

Some results on q -shift difference-differential polynomials sharing finite value

Jayarama H. R.^a, Gatti N. B.^b, Naveenkumar S. H.^{a,*}, Chaithra C. N.^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, School of Engineering, Presidency University, Bangalore-560064, India

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Government Science College, Chitraduga-577 501, India

(Communicated by Mugur Alexandru Acu)

Abstract

In this paper, we study the uniqueness of meromorphic functions with q -shift difference-differential polynomials $F = [P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s]^{(k)}$ and $G = [P(g)\mathcal{L}(z, g)^s]^{(k)}$, where $P(z)$ is a non-constant polynomial with degree n sharing a finite value. The results of this paper are an extension of the previous theorems given by Harina P. Waghmare and Rajeshwari S [19].

Keywords: Nevanlinna Theory, Sharing values, difference-differential polynomials, q -shift, Meromorphic functions.
2020 MSC: 30D35

1 Introduction

In this article, by meromorphic functions, we will always mean meromorphic functions in the complex plane. We adopt the standard notations in the Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic functions as explained in [8, 26, 23, 10]. Let E denote any set of positive real numbers of finite linear measure not necessarily the same at each occurrence. For a non-constant meromorphic function f , we denote by $T(r, f)$ the Nevanlinna characteristic of f and by $S(r, f)$ any quantity satisfying $S(r, f) = o\{T(r, f)\}$ ($r \rightarrow \infty, r \notin E$). We denote by $T(r)$ the maximum of $T(r, f)$ and $T(r, g)$ and by $S(r)$ any quantity satisfying $S(r) = o\{T(r)\}$ ($r \rightarrow \infty, r \notin E$).

We denote and define order of $f(z)$

$$\rho(f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

If a non-constant meromorphic function $f(z)$ is of zero order, then $\rho(f) = 0$. Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions. We say that f and g share the value a CM (counting multiplicities) if $f - a$ and $g - a$ have the same zeros with the same multiplicities. Similarly, we say that f and g share the value a IM provided that $f - a$ and $g - a$ have the same zeros ignoring multiplicities.

Definition 1. [4] For a meromorphic function f and $c, q (\neq 1) \in \mathbb{C}$, let us now denote its q -shift $E_q f$ and q -difference operators $\Delta_q f$ respectively by $E_q f(z) = f(qz + c)$ and $\Delta_q f(z) = f(qz + c) - f(z)$.

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: jayjayaramhr@gmail.com (Jayarama H. R.), gattimaths@gmail.com (Gatti N. B.), naveenkumarnew1991@gmail.com (Naveenkumar S. H.), chinmuchaithra15@gmail.com (Chaithra C. N.)

For further generalization of $\Delta_q f(z)$, we now define the q -difference operator of an meromorphic function f as as $\mathcal{L}(z, f) = b_1 f(qz + c) + b_0 f(z)$, where $b_1 (\neq 0)$ and b_0 are complex constants. For $s \in \mathbb{N}$, let us define

$$\lambda_{b_0} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } b_0 \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } b_0 = 0, \end{cases}$$

In recent times, many mathematicians are working on difference equations, the difference product and the q -difference analogues the value distribution theory of entire and meromorphic functions in the complex plane (see [2, 3, 9, 15, 16, 17, 18]).

In 1959, Hayman [7] proved that $f^n f'$ takes every non-zero complex value infinitely often if $n \geq 3$. Yang and Hua [24] obtained some results about the uniqueness problems for entire functions. Since then the difference has become a subject of great interest (see [11, 12, 27, 28]).

Recently, the difference variant of the Nevanlinna theory has been established independently in [5],[6]. With the development of difference analogue of Nevanlinna theory, many authors gave attention to the uniqueness of difference and difference operator analogs of Nevanlinna theory. Halburd and Korhonen [5] established a difference analogue of the Logarithmic Derivative Lemma, and then applied it to prove a number of results on meromorphic solutions of complex difference equations.

In 2012, K. Liu, X. Liu and T. B. Cao [13] proved the following.

Theorem 1. Let f be a transcendental entire function of $\rho_2(f) < 1$. For $n \geq t(k+1) + 1$, then $[P(f)f(z+c)]^{(k)} - \alpha(z)$ has infinitely many zeros.

Theorem 2. Let f be a transcendental entire function of $\rho_2(f) < 1$, not a periodic function with period c . If $n \geq (t+1)(k+1) + 1$, then $[P(f)(\Delta_c f)^s]^{(k)} - \alpha(z)$ has infinitely many zeros.

Theorem 3. Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function of $\rho_2(f) < 1$. For $n \geq t(k+1) + 5$, then $[P(f)f(z+c)]^{(k)} - \alpha(z)$ has infinitely many zeros.

Theorem 4. Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function of $\rho_2(f) < 1$. For $n \geq (t+2)(k+1) + 3 + s$, then $[P(f)(\Delta_c f)^s]^{(k)} - \alpha(z)$ has infinitely many zeros.

Theorem 5. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be transcendental entire functions of $\rho_2(f) < 1$, $n \geq 2k+m+6$. If $[f^n(f^m-1)f(z+c)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(g^m-1)g(z+c)]^{(k)}$ share the value 1 CM, then $f = tg$, where $t^{n+1} = t^m = 1$.

Theorem 6. The conclusion of Theorem 1.5 is also valid, if $n \geq 5k + 4m + 12$. If $[f^n(f^m-1)f(z+c)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(g^m-1)g(z+c)]^{(k)}$ share the value 1 IM.

In 2013, Harina P. Waghamore and Tanuja A [20] extend Theorem 5 and Theorem 6 to meromorphic functions.

Theorem 7. Let f and g be a transcendental meromorphic function with zero order. If $n \geq 4k + m + 8$, $[f^n(f^m-1)f(qz+c)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(g^m-1)g(qz+c)]^{(k)}$ share the 1 CM, then $f = tg$, where $t^{n+1} = t^m = 1$.

Theorem 8. Let f and g be a transcendental meromorphic function with zero order. If $n \geq 5k + 4m + 17$, $[f^n(f^m-1)f(qz+c)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(g^m-1)g(qz+c)]^{(k)}$ share the 1 IM, then $f = tg$, where $t^{n+1} = t^m = 1$.

In 2016, Harina P. Waghamore and Rajeshwari S [19] we extend Theorem 7 and Theorem 8 to difference polynomials and obtain the following results.

Theorem 9. Let f and g be a transcendental meromorphic functions with zero order. If $n \geq 4k+8$, $[P(f)f(qz+c)]^{(k)}$ and $[P(g)g(qz+c)]^{(k)}$ share the 1 CM, then:

(i) $f \equiv tg$ for a constant t such that $t^d = 1$.

(ii) f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) \equiv 0$, where $R(w_1, w_2) = P(w_1)w_1(qz+c) - P(w_2)w_2(qz+c)$.

Theorem 10. Let f and g be a transcendental meromorphic functions with zero order. If $n \geq 10k + 14$, $[P(f)f(qz + c)]^{(k)}$ and $[P(g)g(qz + c)]^{(k)}$ share the 1 IM, then the conclusion of theorem 1.9 still holds.

In this paper, we replace the term $f(qz + c)$ and $g(qz + c)$ in Theorem 9 and Theorem10 and obtained the following results.

Theorem 11. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions of zero order, q_j and c_j are complex constants, $q_j \neq 0$ ($j = 1$ to d) and let k, n be positive integers. Let $P(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} \dots + a_1 z + a_0$ be a non-constant polynomial with degree n . If $n \geq 4k + 2\lambda_{b_0} + s(2k + 1) + 4$, $[P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f^s)]^{(k)}$ and $[P(g)\mathcal{L}(z, g^s)]^{(k)}$ share the 1 CM, then:

- (i) $f(z) = tg(z)$ for a constant t such that $t^d = 1$, where $d = GCD(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)$,
- (ii) $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) \equiv 0$, where

$$R(w_1, w_2) = P(w_1)\mathcal{L}(z, w_1)^s - P(w_2)\mathcal{L}(z, w_2)^s.$$

Theorem 12. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions of zero order, q_j and c_j are complex constants, $q_j \neq 0$ ($j = 1$ to d) and let k, n be positive integers. Let $P(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} \dots + a_1 z + a_0$ be a non-constant polynomial with degree n . If $n \geq 10k + 8\lambda_{b_0} + s(2k + 1) + 4$, $[P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f^s)]^{(k)}$ and $[P(g)\mathcal{L}(z, g^s)]^{(k)}$ share the 1 IM, then the conclusion of Theorem 1.11 still holds.

Example 1. Let $f(z) = \sin(z)$ and $g(z) = \cos(z)$, $q = 1$, $k = 0$, $c = 2\pi$. Hence we have $n \geq 8\lambda_{b_0} + s + 4$ and $[P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f^s)]^{(k)} = [P(g)\mathcal{L}(z, g^s)]^{(k)}$. Therefore $[P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f^s)]^{(k)}$ and $[P(g)\mathcal{L}(z, g^s)]^{(k)}$ share 1 CM. Clearly, we get $f = tg$ for a constant t such that $t^d = 1$, where $d = GCD(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)$.

Example 2. Let $P(z) = (z - 1)^6(z + 1)^6 z^{11}$, $f(z) = \sin(z)$, $g(z) = \cos(z)$. Take $s = 1 = q$, $c = 2\pi$, $k = 0$ then it is easy to verify that, $[P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f^s)]^{(k)}$ and $[P(g)\mathcal{L}(z, g^s)]^{(k)}$ share 1 CM. Here f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) = 0$, i.e.,

$$P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s - P(g)\mathcal{L}(z, g)^s = 0.$$

2 Lemmas

In this section, we summarize some lemmas, which will be used to prove our main results.

Lemma 1. [14] Let $f(z)$ be a non-constant zero order meromorphic function and let q, c be a nonzero complex number. Then on a set of logarithmic density 1, we have

$$m\left(r, \frac{f(qz + c)}{f(z)}\right) = S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2. [22] Let $f(z)$ be a non-constant meromorphic function of zero order and let q, c be two nonzero complex constants. Then on a set of logarithmic density 1, we have

$$N(r, f(qz + c)) = N(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(qz + c)}\right) = N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 3. [22] Let $f(z)$ be a non-constant meromorphic function of zero order and let q, c be two nonzero complex constants. Then on a set of logarithmic density 1, we have

$$T(r, f(qz + c)) = T(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 4. [25] Let $f(z)$ be a non-constant meromorphic function, then

$$T(r, P_n(f)) = T(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 5. [11] Let $f(z)$ be a non-constant meromorphic function, and let p, k be a positive integers. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f^{(k)}) &\leq T(r, f) + k\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f), \\ N_p\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) &\leq T(r, f^{(k)}) - T(r, f) + N_{p+k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f), \\ N_p\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) &\leq k\bar{N}(r, f) + N_{p+k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6. [24] Let F and G be non-constant meromorphic functions. If F and G share 1 CM, then one of the following three cases holds:

- (i) $\max\{T(r, F), T(r, G)\} \leq N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2(r, F) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + N_2(r, G) + S(r, F) + S(r, G)$.
- (ii) $F \equiv G$.
- (iii) $F \cdot G \equiv 1$.

Lemma 7. [21] Let F and G be non-constant meromorphic function sharing the value 1 IM. Let

$$H = \frac{F''}{F'} - 2\frac{F'}{F-1} - \frac{G''}{G'} + 2\frac{G'}{G-1}.$$

If $H \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) + T(r, G) &\leq 2\left(N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2(r, f) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + N_2(r, G)\right) \\ &\quad + 3\left(\bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}(r, G) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)\right) + S(r, F) + S(r, G). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 8. Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function of zero order and $F = P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s$, $q_j (\neq 0)$ are complex constants, n, d be a positive integers. Then

$$(n-s)T(r, f) + S(r, f) \leq T(r, F).$$

Proof . From first fundamental theorem, Lemma 4 and Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (n+1)T(r, f) &= T(r, P(f(z))) + S(r, f) \leq T\left(r, \frac{f(z)F}{\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s}\right) + S(r, f), \\ &\leq T(r, F) + T\left(r, \frac{\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s}{f(z)}\right) + S(r, f), \\ &\leq T(r, F) + m\left(r, \frac{\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s}{f(z)}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s}{f(z)}\right) + S(r, f), \\ &\leq T(r, F) + (s+1)T(r, f) + S(r, f), \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(n-s)T(r, f) + S(r, f) \leq T(r, F)$ on a set of logarithmic density 1. \square

Lemma 9. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function of zero order. If $n \geq 2k + 2\lambda + (k+1)(1+d) + d + 2$ and

$$[P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s]^{(k)} = [P(g)\mathcal{L}(z, g)^s]^{(k)}. \quad (2.1)$$

Then

- (i) $f(z) = tg(z)$ for a constant t such that $t^d = 1$, where $d = \text{GCD}(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)$,
- (ii) $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) \equiv 0$, where

$$R(w_1, w_2) = P(w_1)\mathcal{L}(z, w_1)^s - P(w_2)\mathcal{L}(z, w_2)^s.$$

Proof . From (2.1), we have

$$P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s = P(g)\mathcal{L}(z, g)^s + \alpha(z),$$

where $\alpha(z)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $k - 1$. Suppose $\alpha(z) \equiv 0$, then we get

$$\frac{P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s}{\alpha(z)} = \frac{P(g)\mathcal{L}(z, g)^s}{\alpha(z)} + 1$$

Therefore from Lemma 8, and the second fundamental Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n-s)T(r, f) &\leq T\left(r, \frac{P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s}{\alpha(z)}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s}{\alpha(z)}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{\alpha(z)}{P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{\alpha(z)}{P(g)\mathcal{L}(z, g)^s}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{P(g)\mathcal{L}(z, g)^s}\right) + S(r, f), \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, f) + sT(r, f) + T(r, f) + \lambda_{b_0}T(r, f) + T(r, g) + \lambda T(r, g) + S(r, f), \\ &\leq [\lambda_{b_0} + s + 2]T(r, f) + [1 + \lambda_{b_0}]T(r, g) + S(r, f). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Similarly,

$$(n-s)T(r, g) \leq [\lambda_{b_0} + s + 2]T(r, g) + [1 + \lambda]T(r, f) + S(r, g). \quad (2.3)$$

From (2.2) and (2.3), we obtain

$$[n - 2\lambda_{b_0} - 2s - 3]\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

This is a contradiction to $n > 2k + 2\lambda_{b_0} + (k + 1)(1 + s) + s + 2$. Therefore $\alpha(z) \equiv 0$, which implies that

$$P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s = P(g)\mathcal{L}(z, g)^s. \quad (2.4)$$

That is

$$(a_n f^n + a_{n-1} f^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 f + a_0)(\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s) = (a_n g^n + a_{n-1} g^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 g + a_0)(\mathcal{L}(z, g)^s)^{v_j}.$$

Letting $h(z) = \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}$, we consider the following cases

Case 1. If $h(z)$ is a constant then substituting $f(z) = h(z)g(z)$ in (2.4), we have

$$(a_n (gh)^n + a_{n-1} (gh)^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 (gh) + a_0)(\mathcal{L}(z, g)^s \mathcal{L}(z, g)) = (a_n g^n + a_{n-1} g^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 g + a_0)(\mathcal{L}(z, g)^s).$$

This implies that

$$\mathcal{L}(z, g)^s [a_n g^n (h^{n+\lambda} - 1) + a_{n-1} g^{n-1} (h^{n+\lambda-1} - 1) + \cdots + a_0 (h^\lambda - 1)] = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

where a_n is non-zero complex constant and $\mathcal{L}(z, g)^s \neq 0$, since $g(z)$ is non-constant meromorphic function, then from (2.5)

$$a_n g^n (h^{n+\lambda} - 1) + a_{n-1} g^{n-1} (h^{n+\lambda-1} - 1) + \cdots + a_0 (h^\lambda - 1) = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

If $a_n (\neq 0)$ and $a_{n-1} = a_{n-2} = \cdots = a_1 = a_0 = 0$ then from (2.6) and g is non-constant meromorphic function, we get $h^{n+\lambda} - 1 = 0$ implies $h^{n+\lambda} = 1$. If $a_n (\neq 0)$ and there exist $a_i \neq 0$ [$i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$]. Suppose that $h^{n+\lambda} \neq 1$, from (2.6), we have $T(r, g) = S(r, g)$. Which is contradiction with transcendental function g . Then $h^{n+\lambda} = 1$, similar to this discussion we can see that $h^{n+\lambda} = 1$, where $a_j \neq 0$, for some $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$. Thus we have $f(z) = tg(z)$, for a constant t such that $t^d = 1$, where $d = \text{GCD}(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n)$.

Case 2. Suppose $h(z)$ is not constant, then $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f(z), g(z)) \equiv 0$, where

$$R(w_1, w_2) = P(w_1)\mathcal{L}(z, w_1)^s - P(w_2)\mathcal{L}(z, w_2)^s.$$

□

3 Proof of the Theorems

Theorem 1.11

Proof . Let $F = [P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s]^{(k)}$ and $G = [P(g)\mathcal{L}(z, g)^s]^{(k)}$. Thus F and G share the value 1 CM. From Lemma 5 and f is a transcendental meromorphic function, then

$$T(r, F) \leq T(r, P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s) + k\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s). \quad (3.1)$$

combining (3.1) with Lemma 8, we have $S(r, F) = S(r, f)$. We also have $S(r, G) = S(r, g)$, from the same reason as above, from Lemma 5 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) &= N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{[P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s]^{(k)}}\right) \\ &\leq T(r, F) - T(r, P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s) + N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s}\right) + S(r, f). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Thus, from Lemma 8 and (3.2) we get

$$(n-s)T(r, f) \leq T(r, F) - N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s}\right) + S(r, f). \quad (3.3)$$

We have

$$\bar{N}(r, F) \leq (1+s)T(r, f) + S(r, f), \quad (3.4)$$

$$N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) \leq (k+2 + \lambda_{b_0})T(r, f) + S(r, f), \quad (3.5)$$

$$N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) \leq (k+1 + \lambda_{b_0})T(r, f) + S(r, f). \quad (3.6)$$

Similarly,

$$\bar{N}(r, G) \leq (1+s)T(r, g) + S(r, g), \quad (3.7)$$

$$N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \leq (k+2 + \lambda_{b_0})T(r, g) + S(r, g), \quad (3.8)$$

$$N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \leq (k+1 + \lambda_{b_0})T(r, g) + S(r, g). \quad (3.9)$$

From Lemma 5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) &\leq N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + k\bar{N}(r, F) + S(r, F), \\ &\leq N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s}\right) + k\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f), \\ &\leq (k+2)N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s}\right) + k\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f), \\ &\leq (k+2 + \lambda_{b_0} + k(1+s))T(r, f) + S(r, f). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Similarly,

$$N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \leq (k+2 + \lambda_{b_0} + k(1+s))T(r, g) + S(r, g). \quad (3.11)$$

If Lemma 6 is satisfied, which implies that

$$\max\{T(r, F), T(r, G)\} \leq N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2(r, F) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + N_2(r, G) + S(r, F) + S(r, G).$$

Thus, combining (3.10) and (3.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (n-s)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} &\leq 2[N(r, f) + N(r, g)] + 2N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s}\right) \\ &\quad + 2N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{P(g)\mathcal{L}(z, g)^s}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g), \\ &\leq 2(k+2 + \lambda_{b_0} + k(1+s))\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

Which is contradiction with $n \geq 4k + 2\lambda_{b_0} + s(2k+1) + 4$. Hence $F = G$ or $FG = 1$. From Lemma 9, we get $f(z) = tg(z)$, for a constant t such that $t^d = 1$, where $d = GCD(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)$, and $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f(z), g(z)) \equiv 0$, where

$$R(w_1, w_2) = P(w_1)\mathcal{L}(z, w_1)^s - P(w_2)\mathcal{L}(z, w_2)^s.$$

□

Theorem 1.12

Proof . Let $F = [P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s]^{(k)}$ and $G = [P(g)\mathcal{L}(z, g)^s]^{(k)}$. Let H be defined as in Lemma 7. Assume that $H \not\equiv 0$, from Lemma 5, we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) + T(r, G) &\leq 2\left[N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + N_2(r, F) + N_2(r, G)\right] \\ &\leq \left[\overline{N}(r, F) + \overline{N}(r, G) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)\right] + S(r, F) + S(r, G). \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Combining (3.10)-(3.11) and Lemma 5, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (n-d)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] &\leq T(r, F) + T(r, G) - N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) - N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + 2N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s}\right) \\ &\quad + 2N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{P(g)\mathcal{L}(z, g)^s}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g), \\ &\leq 2[N(r, f) + N(r, g)] + 2N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{P(f)\mathcal{L}(z, f)^s}\right) \\ &\quad + 2N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{P(g)\mathcal{L}(z, g)^s}\right) + 3\left[\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)\right] + S(r, f) + S(r, g), \\ &\leq 2(k+2 + \lambda_{b_0} + k(1+s))\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + 3(2k + 2\lambda_{b_0})\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \\ &\quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq (10k + 8\lambda_{b_0} + 2ks + 4)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

Which is contradiction with $n \geq 10k + 8\lambda_{b_0} + s(2k+1) + 4$. Thus we get $H \equiv 0$. Therefore, we get $F = G$ or $FG = 1$. From Lemma 9, we get $f(z) = tg(z)$, for a constant t such that $t^d = 1$, where $d = GCD(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)$, and $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f(z), g(z)) \equiv 0$, where

$$R(w_1, w_2) = P(w_1)\mathcal{L}(z, w_1)^s - P(w_2)\mathcal{L}(z, w_2)^s.$$

□

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to express their sincere thanks to the Communicated Editor and reviewers for the valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] A. Banerjee, *Meromorphic functions sharing one value*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **22** (2005), 3587–3598.
- [2] A. Banerjee and M. Basir Ahamed, *Results on meromorphic function sharing two sets with its linear-difference operator*, J. Contemp. Math. Anal. **55** (2020), 143–155.
- [3] C.N. Chaithra, S.H. Naveenkumar, and H.R. Jayarama, *Further results about the transcendental meromorphic solution of a special Fermat-type equation*, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. (2023). 10.22075/ijnaa.2023.29809.4266
- [4] G. Haldar, *Some further q -shift difference results on Hayman conjecture*, Rend. Circ. Mate. Palermo Ser. 2 **71** (2022), 887–907.
- [5] R.G. Halburd and R.J. Korhonen, *Difference analogue of the lemma on the logarithmic derivative with applications to difference equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **314** (2006), 477–487.
- [6] R.G. Halburd and R.J. Korhonen, *Nevanlinna theory for the difference operator*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **31** (2006), no. 2, 463–478.
- [7] W.K. Hayman, *Picard values of meromorphic functions and their derivatives*, Ann. Math. **70** (1959), 9–42.
- [8] W.K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, Vol. 78, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [9] H.R. Jayarama, S.H. Naveenkumar, and C.N. Chaithra, *Uniqueness of certain differential polynomials with finite weight*, J. Fractional Calculus Appl. **14** (2023), 1–13.
- [10] I. Lahiri and S. Dewan, *Value distribution of the product of a meromorphic function and its derivative*, Kodai Math. J. **26** (2003), 95–100.
- [11] P. Li and C.-C. Yang, *Some further results on the unique range sets of meromorphic functions*, Kodai Math. J. **18** (1995), 437–450.
- [12] K. Liu, X.-L. Liu, and T.-B. Cao, *Uniqueness and zeros of q -shift difference polynomials*, Proc. Math. Sci. **121** (2011), 301–310.
- [13] K. Liu, X.-L. Liu, and T.-B. Cao, *Some results on zeros distributions and uniqueness of derivatives of difference polynomials*, Ann. Polonici Math. **109** (2012), 137–150.
- [14] K. Liu and X.-G. Qi, *Meromorphic solutions of q -shift difference equations*, Ann. Polonici Math. **101** (2011), 215–225.
- [15] S. Mallick and M. Basir Ahamed, *On uniqueness of a meromorphic function and its higher difference operators sharing two sets*, Anal. Math. Phys. **12** (2022), 78.
- [16] S.H. Naveenkumar, C.N. Chaithra, and H.R. Jayarama, *On the transcendental solution of the Fermat type q -shift equation*, Electronic J. Math. Anal. Appl. **11** (2023), 1-7.
- [17] P. Sahoo and H. Karmakar, *Uniqueness results related to certain q -shift difference polynomials*, Ann. Alexandru Ioan Cuza Univ. Math. **2** (2018), 389–403.
- [18] P. Sahoo and B. Saha, *Some results on uniqueness of meromorphic functions sharing a polynomial*, Tbilisi Math. J. **9** (2016), 59–70.
- [19] H.P. Waghmare and S. Rajeshwari, *Uniqueness of difference polynomials of meromorphic functions*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. **107** (2016), 971–981.
- [20] H.P. Waghmare and A. Tanuja, *Uniqueness of difference polynomials of meromorphic functions*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. **105** (2013), no. 3, 227–236.
- [21] J. Xu and H. Yi, *Uniqueness of entire functions and differential polynomials*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **18** (2007), 623–629.
- [22] J. Xu and X. Zhang, *The zeros of q -shift difference polynomials of meromorphic functions*, Adv. Differ. Equ. **200** (2012), 1–10.
- [23] L. Yang, *Value Distribution Theory*, Springer, 1993.
- [24] C.-C. Yang and X. Hua, *Uniqueness and value-sharing of meromorphic functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fen. Ser. A1

- Math. **22** (1997), 395–406.
- [25] C.C. Yang and H.X. Yi, *Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic Functions*, Mathematics and its Applications, Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, 2003.
- [26] H.-X. Yi, *Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic Functions*, Pure Appl. Math. Monographs, Science Press, 1995.
- [27] J. Zhang and R. Korhonen, *On the nevanlinna characteristic of $f(qz)$ and its applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **139** (2010), 5376–544.
- [28] J. Zhang and L. Yang, *Entire solutions of q -difference equations and value distribution of q -difference polynomials*, Ann. Polonici Math. **109** (2013), 39–46.