ISSN: 2008-6822 (electronic)

http://dx.doi.org/10.22075/ijnaa.2023.31491.4644



Convolution properties for some subclasses of meromorphic p-valent functions of complex order associated with q-derivative

Mohammad Hassan Golmohammadi, Aboalghasem Alishahi*

Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University, P.O. Bax 19395-4697, Tehran, Iran

(Communicated by Ali Jabbari)

Abstract

In this present investigation, for functions of the form $f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, which are analytic in the punctured unit disk $\mathbb{U}^* = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\}$, we introduce a new subclass of meromorphically *p*-valent functions and investigate convolution properties, Coefficient estimates and contianment for this subclass.

Keywords: q-derivative, meromorphic function, coefficient bound, extreme point, convex set, partial sum

2020 MSC: 30D30

1 Introduction

Let Σ_p denote the class of mermorphic p-valent functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$
(1.1)

which are analytic and p-valent in the punctured unit disk

$$\mathbb{U}^* = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \ 0 < |z| < 1 \}.$$

If $f \in \Sigma_p$ is given by (1.1) and $g \in \Sigma_p$ given by

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{+\infty} b_k z^k,$$

then the Hadamard product (or convolution) f * g of f and g is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{+\infty} a_k b_k z^k.$$
 (1.2)

 ${\it Email~addresses:}~ {\tt golmohamadi@pnu.ac.ir}~(Mohammad~Hassan~Golmohammadi),~ {\tt a_alishahy@pnu.ac.ir}~(Aboalghasem~Alishahi)$

Received: March 2023 Accepted: August 2023

^{*}Corresponding author

For two function f and g analytic in \mathbb{U} , we say that the function f(z) is subordinate to g(z) in \mathbb{U} and write $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)$ $(z \in \mathbb{U})$, if there exists a Schwarz function $\omega(z)$, analytic in \mathbb{U} with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$, shuch that $f(z) = \omega(g(z))$, (see [9, 11]). Gasper and Rahman in [3] defined the q-derivative of a function f(z) of the from (1.1) by

$$D_q f(z) := \frac{f(qz) - f(q)}{(q-1)z},\tag{1.3}$$

where $z \in \mathbb{U}^*$ and 0 < q < 1. From (1.3) for a function f(z) given by (1.1) we get

$$D_q f(z) = \frac{q^{-p} - 1}{(q - 1)z^{p+1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} [k]_q a_k z^{k-1}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{U}^*,$$
(1.4)

where

$$[k]_q := \frac{q^k - 1}{q - 1} = 1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^{k-1}. \tag{1.5}$$

also $[k]_q \to 1$ as $q \to \overline{1}$. So we conclude $\lim_{q \to \overline{1}} D_q f(z) = f'(z), z \in \mathbb{U}^*$. Many important properties of certain subclasses of meromorphic p-valent functions were studied by several authors including Aouf and Srivastava [2], Joshi and Srivastava [4], Liu and Srivastava [7], Liu and Owa [6], Liu and Srivastava [8], Ravichandran, Sivaprasadkumar and Subramanian [12].

2 Preliminaries

Using the subclasses defined by Mostafa, Aouf, Zayed and Bulboaca in [10], Now we introduce new subclasses of mermorphic p-valent functions a and investigate convolution properties and cofficien estimates for these subclasses as follows:

Definition 2.1. For $0 \le \lambda < 1$, $-1 \le B < A \le 1$, and $b \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, let $\Sigma_p \mathcal{S}_{q,\lambda}^*[b;A,B]$ be the subclass of Σ_p consisting of function f(z) of the form(1.1) and satisfying the analytic criterion

$$q\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1} - \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{zD_q f(z)}{(1-\lambda \frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1})f(z) - \lambda zD_q f(z)} + \frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1} \right] \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$$
 (2.1)

Also, let $\Sigma_p \mathcal{K}_{q,\lambda}[b;A,B]$ be the subclass of Σ_p consisting of function f(z) of the form (1.1) and satisfying

$$q\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1} - \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{zD_q(\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1}zD_qf(z))}{(1-\lambda\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1})(\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1}zD_qf(z)) - \lambda zD_q(\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1}zD_qf(z))} + \frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1} \right] \quad \prec \quad \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}. \tag{2.2}$$

It is easy to verify from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$f \in \Sigma_p \mathcal{K}_{q,\lambda}[b; A, B] \iff \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1} z D_q f(z) \in \Sigma_p \mathcal{S}_{q,\lambda}^*[b; A, B].$$
 (2.3)

we note that

- 1. For p = 1 we get $\Sigma_p S_{q,\lambda}^*[b; A, B] = \Sigma_1 S_{q,\lambda}^*[b; A, B] = \Sigma S_{q,\lambda}^*[b; A, B]$, (see [1]). 2. For p = 1 we get $\Sigma_p \mathcal{K}_{q,\lambda}[b; A, B] = \Sigma_1 \mathcal{K}_{q,\lambda}[b; A, B] = \Sigma \mathcal{K}_{q,\lambda}[b; A, B]$, (see [1]).

3 Main Result

In this section we give some new subclasses of mermorphic p-valent functions.

3.1 subclasses $\Sigma_p \mathcal{S}_{q,\lambda}^*[b;A,B]$ and $\Sigma_p \mathcal{K}_{q,\lambda}[b;A,B]$

In the first theorem we give some necessary and sufficient conditions for member of subclass $\Sigma_p \mathcal{S}_{q,\lambda}^*[b;A,B]$.

Theorem 3.1. If $f \in \Sigma_p$, then $f \in \Sigma_p \mathcal{S}^*_{q,\lambda}[b;A,B]$ if and only if

$$z^{p} \left[f(z) * \frac{1 + \left\{ \left(1 - \lambda \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1} \right) M(\theta, p) - \left(q + \frac{\lambda}{q^{p}} \right) \right\} z}{z^{p} (1 - z) (1 - qz)} \right] \neq 0$$
 (3.1)

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}^*$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Where

$$M(\theta, p) = \frac{1 + Be^{i\theta}}{q^p b \left[1 - q \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1} + (A - Bq \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1})e^{i\theta}\right]}$$
(3.2)

Proof. It is easy to verify that for any $f \in \Sigma_p$ the next relations hold:

$$f(z) * \frac{1}{z^p(1-z)} = f(z), \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$f(z) * \left[\frac{1}{z^p (1-z)(1-qz)} - \frac{1 + \frac{1-q}{q^{-p}-1}}{z^{p-1} (1-z)(1-qz)} \right] = \frac{1-q}{q^{-p}-1} z D_q f(z).$$
 (3.4)

First, if $f \in \Sigma_p \mathcal{S}_{q,\lambda}^*[b;A,B]$, in order to prove that (3.1) holds we will write (2.1) by using the definition of the subordination, that is

$$\frac{zD_q f(z)}{(1 - \lambda \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1}) f(z) - \lambda z D_q f(z)} = \frac{\left[b(q \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1} - 1) - \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1}\right]}{1 + B\omega(z)} + \frac{\left[b(q B \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1} - A) - B \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1}\right] \omega(z)}{1 + B\omega(z)}, \quad (3.5)$$

where ω is a Schwarz function, hence

$$z\left\{ \left[1 + Be^{i\theta}\right] z D_q f(z) - \left[b\left(q \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1} - 1\right) - \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1}\right] - \left[b\left(q B \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1} - A\right) - B \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1}\right] e^{i\theta} \left[\left(1 - \lambda \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1}\right) f(z) - \lambda z D_q f(z)\right]\right\} \neq 0$$
(3.6)

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}^*$ and $\theta \in [0, \pi)$. Using (3.3) and (3.4), the relation (3.6) may be written as

$$z\left\{\left(-\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1} - B\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1}z - \lambda\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1} \times \left(\left[b(q\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1}-1) - \frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1}\right] + \left[b(qB\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1}-A) - B\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1}\right]e^{i\theta}\right)\right)$$

$$f(z) * \left[\frac{1}{z^{p}(1-z)(1-qz)} - \frac{1+\frac{1-q}{q^{-p}-1}}{z^{p-1}(1-z)(1-qz)}\right] + \left\{\left(\lambda\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1}-1\right)\left[b(q\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1}-1) - \frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1}\right]e^{i\theta}\right\}\right\}$$

$$+ \left[b(qB\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1}-A) - B\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1}\right]e^{i\theta}\right\}\left[f(z) * \frac{1}{z^{p}(1-z)}\right] \neq 0, \tag{3.7}$$

which is equivalent to

$$z \left[f(z) * \frac{1 + \left\{ \left(1 - \lambda \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1} \right) \frac{1 + Be^{i\theta}}{q^p b \left[1 - q \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1} + (A - Bq \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1})e^{i\theta} \right]} - (q + \frac{\lambda}{q^p}) \right\} z}{z^p (1 - z) (1 - qz)} \right] \neq 0,$$
(3.8)

where $z \in \mathbb{U}$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ and thus the first part of Theorem (3.1) was proved. Reversely, suppose that $f \in \Sigma_p$ satisfy the condition (3.1). Like it was previously shown, the assumption (3.1) is equivalent to (3.6), hence

$$\frac{zD_q f(z)}{(1 - \lambda \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1})f(z) - \lambda z D_q f(z)} \neq \frac{\left[b(q^{\frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1}} - 1) - \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1}\right]}{1 + Be^{i\theta}} + \frac{\left[b(qB^{\frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1}} - A) - B^{\frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1}}\right]e^{i\theta}}{1 + Be^{i\theta}},$$
(3.9)

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Denoting

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{zD_q f(z)}{(1 - \lambda \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1})f(z) - \lambda zD_q f(z)}$$

and

$$\psi(z) = \frac{\left[b(q^{\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1}}-1)-\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1}\right] + \left[b(qB^{\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1}}-A)-B^{\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1}}\right]z}{1+Bz},$$

The relation (3.9) means that

$$\varphi(\mathbb{U}) \cap \psi(L(\mathbb{U})) = \emptyset$$

and

$$(L(z) = \Psi(z) - \left[b(q^{\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1}} - 1) - \frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1}\right]).$$

Thus, the simply connected domain is included in a connected component of $\mathbb{C}\setminus\psi(L(\mathbb{U}))$. Therefore, using the fact that $\varphi(0) = \psi(L(0))$ and the *p*-valent function ψ , it follows that $\varphi(z) \prec \psi(z)$, which implies that $f \in \Sigma_p \mathcal{S}_{q,\lambda}^*[b;A,B]$. Thus, the proof of Theorem (3.1) is completed. \square

Theorem 3.2. If $f \in \Sigma_p$, then $f \in \Sigma_p \mathcal{K}_{q,\lambda}[b;A,B]$ if and only if

$$z^{p} \left[f(z) * \frac{1 - \frac{1 - q^{p+2}}{1 - q^{p}} z + \left[\frac{q - q^{p}}{1 - q^{p}} z + q z^{2} \frac{q^{p+1} - 1}{1 - q^{p}} \right] \left\{ \left(1 - \lambda \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1} \right) M(\theta, p) - \left(q + \frac{\lambda}{q^{p}} \right) \right\}}{z^{p} (1 - z) (1 - q z) (1 - q^{2} z)} \right] \neq 0$$

$$(3.10)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}^*$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, where $M(\theta, p)$ is given by (3.2)

Proof. From (2.3) it follows that $f \in \Sigma_p \mathcal{K}_{q,\lambda}[b;A,B]$ if and only if $\Phi_q(z) := \frac{q-1}{1-q^{-p}} z D_q f(z) \in \Sigma_p \mathcal{S}_{q,\lambda}^*[b;A,B]$. Then according to Theorem (3.1), the function Φ_q belongs to $\Sigma_p \mathcal{S}_{q,\lambda}^*[b;A,B]$ if and only if

$$z[\Phi_q(z) * g(z)] \neq 0, \tag{3.11}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, where

$$g(z) = \frac{1 + \left\{ \left(1 - \lambda \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1} \right) \frac{1 + Be^{i\theta}}{q^p b \left[1 - q \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1} + (A - Bq \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1})e^{i\theta} \right]} - (q + \frac{\lambda}{q^p}) \right\} z}{z^p (1 - z)(1 - qz)}.$$
(3.12)

A simple computation shows that

$$D_{q}g(z) = \frac{g(qz) - g(z)}{(q-1)z} = \frac{(1-q^{p}) - (1-q^{p+2})z}{q^{p}(q-1)z^{p+1}(1-z)(1-qz)(1-q^{2}z)}$$

$$+ \frac{[(q-q^{p})z + qz^{2}(q^{p+1}-1)](\left(1-\lambda\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1}\right)\frac{1+Be^{i\theta}}{q^{p}b[1-q\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1}+(A-Bq\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1})e^{i\theta}]}}{q^{p}(q-1)z^{p+1}(1-z)(1-qz)(1-q^{2}z)}$$

$$- \frac{(q+\frac{\lambda}{q^{p}}))}{q^{p}(q-1)z^{p+1}(1-z)(1-qz)(1-q^{2}z)}$$

$$(3.13)$$

and therefore

$$\frac{1-q}{1-q^{-p}}zD_{q}g(z) = \frac{1-\frac{1-q^{p+2}}{1-q^{p}}z+\left[\frac{q-q^{p}}{1-q^{p}}z+\frac{q^{p+1}-1}{1-q^{p}}qz^{2}\right]\left[\left(1-\lambda\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1}\right)\frac{1+Be^{i\theta}}{q^{p}b\left[1-q\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1}+(A-Bq\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1})e^{i\theta}\right]}}{(1-z)(1-qz)(1-q^{2}z)} - \frac{(q+\frac{\lambda}{q^{p}}))\right]}{(1-z)(1-qz)(1-q^{2}z)}$$

Using the above relation and the identity

$$\left[\frac{q-1}{1-q^{-p}}zD_qf(z)\right] * g(z) = f(z) * \left[\frac{q-1}{1-q^{-p}}zD_qg(z)\right]$$
(3.14)

it is easy to check that (3.11) is equivalent to (3.10). \square

Theorem 3.3. If $f \in \Sigma_p$, then $f \in \Sigma_p \mathcal{S}_{q,\lambda}^*[b;A,B]$ if and only if

$$1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{q^p} [k]_q\right) \left[\left(1 - q \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1}\right) + \left(A - q B \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1}\right) e^{i\theta} \right] b q^p}{q^p b \left[\left(1 - q \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1}\right) + \left(A - q B \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1}\right) e^{i\theta} \right]} + \frac{\left(1 - \lambda \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1}\right) (1 + B e^{i\theta}) [k]_q}{q^p b \left[\left(1 - q \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1}\right) + \left(A - q B \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1}\right) e^{i\theta} \right]} \right] a_k z^{k+p} \neq 0$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}^*$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$.

Proof . If $f \in \Sigma_p$, then from Theorem (3.1) we have

$$z^{p}[f(z)*\frac{1+(1-\lambda\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1})\frac{1+Be^{i\theta}}{q^{p}b[1-q\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1}+(A-Bq\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1})e^{i\theta}]}-(q+\frac{\lambda}{q^{p}})z}{z^{p}(1-z)(1-qz)} \neq 0$$
(3.15)

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}^*$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, since

$$\frac{1}{z^p(1-z)(1-qz)} = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} [k+p]_q z^k$$
(3.16)

it follows that

$$\frac{1 + (1 - \lambda \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1}) \frac{1 + Be^{i\theta}}{q^p b[1 - q \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1} + (A - Bq \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1})e^{i\theta}]} - (q + \frac{\lambda}{q^p})z}{z^p (1 - z)(1 - qz)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \left[1 + \left[\left(1 - \lambda \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1} \right) \frac{1 + Be^{i\theta}}{q^p b[1 - q \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1} + (A - Bq \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1})e^{i\theta}]} - \frac{\lambda}{q^p} \right] [k + p]_q \right] z^k \tag{3.17}$$

and we may that easily check that (??) is equivalent to (3.15). \square

3.2 Duality

In this section, we by using the definitions of the duality in [5], for a set $V \subset A$, The dual set V, by V^* is defined as

$$V^* = \left\{ g \in \mathbb{A}; \ \frac{1}{z} (f * g)(z) \neq 0 \ for \ all f \in V \ and \ z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}.$$

Now, for a set $W \subset \Sigma_p$, the dual W, denoted by W^* , is defined as

$$W^* = \Big\{ g \in \Sigma_p; \ z^p(f * g)(z) \neq 0 \ for \ all f \in W \ and \ z \in \mathbb{U} \Big\}.$$

The standard reference to duality for convolutions is the morograph by Rucheweyh [14], and his paper [13]. Assume that $f \in \Sigma_p$. By Theorem(3.1), $f \in \Sigma_p \mathcal{S}_{q,\lambda}^*[b; A, B]$ if and only if

$$z^{2}(f(z) * h_{\theta}(z)) \neq 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}^{*}, \tag{3.18}$$

where

$$h_{\theta}(z) = \frac{1 + \left\{ \left(1 - \lambda \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1} \right) \frac{1 + Be^{i\theta}}{q^{p}b[1 - q\frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1} + (A - Bq\frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1})e^{i\theta}]} - (q + \frac{\lambda}{q^{p}}) \right\} z}{z^{p}(1 - z)(1 - qz)}$$
(3.19)

and

$$M(\theta, p) = \frac{1 + Be^{i\theta}}{q^p b \left[1 - q \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{a - 1} + (A - Bq \frac{1 - q^{-p}}{a - 1})e^{i\theta}\right]}.$$
(3.20)

Moreover, for $f \in \Sigma_p$. By Theorem(3.1), $f \in \Sigma_p \mathcal{S}_{q,\lambda}^*[b;A,B]$ if and only if

$$z^2(f(z) * L_{\sigma}(z)) \neq 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}^*,$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}^*$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, where $M(\theta, p)$ is given by 1, where

$$L_{\sigma}(z) = \frac{1 - \frac{1 - q^{p+2}}{1 - q^p}z + \left[\frac{q - q^p}{1 - q^p}z + qz^2\frac{q^{p+1} - 1}{1 - q^p}\right]\left\{\left(1 - \lambda\frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1}\right)M(\theta, p) - \left(q + \frac{\lambda}{q^p}\right)\right\}}{z^p(1 - z)(1 - qz)(1 - q^2z)}.$$

Definition 3.4. We define W^* as follows:

$$W_{\theta}^{*} = (\Sigma_{p} \mathcal{S}_{q,\lambda}^{*}[b; A, B])^{*}$$

$$= \left\{ h_{\theta}(z) \in \Sigma_{p}; \ z^{p}(f(z) * h_{\theta}(z))(z) \neq 0 \ , \ f \in \Sigma_{p} \mathcal{S}_{q,\lambda}^{*}[b; A, B] \ , \ \theta \in [0, 2\pi) \right\}.$$

and

$$W_{\zeta}^{*} = (\Sigma_{p} \mathcal{K}_{q,\lambda}[b; A, B])^{*}$$

=\begin{aligned} \leftligll \lambda_{\zeta}(z) \in \Sigma_{p}; \ z^{p}(f(z) * l_{\zeta}(z))(z) \neq 0 \, \, f \in \Sigma_{p} \mathcal{K}_{q,\lambda}[b; A, B] \right\right\right\}.

Theorem 3.5. Let function $h_{\theta}(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} c_k z^k \in W_{\theta}^*$. The

$$|c_k| \leq (1+q+q^2+\dots+q^{k+p-1})\Big\{(1-\lambda\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1})\frac{1+B}{q^pb[1-q\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1}+(A-Bq\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1})]} -(q+\frac{\lambda}{q^p})\Big\} - (1+q+q^2+\dots+q^{k+p-1})$$

Proof . Let $h_{\theta} \in W^*$. Then we have

$$h_{\theta}(z) = \frac{1}{z^{p}(1-z)(1-qz)} + \frac{\left\{ (1-\lambda \frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1})M(\theta,p) - (q+\frac{\lambda}{q^{p}}) \right\} z}{z^{p}(1-z)(1-qz)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{z^{p}} (1+(1+q)z + (1+q+q^{2})z^{2} + \dots + q^{k+p-1})$$

$$+ \frac{\left\{ (1-\lambda \frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1})M(\theta,p) - (q+\frac{\lambda}{q^{p}}) \right\}}{z^{p-1}} (1+q+q^{2})z^{2} + \dots + q^{k+p-1})$$

$$= \frac{1}{z^{p}} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} c_{k} z^{k}$$

where

$$c_k = (1+q+q^2+\cdots+q^{k+p-1})\left\{(1-\lambda\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1})M(\theta,p)-(q+\frac{\lambda}{q^p})\right\}-(1+q+q^2+\cdots+q^{k+p-1})$$

and so

$$|c_k| \le (1+q+q^2+\cdots+q^{k+p-1})\Big\{(1-\lambda\frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1})M(\theta,p)-(q+\frac{\lambda}{q^p})\Big\}-(1+q+q^2+\cdots+q^{k+p-1})\Big\}$$

where

$$M(\theta, p) = \frac{1 + Be^{i\theta}}{q^p b \left[1 - q^{\frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1}} + (A - Bq^{\frac{1 - q^{-p}}{q - 1}})e^{i\theta}\right]}.$$

Corollary 3.6. Let $f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in \Sigma_p$. if

$$\sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} \left[(1+q+q^2+\dots+q^{k+p-1}) \left((1-\lambda \frac{1-q^{-p}}{q-1})|M(\theta,p)| - (q+\frac{\lambda}{q^p}) \right) + (q+\frac{\lambda}{q^p}) \right] + (1+q+q^2+\dots+q^{k+p})|c_k| \le 1,$$

Then $f \in \Sigma_p \mathcal{K}_{q,\lambda}[b; A, B]$.

Proof. Let $h_{\theta}(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} c_k z^k \in W_{\theta}^*$. The we have

$$z^{p}|(f(z) * h_{\theta}(z))| = |1 + \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} a_{k} c_{k} z^{k}|$$

$$\geq 1 - \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} |a_{k}||c_{k}||z|$$

$$> 1 - \sum_{k=1-p}^{\infty} |a_{k}||c_{k}$$

$$> 0.$$

Thus $z^p(f(z)*h_{\theta}(z))\neq 0$ and now form Theorem 2.1 we have $f\in \Sigma_p \mathcal{S}_{q,\lambda}^*[b;A,B]$. \square

References

- [1] M.K. Aouf, A.O. Mostafa, and H.M. Zayed, Convolution properties for some subclasses of meromorphic functions of complex order, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2015 (2015), Article ID 973613, 1–6.
- [2] M.K. Aouf and H.M. Srivastava, A new criterion for meromorphically p-valent convex functions of order α, Math. Sci. Res. Hot. Line 8 (1997), no. 1, 7–12.
- [3] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, Basic Hypergeometric Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [4] S.B. Joshi and H.M. Srivastava, A certain family of meromorphivally multivalent functions, Comput. Math. Appl. 38 (1999), no. 3/4, 201–211.
- [5] R. Kargar, A. Ebadian, and J. Sokol, Some properties of analytic tunctions related with bounded positive real part, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 8 (2017), 235–344.
- [6] J.L. Liu and S. Owa, On a class of meromorphically p-valent functions involving certain linear operators, Int. J. Math. Sci. 32 (2002), 271–180.
- J.L. Liu and H.M. Srivastava, A linear operator and associated families of meromorphically multivalent functions,
 J. Math. Anal. Appl. 259 (2001), 566–581.
- [8] J.L. Liu and H.M. Srivastava, Some convolution conditions for starlikeness and convexity of meromorphically multivalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett. 16 (2003), 13–16.
- [9] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations and univalent functions, Michigan Math. J. 28 (1981), 157–171.
- [10] A.O. Mostafa, M.k. Aouf, H.M. Zayed and T. Bulboaca, Convolution conditions for subclasse of mermorphic functions of complex order associated with basic Bessel functions, J. Egypt. Math. Soc. 25 (2017), 286–290.
- [11] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications*, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Appl. Math. No.255 Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2000.
- [12] V. Ravichandran, S. Sivaprasadkumar, and K.G. Subramanian, Convolution conditions for spirallikeness and convex spirallikeness of certain mermorphic p-valent functions, J. Pure. Appl. Math. 11 (2004), 1–7.

[13] S. Ruscheweyh, Duality for Hadamaed products applications to extremal proplems for functions regular in the unit disc, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 210 (1975), 63–74.

- [14] S. Ruscheweyh, Convolutions in Geometric Functions Theory, Les Presses De Montreal, Montreal, 1982.
- [15] Z.-G. Wang and M.-L. Li, Some properties of certain family of multiplier transforms, Filomat 31 (2017), no. 1, 159–173.