

# A new extension of the Darbo theorem for the Schauder type selections with an application

Ehsan Lotfali Ghasab, Hamid Majani\*

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences and Computer, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran*

*(Communicated by Reza Saadati)*

---

## Abstract

In the present article, we provide a new nonlinear contraction for the Schauder type selections of multi-valued mappings in metric spaces which is a new spread of the Darbo theorem. Meanwhile, we apply the main results in coupled fixed-point theory and functional integral equation.

Keywords: Measure of noncompactness, Schauder type selections, Darbo theorem, multi-valued mapping, complete metric spaces

2020 MSC: 34A12, 47H09, 47H10

---

## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

In 1930, the study of the measure of noncompactness (briefly, MoNC) was started by several researchers (see [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 15] and references therein). At the same time, Schauder [16] recommended his fixed-point principle. In 1955, Darbo [9] applied the concept of MoNC to prove the existence of fixed-points of the condensing mappings. Note that his result generalized both the classical Banach principle and the Schauder fixed-point theorem. Also, his theorem has many applications to prove the existence of solutions for a big category of differential and integral equations (see [5, 10]). On the other hand, Nadler [14] expressed the contraction principle for multi-valued mappings. These mappings and related selection theorems are useful tools in many sections of applied sciences.

In the present article, we establish the existence selections for generalized multi-valued and single-valued mappings on complete metric spaces using some new generalizations of Darbo theorem. Meanwhile, we obtain a relationship between coupled fixed-point and fixed-point. Finally, we apply our main theorem in a functional integral equation. For these, we need some notations and definitions which are expressed below.

### Notation.

- $\mathcal{F}$  is a Banach space with the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ ;
- $B(a, r)$  is the closed ball in  $\mathcal{F}$  with center  $a$  and radius  $r$ ;
- for  $A \subset \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\bar{A}$  and  $\text{Conv } A$  are the closure and the closed convex hull of  $A$ ;

---

\*Corresponding author

Email addresses: [e-lotfali@stu.scu.ac.ir](mailto:e-lotfali@stu.scu.ac.ir); [e.l.ghasab@gmail.com](mailto:e.l.ghasab@gmail.com) (Ehsan Lotfali Ghasab), [h.majani@scu.ac.ir](mailto:h.majani@scu.ac.ir); [majani.hamid@gmail.com](mailto:majani.hamid@gmail.com) (Hamid Majani)

- $A + B$  and  $\lambda A$  with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  are algebraic operations on the sets  $A$  and  $B$ ;
- $N(A)$  is the collection of all nonempty subsets of  $A$ ;
- $M_{\mathcal{F}}$  is the collection of all nonempty and bounded subsets of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $N_{\mathcal{F}}$  is its sub-collection including all relatively compact set.

**Definition 1.1.** [6] Consider a mapping  $\nu : M_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$  provided that the following cases are held:

- The family  $\ker \nu = \{A \in M_{\mathcal{F}} : \nu(A) = 0\}$  is nonempty and  $\ker \nu \subset N_{\mathcal{F}}$ , where  $\ker \nu$  is the kernel of the MoNC  $\nu$ ;
- $A \subset B \Rightarrow \nu(A) \leq \nu(B)$ ;
- $\nu(\bar{A}) = \nu(A)$ ;
- $\nu(\text{Conv } A) = \nu(A)$ ;
- $\nu(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) \leq \lambda \nu(A) + (1 - \lambda)\nu(B)$  for  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ ;
- If  $(A_n)$  is a nested sequence of closed sets from  $M_{\mathcal{F}}$  so that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(A_n) = 0$ , then  $A_{\infty} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  is nonempty.

Then  $\nu$  is called a MoNC in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Note that  $A_{\infty}$  in axiom (vi) is a member of the  $\ker \nu$ .

**Definition 1.2.** [8] Consider a multi-valued mapping  $G$  from  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $N(\mathcal{F})$ .

- A selection from  $G$  is a function  $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  with  $f(a) \in G(a)$  for any  $a \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- $G^{-1}(b)$  is the set of all  $a$  belonging to  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $b$  is belongs to  $G(a)$  for each  $b \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** (Browder-Ky Fan Theorem)[8] Assume that  $G : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow BC(\mathcal{F})$  is a multi-valued mapping having convex values and  $G^{-1}(b)$  is open for all  $b$ . Then there exists a continuous function  $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  such that  $f(a) \in G(a)$  for all  $a$ .

## 2 Results

In this section,  $A \neq \emptyset$  is a bounded, closed and convex subset of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Moreover, suppose that  $\Phi$  is the class of all nondecreasing, subadditive, bounded from below and upper semi-continuous functions  $\phi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi^n(i) = 0$  for every  $i \geq 0$ . Also, we consider  $\beta : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is a subadditive, continuous and nondecreasing function with  $\beta^{-1}(0) = (0)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose that  $W : A \rightarrow N(A)$  is a multi-valued mapping having convex values so that  $W^{-1}(b)$  is open for all  $b$ ,  $\phi \in \Phi$  and

$$\beta(\nu(WA)) \leq \phi(\beta(\nu(A))) - \phi(\beta((\nu(WA)))). \quad (2.1)$$

Then  $W$  has a fixed-point.

**Proof .** Using Lemma 1.3, there exists selection of  $f : A \rightarrow A$  such that  $fa \in Wa$  for all  $a \in A$ . Suppose  $E_n = \text{Conv} f E_{n-1}$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $E_0 = A$ . Then, we have  $E_n = \text{Conv} f(E_{n-1}) \subset W(E_{n-1})$ . Now, from (2.1), we get

$$\beta(\nu(E_1)) \leq \phi(\beta(\nu(E_0))) - \phi(\beta((\nu(E_1)))).$$

Also, for  $E_1 \subset A$ , there exists  $E_2 \subset WE_1$  with  $E_1 \neq E_2$  and

$$\beta(\nu(E_2)) \leq \phi(\beta(\nu(E_1))) - \phi(\beta((\nu(E_2)))).$$

Continue this process, we obtain a sequence  $\{E_n\}$ , where  $E_n \subset WE_{n-1}$  and

$$\beta(\nu(E_n)) \leq \phi(\beta(\nu(E_{n-1}))) - \phi(\beta(\nu(E_n))). \quad (2.2)$$

If there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  provided that  $\nu(E_{n_0}) = 0$ , then  $E_{n_0}$  will be compact. In this manner, Schauder theorem induces that  $f$  has a fixed-point. Now, from (2.2), we have  $\phi(\beta(\nu(E_{n-1}))) \geq \phi(\beta(\nu(E_n)))$  for all  $n$ . Hence,  $\{\phi(\beta(\nu(E_n)))\}$  is a decreasing sequence. Since  $\phi$  is bounded from below, this sequence is convergence. On the other, from Remark 3 of [12] and Remark 2 of [13], we get

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\beta(i)}{i} = \sup\{\frac{\beta(i)}{i} : i > 0\},$$

so

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\beta(i)}{i} > 0. \quad (2.3)$$

By (2.3), there exists  $\delta > 0$  and  $c > 0$  such that

$$\beta(i) \geq ci, \quad (2.4)$$

for all  $i \in [0, \delta]$ . Since  $\beta$  is nondecreasing, then  $\beta(i) \geq \beta(\delta)$  for all  $i \in [\delta, +\infty)$ . Let  $0 < \epsilon < \beta(\delta)$ . Then  $\beta(i) > \epsilon$  for any  $i \in [\delta, +\infty)$ , i.e. if  $\beta(i) \leq \epsilon$ , then  $i \in [0, \delta]$ . Therefore, we have

$$\{i \geq 0 : \beta(i) \leq \epsilon\} \subset [0, \delta],$$

which together with (2.4) implies that

$$\beta(i) \geq ci \quad (2.5)$$

for all  $i \in \{i \geq 0 : \beta(i) \leq \epsilon\}$ . Now, notice that  $\{\phi(\beta(\nu(E_n)))\}$  is convergent. Thus, there exists some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  so that

$$\beta(\nu(E_n)) \leq \phi(\beta(\nu(E_{n-1}))) - \phi(\beta(\nu(E_n))) < \epsilon$$

for each  $n \geq N$ , which induces that  $\beta(\nu(E_n)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover, by (2.5), we get

$$c\nu(E_n) \leq \beta(\nu(E_n)) \leq \phi(\beta(\nu(E_{n-1}))) - \phi(\beta(\nu(E_n)))$$

for every  $n \geq N$ , which induces that  $\nu(E_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Now, by axiom (vi) of Definition 1.1, we conclude that  $E_\infty \subset A$  is a nonempty, closed, convex set, where  $E_\infty = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ . Furthermore,  $E_\infty$  is invariant under function  $f$  and  $E_\infty \in \ker \nu$ . Now, by applying the Schauder theorem, the proof ends (because  $f$  has a fixed-point and since  $fa \in Wa$ ,  $W$  has a fixed-point).  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** Suppose  $W : A \rightarrow A$  is a mapping provided that

$$\beta(\nu(WA)) \leq \phi(\beta(\nu(A))) - \phi(\beta(\nu(WA))),$$

where  $\phi \in \Phi$ . Then  $W$  has a fixed-point.

**Proof .** The proof is analogous on the argument of Theorem 2.1 and left to the reader.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** Assume that  $W : A \rightarrow A$  is a mapping so that

$$\beta(\|Wa - Wb\|) \leq \phi(\beta(\|a - b\|)) - \phi(\beta(\|Wa - Wb\|)),$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the same usual norm and  $\phi \in \Phi$ . Then  $W$  has a fixed-point.

**Proof .** Let  $\nu : M_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  defined by  $\nu(A) = \text{diam}A$ , where  $\text{diam}A = \sup\{\|a - b\| : a, b \in A\}$  stands for the diameter of  $A$ . Note that  $\nu$  is a MoNC in  $\mathcal{F}$ . So, we have

$$\sup_{a, b \in A} \beta(\|Wa - Wb\|) \leq \sup_{a, b \in A} \phi(\beta(\|a - b\|)) - \sup_{a, b \in A} \phi(\beta(\|Wa - Wb\|)).$$

By the continuity of the function  $\beta$ , we derive that

$$\beta(\sup_{a,b \in A} \|Wa - Wb\|) \leq \phi(\beta(\sup_{a,b \in A} \|a - b\|)) - \phi(\beta(\sup_{a,b \in A} \|Wa - Wb\|)).$$

This yields that  $\beta(\nu(WA)) \leq \phi(\beta(\nu(A))) - \phi(\beta(\nu(WA)))$ . Now, using Theorem 2.2,  $W$  has a fixed-point.  $\square$

As you know, the theory of coupled fixed-points was started by Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham's article [7]. After that, many researchers generalized this concept. For more details on  $n$ -tuple fixed-point theorems, we refer to [11, 17] and significantly some references therein.

**Theorem 2.4.** [4] Let  $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n$  be M(s)oNC in Banach spaces  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots, \mathcal{F}_n$ , respectively. Also, suppose that  $\mathcal{W} : [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a convex function so that  $\mathcal{W}(l_1, \dots, l_n) = 0$  iff  $l_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then  $\tilde{\nu}(A) = \mathcal{W}(\nu_1(A_1), \nu_2(A_2), \dots, \nu_n(A_n))$  defines a MoNC in  $\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2 \times \dots \times \mathcal{F}_n$ , where  $A_i$  are the natural projection of  $A$  into  $\mathcal{F}_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Notice that  $\tilde{\nu}(A) = \nu(A_1) + \nu(A_2)$  is a MoNC, where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  denote the natural projections of  $A$  into  $\mathcal{F}$  (see [6]).

**Theorem 2.5.** Assume that  $W : A \times A \rightarrow A$  is a mapping so that for any subset  $A_1, A_2$  of  $A$ , we have

$$\beta(\nu(W(A_1 \times A_2))) \leq \frac{1}{2}[\phi(\beta(\nu(A_1) + \nu(A_2)))] - \phi(\beta(\nu(W(A_1 \times A_2)))),$$

where  $\phi \in \Phi$ . Then  $W$  has a coupled fixed-point.

**Proof .** Define the mapping  $\mathcal{W} : A^2 \rightarrow A^2$  by  $\mathcal{W}(a, b) = (W(a, b), W(b, a))$ . Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\tilde{\nu}(\mathcal{W}(A))) &= \beta(\tilde{\nu}((W(A_1 \times A_2), W(A_2 \times A_1)))) \\ &\leq \beta(\nu(W(A_1 \times A_2))) + \beta(\nu(W(A_2 \times A_1))) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}[\phi(\beta(\nu(A_1) + \nu(A_2)))] - \phi(\beta(\nu(W(A_1 \times A_2)))) + \frac{1}{2}[\phi(\beta(\nu(A_2) + \nu(A_1)))] - \phi(\beta(\nu(W(A_2 \times A_1)))) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[\phi(\beta(\tilde{\nu}(A)))] - \phi(\beta(\nu(W(A_1 \times A_2)))) + \frac{1}{2}[\phi(\beta(\tilde{\nu}(A)))] - \phi(\beta(\nu(W(A_2 \times A_1)))) \\ &= \phi(\beta(\tilde{\nu}(A))) - [\phi(\beta(\nu(W(A_1 \times A_2)))) + \phi(\beta(\nu(W(A_2 \times A_1))))] \\ &\leq \phi(\beta(\tilde{\nu}(A))) - [\phi(\beta(\nu(W(A_1 \times A_2))) + \nu(W(A_2 \times A_1)))] \\ &= \phi(\beta(\tilde{\nu}(A))) - \phi(\beta(\tilde{\nu}(W(A_1 \times A_2), W(A_2 \times A_1)))) \\ &= \phi(\beta(\tilde{\nu}(A))) - \phi(\beta(\tilde{\nu}(\mathcal{W}(A)))). \end{aligned}$$

Continue the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.2. Thus,  $\mathcal{W}$  has a fixed-point, which induces that  $W$  has a coupled fixed-point.  $\square$

### 3 Application

In this section we provide applications of the generalization of Darbo fixed-point theorem contained in Theorem 2.1 to prove the existence of solutions of a functional integral equation. For this, assume that  $BC(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is the Banach space of all real, continuous and bounded functions on the positive real number with  $\|y\| = \sup\{|y(i)| : i \geq 0\}$ . Now, let  $A$  be a nonempty and bounded subset of  $BC(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $L > 0$ . For  $y \in A$  and  $\varrho > 0$ , we consider the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^L(y, \varrho) &= \sup\{|y(i) - y(j)| : i, j \in [0, L], |i - j| \leq \varrho\}, \\ \mathcal{M}^L(A, \varrho) &= \sup\{\mathcal{M}^L(y, \varrho) : y \in A\}, \\ \mathcal{M}_0^L(A) &= \lim_{\varrho \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{M}^L(A, \varrho), \\ \mathcal{M}_0(A) &= \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}_0^L(A). \end{aligned}$$

Further, for  $i \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , put  $A(i) = \{y(i) : y \in A\}$ . Finally, define the mapping  $\nu$  on the family  $M_{BC(\mathbb{R}_+)}$  by

$$\nu(A) = \mathcal{M}_0(A) + \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}A(i),$$

where  $\text{diam}A(i)$  is understood as

$$\text{diam}A(i) = \sup\{|y(i) - z(i)| : y, z \in A\}.$$

The mapping  $\nu$  is a MoNC in  $BC(\mathbb{R}_+)$  (see [6]). Also,  $\text{ker}\nu$  includes nonempty and bounded sets  $A$  so that functions in  $A$  are locally equicontinuous on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and the thickness of the bundle organized by the graphs of functions in  $A$  arrives to 0 at infinity.

**Theorem 3.1.** Consider the following conditions:

- (i)  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous mapping and the mapping  $i \rightarrow f(i, 0)$  located in  $BC(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ;
- (ii) There is  $\phi \in \Phi$  provided that for every  $i \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$|f(i, a) - f(i, b)| \leq \phi(|a - b|) - \phi(|f(i, a) + \int_0^i g(i, j, a) ds - f(i, b) - \int_0^i g(i, j, b) dj|).$$

Further, suppose that  $\phi$  is superadditive;

- (iii) There are continuous mappings  $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $o, h : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  provided that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} o(i) \int_0^i h(j) dj = 0$  and  $|g(i, j, a)| \leq o(i)h(j)$  for  $i, j \in [0, \infty)$  with  $j \leq i$  and for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (iv) There is a positive solution  $r_0$  of the relation  $\phi(r) + q \leq r$ , with  $q = \sup\{|f(i, 0)| + o(i) \int_0^i h(j) dj : i \geq 0\}$ .

Then the functional integral equation

$$y(i) = f(i, y(i)) + \int_0^i g(i, j, y(j)) dj \tag{3.1}$$

has a solution in  $BC(\mathbb{R}_+)$ .

**Proof .** Consider  $T : BC(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow BC(\mathbb{R}_+)$  by

$$(Ty)(i) = f(i, y(i)) + \int_0^i g(i, j, y(j)) dj$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $W : BC(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow N(BC(\mathbb{R}_+))$  by  $W(y) = \{(Ty)(i)\}$ . By assumptions, the function  $Ty$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Moreover, for an optional  $y \in BC(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |(Ty)(i)| &\leq |f(i, y(i)) - f(i, 0)| + |f(i, 0)| + \int_0^i |g(i, j, y(j))| dj \\ &\leq \phi(|y(i)|) - \phi(|f(i, y(i)) + \int_0^i g(i, j, y(j)) dj - f(i, 0) - \int_0^i g(i, j, 0) dj|) + |f(i, 0)| + c(i) \\ &\leq \phi(|y(i)|) + |f(i, 0)| + c(i), \end{aligned}$$

which  $c(i) = o(i) \int_0^i h(j) dj$ . Since the function  $\phi$  is nondecreasing,  $\|Ty\| \leq \phi(\|y\|) + q$ , where  $q$  is defined in (iv). Further, we deduce that  $T$  is a self-mapping on  $B_{r_0}$ , where  $r_0$  is a constant extant in (iv). Here, we present  $T$  is continuous on  $B_{r_0}$ . For this, select an optional number  $\varrho > 0$ . Then, by a normal calculation, we gain

$$|(Ty)(i) - (Tz)(i)| \leq \phi(\varrho) - \phi(|f(i, y(i)) + \int_0^i g(i, j, y(j)) dj - f(i, z(i)) - \int_0^i g(i, j, z(j)) dj|) + 2c(i) \tag{3.2}$$

for  $y, z \in B_{r_0}$  so that  $\|y - z\| \leq \varrho$  and for any  $i \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Moreover, by hypothesis (iii), there exists a number  $L > 0$  so that

$$2o(i) \int_0^i h(j) dj \leq \varrho \tag{3.3}$$

for each  $i \geq L$ . Thus, by (3.2) and (3.3), we obtain

$$|(Ty)(i) - (Tz)(i)| \leq 2\varrho - \phi(|f(i, y(i)) + \int_0^i g(i, j, y(j))dj - f(i, z(i)) - \int_0^i g(i, j, z(j))dj|) < 2\varrho \quad (3.4)$$

for an arbitrary  $i \geq L$ . Now, let us define the quantity  $\mathcal{M}^L(g, \varrho)$  and  $\mathcal{M}^L(f, \varrho)$  by putting

$$\mathcal{M}^L(g, \varrho) = \sup\{|g(i, j, a) - g(i, j, b)| : i, j \in [0, L], a, b \in [-r_0, r_0], |a - b| \leq \varrho\},$$

$$\mathcal{M}^L(f, \varrho) = \sup\{|f(i, y(i)) + \int_0^i g(i, j, y(j))dj - f(i, z(i)) - \int_0^i g(i, j, z(j))dj| : i, j \in [0, L], y, z \in B_{r_0}, \|y - z\| \leq \varrho\}.$$

Because of the uniform continuity of  $g(i, j, a)$  on  $[0, L] \times [0, L] \times [-r_0, r_0]$ ,  $\mathcal{M}^L(g, \varrho) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varrho \rightarrow 0$ . Now, using (3.2), we obtain

$$|(Ty)(i) - (Tz)(i)| \leq \phi(\varrho) - \phi(\mathcal{M}^L(f, \varrho)) + \int_0^L \mathcal{M}^L(g, \varrho)dj < \phi(\varrho) + L\mathcal{M}^L(g, \varrho) \quad (3.5)$$

for an optional fixed  $i \in [0, L]$ . Finally, combining (3.4) and (3.5), the operator  $T$  will be continuous on the ball  $B_{r_0}$ . Now, select an arbitrary nonempty subset  $A$  of  $B_{r_0}$  also, choose arbitrarily  $i, j \in [0, L]$  with  $j < i$  so that  $|i - j| \leq \varrho$ . Then, for  $y \in A$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |(Ty)(i) - (Ty)(j)| &= |f(i, y(i)) + \int_0^i g(i, \tau, y(\tau))d\tau - f(j, y(j)) - \int_0^j g(j, \tau, y(\tau))d\tau| \\ &\leq |f(i, y(i)) - f(j, y(i))| + |f(j, y(i)) - f(j, y(j))| \\ &\quad + |\int_0^i g(i, \tau, y(\tau))d\tau - \int_0^j g(j, \tau, y(\tau))d\tau| + |\int_0^j g(j, \tau, y(\tau))d\tau - \int_0^j g(j, \tau, y(\tau))d\tau| \\ &\leq \mathcal{M}_1^L(f, \varrho) + \phi(|y(i) - y(j)|) - \phi(|f(i, y(i)) + \int_0^i g(i, j, y(j))dj - f(i, y(j)) \\ &\quad - \int_0^i g(i, j, y(j))dj|) + \int_0^i |g(i, \tau, y(\tau)) - g(j, \tau, y(\tau))|d\tau + \int_j^i |g(j, \tau, y(\tau))|d\tau \\ &\leq \mathcal{M}_1^L(f, \varrho) + \phi(\mathcal{M}^L(y, \varrho)) - \phi(\mathcal{M}^L(f, \varrho)) + \int_0^i \mathcal{M}_1^L(g, \varrho)d\tau + o(j) \int_j^i h(\tau)d\tau \\ &\leq \mathcal{M}_1^L(f, \varrho) + \phi(\mathcal{M}^L(y, \varrho)) - \phi(\mathcal{M}^L(f, \varrho)) + L\mathcal{M}_1^L(g, \varrho) + \varrho \sup\{o(j)h(i) : i, j \in [0, L]\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

in which

$$\mathcal{M}_1^L(f, \varrho) = \sup\{|f(i, y) - f(j, y)| : i, j \in [0, L], y \in [-r_0, r_0], |i - j| \leq \varrho\},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_1^L(g, \varrho) = \sup\{|g(i, \tau, y) - g(j, \tau, y)| : i, j, \tau \in [0, L], y \in [-r_0, r_0], |i - j| \leq \varrho\}.$$

Note that  $f$  and  $g$  are uniform continuous on  $[0, L] \times [-r_0, r_0]$  and  $[0, L] \times [0, L] \times [-r_0, r_0]$ , respectively. Thus,  $\mathcal{M}_1^L(f, \varrho), \mathcal{M}_1^L(g, \varrho) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varrho \rightarrow 0$ . Further, by the continuity of the mappings  $o = o(i)$  and  $h = h(i)$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , we find that  $\sup\{o(j)h(i) : i, j \in [0, L]\}$  is a finite value. Hence, by (3.6), we arrive

$$\mathcal{M}_0^L(TA) \leq \lim_{\varrho \rightarrow 0} \phi(\mathcal{M}^L(A, \varrho)) - \lim_{\varrho \rightarrow 0} \phi(\mathcal{M}^L(TA, \varrho)).$$

Now, since  $\phi$  is upper semicontinuous, we get

$$\mathcal{M}_0^L(TA) \leq \phi(\mathcal{M}_0^L(A)) - \phi(\mathcal{M}_0^L(TA))$$

and consequently,

$$\mathcal{M}_0(TA) \leq \phi(\mathcal{M}_0(A)) - \phi(\mathcal{M}_0(TA)). \quad (3.7)$$

Now, select two optional functions  $y, z \in A$ . By simple calculation, we gain

$$|(Ty)(i) - (Tz)(i)| \leq \phi(|y(i) - z(i)|) - \phi(|f(i, y(i)) + \int_0^i g(i, j, y(j))dj - f(i, z(i)) - \int_0^i g(i, j, z(j))dj|) + 2c(i)$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{R}$ . It follows for this estimate that

$$\text{diam}(TA)(i) \leq \phi(\text{diam}A(i)) - \phi(\text{diam}TA(i)) + 2c(i).$$

Now, because of the upper semicontinuity of  $\phi$  we obtain

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}(TA)(i) \leq \phi(\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}A(i)) - \phi(\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}TA(i)). \quad (3.8)$$

Now, combining (3.7) and (3.8), applying the superadditivity of  $\phi$  and using (iii), we gain

$$\mathcal{M}_0(TA) + \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}(TA)(i) \leq \phi(\mathcal{M}_0(A) + \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}A(i)) - \phi(\mathcal{M}_0(TA) - \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}TA(i)),$$

that results

$$\nu(TA) \leq \phi(\nu(A)) - \phi(\nu(TA)), \quad (3.9)$$

in which  $\nu$  is the MoNC introduced in  $BC(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Finally, applying (3.9) and Theorem 2.1, and putting  $\beta(i) = i$ , the proof ends.  $\square$

## 4 Conclusions

In this paper, established the existence selections for generalized multi-valued and single-valued mappings on complete metric spaces using some new generalizations of Darbo theorem. Also, obtained a relationship between coupled fixed-point and fixed-point. Finally, the main theorem was applied to a functional integral equation.

## Acknowledgment

We are grateful to the Research Council of Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz for financial support (Grant number: SCU.MM1401.25894). Also, the authors wish to thank the Editorial Board and referees for their helpful suggestions to improve this manuscript.

## References

- [1] S. Abbas, A. Deep, B. Singh, M.R. Alharthi, and K.S. Nisar, *Solvability of functional stochastic integral equations via Darbo's fixed point theorem*, Alexandria Engin. J. **60** (2021), 5631–5636.
- [2] R. Arab, H.K. Nashine, and R.W. Ibrahim, *Tripled fixed point results via a measure of noncompactness with applications*, Asian-Eur. J. Math. **14** (2021), no. 2, 2150008.
- [3] T.D. Benavides and P.L. Ramirez, *Measures of noncompactness in modular spaces and fixed point theorems for multivalued nonexpansive mappings*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2021** (2021), 21:40.
- [4] J. Banas, M. Jleli, M. Mursaleen, and B. Samet, *Advances in Nonlinear Analysis via the Concept of Measure of Noncompactness*, Springer, Singapore, 2017.
- [5] J. Banas and B.C. Dhage, *Global asymptotic stability of solutions of a functional integral equation*, Nonlinear Anal. **69** (2008), 1945–1952.
- [6] J. Banas and K. Goebel, *Measures of Noncompactness in Banach Spaces*, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. New York, Vol. 60, 1980.
- [7] T.G. Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikantham, *Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications*, Nonlinear Anal. **65** (2006), 1379–1393.
- [8] K.C. Border, *Fixed Point Theorems with Applications to Economics and Game Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1985.
- [9] G. Darbo, *Punti uniti in trasformazioni a condomino non compatto*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova. **24** (1955), 84–92.

- 
- [10] B.C. Dhage and S.S. Bellale, *Local asymptotic stability for nonlinear quadratic functional integral equations*, *Electr. J. Qual. Theo. Differ. Equ.* **10** (2008), 1–13.
  - [11] E.L. Ghasab, H. Majani, E. Karapinar, and G. Soleimani Rad, *New fixed point results in  $\mathfrak{F}$ -quasi-metric spaces and an application*, *Adv. Math. Phys.* **2020** (2020), 9452350.
  - [12] M.A. Khamsi, *Remarks on Caristi's fixed point theorem*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **71** (2009), 227–231.
  - [13] Z. Li, *Remarks on Caristi's fixed point theorem and Kirk's problem*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **73** (2010), 3751–3755.
  - [14] S.B. Nadler, *Multi-valued contraction mappings*, *Pacific J. Math.* **30** (1969), 475–488.
  - [15] V. Parvaneh, M. Khorshidi, and M. De La Sen, *Measure of noncompactness and a generalized Darbo fixed point theorem and its applications to a system of integral equations*, *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2020** (2020), 243.
  - [16] J. Schauder, *Der Fixpunktsatz in Funktionalräumen*, *Studia Math.* **2** (1930), 171–180.
  - [17] G. Soleimani Rad, S. Shukla, and H. Rahimi, *Some relations between  $n$ -tuple fixed point and fixed point results*, *RACSAM.* **109** (2015), 471–481.