta_{q_k+1}, \lambda_{q_k+1})), S_b(\Lambda \lambda_{p_k}, \Lambda \lambda_{p_k}, \Gamma(\lambda_{p_k}, \zeta_{p_k})), S_b(\Lambda \zeta_{p_k}, \Lambda \zeta_{p_k}, \Gamma(\zeta_{p_k}, \lambda_{p_k})), \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} \left[S_b(\Lambda \lambda_{q_k+1}, \Lambda \lambda_{q_k+1}, \Gamma(\lambda_{p_k}, \zeta_{p_k})) + S_b(\Lambda \lambda_{p_k}, \Lambda \lambda_{p_k}, \Gamma(\lambda_{q_k+1}, \zeta_{q_k+1})) \right], \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} \left[S_b(\Lambda \zeta_{q_k+1}, \Lambda \zeta_{q_k+1}, \Gamma(\zeta_{p_k}, \lambda_{p_k})) + S_b(\Lambda \zeta_{p_k}, \Lambda \zeta_{p_k}, \Gamma(\zeta_{q_k+1}, \lambda_{q_k+1})) \right] \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{p_k-1}), S_b(\beta_{q_k}, \beta_{q_k}, \beta_{p_k-1}), S_b(\alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{q_k+1}), \\ S_b(\beta_{q_k}, \beta_{q_k}, \beta_{q_k+1}), S_b(\alpha_{p_k-1}, \alpha_{p_k-1}, \alpha_{p_k}), S_b(\beta_{p_k-1}, \beta_{p_k-1}, \beta_{p_k}), \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} \left[S_b(\alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{p_k}) + S_b(\alpha_{p_k-1}, \alpha_{p_k-1}, \alpha_{q_k+1}) \right], \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} \left[S_b(\beta_{q_k}, \beta_{q_k}, \beta_{p_k}) + S_b(\beta_{p_k-1}, \beta_{p_k-1}, \beta_{q_k+1}) \right] \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \epsilon, \epsilon, 0, 0, 0, 0, \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} \left[S_b(\alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{p_k}) + S_b(\alpha_{p_k-1}, \alpha_{p_k-1}, \alpha_{q_k+1}) \right], \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} \left[S_b(\beta_{q_k}, \beta_{q_k}, \beta_{p_k}) + S_b(\beta_{p_k-1}, \beta_{p_k-1}, \beta_{q_k+1}) \right] \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} \left[S_b(\alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{p_k}) + S_b(\alpha_{p_k-1}, \alpha_{p_k-1}, \alpha_{q_k+1}) \right] \\ &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} \left[\begin{array}{l} [2\kappa S_b(\alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{p_k-1}) + \kappa^2 S_b(\alpha_{p_k-1}, \alpha_{p_k-1}, \alpha_{p_k})] + \\ [2\kappa S_b(\alpha_{p_k-1}, \alpha_{p_k-1}, \alpha_{q_k}) + \kappa^2 S_b(\alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{q_k+1})] \end{array} \right] < \frac{2}{\kappa^3} \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(\beta_{q_k}, \beta_{q_k}, \beta_{p_k}) + S_b(\beta_{p_{k-1}}, \beta_{p_{k-1}}, \beta_{q_{k+1}})] \\ & \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} \left[\frac{[2\kappa S_b(\beta_{q_k}, \beta_{q_k}, \beta_{p_{k-1}}) + \kappa^2 S_b(\beta_{p_{k-1}}, \beta_{p_{k-1}}, \beta_{p_k})] +}{[2\kappa S_b(\beta_{p_{k-1}}, \beta_{p_{k-1}}, \beta_{q_k}) + \kappa^2 S_b(\beta_{q_k}, \beta_{q_k}, \beta_{q_{k+1}})]} \right] < \frac{2}{\kappa^3} \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M_b^S(\lambda_{q_{k+1}}, \zeta_{q_{k+1}}, \lambda_{p_k}, \zeta_{p_k}) \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \{ \epsilon, \epsilon, 0, \frac{2}{\kappa^3} \epsilon \} = \epsilon,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N_b^S(\lambda_{q_{k+1}}, \zeta_{q_{k+1}}, \lambda_{p_k}, \zeta_{p_k}) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\Lambda \lambda_{q_{k+1}}, \Lambda \lambda_{q_{k+1}}, \Gamma(\lambda_{q_{k+1}}, \zeta_{q_{k+1}})), S_b(\Lambda \lambda_{p_k}, \Lambda \lambda_{p_k}, \Gamma(\lambda_{p_k}, \zeta_{p_k})), \\ S_b(\Lambda \lambda_{q_{k+1}}, \Lambda \lambda_{q_{k+1}}, \Gamma(\lambda_{p_k}, \zeta_{p_k})), S_b(\Lambda \zeta_{q_{k+1}}, \Lambda \zeta_{q_{k+1}}, \Gamma(\zeta_{p_k}, \lambda_{p_k})), \\ S_b(\Lambda \lambda_{p_k}, \Lambda \lambda_{p_k}, \Gamma(\lambda_{q_{k+1}}, \zeta_{q_{k+1}})), S_b(\Lambda \zeta_{p_k}, \Lambda \zeta_{p_k}, \Gamma(\zeta_{q_{k+1}}, \lambda_{q_{k+1}})) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{q_{k+1}}), S_b(\alpha_{p_{k-1}}, \alpha_{p_{k-1}}, \alpha_{p_k}), S_b(\alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{q_k}, \alpha_{p_k}), \\ S_b(\beta_{q_k}, \beta_{q_k}, \beta_{p_k}), S_b(\alpha_{p_{k-1}}, \alpha_{p_{k-1}}, \alpha_{q_{k+1}}), S_b(\beta_{p_{k-1}}, \beta_{p_{k-1}}, \beta_{q_{k+1}}) \end{array} \right\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (3.7), we deduce

$$\psi_\star(2\kappa^2\epsilon) \leq \Delta(\psi_\star(\epsilon), \phi_\star(\epsilon)) \leq \psi_\star(\epsilon)$$

by the definition of (ψ_0) , we have that $2\kappa^2\epsilon \leq \epsilon$ which is a contradiction. Hence $\{\alpha_p\}$ is an S_b -Cauchy sequence in complete S_b -metric spaces (\mathcal{G}, S_b) . By similar arguments, we obtain $\{\beta_p\}$ is an S_b -Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{G} . Since $\Lambda(\mathcal{G})$ is a complete subspace of (\mathcal{G}, S_b) , the sequences $\{\alpha_p\}$, $\{\beta_p\}$ are convergence to u, v respectively in $\Lambda(\mathcal{G})$. Thus, there exist $a, b \in \Lambda(\mathcal{G})$ such that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_p = u = \Lambda a \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \beta_p = v = \Lambda b \tag{3.8}$$

We claim that $\Gamma(a, b) = u$ and $\Gamma(b, a) = v$. Suppose $\Gamma(a, b) \neq u$ and $\Gamma(b, a) \neq v$. By Lemma (2.6), we have that

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa} S_b(\Gamma(a, b), \Gamma(a, b), u) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} S_b(\Gamma(a, b), \Gamma(a, b), \alpha_p).$$

Now from (3.1) and applying ψ_\star on both sides, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\star(\kappa^3 S_b(\Gamma(a, b), \Gamma(a, b), u)) &\leq \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \psi_\star(2\kappa^4 S_b(\Gamma(a, b), \Gamma(a, b), \alpha_p)) \\ &= \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \psi_\star(2\kappa^4 S_b(\Gamma(a, b), \Gamma(a, b), \Gamma(\lambda_p, \zeta_p))) \\ &\leq \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \Delta(\psi_\star(M_b^S(a, b, \lambda_p, \zeta_p)), \phi_\star(M_b^S(a, b, \lambda_p, \zeta_p))) \\ &\quad + \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \Theta \phi_\star(N_b^S(a, b, \lambda_p, \zeta_p)) \\ &\leq \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \psi_\star(M_b^S(a, b, \lambda_p, \zeta_p)) + \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \Theta \phi_\star(N_b^S(a, b, \lambda_p, \zeta_p)) \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} M_b^S(a, b, \lambda_p, \zeta_p) \\ &= \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\Lambda a, \Lambda a, \Lambda \lambda_p), S_b(\Lambda b, \Lambda b, \Lambda \zeta_p), S_b(\Lambda a, \Lambda a, \Gamma(a, b)), \\ S_b(\Lambda b, \Lambda b, \Gamma(b, a)), S_b(\Lambda \lambda_p, \Lambda \lambda_p, \Gamma(\lambda_p, \zeta_p)), S_b(\Lambda \zeta_p, \Lambda \zeta_p, \Gamma(\zeta_p, \lambda_p)), \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(\Lambda a, \Lambda a, \Gamma(\lambda_p, \zeta_p)) + S_b(\Lambda \lambda_p, \Lambda \lambda_p, \Gamma(a, b))], \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(\Lambda b, \Lambda b, \Gamma(\zeta_p, \lambda_p)) + S_b(\Lambda \zeta_p, \Lambda \zeta_p, \Gamma(b, a))] \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sup \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\Lambda a, \Lambda a, \alpha_{p-1}), S_b(\Lambda b, \Lambda b, \beta_{p-1}), S_b(\Lambda a, \Lambda a, \Gamma(a, b)), \\ S_b(\Lambda b, \Lambda b, \Gamma(b, a)), S_b(\alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_p), S_b(\beta_{p-1}, \beta_{p-1}, \beta_p), \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(\Lambda a, \Lambda a, \alpha_p) + S_b(\alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}, \Gamma(a, b))], \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(\Lambda b, \Lambda b, \beta_p) + S_b(\beta_{p-1}, \beta_{p-1}, \Gamma(b, a))] \end{array} \right\} \\
&\leq \max \{ S_b(u, u, \Gamma(a, b)), S_b(v, v, \Gamma(b, a)) \} \\
&\leq \max \{ \kappa S_b(\Gamma(a, b), \Gamma(a, b), u), \kappa S_b(\Gamma(b, a), \Gamma(b, a), v) \}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} N_b^S(a, b, \lambda_p, \zeta_p) \\
&= \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\Lambda a, \Lambda a, \Gamma(a, b)), S_b(\Lambda \lambda_p, \Lambda \lambda_p, \Gamma(\lambda_p, \zeta_p)), S_b(\Lambda a, \Lambda a, \Gamma(\lambda_p, \zeta_p)), \\ S_b(\Lambda b, \Lambda b, \Gamma(\zeta_p, \lambda_p)), S_b(\Lambda \lambda_p, \Lambda \lambda_p, \Gamma(b, a)), S_b(\Lambda \zeta_p, \Lambda \zeta_p, \Gamma(b, a)) \end{array} \right\} \\
&= \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(u, u, \Gamma(a, b)), S_b(\alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_p), S_b(u, u, \alpha_p), \\ S_b(v, v, \beta_p), S_b(\alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}, \Gamma(b, a)), S_b(\beta_{p-1}, \beta_{p-1}, \Gamma(b, a)) \end{array} \right\} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (3.9) we have that

$$\psi_\star(\kappa^3 S_b(\Gamma(a, b), \Gamma(a, b), u)) \leq \psi_\star(\max \{ \kappa S_b(\Gamma(a, b), \Gamma(a, b), u), \kappa S_b(\Gamma(b, a), \Gamma(b, a), v) \}) + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf \Theta \phi_\star(0).$$

By the definition of (ψ_0) , we get $S_b(\Gamma(a, b), \Gamma(a, b), u) \leq \max \{ \frac{1}{\kappa^2} S_b(\Gamma(a, b), \Gamma(a, b), u), \frac{1}{\kappa^2} S_b(\Gamma(b, a), \Gamma(b, a), v) \}$. Similarly, we can prove that $S_b(\Gamma(b, a), \Gamma(b, a), v) \leq \max \{ \frac{1}{\kappa^2} S_b(\Gamma(a, b), \Gamma(a, b), u), \frac{1}{\kappa^2} S_b(\Gamma(b, a), \Gamma(b, a), v) \}$.

Therefore,

$$\max \{ S_b(\Gamma(a, b), \Gamma(a, b), u), S_b(\Gamma(b, a), \Gamma(b, a), v) \} \leq \max \{ \frac{1}{\kappa^2} S_b(\Gamma(a, b), \Gamma(a, b), u), \frac{1}{\kappa^2} S_b(\Gamma(b, a), \Gamma(b, a), v) \}$$

which is a contradiction. So $\Gamma(a, b) = u$ and $\Gamma(b, a) = v$. It follows that $\Gamma(a, b) = u = \Lambda a$ and $\Gamma(b, a) = v = \Lambda b$. Since $\{\Gamma, \Lambda\}$ is a weakly compatible pair, we have $\Gamma(u, v) = \Lambda u$, $\Gamma(v, u) = \Lambda v$. Now we prove that $\Lambda u = u$ and $\Lambda v = v$. From Lemma (2.6), we have that

$$\frac{1}{\kappa^2} S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, u) \leq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \inf S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, \alpha_p).$$

Now from (3.1) and applying ψ_\star on both sides, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_\star(2\kappa^2 S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, u)) &\leq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \inf \psi_\star(2\kappa^4 S_b(\Gamma(u, v), \Gamma(u, v), \Gamma(\lambda_p, \zeta_p))) \\
&\leq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \inf \Delta(\psi_\star(M_b^S(u, v, \lambda_p, \zeta_p)), \phi_\star(M_b^S(u, v, \lambda_p, \zeta_p))) \\
&\quad + \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \inf \Theta \phi_\star(N_b^S(u, v, \lambda_p, \zeta_p)) \\
&\leq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \inf \psi_\star(M_b^S(u, v, \lambda_p, \zeta_p)) + \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \inf \Theta \phi_\star(N_b^S(u, v, \lambda_p, \zeta_p))
\end{aligned}$$

(3.10)

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \inf M_b^S(u, v, \lambda_p, \zeta_p) \\
&= \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \inf \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, \Lambda \lambda_p), S_b(\Lambda v, \Lambda v, \Lambda \zeta_p), S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, \Gamma(u, v)), \\ S_b(\Lambda v, \Lambda v, \Gamma(v, u)), S_b(\Lambda \lambda_p, \Lambda \lambda_p, \Gamma(\lambda_p, \zeta_p)), S_b(\Lambda \zeta_p, \Lambda \zeta_p, \Gamma(\zeta_p, \lambda_p)), \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, \Gamma(\lambda_p, \zeta_p)) + S_b(\Lambda \lambda_p, \Lambda \lambda_p, \Gamma(u, v))], \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(\Lambda v, \Lambda v, \Gamma(\zeta_p, \lambda_p)) + S_b(\Lambda \zeta_p, \Lambda \zeta_p, \Gamma(v, u))] \end{array} \right\} \\
&\leq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sup \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, \alpha_{p-1}), S_b(\Lambda v, \Lambda v, \beta_{p-1}), S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, \Gamma(u, v)), \\ S_b(\Lambda v, \Lambda v, \Gamma(v, u)), S_b(\alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_p), S_b(\beta_{p-1}, \beta_{p-1}, \beta_p), \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, \alpha_p) + S_b(\alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}, \Gamma(u, v))], \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(\Lambda v, \Lambda v, \beta_p) + S_b(\beta_{p-1}, \beta_{p-1}, \Gamma(v, u))] \end{array} \right\} \\
&\leq \max \{ S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, u), S_b(\Lambda v, \Lambda v, v) \}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} N_b^S(u, v, \lambda_p, \zeta_p) \\
&= \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda v, \Gamma(u, v)), S_b(\Lambda \lambda_p, \Lambda \lambda_p, \Gamma(\lambda_p, \zeta_p)), S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, \Gamma(\lambda_p, \zeta_p)), \\ S_b(\Lambda v, \Lambda v, \Gamma(\zeta_p, \lambda_p)), S_b(\Lambda \lambda_p, \Lambda \lambda_p, \Gamma(v, u)), S_b(\Lambda \zeta_p, \Lambda \zeta_p, \Gamma(v, u)) \end{array} \right\} \\
&= \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(u, u, \Gamma(u, v)), S_b(\alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_p), S_b(u, u, \alpha_p), \\ S_b(v, v, \beta_p), S_b(\alpha_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}, \Gamma(v, u)), S_b(\beta_{p-1}, \beta_{p-1}, \Gamma(v, u)) \end{array} \right\} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence from (3.10) we have that

$$\psi_\star(2\kappa^2 S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, u)) \leq \psi_\star(\max\{S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, u), S_b(\Lambda v, \Lambda v, v)\}) + \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \Theta \phi_\star(0).$$

By the definition of (ψ_0) , we get $S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, u) \leq \max\{\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, u), \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} S_b(\Lambda v, \Lambda v, v)\}$. Similarly, we can prove that $S_b(\Lambda v, \Lambda v, v) \leq \max\{\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, u), \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} S_b(\Lambda v, \Lambda v, v)\}$. Therefore,

$$\max\{S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, u), S_b(\Lambda v, \Lambda v, v)\} \leq \max\{\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, u), \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} S_b(\Lambda v, \Lambda v, v)\}$$

which is a contradiction. So $\Lambda u = u$ and $\Lambda v = v$. It follows that $\Gamma(u, v) = \Lambda u = u$ and $\Gamma(v, u) = \Lambda v = v$. Thus, (u, v) is a coupled fixed point of Γ and Λ . In the following we will show the uniqueness of common coupled fixed point in \mathcal{G} . For this purpose, assume that there is another common coupled fixed point (u', v') of Γ, Λ . Then From (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_\star(2\kappa^4 S_b(u, u, u')) &= \psi_\star(2\kappa^4 S_b(\Gamma(u, v), \Gamma(u, v), \Gamma(u', v'))) \\
&\leq \Delta(\psi_\star(M_b^S(u, v, u', v')), \phi_\star(M_b^S(u, v, u', v'))) + \Theta \phi_\star(N_b^S(u, v, u', v')) \\
&\leq \psi_\star(M_b^S(u, v, u', v')) + \Theta \phi_\star(N_b^S(u, v, u', v'))
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
M_b^S(u, v, u', v') &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, \Lambda u'), S_b(\Lambda v, \Lambda v, \Lambda v'), S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, \Gamma(u, v)), \\ S_b(\Lambda v, \Lambda v, \Gamma(v, u)), S_b(\Lambda u', \Lambda u', \Gamma(u', v')), S_b(\Lambda v', \Lambda v', \Gamma(v', u')), \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, \Gamma(u', v')) + S_b(\Lambda u', \Lambda u', \Gamma(u, v))], \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(\Lambda v, \Lambda v, \Gamma(v', u')) + S_b(\Lambda v', \Lambda v', \Gamma(v, u))] \end{array} \right\} \\
&= \max\{S_b(u, u, u'), S_b(v, v, v')\}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$N_b^S(u, v, u', v') = \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, \Gamma(u, v)), S_b(\Lambda u', \Lambda u', \Gamma(u', v')), S_b(\Lambda u, \Lambda u, \Gamma(u', v')), \\ S_b(\Lambda v, \Lambda v, \Gamma(v', u')), S_b(\Lambda u', \Lambda u', \Gamma(u, v)), S_b(\Lambda v', \Lambda v', \Gamma(v, u)) \end{array} \right\} = 0.$$

From (3.11), we have

$$\psi_\star(2\kappa^4 S_b(u, u, u')) \leq \psi_\star(\max\{S_b(u, u, u'), S_b(v, v, v')\}) + \Theta \phi_\star(0).$$

By the definition of ψ_\star , we deduce that

$$S_b(u, u, u') \leq \max\{\frac{1}{2\kappa^4} S_b(u, u, u'), \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} S_b(v, v, v')\}.$$

Similarly, we get that

$$S_b(v, v, v') \leq \max\{\frac{1}{2\kappa^4} S_b(u, u, u'), \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} S_b(v, v, v')\}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\max\{S_b(u, u, u'), S_b(v, v, v')\} \leq \max\{\frac{1}{2\kappa^4} S_b(u, u, u'), \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} S_b(v, v, v')\}$$

which is a contradiction unless $S_b(u, u, u') = 0$ and $S_b(v, v, v') = 0$ that is $u = u'$ and $v = v'$. Hence Γ and Λ have a unique common coupled fixed point. \square

Corollary 3.3. Let (\mathcal{G}, S_b) be a complete S_b -metric space with coefficient $\kappa \geq 1$. Let $\Gamma : \mathcal{G}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a mapping and $\Theta \geq 0$ such that

$$\leq \Delta(\eta(\psi_\star(M_b^S(u, v, p, q)))\psi_\star(M_b^S(u, v, p, q)), \eta(\phi_\star(M_b^S(u, v, p, q)))\phi_\star(M_b^S(u, v, p, q))) + \Theta\phi_\star(N_b^S(u, v, p, q))$$

where,

$$M_b^S(u, v, p, q) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(u, u, p), S_b(v, v, q), S_b(u, u, \Gamma(u, v)), \\ S_b(v, v, \Gamma(v, u)), S_b(p, p, \Gamma(p, q)), S_b(q, q, \Gamma(q, p)), \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(u, u, \Gamma(p, q)) + S_b(p, p, \Gamma(u, v))], \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(v, v, \Gamma(q, p)) + S_b(q, q, \Gamma(v, u))] \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$N_b^S(u, v, p, q) = \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(u, u, \Gamma(u, v)), S_b(p, p, \Gamma(p, q)), S_b(u, u, \Gamma(p, q)), \\ S_b(v, v, \Gamma(q, p)), S_b(p, p, \Gamma(u, v)), S_b(q, q, \Gamma(v, u)) \end{array} \right\}$$

for all $u, v, p, q \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\Delta \in C$, $\psi_\star \in \mathfrak{F}$, $\phi_\star \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $\eta : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous mapping. Then there is a unique coupled fixed point of Γ in \mathcal{G} .

Proof . The proof Follows along similar lines of Theorem 3.2 if we take identity function $I_{\mathcal{G}}$ in place of $\Lambda : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ in Theorem 3.2. \square

Corollary 3.4. Let (\mathcal{G}, S_b) be a complete S_b -metric space with coefficient $\kappa \geq 1$. Let $\Gamma : \mathcal{G}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a mapping and $\Theta \geq 0$ such that

$$2\kappa^4 S_b(\Gamma(u, v), \Gamma(u, v), \Gamma(p, q)) \leq \eta(M_b^S(u, v, p, q))M_b^S(u, v, p, q) + \Theta N_b^S(u, v, p, q)$$

for all $u, v, p, q \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\eta : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous mapping. Then there is a unique coupled fixed point of Γ in \mathcal{G} .

Proof . The proof follows from Theorems 3.2 by taking $\psi_\star(t) = t = \phi_\star(t)$ and $\Delta(s, t) = s\eta(s)$. \square

Corollary 3.5. Let (\mathcal{G}, S_b) be a complete S_b -metric space with coefficient $\kappa \geq 1$. Let $\Gamma : \mathcal{G}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a mapping satiesfying

$$S_b(\Gamma(u, v), \Gamma(u, v), \Gamma(p, q)) \leq \tau M_b^S(u, v, p, q)$$

for all $u, v, p, q \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\tau \in [0, \frac{1}{2\kappa^4})$. Then there is a unique coupled fixed point of Γ in \mathcal{G} .

Proof . Let us take $\Delta(s, t) \leq s$ and $\psi_\star(t) = t$, $\phi_\star(t) = 0$, from Theorem (3.2), we see that Γ has a unique coupled fixed point. \square

Example 3.6. Let $S_b : \mathcal{G}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a mapping defined as

$$S_b(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (|\beta + \gamma - 2\alpha| + |\beta - \gamma|)^2$$

where $\mathcal{G} = [0, \infty)$. So clearly (\mathcal{G}, S_b) is a complete S_b -metric space with $\kappa = 2$. Define $\Gamma : \mathcal{G}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ and $\Lambda : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ by $\Gamma(a, b) = \frac{a+b}{128\sqrt{2}}$ and $\Lambda a = \frac{a}{4}$. Let $\psi_\star : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\phi_\star : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be defined as $\psi_\star(t) = t$ and $\phi_\star(t) = \frac{t}{2}$. Also let $\Delta : [0, +\infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\Delta(s, t) = s - t$. Then obviously, $\Gamma(\mathcal{G}^2) \subseteq \Lambda(\mathcal{G})$ and the pair (Γ, Λ) is ω -compatible and clearly for all $\iota, j, \bar{\delta}, \bar{\mathcal{U}} \in \mathcal{G}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\star(2\kappa^4(S_b(\Gamma(\iota, j), \Gamma(\iota, j), \Gamma(\bar{\delta}, \bar{\mathcal{U}})))) &= 2\kappa^4(S_b(\Gamma(\iota, j), \Gamma(\iota, j), \Gamma(\bar{\delta}, \bar{\mathcal{U}}))) \\ &= 2\kappa^4(|\Gamma(\iota, j) + \Gamma(\bar{\delta}, \bar{\mathcal{U}}) - 2\Gamma(\iota, j)| + |\Gamma(\iota, j) - \Gamma(\bar{\delta}, \bar{\mathcal{U}})|)^2 \\ &= 2\kappa^4\left(2\left|\frac{\iota + j}{128\sqrt{2}} - \frac{\bar{\delta} + \bar{\mathcal{U}}}{128\sqrt{2}}\right|\right)^2 = \frac{2\kappa^4}{8\kappa^8}\left(2\left|\frac{\iota - \bar{\delta}}{4} - \frac{j - \bar{\mathcal{U}}}{4}\right|\right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{2\kappa^4}(S_b(\Lambda\iota, \Lambda\iota, \Lambda\bar{\delta}) + S_b(\Lambda j, \Lambda j, \Lambda\bar{\mathcal{U}}))\right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}M_b^S(\iota, j, \bar{\delta}, \bar{\mathcal{U}}) \\ &\leq \psi_\star(M_b^S(\iota, j, \bar{\delta}, \bar{\mathcal{U}})) - \phi_\star(M_b^S(\iota, j, \bar{\delta}, \bar{\mathcal{U}})) \\ &\leq \Delta(\psi_\star(M_b^S(\iota, j, \bar{\delta}, \bar{\mathcal{U}})), \phi_\star(M_b^S(\iota, j, \bar{\delta}, \bar{\mathcal{U}}))) + \Theta\phi_\star(N_b^S(\iota, j, \bar{\delta}, \bar{\mathcal{U}})). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, all assumptions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied and $(0, 0)$ is the unique common coupled fixed point of Γ and Λ .

4 Application to Integral Equations

In this section, we apply our Corollary 3.3 to the existence theorem for solution of the following nonlinear integral equations:

$$\alpha(t) = \int_0^T K(t, \alpha(s), \beta(s))ds, \text{ and } \beta(t) = \int_0^T K(t, \beta(s), \alpha(s))ds, \quad t \in I = [0, T] \quad (4.1)$$

where T is a real number such that $T > 0$ and $K : I \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathcal{G} = C(I, \mathbb{R})$ be the space of all \mathbb{R} -valued continuous functions on I . An element $(x, y) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$ is called a coupled solution of the integral Eq. (4.1) if $x(t) \leq y(t)$ and

$$x(t) = \int_0^T K(t, x(s), y(s))ds \text{ and } y(t) = \int_0^T K(t, y(s), x(s),)ds, \text{ where } t \in I = [0, T]$$

Now, we consider the following assumptions:

- (\star_1) $K : I \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous;
- (\star_2) for all $t \in I$ and for all $x, y, u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$0 \leq |K(t, u(s), v(s)) - K(t, x(s), y(s))| \leq \frac{1}{8\kappa^2 T} |u(s) - x(s) + y(s) - v(s)|.$$

Next, we give the existence theorem for solution of the integral Eq. (4.1)

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that \star_1 and \star_2 hold. Then, there is a solution of integral equation 4.1.

Proof . Let $\mathcal{G} = C(I, \mathbb{R})$ be the space of all \mathbb{R} -valued continuous functions on I . We endowed \mathcal{G} with the S_b -metric $S_b : \mathcal{G}^3 \rightarrow R^+$ defined by $S_b(x, y, z) = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (|y(t) + z(t) - 2x(t)| + |y(t) - z(t)|)^2$ for $x, y, z \in \mathcal{G}$. Then it is clear that (\mathcal{G}, S_b) is a complete S_b -metric space with $\kappa = 2^{2(2-1)} = 4$, Define $\psi_\star, \phi_\star : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $\psi_\star(t) = t$, $\phi_\star(t) = \frac{2t}{3}$. Let $\eta : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\Delta : [0, +\infty)^2 \rightarrow R$ by $\eta(t) = \frac{1}{2}$, $\Delta(s, t) = ms$ where $m \in (0, 1)$. Define $\Gamma : \mathcal{G}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ by

$$\Gamma(\alpha, \beta)(t) = \int_0^T K(t, \alpha(s), \beta(s))ds, \quad t \in I = [0, T] \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{G}.$$

Now, let $\rho, \varrho, \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{G}$ and using (\star_2), for all $t \in I = [0, T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma(\rho, \varrho)(t) - \Gamma(\mu, \nu)(t)|^2 &= \left| \int_0^T K(s, \rho(s), \varrho(s))ds - \int_0^T K(s, \mu(s), \nu(s))ds \right|^2 \\ &= \left(\int_0^T |K(s, \rho(s), \varrho(s)) - K(s, \mu(s), \nu(s))| ds \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^T \frac{1}{8\kappa^2 T} |\rho(s) - \mu(s) + \nu(s) - \varrho(s)| ds \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{64\kappa^4 T^2} \left(\int_0^T \left(\sup_{z \in [0, T]} |\rho(z) - \mu(z)| + \sup_{z \in [0, T]} |\nu(z) - \varrho(z)| \right) ds \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{32\kappa^4} \left[\sup_{z \in [0, T]} (|\rho(z) - \mu(z)|)^2 + \sup_{z \in [0, T]} (|\nu(z) - \varrho(z)|)^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$2\kappa^4 \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (2|\Gamma(\rho, \varrho)(t) - \Gamma(\mu, \nu)(t)|)^2 \leq \frac{1}{16} \left[\sup_{z \in [0, T]} (2|\rho(z) - \mu(z)|)^2 + \sup_{z \in [0, T]} (2|\nu(z) - \varrho(z)|)^2 \right].$$

Therefore, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\kappa^4 S_b(\Gamma(\rho, \varrho)(t), \Gamma(\rho, \varrho)(t), \Gamma(\mu, \nu)(t)) &\leq \frac{1}{16} (S_b(\rho, \rho, \mu) + S_b(\varrho, \varrho, \nu)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \max\{S_b(\rho, \rho, \mu), S_b(\varrho, \varrho, \nu)\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} M_b^S(\rho, \varrho, \mu, \nu) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi_\star (2\kappa^4 S_b(\Gamma(\rho, \varrho)(t), \Gamma(\rho, \varrho)(t), \Gamma(\mu, \nu)(t))) \\ &\leq \Delta (\eta (\psi_\star (M_b^S(\rho, \varrho, \mu, \nu))) \psi_\star (M_b^S(\rho, \varrho, \mu, \nu)), \eta (\phi_\star (M_b^S(u\rho, \varrho, \mu, \nu))) \phi_\star (M_b^S(\rho, \varrho, \mu, \nu))) + \Theta \phi_\star (N_b^S(\rho, \varrho, \mu, \nu)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Corollary 3.3, that the equation (4.1) has a unique solution in $C(I, \mathbb{R})$. \square

5 Application to Homotopy Theory

Now we present the main result regrading application to Homotopy theory.

Theorem 5.1. Let (\mathcal{G}, S_b) be a complete S_b -metric space, \mathfrak{S} and $\overline{\mathfrak{S}}$ be two open and closed subsets of \mathcal{G} such that $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{S}}$. Suppose $H_b : \overline{\mathfrak{S}}^2 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be an operator such that the following conditions are satisfied,

- (τ_0) $p \neq H_b(p, q, \chi)$ and $q \neq H_b(q, p, \chi)$ for each $p, q \in \partial\mathfrak{S}$ and $\chi \in [0, 1]$ (here $\partial\mathfrak{S}$ denotes the boundary of \mathfrak{S} in \mathcal{G}),
- (τ_1) $\psi_\star (2\kappa^4 S_b(H_b(u, v, \chi), H_b(u, v, \chi), H_b(p, q, \chi))) \leq \Delta (\psi_\star (M_b^S(u, v, p, q)), \phi_\star (N_b^S(u, v, p, q))) \forall u, v, p, q \in \overline{\mathfrak{S}}, \chi \in [0, 1]$ and $\Delta \in C, \psi_\star \in \mathfrak{F}, \phi_\star \in \mathfrak{G}$ where

$$M_b^S(u, v, p, q) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(u, u, p), S_b(v, v, q), S_b(u, u, H_b(u, v, \chi)), \\ S_b(v, v, H_b(v, u, \chi)), S_b(p, p, H_b(p, q, \chi)), S_b(q, q, H_b(q, p, \chi)), \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(u, u, H_b(p, q, \chi)) + S_b(p, p, H_b(u, v, \chi))], \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(v, v, H_b(q, p, \chi)) + S_b(q, q, H_b(v, u, \chi))] \end{array} \right\}$$

and $N_b^S(u, v, p, q) = \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(u, u, H_b(u, v, \chi)), S_b(p, p, H_b(p, q, \chi)), \\ S_b(u, u, H_b(p, q, \chi)), S_b(v, v, H_b(q, p, \chi)), \\ S_b(p, p, H_b(u, v, \chi)), S_b(q, q, H_b(v, u, \chi)) \end{array} \right\}$

- (τ_2) there exists $M \geq 0$ such that $S_b(H_b(p, q, \chi), H_b(p, q, \chi), H_b(p, q, \mu)) \leq M|\chi - \mu|$, for all $p, q \in \overline{\mathfrak{S}}$ and $\chi, \mu \in [0, 1]$.

Then $H_b(\cdot, 0)$ has a coupled fixed point $\Leftrightarrow H_b(\cdot, 1)$ has a coupled fixed point.

Proof . Consider the set

$$U = \{\chi \in [0, 1] : p = H_b(p, q, \chi) \& q = H_b(q, p, \chi) \text{ for some } p, q \in \mathfrak{S}\}.$$

Since $H_b(\cdot, 0)$ has a coupled fixed point in \mathfrak{S} , we have $(0, 0) \in U^2$. So U is a non-empty set. We will show that U is both open and closed in $[0, 1]$ and so by the connectedness we have that $U = [0, 1]$. As a result, $H_b(\cdot, 1)$ has a coupled

fixed point in \mathfrak{S} . First we show that U is closed in $[0, 1]$. To see this let $\{\chi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathfrak{S}$ with $\chi_n \rightarrow \chi \in [0, 1]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We must show that $\chi \in \mathfrak{S}$. Since $\chi_n \in U$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, $\exists p_n, q_n \in \mathfrak{S}$ with $p_n = H_b(p_n, q_n, \chi_n)$ and $q_n = H_b(q_n, p_n, \chi_n)$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} S_b(p_n, p_n, p_{n+1}) &= S_b(H_b(p_n, q_n, \chi_n), H_b(p_n, q_n, \chi_n), H_b(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}, \chi_{n+1})) \\ &\leq 2\kappa S_b(H_b(p_n, q_n, \chi_n), H_b(p_n, q_n, \chi_n), H_b(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}, \chi_n)) \\ &\quad + \kappa^2 S_b(H_b(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}, \chi_n), H_b(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}, \chi_n), H_b(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}, \chi_{n+1})) \\ &\leq 2\kappa S_b(H_b(p_n, q_n, \chi_n), H_b(p_n, q_n, \chi_n), H_b(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}, \chi_n)) + \kappa^2 M |\chi_n - \chi_{n+1}|. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(p_n, p_n, p_{n+1}) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2\kappa S_b(H_b(p_n, q_n, \chi_n), H_b(p_n, q_n, \chi_n), H_b(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}, \chi_n)) + 0.$$

Since ψ_* is a non-decreasing continuous function, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_*(\kappa^3 S_b(p_n, p_n, p_{n+1})) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_*(2\kappa^4 S_b(H_b(p_n, q_n, \chi_n), H_b(p_n, q_n, \chi_n), H_b(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}, \chi_n))) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\Delta(\psi_*(M_b^S(p_n, q_n, p_{n+1}, q_{n+1})), \phi_*(N_b^S(p_n, q_n, p_{n+1}, q_{n+1})))] \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} &M_b^S(p_n, q_n, p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}) \\ &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(p_n, p_n, p_{n+1}), S_b(q_n, q_n, q_{n+1}), S_b(p_n, p_n, H_b(p_n, q_n, \chi_n)), \\ S_b(q_n, q_n, H_b(q_n, p_n, \chi_n)), S_b(p_{n+1}, p_{n+1}, H_b(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}, \chi_{n+1})), \\ S_b(q_{n+1}, q_{n+1}, H_b(q_{n+1}, p_{n+1}, \chi_{n+1})), \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(p_n, p_n, H_b(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}, \chi_{n+1})) + S_b(p_{n+1}, p_{n+1}, H_b(p_n, q_n, \chi_n))], \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(q_n, q_n, H_b(q_{n+1}, p_{n+1}, \chi_{n+1})) + S_b(q_{n+1}, q_{n+1}, H_b(q_n, p_n, \chi_n))] \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(p_n, p_n, p_{n+1}), S_b(q_n, q_n, q_{n+1}), S_b(p_n, p_n, p_n), \\ S_b(q_n, q_n, q_n), S_b(p_{n+1}, p_{n+1}, p_{n+1}), S_b(q_{n+1}, q_{n+1}, q_{n+1}), \\ \frac{1+\kappa}{2\kappa^4} S_b(p_n, p_n, p_{n+1}), \\ \frac{1+\kappa}{2\kappa^4} S_b(q_n, q_n, q_{n+1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \max \{ S_b(p_n, p_n, p_{n+1}), S_b(q_n, q_n, q_{n+1}) \} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N_b^S(p_n, q_n, p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}) &= \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(p_n, p_n, H_b(p_n, q_n, \chi_n)), S_b(p_{n+1}, p_{n+1}, H_b(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}, \chi_{n+1})), \\ S_b(p_n, p_n, H_b(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}, \chi_{n+1})), S_b(q_n, q_n, H_b(q_{n+1}, p_{n+1}, \chi_{n+1})), \\ S_b(p_{n+1}, p_{n+1}, H_b(p_n, q_n, \chi_n)), S_b(q_{n+1}, q_{n+1}, H_b(q_n, p_n, \chi_n)) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(p_n, p_n, p_n), S_b(p_{n+1}, p_{n+1}, p_{n+1}), \\ S_b(p_n, p_n, p_{n+1}), S_b(q_n, q_n, q_{n+1}), \\ S_b(p_{n+1}, p_{n+1}, p_n), S_b(q_{n+1}, q_{n+1}, q_n) \end{array} \right\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From (5.1), we deduced that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_*(\kappa^3 S_b(p_n, p_n, p_{n+1})) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\Delta \left(\psi_* \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(p_n, p_n, p_{n+1}), \\ S_b(q_n, q_n, q_{n+1}) \end{array} \right\} \right), \phi_*(0) \right) \right] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_* \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(p_n, p_n, p_{n+1}), \\ S_b(q_n, q_n, q_{n+1}) \end{array} \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the properties of ψ_* , it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(p_n, p_n, p_{n+1}) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{\kappa^3} S_b(p_n, p_n, p_{n+1}), \\ \frac{1}{\kappa^3} S_b(q_n, q_n, q_{n+1}) \end{array} \right\}. \quad (5.2)$$

Similarly, we can prove

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(q_n, q_n, q_{n+1}) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\kappa^3} S_b(p_n, p_n, p_{n+1}), \frac{1}{\kappa^3} S_b(q_n, q_n, q_{n+1}) \right\}. \quad (5.3)$$

Combining (5.2) and (5.3), we deduce that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \frac{S_b(p_n, p_n, p_{n+1})}{S_b(q_n, q_n, q_{n+1})}, \frac{\frac{1}{\kappa^3} S_b(p_n, p_n, p_{n+1})}{\frac{1}{\kappa^3} S_b(q_n, q_n, q_{n+1})} \right\} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\kappa^3} S_b(p_n, p_n, p_{n+1}), \frac{1}{\kappa^3} S_b(q_n, q_n, q_{n+1}) \right\}.$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\kappa^3}\right) \max \left\{ \frac{S_b(p_n, p_n, p_{n+1})}{S_b(q_n, q_n, q_{n+1})} \right\} \leq 0.$$

So

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(p_n, p_n, p_{n+1}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(q_n, q_n, q_{n+1}) = 0. \quad (5.4)$$

Now we prove that $\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ are S_b -Cauchy sequence in (\mathcal{G}, S_p) . On contrary suppose that $\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ are not S_b -Cauchy. There exists an $\epsilon > 0$ and monotone increasing sequences of natural numbers $\{m_k\}$ and $\{n_k\}$ such that $n_k > m_k$,

$$S_b(p_{m_k}, p_{m_k}, p_{n_k}) \geq \epsilon, \quad S_b(q_{m_k}, q_{m_k}, q_{n_k}) \geq \epsilon \quad (5.5)$$

and

$$S_b(p_{m_k}, p_{m_k}, p_{n_k-1}) < \epsilon, \quad S_b(q_{m_k}, q_{m_k}, q_{n_k-1}) < \epsilon. \quad (5.6)$$

From (5.5), (5.6) and using the Lemma (2.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &\leq S_b(p_{m_k}, p_{m_k}, p_{n_k}) \\ &\leq 2\kappa S_b(p_{m_k}, p_{m_k}, p_{m_k+1}) + \kappa^2 S_b(p_{m_k+1}, p_{m_k+1}, p_{n_k}). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, and using the Lemma (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \kappa^2 S_b(p_{m_k+1}, p_{m_k+1}, p_{n_k}) \\ &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \kappa^2 S_b(H_b(p_{m_k+1}, q_{m_k+1}, \chi_{m_k+1}), H_b(p_{m_k+1}, q_{m_k+1}, \chi_{m_k+1}), H_b(p_{n_k}, q_{n_k}, \chi_{n_k})) \\ &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2\kappa^3 S_b(H_b(p_{m_k+1}, q_{m_k+1}, \chi_{m_k+1}), H_b(p_{m_k+1}, q_{m_k+1}, \chi_{m_k+1}), H_b(p_{n_k}, q_{n_k}, \chi_{m_k+1})) \\ &\quad + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \kappa^4 S_b(H_b(p_{n_k}, q_{n_k}, \chi_{m_k+1}), H_b(p_{n_k}, q_{n_k}, \chi_{m_k+1}), H_b(p_{n_k}, q_{n_k}, \chi_{n_k})) \\ &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2\kappa^3 S_b(H_b(p_{m_k+1}, q_{m_k+1}, \chi_{m_k+1}), H_b(p_{m_k+1}, q_{m_k+1}, \chi_{m_k+1}), H_b(p_{n_k}, q_{n_k}, \chi_{m_k+1})) \\ &\quad + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M\kappa^4 |\chi_{m_k+1} - \chi_{n_k}| \end{aligned}$$

By the property of ψ_* , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_*(\kappa\epsilon) &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi_* \left(2\kappa^4 S_b(H_b(p_{m_k+1}, q_{m_k+1}, \chi_{m_k+1}), H_b(p_{m_k+1}, q_{m_k+1}, \chi_{m_k+1}), H_b(p_{n_k}, q_{n_k}, \chi_{m_k+1})) \right) \\ &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\Delta(\psi_*(M_b^S(p_{m_k+1}, q_{m_k+1}, p_{n_k}, q_{n_k})), \phi_*(N_b^S(p_{m_k+1}, q_{m_k+1}, p_{n_k}, q_{n_k}))) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M_b^S(p_{m_k+1}, q_{m_k+1}, p_{n_k}, q_{n_k}) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(p_{m_k+1}, p_{m_k+1}, p_{n_k}), S_b(q_{m_k+1}, q_{m_k+1}, q_{n_k}), \\ S_b(p_{m_k+1}, p_{m_k+1}, H_b(p_{m_k+1}, q_{m_k+1}, \chi_{m_k+1})), S_b(q_{m_k+1}, q_{m_k+1}, H_b(q_{m_k+1}, p_{m_k+1}, \chi_{m_k+1})), \\ S_b(p_{n_k}, p_{n_k}, H_b(p_{n_k}, q_{n_k}, \chi_{n_k})), S_b(q_{n_k}, q_{n_k}, H_b(q_{n_k}, p_{n_k}, \chi_{n_k})), \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(p_{m_k+1}, p_{m_k+1}, H_b(p_{n_k}, q_{n_k}, \chi_{n_k})) + S_b(p_{n_k}, p_{n_k}, H_b(p_{m_k+1}, q_{m_k+1}, \chi_{m_k+1}))], \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(q_{m_k+1}, q_{m_k+1}, H_b(q_{n_k}, p_{n_k}, \chi_{n_k})) + S_b(q_{n_k}, q_{n_k}, H_b(q_{m_k+1}, p_{m_k+1}, \chi_{m_k+1}))] \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \epsilon, \epsilon, \frac{[1+k]\epsilon}{2\kappa^4}, \frac{[1+k]\epsilon}{2\kappa^4} \right\} = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_b^S(p_{m_{k+1}}, q_{m_{k+1}}, p_{n_k}, q_{n_k}) \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(p_{m_{k+1}}, p_{m_{k+1}}, H_b(p_{m_{k+1}}, q_{m_{k+1}}, \chi_{m_{k+1}})), S_b(p_{n_k}, p_{n_k}, H_b(p_{n_k}, q_{n_k}, \chi_{n_k})), \\ S_b(p_{m_{k+1}}, p_{m_{k+1}}, H_b(p_{n_k}, q_{n_k}, \chi_{n_k})), S_b(q_{m_{k+1}}, q_{m_{k+1}}, H_b(q_{n_k}, p_{n_k}, \chi_{n_k})), \\ S_b(p_{n_k}, p_{n_k}, H_b(p_{m_{k+1}}, q_{m_{k+1}}, \chi_{m_{k+1}})), S_b(q_{n_k}, q_{n_k}, H_b(q_{m_{k+1}}, p_{m_{k+1}}, \chi_{m_{k+1}})) \end{array} \right\} \\
&= \min \{ 0, 0, \epsilon, \epsilon, \kappa\epsilon, \kappa\epsilon \} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

From (5.7), we deduce that

$$\psi_\star(\kappa\epsilon) \leq \Delta(\psi_\star(\epsilon), \phi_\star(0)) \leq \psi_\star(\epsilon).$$

Hence from the definition of ψ_\star , we have $\kappa\epsilon \leq \epsilon$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\{p_n\}$ is a S_b -Cauchy sequence in (\mathcal{G}, S_b) . Similarly, we can prove $\{q_n\}$ is a S_b -Cauchy sequence in (\mathcal{G}, S_b) and by completeness of (\mathcal{G}, S_b) , there exists $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{S}$ with

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n = \alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n = \beta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{n+1}. \quad (5.8)$$

From Lemma (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_\star(\kappa^3 S_b(H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi), H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi), \alpha)) &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_\star(2\kappa^4 S_b(H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi), H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi), H_b(p_n, q_n, \chi))) \\
&\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\Delta(\psi_\star(M_b^S(\alpha, \beta, p_n, q_n)), \phi_\star(N_b^S(\alpha, \beta, p_n, q_n)))]
\end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
& \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_b^S(\alpha, \beta, p_n, q_n) \\
&= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\alpha, \alpha, p_n), S_b(\beta, \beta, q_n), S_b(\alpha, \alpha, H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi)), \\ S_b(\beta, \beta, H_b(\beta, \alpha, \chi)), S_b(p_n, p_n, H_b(p_n, q_n, \chi_n)), S_b(q_n, q_n, H_b(q_n, p_n, \chi_n)), \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(\alpha, \alpha, H_b(p_n, q_n, \chi_n)) + S_b(p_n, p_n, H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi))], \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(\beta, \beta, H_b(q_n, p_n, \chi_n)) + S_b(q_n, q_n, H_b(\beta, \alpha, \chi))] \end{array} \right\} \\
&\leq \sup \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \kappa S_b(H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi), H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi), \alpha), \\ \kappa S_b(H_b(\beta, \alpha, \chi), H_b(\beta, \alpha, \chi), \beta) \end{array} \right\} \\
&= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \kappa S_b(H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi), H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi), \alpha), \\ \kappa S_b(H_b(\beta, \alpha, \chi), H_b(\beta, \alpha, \chi), \beta) \end{array} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_b^S(\alpha, \beta, p_n, q_n) &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(\alpha, \alpha, H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi)), S_b(p_n, p_n, H_b(p_n, q_n, \chi_n)), \\ S_b(\alpha, \alpha, H_b(p_n, q_n, \chi_n)), S_b(\beta, \beta, H_b(q_n, p_n, \chi_n)), \\ S_b(p_n, p_n, H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi)), S_b(q_n, q_n, H_b(\beta, \alpha, \chi)) \end{array} \right\} \\
&= \min \{ S_b(\alpha, \alpha, H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi)), 0, S_b(\beta, \beta, H_b(\beta, \alpha, \chi)) \} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

From (5.9), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_\star(\kappa^3 S_b(H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi), H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi), \alpha)) &\leq \Delta \left(\psi_\star \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \kappa S_b(H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi), H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi), \alpha), \\ \kappa S_b(H_b(\beta, \alpha, \chi), H_b(\beta, \alpha, \chi), \beta) \end{array} \right\} \right), \phi_\star(0) \right) \\
&\leq \psi_\star \left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \kappa S_b(H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi), H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi), \alpha), \\ \kappa S_b(H_b(\beta, \alpha, \chi), H_b(\beta, \alpha, \chi), \beta) \end{array} \right\} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By the property of ψ_\star , we have

$$S_b(H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi), H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi), \alpha) \leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\kappa^2} S_b(H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi), H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi), \alpha), \frac{1}{\kappa^2} S_b(H_b(\beta, \alpha, \chi), H_b(\beta, \alpha, \chi), \beta) \right\}.$$

Similarly, we can prove that

$$S_b(H_b(\beta, \alpha, \chi), H_b(\beta, \alpha, \chi), \beta) \leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\kappa^2} S_b(H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi), H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi), \alpha), \frac{1}{\kappa^2} S_b(H_b(\beta, \alpha, \chi), H_b(\beta, \alpha, \chi), \beta) \right\}.$$

We conclude that

$$\max \left\{ \begin{matrix} S_b(H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi), H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi), \alpha), \\ S_b(H_b(\beta, \alpha, \chi), H_b(\beta, \alpha, \chi), \beta) \end{matrix} \right\} \leq \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \max \left\{ \begin{matrix} S_b(H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi), H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi), \alpha), \\ S_b(H_b(\beta, \alpha, \chi), H_b(\beta, \alpha, \chi), \beta) \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

It follows that $\alpha = H_b(\alpha, \beta, \chi)$ and $\beta = H_b(\beta, \alpha, \chi)$. Thus $\chi \in U$. Hence U is closed in $[0, 1]$. Let $\chi_0 \in U$. Then there exists $p_0, q_0 \in \mathfrak{S}$ with $p_0 = H_b(p_0, q_0, \chi_0)$ and $q_0 = H_b(q_0, p_0, \chi_0)$. Since U is open, then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $B_{S_b}(p_0, \delta) \subseteq U$ and $B_{S_b}(q_0, \delta) \subseteq U$. Choose $\chi \in (\chi_0 - \epsilon, \chi_0 + \epsilon)$ such that $|\chi - \chi_0| \leq \frac{1}{M^n} < \epsilon$. Then, for $p \in \overline{B_b(p_0, \delta)} = \{p \in \mathcal{G}/S_b(p, p, p_0) \leq \delta + \kappa^2 S_b(p_0, p_0, p_0)\}$ and $q \in \overline{B_b(q_0, \delta)} = \{q \in \mathcal{G}/S_b(q, q, q_0) \leq \delta + \kappa^2 S_b(q_0, q_0, q_0)\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & S_b(H_b(p, q, \chi), H_b(p, q, \chi), p_0) \\ &= S_b(H_b(p, q, \chi), H_b(p, q, \chi), H_b(p_0, q_0, \chi_0)) \\ &\leq 2\kappa S_b(H_b(p, q, \chi), H_b(p, q, \chi), H_b(p, q, \chi_0)) + \kappa^2 S_b(H_b(p, q, \chi_0), H_b(p, q, \chi_0), H_b(p_0, q_0, \chi_0)) \\ &\leq 2\kappa M |\chi - \chi_0| + \kappa^2 S_b(H_b(p, q, \chi_0), H_b(p, q, \chi_0), H_b(p_0, q_0, \chi_0)) \\ &\leq \frac{2\kappa}{M^{n-1}} + \kappa^2 S_b(H_b(p, q, \chi_0), H_b(p, q, \chi_0), H_b(p_0, q_0, \chi_0)). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$S_b(H_b(p, q, \chi), H_b(p, q, \chi), p_0) \leq \kappa^2 S_b(H_b(p, q, \chi_0), H_b(p, q, \chi_0), H_b(p_0, q_0, \chi_0)).$$

Since ψ_\star is a non-decreasing continuous function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\star(S_b(H_b(p, q, \chi), H_b(p, q, \chi), p_0)) &\leq \psi_\star(2\kappa^2 S_b(H_b(p, q, \chi), H_b(p, q, \chi), p_0)) \\ &\leq \psi_\star(2\kappa^4 S_b(H_b(p, q, \chi_0), H_b(p, q, \chi_0), H_b(p_0, q_0, \chi_0))) \\ &\leq \Delta(\psi_\star(M_b^S(p, q, p_0, q_0)), \phi_\star(N_b^S(p, q, p_0, q_0))) \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_b^S(p, q, p_0, q_0) &= \max \left\{ \begin{matrix} S_b(p, p, p_0), S_b(q, q, q_0), S_b(p, p, H_b(p, q, \chi)), \\ S_b(q, q, H_b(q, p, \chi)), S_b(p_0, p_0, H_b(p_0, q_0, \chi_0)), S_b(q_0, q_0, H_b(q_0, p_0, \chi_0)), \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(p, p, H_b(p_0, q_0, \chi_0)) + S_b(p_0, p_0, H_b(p, q, \chi))], \\ \frac{1}{2\kappa^4} [S_b(q, q, H_b(q_0, p_0, \chi_0)) + S_b(q_0, q_0, H_b(q, p, \chi))] \end{matrix} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \begin{matrix} S_b(p, p, p_0), \\ S_b(q, q, q_0) \end{matrix} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N_b^S(p, q, p_0, q_0) &= \min \left\{ \begin{matrix} S_b(p, p, H_b(p, q, \chi)), S_b(p_0, p_0, H_b(p_0, q_0, \chi_0)), \\ S_b(p, p, H_b(p_0, q_0, \chi_0)), S_b(q, q, H_b(q_0, p_0, \chi_0)), \\ S_b(p_0, p_0, H_b(p, q, \chi)), S_b(q_0, q_0, H_b(q, p, \chi)) \end{matrix} \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0, 0, S_b(p, p, p_0), S_b(q, q, q_0), \\ \kappa S_b(p, p, p_0), \kappa S_b(q, q, q_0) \end{matrix} \right\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From (5.10), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\star(S_b(H_b(p, q, \chi), H_b(p, q, \chi), p_0)) &\leq \Delta \left(\psi_\star \left(\max \left\{ \begin{matrix} S_b(p, p, p_0), \\ S_b(q, q, q_0) \end{matrix} \right\} \right), \phi_\star(0) \right) \\ &\leq \psi_\star \left(\max \left\{ \begin{matrix} S_b(p, p, p_0), \\ S_b(q, q, q_0) \end{matrix} \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since ψ_* is a non-decreasing, we have

$$S_b(H_b(p, q, \chi), H_b(p, q, \chi), p_0) \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(p, p, p_0), \\ S_b(q, q, q_0) \end{array} \right\} \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \delta + \kappa^2 S_b(p_0, p_0, p_0), \\ \delta + \kappa^2 S_b(q_0, q_0, q_0) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Similarly, we can prove that

$$S_b(H_b(q, p, \chi), H_b(q, p, \chi), q_0) \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \delta + \kappa^2 S_b(p_0, p_0, p_0), \\ \delta + \kappa^2 S_b(q_0, q_0, q_0) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_b(H_b(p, q, \chi), H_b(p, q, \chi), p_0), \\ S_b(H_b(q, p, \chi), H_b(q, p, \chi), q_0) \end{array} \right\} \leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \delta + \kappa^2 S_b(p_0, p_0, p_0), \\ \delta + \kappa^2 S_b(q_0, q_0, q_0) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Thus for each fixed $\chi \in (\chi_0 - \epsilon, \chi_0 + \epsilon)$, $H_b(\cdot, \chi) : \overline{B_b(p_0, \delta)} \rightarrow \overline{B_b(p_0, \delta)}$ and $H_b(\cdot, \chi) : \overline{B_b(q_0, \delta)} \rightarrow \overline{B_b(q_0, \delta)}$. Since also condition (τ_1) holds and ψ_* is continuous and non-decreasing and ϕ_* is continuous with $\phi(\delta) > 0$ for $\delta > 0$, then all conditions of Theorem (5.1) are satisfied, Thus we deduce that $H_b(\cdot, \chi)$ has a coupled fixed point in \mathfrak{S} . But this coupled fixed point must be in \mathfrak{S} since (τ_0) holds. Thus $\chi \in U$ for any $\chi \in (\chi_0 - \epsilon, \chi_0 + \epsilon)$. Hence $(\chi_0 - \epsilon, \chi_0 + \epsilon) \subseteq U$ and therefore U is open in $[0, 1]$. For the reverse implication, we use the same strategy. \square

6 Conclusions

In this paper, we studied the existence and uniqueness of common coupled fixed point for two mappings via C -class functions in a complete S_b -metric space with an example. Also, we have provided an application to integral equations as well as to Homotopy by using altering distance functions and ultra altering distance functions in complete S_b -metric space. These generalizations could be useful in future research and applications.

References

- [1] M. Abbas, M. Ali Khan, and S. Radenović, *Common coupled fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces for ω -compatible mappings*, Appl. Math. Comput. **217** (2010), no. 1, 195–202.
- [2] M. Abbas, B. Ali, and Y.I. Suleiman, *Generalized coupled common fixed point results in partially ordered A -metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2015** (2015), 64.
- [3] A. Aghajani, M. Abbas, and E. Pourhadi Kallehbasti, *Coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and application*, Math. Commun. **17** (2012), 497–509.
- [4] A.H. Ansari and A. Kaewcharoen, *C -class functions and fixed point theorems for generalized α - η - ψ - φ - F -contraction type mappings in \aleph - η -complete metric spaces*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **9** (2016), 4177–4190.
- [5] A.H. Ansari, *Note on φ - ψ -contractive type mappings and related fixed point*, 2nd Regional Conf. Math. Appl. PNU, September, 2014, pp. 377–380.
- [6] A.H. Ansari, W. Shatanawi, A. Kurdi, and G. Maniu, *Best proximity points in complete metric spaces with (P) -property via C -class functions*, J. Math. Anal. **7** (2016), no. 6, 54–67.
- [7] S. Banach, *Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur applications aux équations intégrales*, Fund. Math. **3** (1922), 133–181.
- [8] S. Czerwik, *Contraction mapping in b -metric spaces*, Acta Math. Inf. Univ. Ostraviensis **1** (1993), 5–11.
- [9] T. Gnana Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikantham, *Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications*, Nonlinear Anal. **65** (2006), no. 7, 1379–1393.
- [10] D.J. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Coupled fixed points of nonlinear operators with applications*, Nonlinear Anal. **11** (1987), 623–632.

- [11] T. Hamaizia, *Common fixed point theorems involving C -class functions in partial metric spaces*, Sohag J. Math. **8** (2021), no. 1, 23–28.
- [12] H. Huang, G. Deng, and S. Radenović, *Fixed point theorems for C -class functions in b -metric spaces and applications*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **10** (2017), 5853–5868.
- [13] N. Hussain, V. Parvaneh, and F. Golkarmanesh, *Coupled and tripled coincidence point results under (F, g) -invariant sets in G_b -metric spaces and $G - \alpha$ -admissible mappings*, Math. Sci. **9** (2015), no. 1, 11–26.
- [14] G. Jungck and B.E. Rhoades, *Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **29** (1998), no. 3, 227–238.
- [15] E. Karapinar, *Coupled fixed point on cone metric spaces*, Gazi Univ. J. Sci. **1** (2011), 51–58.
- [16] M.S. Khan, M. Swaleh, and S. Sessa *Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **30** (1984), 1–9.
- [17] G.N.V. Kishore, K.P.R. Rao, D. Panthi, B. Srinuvsra Rao, and S. Satyanaraya, *Some applications via fixed point results in partially ordered S_b -metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2017** (2017), 10.
- [18] M.A. Kutbi, N. Hussain, J. Rezaei Roshan, and P. Parvaneh, *Coupled and tripled coincidence point results with application to Fredholm integral equations*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2014** (2014), 18 pages.
- [19] W. Long, B.E. Rhoades, and M. Rajovic, *Coupled coincidence points for two mappings in metric spaces and cone metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2012** (2012), 9 pages.
- [20] J.G. Mehta and M.L. Joshi, *On coupled fixed point theorem in partially ordered complete metric space*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Sci. Technol. **1** (2010), 87–92.
- [21] Z. Mustafa, J.R. Roshan, and P. Parvaneh, *Coupled coincidence point results for (ψ, φ) -weakly contractive mappings in partially ordered G_b -metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Appl. **2013** (2013), 206.
- [22] V. Parvaneh and N. Hussain, H. Hosseinzadeh, and P. Salim, *Coupled fixed point results for α -admissible Mizoguchi-Takahashi contractions in b -metric spaces with applications*, Sahand Commun. Math. Anal. **7** (2017), no. 1, 85–104.
- [23] V. Parvaneh and J.R. Roshan, *Coupled coincidence point for a class of nonlinear contractive mappings in partially ordered G -metric spaces*, Afr. Math. **26** (2015), no. 3-4, 369–383.
- [24] V. Ozturk and A.H. Ansari, *Common fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying $(E.A)$ -property via C -class functions in b -metric spaces*, Appl. Gen. Topol. **18** (2017), 45–52.
- [25] Y. Rohen, T. Dosenovic, and S. Randanović, *A note on a paper A fixed point theorems in S_b -metric spaces*, Filomat **31** (2017), no. 11, 3335–3346.
- [26] G.S. Saluja, *Common fixed point theorems on S -metric spaces via C -class functions*, Int. J. Math. Combin. **3** (2022), 21–37.
- [27] S. Sedghi, A. Gholidahneh, T. Dosenovic, J. Esfahani, and S. Radenovic, *Common fixed point of four maps in S_b -metric spaces*, J. Linear Topological Alg. **5** (2016), no. 2, 93–104.
- [28] W. Shatanawi, M. Postolache, A.H. Ansari, and W. Kassab, *Common fixed points of dominating and weak annihilators in ordered metric spaces via C -class functions*, J. Math. Anal. **8** (2017), 54–68.
- [29] N. Souayah, *A fixed point in partial S_b -metric spaces*, An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constante **24** (2016), no. 3, 315–362.
- [30] N. Souayah and N. Mlaiki, *A fixed point theorems in S_b -metric spaces*, J. Math. Comput. Sci. **16** (2016), 131–139.