Some fixed point theorems satisfying generalized contraction conditions in dislocated quasi metric space

Nikita Mittal[a], Pawan Kumar[b,*], Zaheer Kareem Ansari[c], Aparna Vyas[a]

[a]*Department of Sciences (Mathematics), Manav Rachna University, Faridabad-121004, India*

[b]*Department of Mathematics, Ram Lal Anand College, University of Delhi, South Campus, Anand Niketan, Delhi-110021, India*

[c]*Department of Applied Sciences and Humanities, IMS Engineering College, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh-201015, India*

(Communicated by Shahram Saeidi)

Abstract

The goal of this research article is to study some fixed point results in dislocated quasi metric spaces. These results are proved for certain generalized contraction conditions involving linear and rational expressions. Our results extend and generalize some of the well-known fixed point results of the literature available in fixed point theory. Suitable examples of the established results are also given.

Keywords: Fixed point,; contraction mapping, complete metric space, dislocated quasi metric space, Cauchy sequence
2020 MSC: 47H10, 54H25

1 Introduction

Fixed point theory is one of the most progressive and fascinating research areas in nonlinear analysis. Several researchers have put forward many results related to fixed point theory in different spaces. Fixed point theory deals with existence and uniqueness of fixed point. The first crucial result was given by Banach in 1922 for a contraction mapping in a complete metric space known as Banach contraction principle. It has numerous applications in different branches of mathematics such as differential and integral equation, numerical analysis etc. Dass and Gupta[3] gave a generalization of Banach contraction principle in a metric space for some rational type contraction conditions.

Hitzler and Seda [4] introduced the notion of dislocated metric space, a generalization of metrics where the distance of a point from itself need not be zero. This concept was not new as it was studied in the context of domain theory [9] under the name of metric domains. Zeyada et al. [13] presented the notion of dislocated quasi metric space and generalized the results of Hitzler and Seda [4] in this space. These metrics play an important role in topology and in other branches of science particularly in logic programming and electronic engineering. Recently, different types of contraction mappings and generalization of Banach contraction principle in these spaces is studied by Isufati [6], Aage and Salunke [1], Kohli et al. [8], Zoto et al. [14] and many more authors.

*Corresponding author
Email addresses: nikitaiit2007@gmail.com (Nikita Mittal), kpawan990@gmail.com (Pawan Kumar), zkansari@rediffmail.com (Zaheer Kareem Ansari), aparna@mru.edu.in (Aparna Vyas)

2 Preliminaries

The objective of this section is to introduce some basic definitions with examples and results which will further be needed to understand next section.

Definition 2.1 ([13]). Let X be a nonempty set and let $\tau : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying the following conditions:

(τ_1) $\tau(x, x) = 0$;
(τ_2) $\tau(x, y) = \tau(y, x) = 0$, implies that $x = y$;
(τ_3) $\tau(x, y) = \tau(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
(τ_4) $\tau(x, y) \leq \tau(x, z) + \tau(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

If τ satisfies the conditions from (τ_1) to (τ_4),then τ is called a metric on X. If it satisfies the conditions (τ_1), (τ_2) and (τ_4), it is called a quasi-metric on X. If τ satisfies conditions (τ_2), (τ_3), (τ_4), it is called a dislocated metric (or simply τ -metric) on X and if τ satisfies only (τ_2) and (τ_4) then it is called a dislocated quasi-metric (or simply τq-metric) on X.

Nonempty set X together with τq-metric τ,i.e. (X, τ) is called a dislocated quasi-metric space. By definition every metric on X is a dislocated metric on X, however the converse is not necessarily true as illustrated in following example.

Example 2.2 ([11]). Let $X = [0, \infty)$ and define the distance function $\tau : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ by

$$\tau(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}, \forall x, y \in X$$

.

Example 2.3 ([11]). Let $X = [0, 1]$, we define the distance function $\tau : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ by

$$\tau(x, y) = |x|, \forall x, y \in X$$

.

From example 2.3, we note that a dislocated quasi metric on X need not be dislocated metric on X.

Definition 2.4 ([13]). Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in dislocated quasi metric space (X, τ) then

1. $\{x_n\}$ is known as τq-convergent to $x \in X$ if $\lim_{n \to \infty} \tau(x_n, x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \tau(x, x_n) = 0$.
   In this case x is called τq-limit of $\{x_n\}$ and we write $x_n \to x$.
2. $\{x_n\}$ is known as Cauchy sequence in (X, τ) if for given $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists n_0 \in N$ such that

$$\forall m, n \geq n_0, \ \tau(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon \text{ or } \tau(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$$

   that is, $\lim_{m,n \to \infty} \tau(x_m, x_n) = \lim_{m,n \to \infty} \tau(x_n, x_m) = 0$.
   In above definition, if we replace $\tau(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon$ or $\tau(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ by $max\{\tau(x_m, x_n), \tau(x_n, x_m)\} < \epsilon$, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in τq-metric space (X, τ) is called 'bi' Cauchy.
3. A τq-metric space (X, τ) is called complete if every Cauchy sequence is a τq- convergent sequence.

Proposition 2.5 ([13]). Every convergent sequence in a τq- metric space is 'bi' Cauchy.

Lemma 2.6 ([13]). Every subsequence of τq- convergent sequence to a point a_0 is τq- convergent to a_0.

Lemma 2.7 ([13]). τq- limits in τq- metric spaces are unique.

Definition 2.8 ([13]). Let (X, τ) be a τq-metric space. A function $f : X \to X$ is called a contraction if there exists $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ such that

$$\tau(f(x), f(y)) \leq \alpha \tau(x, y), \forall x, y \in X$$

.

Lemma 2.9. Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence in a τq-metric space (X, τ) such that

$$\tau(a_n, a_{n+1}) \leq h\tau(a_{n-1}, a_n),$$

where, $0 \leq h < 1$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then $\{a_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, τ).

Proof . Let $n > m \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(a_m, a_n) &\leq \tau(a_m, a_{m+1}) + \tau(a_{m+1}, a_{m+2}) + \cdots\cdots + \tau(a_{n-1}, a_n) \\
&\leq (h^m + h^{m+1} + \cdots + h^{n-1})\tau(a_0, a_1) \\
&= h^m(1 + h + h^2 + \cdots + h^{n-m-1})\tau(a_0, a_1) \\
&\leq \frac{h^m}{1-h}\tau(a_0, a_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq h < 1, h^m \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$. Therefore, $\{a_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, τ).

Definition 2.10 ([2]). Let (X, d) be a metric space, a self mapping $T : X \to X$ is called a generalized contraction if and only if for every $x, y \in X$, there exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$ such that $sup\{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 : x, y \in X\} < 1$ and

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha_1 d(x, y) + \alpha_2 d(x, Tx) + \alpha_3 d(y, Ty) + \alpha_4[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)]. \tag{2.1}$$

Ćirić [2] proved a unique fixed point theorem for a self mapping which satisfies condition (2.1) in context of metric spaces.

3 Main Results

In this section we establish some fixed point theorems for single and pair of continuous self mappings under different contraction conditions using linear and rational expression in dislocated quasi metric spaces. Our results extend and generalize some well-known existing results in the literature in τq-metric space. Our results generalize that of Lj.B.Ciric [2] .

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, τ) be a complete τq-metric space and $T : X \to X$ be a continuous function satisfying the following condition:

$$\tau(Tx, Ty) \leq a_1\tau(x, y) + a_2[\tau(x, Tx) + \tau(y, Ty)] + a_3[\tau(x, Ty) + \tau(y, Tx)] + a_4\left[\frac{\tau(x, y)\tau(x, Ty)}{\tau(x, y) + \tau(y, Ty)}\right] + a_5\left[\frac{\tau(x, Ty)\tau(y, Ty)}{\tau(x, y) + \tau(y, Ty)}\right]$$
$$\tag{3.1}$$

where $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 \geq 0$ with $a_1 + 2a_2 + 4a_3 + a_4 + a_5 < 1$ for all $x, y \in X$, then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof . Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X using Picard's iteration as follows:

Let x_0 be any arbitrary element in $X, x_1 = T(x_0), x_2 = T(x_1), \cdots, x_{n+1} = T(x_n), \cdots$. Assume that $x_{n+1} \neq x_n$ for any n, because if for any n, $x_{n+1} = x_n$, then x_n is a fixed point and there is no need to go further. From (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) =&\tau(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \\
\leq& a_1\tau(x_n, x_{n+1}) + a_2[\tau(x_n, Tx_n) + \tau(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1})] + a_3[\tau(x_n, Tx_{n+1}) + \tau(x_{n+1}, Tx_n)] \\
&+ a_4\left[\frac{\tau(x_n, x_{n+1})\tau(x_n, Tx_{n+1})}{\tau(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \tau(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1})}\right] + a_5\left[\frac{\tau(x_n, Tx_{n+1})\tau(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1})}{\tau(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \tau(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1})}\right] \\
\leq& a_1\tau(x_n, x_{n+1}) + a_2\tau(x_n, x_{n+1}) + a_2\tau(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + a_3[\tau(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \tau(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \\
&+ \tau(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \tau(x_n, x_{n+1})] + a_4\tau(x_n, x_{n+1}) + a_5\tau(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \\
\leq& \frac{[a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4]}{[1 - (a_2 + 2a_3 + a_5)]}\tau(x_n, x_{n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $k = \frac{[a_1+a_2+2a_3+a_4]}{[1-(a_2+2a_3+a_5)]}$. Observe that $0 \leq k < 1$ since $a_1 + 2a_2 + 4a_3 + a_4 + a_5 < 1$. Therefore, $\tau(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq k\tau(x_n, x_{n+1})$. Similarly, $\tau(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq k\tau(x_{n-1}, x_n)$, so we get $\tau(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq k^2\tau(x_{n-1}, x_n)$. Proceeding like this,

we get $\tau(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq k^{n+1} \tau(x_0, x_1)$. Since $0 \leq k < 1 \Rightarrow k^{n+1} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. By Lemma 2.9, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in complete τq-metric space X. So there is a point $p \in X$ such that p is the τq-limit of $\{x_n\}$, that is $x_n \to p$. Since T is continuous, $\lim_{n \to \infty} T x_n = T p$ implies that $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{n+1} = T p$. Thus, $T p = p$. Hence p is a fixed point of T.

Uniqueness: If $p \in X$ is a fixed point of T then by condition (3.1), we have

$$\tau(p, p) = \tau(T p, T p)$$

$$\leq a_1 \tau(p, p) + a_2[\tau(p, p) + \tau(p, p)] + a_3[\tau(p, p) + \tau(p, p)] + a_4 \left[\frac{\tau(p, p) \tau(p, p)}{\tau(p, p) + \tau(p, p)} \right] + a_5 \left[\frac{\tau(p, p) \tau(p, p)}{\tau(p, p) + \tau(p, p)} \right]$$

$$\leq (a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3 + \frac{a_4}{2} + \frac{a_5}{2}) \tau(p, p).$$

Since $0 \leq (a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3 + \frac{a_4}{2} + \frac{a_5}{2}) < 1$ and $\tau(p, p) \geq 0$, we have, $\tau(p, p) = 0$. Thus, $\tau(p, p) = 0$, if p is a fixed point of T. Suppose that p and q are two fixed points of $T(p \neq q)$, that is, $p = T p$ and $q = T q$. We have,

$$\tau(p, q) = \tau(T p, T q)$$

$$\leq a_1 \tau(p, q) + a_2[\tau(p, T p) + \tau(q, T q)] + a_3[\tau(p, T q) + \tau(q, T p)] + a_4 \left[\frac{\tau(p, q) \tau(p, T q)}{\tau(p, q) + \tau(q, T q)} \right] + a_5 \left[\frac{\tau(p, T q) \tau(q, T q)}{\tau(p, q) + \tau(q, T q)} \right]$$

$$\leq [a_1 + a_3 + a_4] \tau(p, q) + a_3 \tau(q, p). \tag{3.2}$$

Similarly,

$$\tau(q, p) \quad \leq [a_1 + a_3 + a_4] \tau(q, p) + a_3 \tau(p, q). \tag{3.3}$$

Subtract (3.3) from (3.2)

$$|\tau(p, q) - \tau(q, p)| \leq |(a_1 + a_3 + a_4) - a_3||\tau(p, q) - \tau(q, p)|$$

$$\leq |a_1 + a_4||\tau(p, q) - \tau(q, p)|$$

Since $|a_1 + a_4| < 1$, we have $|\tau(p, q) - \tau(q, p)| = 0$. This implies that $\tau(p, q) = \tau(q, p)$. Putting $\tau(p, q) = \tau(q, p)$ in (3.2), we get $\tau(p, q) \leq [a_1 + 2a_3 + a_4] \tau(p, q)$. Since $0 \leq a_1 + 2a_3 + a_4 < 1$, we have $\tau(p, q) = 0$. Similarly, $\tau(q, p) = 0$. Hence $p = q$. $\square$

Example 3.2. Let $X = [0, 1]$ and complete τq-metric is defined by $\tau(x, y) = |x|$ for all $x, y \in X$ and define the continuous self mapping $T : X \to X$ by $Tx = \frac{x}{2}$.
Suppose $a_1 = \frac{1}{3}, a_2 = \frac{1}{10}, a_3 = \frac{1}{12}, a_4 = \frac{1}{15}, a_5 = \frac{1}{20}$. Then T satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 3.1, and $x = 0$ is the unique fixed point of T in X.

Theorem 3.3. Let (X, τ) be a complete τq-metric space and $T : X \to X$ be a continuous function satisfying the following condition:

$$\tau(Tx, Ty) \quad \leq \quad b_1 \tau(x, y) + b_2[\tau(x, Tx) + \tau(y, Ty)] \left[\frac{\tau(x, Ty)}{\tau(x, y) + \tau(y, Ty)} \right]$$

$$+ b_3[\tau(x, Ty) + \tau(y, Tx)] \left[\frac{\tau(x, Ty)}{\tau(x, y) + \tau(y, Ty) + \tau(x, Ty)} \right], \tag{3.4}$$

where $b_1, b_2, b_3 \geq 0$ with $b_1 + 2b_2 + 4b_3 < 1$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof . Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X using Picard's iteration as follows:

Let x_0 be any arbitrary element in $X, x_1 = T(x_0), x_2 = T(x_1), \cdots, x_{n+1} = T(x_n), \cdots$. Assume that $x_{n+1} \neq x_n$ for any n, because if for any n, $x_{n+1} = x_n$, then x_n is a fixed point and there is no need to go further. From (3.4), we

have

$$\tau(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) = \tau(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1})$$

$$\leq b_1 \tau(x_n, x_{n+1}) + b_2[\tau(x_n, Tx_n) + \tau(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1})] \left[\frac{\tau(x_n, Tx_{n+1})}{\tau(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \tau(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1})} \right]$$

$$+ b_3[\tau(x_n, Tx_{n+1}) + \tau(x_{n+1}, Tx_n)] \left[\frac{\tau(x_n, Tx_{n+1})}{\tau(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \tau(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}) + \tau(x_n, Tx_{n+1})} \right]$$

$$\leq b_1 \tau(x_n, x_{n+1}) + b_2[\tau(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \tau(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})] + b_3[\tau(x_n, x_{n+2}) + \tau(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})]$$

$$\leq b_1 \tau(x_n, x_{n+1}) + b_2 \tau(x_n, x_{n+1}) + b_2 \tau(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + b_3 \tau(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

$$+ b_3 \tau(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + b_3 \tau(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + b_3 \tau(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

$$\leq \frac{[b_1 + b_2 + 2b_3]}{[1 - (b_2 + 2b_3)]} \tau(x_n, x_{n+1}).$$

Let $k = \frac{[b_1 + b_2 + 2b_3]}{[1 - (b_2 + 2b_3)]}$. Observe that $0 \leq k < 1$ since $b_1 + 2b_2 + 4b_3 < 1$. Therefore, $\tau(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq k\tau(x_n, x_{n+1})$. Similarly, $\tau(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq k\tau(x_{n-1}, x_n)$, so we get $\tau(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq k^2 \tau(x_{n-1}, x_n)$.

Proceeding like this, we get $\tau(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq k^{n+1} \tau(x_0, x_1)$. Since $0 \leq k < 1 \Rightarrow k^{n+1} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. By Lemma 2.9, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in complete τq-metric space X. So there is a point $p \in X$ such that p is the τq-limit of $\{x_n\}$, that is $x_n \to p$. Since T is continuous, we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} Tx_n = Tp$. This implies $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{n+1} = Tp$. Thus, $Tp = p$. Hence p is a fixed point of T.

Uniqueness: If $p \in X$ is a fixed point of T then by condition (3.4), we have

$$\tau(p, p) = \tau(Tp, Tp)$$

$$\leq b_1 \tau(p, p) + b_2[\tau(p, Tp) + \tau(p, Tp)] \left[\frac{\tau(p, Tp)}{\tau(p, p) + \tau(p, Tp)} \right] + b_3[\tau(p, Tp) + \tau(p, Tp)] \left[\frac{\tau(p, Tp)}{\tau(p, p) + \tau(p, Tp) + \tau(p, Tp)} \right]$$

$$\leq \left(b_1 + b_2 + \frac{2}{3}b_3 \right) \tau(p, p).$$

Since $0 \leq (b_1 + b_2 + \frac{2}{3}b_3) < 1$ and $\tau(p, p) \geq 0$, we have, $\tau(p, p) = 0$. Thus, $\tau(p, p) = 0$, if p is a fixed point of T. Suppose that p and q are two fixed points of $T(p \neq q)$, that is, $p = Tp$ and $q = Tq$. We have,

$$\tau(p, q) = \tau(Tp, Tq)$$

$$\leq b_1 \tau(p, q) + b_2[\tau(p, Tp) + \tau(q, Tq)] \left[\frac{\tau(p, Tq)}{\tau(p, q) + \tau(q, Tq)} \right] + b_3[\tau(p, Tq) + \tau(q, Tp)] \left[\frac{\tau(p, Tq)}{\tau(p, q) + \tau(q, Tq) + \tau(p, Tq)} \right]$$

$$\leq [b_1 + \frac{b_3}{2}]\tau(p, q) + \frac{b_3}{2}\tau(q, p). \tag{3.5}$$

Similarly,

$$\tau(q, p) \quad \leq [b_1 + \frac{b_3}{2}]\tau(q, p) + \frac{b_3}{2}\tau(p, q). \tag{3.6}$$

Subtract (3.6) from (3.5), we get

$$|\tau(p, q) - \tau(q, p)| \leq |b_1||\tau(p, q) - \tau(q, p)|$$

Since $|b_1| < 1$, we have $|\tau(p, q) - \tau(q, p)| = 0$. This implies that $\tau(p, q) = \tau(q, p)$. Putting $\tau(p, q) = \tau(q, p)$ in (3.5), we get $\tau(p, q) \leq [b_1 + b_3]\tau(p, q)$. Since $0 \leq b_1 + b_3 < 1$, we have $\tau(p, q) = 0$. Similarly, $\tau(q, p) = 0$. Hence $p = q$. $\square$

Example 3.4. Let $X = [0, 1]$ with a complete τq-metric defined by $\tau(x, y) = |x|$ for all $x, y \in X$ and let $T : X \to X$ such that $Tx = \frac{x}{2}$ be a continuous self mapping. Suppose $b_1 = \frac{21}{50}, b_2 = \frac{1}{10}, b_3 = \frac{1}{12}$. Then T satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 3.2, and $x = 0$ is the unique fixed point of T in X.

Theorem 3.5. Let (X, τ) be a complete τq-metric space and let A and B be two continuous self mapping $A, B : X \to X$ satisfying the following condition:

$$
\tau(Ax, By) \leq c_1 \tau(x, y) + c_2[\tau(x, Ax) + \tau(y, By)] \left[\frac{\tau(x, By)}{\tau(x, y) + \tau(y, By)} \right]
$$
$$
+ c_3[\tau(x, By) + \tau(y, Ax)] \left[\frac{\tau(x, By)}{\tau(x, y) + \tau(y, By) + \tau(x, Ax)} \right], \tag{3.7}
$$

where $c_1, c_2, c_3 \geq 0$ with $c_1 + 2c_2 + 4c_3 < 1$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then A, B has a unique common fixed point.

Proof . Let $x_0 \in X$ be arbitrary, we define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X as follows:

$x_1 = A(x_0)$, $x_2 = B(x_1)$, $x_3 = A(x_2), \cdots$, $x_{2n} = B(x_{2n-1})$, $x_{2n+1} = A(x_{2n}), \cdots$ for all $n \in N$. We will show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. From (3.7) we have,

$$
\tau(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) = \tau(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1})
$$
$$
\leq c_1 \tau(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + c_2[\tau(x_{2n}, Ax_{2n}) + \tau(x_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1})] \left[\frac{\tau(x_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1})}{\tau(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + \tau(x_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1})} \right]
$$
$$
+ c_3[\tau(x_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}) + \tau(x_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n})] \left[\frac{\tau(x_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1})}{\tau(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + \tau(x_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}) + \tau(x_{2n}, Ax_{2n})} \right]
$$
$$
\leq c_1 \tau(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + c_2[\tau(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + \tau(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})]
$$
$$
+ c_3[\tau(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + \tau(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) + \tau(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) + \tau(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})]
$$
$$
\leq \frac{[c_1 + c_2 + 2c_3]}{[1 - (c_2 + 2c_3)]} \tau(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}).
$$

Let $k = \frac{[c_1 + c_2 + 2c_3]}{[1 - (c_2 + 2c_3)]}$. Observe that $0 \leq k < 1$ since $c_1 + 2c_2 + 4c_3 < 1$. Therefore, $\tau(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq k\tau(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$. Similarly, $\tau(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq k\tau(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})$. Proceeding like this, we get $\tau(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq k^{2n+1}\tau(x_0, x_1)$. Since $0 \leq k < 1$, we have, $k^{2n+1} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

By Lemma 2.9, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in complete τq-metric space X. So there is a point $p \in X$ such that p is the τq-limit of $\{x_n\}$, that is $x_n \to p$. Also, the subsequences $\{A(x_{2n})\} \to p$ and $\{B(x_{2n-1})\} \to p$. Since $A, B : X \to X$ are continuous, we get $A(p) = p$ and $B(p) = p$. Thus, p is a fixed point A and B.

Uniqueness of common fixed point: Let $p \in X$ be a fixed point of A and B then by condition (3.7), we have

$$
\tau(p, p) = \tau(Ap, Bp)
$$
$$
\leq c_1 \tau(p, p) + c_2[\tau(p, Ap) + \tau(p, Bp)] \left[\frac{\tau(p, Bp)}{\tau(p, p) + \tau(p, Bp)} \right] + c_3[\tau(p, Bp) + \tau(p, Ap)] \left[\frac{\tau(p, Bp)}{\tau(p, p) + \tau(p, Bp) + \tau(p, Ap)} \right]
$$
$$
\leq \left(c_1 + c_2 + \frac{2}{3}c_3 \right) \tau(p, p).
$$

Since $0 \leq (c_1 + c_2 + \frac{2}{3}c_3) < 1$ and $\tau(p, p) \geq 0$, we have, $\tau(p, p) = 0$. Thus, $\tau(p, p) = 0$, if p is a fixed point of T. Now let p and q be two fixed points of A and B $(p \neq q)$, then we have

$$
\tau(p, q) = \tau(Ap, Bq)
$$
$$
\leq c_1 \tau(p, q) + c_2[\tau(p, Ap) + \tau(q, Bq)] \left[\frac{\tau(p, Bq)}{\tau(p, q) + \tau(q, Bq)} \right] + c_3[\tau(p, Bq) + \tau(q, Ap)] \left[\frac{\tau(p, Bq)}{\tau(p, q) + \tau(q, Bq) + \tau(p, Ap)} \right]
$$
$$
\leq [c_1 + c_3]\tau(p, q) + c_3\tau(q, p). \tag{3.8}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\tau(q, p) \leq [c_1 + c_3]\tau(q, p) + c_3\tau(p, q). \tag{3.9}
$$

Subtract (3.9) from (3.8), we get

$$
|\tau(p, q) - \tau(q, p)| \leq |(c_1 + c_3) - c_3||\tau(p, q) - \tau(q, p)|
$$
$$
\leq |c_1||\tau(p, q) - \tau(q, p)|.
$$

Since $|c_1| < 1$, we have $|\tau(p,q) - \tau(q,p)| = 0$. Hence, $\tau(p,q) = \tau(q,p)$. Putting $\tau(p,q) = \tau(q,p)$ in (3.8), we get $\tau(p,q) \leq [c_1 + 2c_3]\tau(p,q)$. Since $0 \leq c_1 + 2c_3 < 1$, we have $\tau(p,q) = 0$. Similarly, $\tau(q,p) = 0$. Hence $p = q$. $\square$

Example 3.6. Let $X = [0,1]$ with a complete τq-metric defined by $\tau(x,y) = |x|$, for all $x, y \in X$ and let $A, B : X \to X$ be continuous self mappings defined by $Ax = \frac{x}{5}$ and $Tx = 0$ for all $x \in X$. Suppose that $c_1 = \frac{21}{50}, c_2 = \frac{1}{10}, c_3 = \frac{1}{12}$. Then A and B satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 3.3, so $x = 0$ is the unique common fixed point of A and B in X.

References

[1] C.T. Aage and J.N. Salunke, *Some results of fixed point theorem in dislocated quasi-metric spaces*, Bull. Marathadawa Math. Soc. **9** (2008), 1–5.

[2] L.B. Ćirić, *A generalization of Banach's contraction principle*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **45** 1974, 267–273.

[3] B.K. Dass and S. Gupta, *An extension of Banach contraction principle through rational expression*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **6** (1975), no. 12, 1455–1458.

[4] P. Hitzler and A.K. Seda, *Dislocated topologies*, J. Electr. Eng. **51** (2000), no. 12, 3–7.

[5] P. Hitzler, *Generalized metrics and topology in logic programming semantics*, PhD Thesis, National University of Ireland, 2001.

[6] A. Isufati, *Fixed point theorems in dislocated quasi-metric space*, Appl. Math. Sci. **4** (2010), no. 5, 217–233.

[7] R. Kannan, *Some results on fixed points*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. **60** (1968), 71–76.

[8] M. Kohli, R. Shrivastava, and M. Sharma, *Some results on fixed point theorems in dislocated quasi metric space*, Int. J. Theor. Appl. Sci. **2** (2010), 27–28.

[9] S.G. Mathews, *Metrics domain for completeness*, PhD Thesis, Department of Computer Science, University of Warwick, UK, 1986.

[10] S.T. Patel and M. Patel, *Some results of fixed point theorem in dislocated quasi-metric space*, Int. J. Res. Mod. Eng. Technol. **1** (2013), 20–24.

[11] M.U. Rahman and M. Sarwar, *Fixed point results in dislocated quasi-metric spaces*, Int. Math. Forum **9** (2014), 677–682.

[12] A.K. Seda, *Quasi metrics and the semantics of logic programs*, Fund. Inf. **29** (1997), 97–117.

[13] F.M. Zeyada, G.H. Hassan, and M.A. Ahmed, *A generalization of a fixed point theorem due to Hitzler and Seda in dislocated quasi metric spaces*, Arab. J. Sci. Engg. **31** (2006), no. 1A, 111–114.

[14] K. Zoto, E. Houxha, and A. Isufati, *Some new results in dislocated and dislocated quasi metric spaces*, Appl. Math. Sci. **6** (2012), 3519–3526.