

Existence of solutions for a strongly nonlinear $(p(x), q(x))$ -elliptic systems via topological degree

Samira Lecheheb^{a,*}, Abdelhak Fekrache^b

^aLaboratory of Applied Mathematics and History and Didactics of Mathematics "LAMAHS", Department of Mathematics, University of 20 August 1955, Skikda, Algeria

^bFaculty of Sciences, University of 20 August 1955, Skikda, Algeria

(Communicated by Abdolrahman Razani)

Abstract

This article is concerned with the study of the existence of a distributional solution for a strongly nonlinear $(p(x), q(x))$ -elliptic systems. By means of the Berkovits degree theory, with suitable assumptions on the nonlinearities, we prove the existence of nontrivial solutions to our problem.

Keywords: Topological degree, Strangly nonlinear elliptic system, $p(x)$ -Laplacian, Generalized Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces

2020 MSC: 35J60, 35J67, 47H11

1 Introduction

In this article, we are interested in studying the existence of a distributional solution for the strongly nonlinear elliptic system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p(x)}(u) = \lambda|u|^{r(x)-2}u + g(x, v, \nabla v) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta_{q(x)}(v) = \mu|v|^{s(x)-2}v + h(x, u, \nabla u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $-\Delta_{p(x)}(u) = -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u)$ is $p(x)$ -Laplacian, the functions $p, q, r, s \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ with $p(\cdot), q(\cdot)$ are log-Hölder continuous functions and λ, μ are a real parameters. We assume also that $2 < r^- \leq r(x) \leq r^+ < p^- \leq p(x) \leq p^+ < \infty$ and $2 < s^- \leq s(x) \leq s^+ < q^- \leq q(x) \leq q^+ < \infty$.

In recent years, the study of partial differential equations and variational problems involving variable exponent conditions is a very attractive topic and has been received considerable attention of many authors in this area of resarch (see [9, 10, 11, 13, 18, 20, 21, 24, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33]). This is partly due to their various applications in various fields such as image processing [19], mathematical biology [16], elastic mechanics [40], stratigraphy problems

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: lecheheb.samira24@gmail.com; s.lecheheb@univ-skikda.dz (Samira Lecheheb), abde.fek@gmail.com (Abdelhak Fekrache)

[17] and electro-rheological fluids [1]. On the existence results for elliptic systems similar to (1.1), we refer [23, 35, 38] and references therein. In [4], the researchers proved the existence of weak solutions for the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{p(x)}(u) = \lambda|u|^{q(x)-2}u + f(x, u, \nabla u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

The proofs based on the recent Berkovits topological degree. In [14] Fan and Zhang considered the existence of weak solutions of the problem (1.2) with $\lambda = 0$ and f independent of ∇u . They present several existence results of weak solutions for problem. Their results are extensions of that of p -Laplacian problems. This same problem has been studied in [37]. Using critical point theory without the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition, they obtain a couple of existence results of strong solutions. In [34], the authors solved the problem (1.2) with the right hand side is $\lambda f(x, u)$, under appropriate assumptions on f and g , they establish the existence and multiplicity of solutions. This existence is obtained by using the variational method. The similar problem that the problem (1.2) has been studied in [12]. The difference is that in [12] considered the case that the function $p(\cdot) = q(\cdot)$ and $f \equiv 0$. In [3], the authors studied the above problem with $\lambda = 0$. Using the topological degree theory for a class of demicontinuous operators of generalised (S_+) type, they obtain the existence results of at least weak solutions. The authors in [24] generalized these results to the system. More precisely, they studied the existence of solutions in the variational frame work by using the topological degree constructed by Kim and Hong [21]. For more details about this method, the reader can see [2, 6, 7, 25].

In our research, we concentrate our efforts to study the existence of distributional solutions for the system (1.1). This existence have been given by the topological degree method. Precisely, the existence of distributional solutions under suitable assumptions on the nonlinearities, has been discussed. These results are extensions of those in [4].

Our paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we present some classes of mappings and topological degree, some basic properties of the variable exponent Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces and we collect several important properties of $p(x)$ -Laplacian which will be later needed. Section 3 deals with the basic assumptions and the main results concerning the distributional solutions of system (1.1).

Notation. Throughout this paper, we will denoted by " \rightarrow " and " \rightharpoonup " the strong and weak convergence. We use $B_R(a)$ to denote the open ball in the Banach space X of radius $R > 0$ centered at a . The symbol " \hookrightarrow " means the continuous embedding.

2 Preliminaries

In order to discus system (1.1), we need some elementary results and theories on topological degree and on the variable-exponent Lebesgue-Soboleve spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. Firstly, we state some classes of mappings and topological degree, secondly, we recall basic properties of spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. Finally, we give some properties of $(p(x), q(x))$ -Laplacian operators which will be used later.

2.1 Some classes of mappings and topological degree

Definition 2.1. Let X and Y be two real separable, reflexive Banach spaces and Ω a nonempty subset of X . A mapping $F : \Omega \subset X \rightarrow Y$ is

- bounded, if it takes any bounded set into a bounded set.
- demicontinuous, if for each $u \in \Omega$ and any sequence (u_n) in Ω , $u_n \rightarrow u$ implies $F(u_n) \rightharpoonup F(u)$.
- compact, if it is continuous and the image of any bounded set is relatively compact.

Definition 2.2. Let X be a real separable reflexive Banach space with dual space X^* . An operator $F : \Omega \subset X \rightarrow X^*$ is said to be

- of class (S_+) , if for any sequence (u_n) in Ω with $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ and $\limsup \langle Fu_n, u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$, we have $u_n \rightarrow u$.
- quasimonotone, if for any sequence (u_n) in Ω with $u_n \rightharpoonup u$, we have $\limsup \langle Fu_n, u_n - u \rangle \geq 0$.

Definition 2.3. Let $T : \Omega_1 \subset X \rightarrow X^*$ be a bounded mapping such that $\Omega \subset \Omega_1$. For any mapping $F : \Omega \subset X \rightarrow X$, we say that

- F of class $(S_+)_T$, if for any sequence (u_n) in Ω with $u_n \rightharpoonup u$, $y_n := Tu_n \rightharpoonup y$ and $\limsup \langle Fu_n, y_n - y \rangle \leq 0$, we have $u_n \rightarrow u$.
- F has the property $(QM)_T$, if for any sequence (u_n) in Ω with $u_n \rightharpoonup u$, $y_n := Tu_n \rightharpoonup y$, we have $\limsup \langle Fu_n, y_n - y \rangle \geq 0$.

Now, let \mathcal{O} be the collection of all bounded open set in X . For any $\Omega \subset X$, we consider the following classes of operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_1(\Omega) &:= \{F : \Omega \rightarrow X^* | F \text{ is bounded, demicontinuous and of class } (S_+)\}, \\ \mathcal{F}_{T,B}(\Omega) &:= \{F : \Omega \rightarrow X | F \text{ is bounded, demicontinuous and of class } (S_+)_T\}, \\ \mathcal{F}_T(\Omega) &:= \{F : \Omega \rightarrow X | F \text{ is demicontinuous and of class } (S_+)_T\}, \\ \mathcal{F}_B(X) &:= \{F \in \mathcal{F}_{T,B}(\overline{G}) | G \in \mathcal{O}, T \in \mathcal{F}_1(\overline{G})\}, \\ \mathcal{F}(X) &:= \{F \in \mathcal{F}_T(\overline{G}) | G \in \mathcal{O}, T \in \mathcal{F}_1(\overline{G})\}, \end{aligned}$$

where, $T \in \mathcal{F}_1(\overline{G})$ is called an essential inner map to F .

Lemma 2.4 ([5], Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4). Let $T \in \mathcal{F}_1(\overline{G})$, $G \in \mathcal{O}$, be continuous and $S : D_S \subset X^* \rightarrow X$ a bounded demicontinuous mapping such that $T(\overline{G}) \subset D_S$. Then the following statements are true:

- If S is quasimonotone, then $I + SoT \in \mathcal{F}_T(\overline{G})$, where I denote the identity operator.
- If S of class (S_+) , then $SoT \in \mathcal{F}_T(\overline{G})$.

Definition 2.5. Let $F, S \in \mathcal{F}_T(\overline{G})$ and let G be a bounded open subset of a real reflexive Banach space X . The affine homotopy $\mathcal{H} : [0, 1] \times \overline{G} \rightarrow X$ given by

$$\mathcal{H}(\lambda, u) := (1 - \lambda)Fu + \lambda Su, \text{ for } (\lambda, u) \in [0, 1] \times \overline{G}$$

is called an admissible affine homotopy with the continuous essential inner map T .

Remark 2.6. [5] The above affine homotopy satisfies condition (S_+) .

Now, we introduce the Berkovits topological degree for the class $\mathcal{F}_B(X)$. For more details see [5].

Theorem 2.7. There exists a unique degree function

$$\deg : \{(F, G, h) | G \in \mathcal{O}, T \in \mathcal{F}_1(\overline{G}), F \in \mathcal{F}_{T,B}(\overline{G}), h \notin F(\partial G)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

that satisfies the following properties:

- (Normalization) For any $h \in G$, we have $\deg(I, G, h) = 1$.
- (Additivity) Let $F \in \mathcal{F}_{T,B}(\overline{G})$. If G_1 and G_2 are two disjoint open subsets of G such that $h \notin F(\overline{G} \setminus (G_1 \cup G_2))$, then we have

$$\deg(F, G, h) = \deg(F, G_1, h) + \deg(F, G_2, h).$$
- (Homotopy invariance) If $\mathcal{H} : [0, 1] \times \overline{G} \rightarrow X$ is a bounded admissible affine homotopy with a common continuous essential inner map and $h : [0, 1] \times X$ is a continuous path in X such that $h(\lambda) \notin \mathcal{H}(\lambda, \partial G)$ for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, then the value of $\deg(\mathcal{H}(\lambda, \cdot), G, h(\lambda))$ is constant for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.
- (Existence) If $\deg(F, G, h) \neq 0$, then the equation $Fu = h$ has a solution in G .

2.2 Notation and functional spaces

In this subsection, we list and recall some fact and results on variable exponent spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. See [8, 10, 15, 22, 39] for more details. Throughout the rest of the paper we consider a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$ with a Lipschitz boundary $\partial\Omega$. We denote

$$C_+(\bar{\Omega}) = \{f \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \mid \inf_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} f(x) > 1\},$$

$$f^- = \min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} f(x), \quad f^+ = \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} f(x), \quad \text{for every } f \in C_+(\bar{\Omega}).$$

For each $p \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$, we define the space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ by

$$L^{p(x)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \mid u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is a measurable function, } \rho_{p(x)}(u) < \infty \right\},$$

where $\rho_{p(x)}(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx$, this space equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|u\|_{p(x)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 \mid \rho_{p(x)}\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1 \right\},$$

and $(L^{p(x)}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{p(x)})$ becomes a Banach space.

Proposition 2.8. [22]

- The space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is a separable and reflexive Banach space.
- The conjugate space of $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is $L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$, where $1/p(x) + 1/p'(x) = 1$. Then for any $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $w \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$, we have the following Hölder inequality

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} u w dx \right| \leq \left(\frac{1}{p^-} + \frac{1}{p'^-} \right) \|u\|_{p(x)} \|w\|_{p'(x)} \leq 2 \|u\|_{p(x)} \|w\|_{p'(x)}. \tag{2.1}$$

- If $p_1, p_2 \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$, $p_1(x) \leq p_2(x)$ for any $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, then there exists the continuous embedding $L^{p_2(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p_1(x)}(\Omega)$

Proposition 2.9. [24, 39] If $u, u_n \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, then the following assertions hold true:

$$\|u\|_{p(x)} < 1 \quad (= 1, > 1) \Leftrightarrow \rho_{p(x)}(u) < 1 \quad (= 1, > 1). \tag{2.2}$$

$$\|u\|_{p(x)} < 1 \Rightarrow \|u\|_{p(x)}^{p^+} \leq \rho_{p(x)}(u) \leq \|u\|_{p(x)}^{p^-}. \tag{2.3}$$

$$\|u\|_{p(x)} > 1 \Rightarrow \|u\|_{p(x)}^{p^-} \leq \rho_{p(x)}(u) \leq \|u\|_{p(x)}^{p^+}. \tag{2.4}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u\|_{p(x)} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{p(x)}(u_n - u) = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

$$\|u\|_{p(x)} \leq \rho_{p(x)}(u) + 1. \tag{2.6}$$

$$\rho_{p(x)}(u) \leq \|u\|_{p(x)}^{p^-} + \|u\|_{p(x)}^{p^+}. \tag{2.7}$$

Now, we define the space $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ as $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) = \{u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \mid |\nabla u| \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)\}$, equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p(x)}} = \|u\|_{p(x)} + \|\nabla u\|_{p(x)}. \tag{2.8}$$

Let $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ denote the subspace of $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ which is the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm (2.8).

Proposition 2.10. [8, 15, 22]

- The two spaces $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ are a Banach spaces separable and reflexive.

- If $p(x)$ satisfies the log-Hölder continuity condition, i.e., there is a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that for every $x, y \in \Omega, x \notin y$ with $|x - y| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ one has

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \leq \frac{\alpha}{-\log|x - y|}, \tag{2.9}$$

then there exists a constant $C > 0$, such that

$$\|u\|_{p(x)} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{p(x)}, \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega). \tag{2.10}$$

- If $p \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$ for any $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, then the imbedding $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is compact.

Remark 2.11. • By (2) of Lemma 2.10, we know that $\|\nabla u\|_{p(x)}$ and $\|u\|$ are equivalent norms on $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$.

- The dual space of $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is $W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega)$, which endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{-1,p'(x)} = \inf \left\{ \|u_0\|_{p'(x)} + \sum_{i=1}^N \|u_i\|_{p'(x)} \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken on all possible decompositions $u = u_0 - \operatorname{div}F$ with $u_0 \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$ and $F = (u_1, \dots, u_N) \in (L^{p'(x)}(\Omega))^N$.

Let us define $U = W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,q(x)}(\Omega)$ endowed with the norm $\|(u, v)\|_U = \max(\|u\|_{1,p(x)}, \|v\|_{1,q(x)})$ where $\|u\|_{1,p(x)} = \|\nabla u\|_{p(x)}$ and $(U, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space, separable and reflexive.

2.3 Properties of $(p(x), q(x))$ -Laplacian operators

Now, we discuss the $(p(x), q(x))$ -Laplacian operator

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}u = -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u), \quad \text{and} \quad -\Delta_{q(x)}v = -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla v|^{q(x)-2}\nabla v).$$

We consider the following functional:

$$\mathcal{J}(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla v|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} dx.$$

We know that (see [14]) $\mathcal{J} \in C^1(U, \mathbb{R})$ and the $(p(x), q(x))$ -Laplacian operator is the derivative operator of \mathcal{J} in the weak sense. Denote $T = \mathcal{J}' : U \rightarrow U^*$, then for any $(w, \psi) \in U$

$$\langle T(u, v), (w, \psi) \rangle = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u \nabla w dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{q(x)-2}\nabla v \nabla \psi dx, \quad \forall u, v \in U.$$

Theorem 2.12. [14]

- $T : U \rightarrow U^*$ is a continuous, bounded and strictly monotone operator.
- $T : U \rightarrow U^*$ is a mapping of type (S_+) .
- $T : U \rightarrow U^*$ is a homeomorphism.

The proof of the above theorem can be found in [14].

3 Basic assumptions and the main results

In the present section, we study the existence of distributional solutions for the systems (1.1) based on the degree theory in Section 2, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain with a Lipschitz boundary $\partial\Omega$, $p, q \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfies the log-Hölder continuity (2.9), $r, s \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$, $2 < r^- \leq r(x) \leq r^+ < p^- \leq p(x) \leq p^+ < \infty$, $2 < s^- \leq s(x) \leq s^+ < q^- \leq q(x) \leq q^+ < \infty$ and $g, h : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are a real-valued functions such that

(A₁) (Continuity) g, h are the Carathéodory functions (i.e., $g(x, \cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous in (t_1, t_2) for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and $g(\cdot, t_1, t_2)$ is measurable in x for each $(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$).

(A₂) (Growth) There exist a positive constants $k_1, k_2, b \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega), d \in L^{q'(x)}(\Omega)$, $b(x), d(x) \geq 0$ and $\alpha, \beta \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$ with $2 < \alpha^- \leq \alpha(x) \leq \alpha^+ < p^-, 2 < \beta^- \leq \beta(x) \leq \beta^+ < q^-$, such that

$$|g(x, t_1, t_2)| \leq k_1(b(x) + |t_1|^{\alpha(x)-1} + |t_2|^{\alpha(x)-1}), \quad \text{and} \quad |h(x, \xi_1, \xi_2)| \leq k_2(d(x) + |\xi_1|^{\beta(x)-1} + |\xi_2|^{\beta(x)-1}).$$

Definition 3.1. We say that $(u, v) \in U$ is a distributional solution of the system (1.1) if for any $(w, \psi) \in U$ we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla w dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{q(x)-2} \nabla v \nabla \psi dx = \int_{\Omega} (\lambda |u|^{r(x)-2} u + g(x, v, \nabla v)) w dx + \int_{\Omega} (\mu |v|^{s(x)-2} v + h(x, u, \nabla u)) \psi dx. \tag{3.1}$$

Remark 3.2. Note that $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla w dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{q(x)-2} \nabla v \nabla \psi dx = \langle T(u, v), (w, \psi) \rangle$ as defined in subsection 2.3, $\lambda |u|^{r(x)-2} u \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$, $\mu |v|^{s(x)-2} v \in L^{q'(x)}(\Omega)$, $g(x, v, \nabla v) \in L^{q'(x)}(\Omega)$ and $h(x, u, \nabla u) \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$ under $(u, v) \in U$, the assumptions A₂) and the given hypotheses about the exponents p, q, r and s because: $b \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$ and $d \in L^{q'(x)}(\Omega)$, $\gamma(x) = (r(x) - 1)p'(x) \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$ with $\gamma(x) < p(x)$, $\kappa(x) = (\beta(x) - 1)p'(x) \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$ with $\kappa(x) < p(x)$, $\theta(x) = (s(x) - 1)q'(x) \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$ with $\theta(x) < q(x)$ and $\delta(x) = (\alpha(x) - 1)p'(x) \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$ with $\alpha(x) < q(x)$. Then, by the continuous embedding, we can conclude that $L^{p(x)} \hookrightarrow L^{\gamma(x)}$, $L^{p(x)} \hookrightarrow L^{\kappa(x)}$, $L^{q(x)} \hookrightarrow L^{\theta(x)}$ and $L^{q(x)} \hookrightarrow L^{\delta(x)}$. Hence, since $(w, \psi) \in L^{p(x)} \times L^{q(x)}$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (\lambda |u|^{r(x)-2} u + g(x, v, \nabla v)) w dx + \int_{\Omega} (\mu |v|^{s(x)-2} v + h(x, u, \nabla u)) \psi dx \in L^1(\Omega) \times L^1(\Omega).$$

This implies that the integral

$$\int_{\Omega} (\lambda |u|^{r(x)-2} u + g(x, v, \nabla v)) w dx + \int_{\Omega} (\mu |v|^{s(x)-2} v + h(x, u, \nabla u)) \psi dx$$

exists.

Lemma 3.3. Assume that the assumptions (A₁) and (A₂) hold. Then the operator $S : U \rightarrow U^*$ given by

$$\begin{cases} (u, V) \in U, \\ \langle S(u, v), (w, \psi) \rangle = - \int_{\Omega} (\lambda |u|^{r(x)-2} u + g(x, v, \nabla v)) w dx - \int_{\Omega} (\mu |v|^{s(x)-2} v + h(x, u, \nabla u)) \psi dx, \quad \forall (w, \psi) \in U \end{cases}$$

is compact.

Proof . We divide the proof into three steps.

Step 1 Let $\varphi : W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$, $\phi : W_0^{1,q(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{q'(x)}(\Omega)$ be two operators defined by

$$\varphi u(x) = -\lambda |u(x)|^{r(x)-2} u(x) \quad \text{for } u \in W_0^{1,p(x)} \quad \text{and } x \in \Omega,$$

and

$$\phi v(x) = -\mu |v(x)|^{s(x)-2} v \quad \text{for } v \in W_0^{1,q(x)} \quad \text{and } x \in \Omega.$$

In this step, we show that the operators φ and ϕ are continuous and bounded. It is clear that the operators φ and ϕ are continuous. Next, we show that φ and ϕ are bounded. Let $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, by inequalities (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi u\|_{p'(x)} &\leq \rho_{p'(x)}(\varphi u) + 1 \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |\lambda| |u|^{r(x)-1} |p'(x)| dx + 1 \\ &\leq (|\lambda|^{p'^-} + |\lambda|^{p'^+}) \rho_{\gamma(x)} + 1 \\ &\leq (|\lambda|^{p'^-} + |\lambda|^{p'^+}) (|u|_{\gamma(x)}^- + |u|_{\gamma(x)}^+) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have by (2.10) and $L^{p(x)} \hookrightarrow L^{\gamma(x)}$ that

$$\|\varphi u\|_{p'(x)} \leq \text{const} \left(\|u\|_{1,p(x)}^{\gamma^-} + \|u\|_{1,p(x)}^{\gamma^+} \right) + 1,$$

that means φ is bounded on $W_0^{1,p(x)}$. Similarly, we can show that ϕ is bounded on $W_0^{1,q(x)}$.

Step 2 We define the operators $\vartheta : W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$, $\chi : W_0^{1,q(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{q'(x)}(\Omega)$ by

$$\vartheta u(x) = -h(x, u, \nabla u) \quad \text{for } u \in W_0^{1,p(x)} \quad \text{and } x \in \Omega,$$

and

$$\chi w(x) = -g(x, w, \nabla w) \quad \text{for } w \in W_0^{1,q(x)} \quad \text{and } x \in \Omega.$$

We will show that ϑ and χ are bounded and continuous. For any $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, we have, by the inequalities (2.6) and (2.7) and the condition (A_2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\vartheta u\|_{p'(x)} &\leq \rho_{p'(x)}(\vartheta u) + 1 \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |h(x, u(x), \nabla u(x))|^{p'(x)} dx + 1 \\ &\leq \text{const} \left(\int_{\Omega} (|d| + |u|^{\beta(x)-1} + |\nabla u|^{\beta(x)-1})^{p'(x)} dx \right) \\ &\leq \text{const} \left(\rho_{p'(x)}(d) + \rho_{\kappa(x)}(u) + \rho_{\kappa(x)}(\nabla u) \right) + 1 \\ &\leq \text{const} \left(\|d\|_{p'(x)}^{p'^-} + \|d\|_{p'(x)}^{p'^+} + \|u\|_{\kappa(x)}^{\kappa^-} + \|u\|_{\kappa(x)}^{\kappa^+} + \|\nabla u\|_{\kappa(x)}^{\kappa^-} + \|\nabla u\|_{\kappa(x)}^{\kappa^+} \right) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have by the continuous embedding $L^{p(x)} \hookrightarrow L^{\kappa(x)}$ and (2.10) that

$$\|\vartheta u\|_{p'(x)} \leq \text{const} \left(\|d\|_{p'(x)}^{p'^-} + \|d\|_{p'(x)}^{p'^+} + \|u\|_{1,p(x)}^{\kappa^-} + \|u\|_{1,p(x)}^{\kappa^+} \right) + 1.$$

Consequently, ϑ is bounded on $W_0^{1,p(x)}$. Similarly, we can show that χ is bounded on $W_0^{1,q(x)}$. Now, we prove that the operators ϑ and χ are continuous. To this purpose, let (u_n, v_n) converge to (u, v) in U . Then

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ and } \nabla u_n \rightarrow \nabla u \text{ in } W_0^{1,p(x)}, \quad \text{and} \quad v_n \rightarrow v \text{ and } \nabla v_n \rightarrow \nabla v \text{ in } W_0^{1,q(x)}.$$

Hence there exist two subsequences denote again by $(u_n), (v_n)$ and measurable functions ω_1 (resp. ω_2) in $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ (resp. in $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$) and ϖ_1 (resp. ϖ_2) in $(L^{p(x)}(\Omega))^N$ (resp. in $(L^{q(x)}(\Omega))^N$), such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(x) &\rightarrow u(x) \text{ and } \nabla u_n(x) \rightarrow \nabla u(x), \\ v_n(x) &\rightarrow v(x) \text{ and } \nabla v_n(x) \rightarrow \nabla v(x), \\ |u_n(x)| &\leq \omega_1(x), \quad |\nabla u_n(x)| \leq |\varpi_1(x)| \text{ and } |v_n(x)| \leq \omega_2(x), \quad |\nabla v_n(x)| \leq |\varpi_2(x)|, \end{aligned}$$

for almost all $x \in \Omega$ and all $n \in N$. From (A_1) and (A_2) , we obtain

$$h(x, u_n(x), \nabla u_n(x)) \rightarrow h(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) \text{ for almost all } x \in \Omega,$$

and

$$|h(x, u_n(x), \nabla u_n(x))| \leq \text{const} \left(d(x) + |\omega_1(x)|^{\beta(x)-1} + |\varpi_1(x)|^{\beta(x)-1} \right),$$

for almost all $x \in \Omega$ and all $n \in N$ and $d + |\omega_1|^{\beta(x)-1} + |\varpi_1|^{\beta(x)-1} \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$. Taking into account the equality

$$\rho_{p'(x)}(\vartheta u_n - \vartheta u) = \int_{\Omega} |h(x, u_n(x), \nabla u_n(x)) - h(x, u(x), \nabla u(x))|^{p'(x)} dx,$$

then, from the equivalence (2.5) and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we obtain $\vartheta u_n \rightarrow \vartheta u$ in $L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$, that is, ϑ is continuous. Similarly, we obtain that χ is continuous.

Step 3 Let $\mathcal{I}_1^* : L^{p'(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{1,p'(x)}(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{I}_2^* : L^{q'(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{1,q'(x)}(\Omega)$, be the adjoint operators of the operators $\mathcal{I}_1 : W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{I}_2 : W_0^{1,q(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$, respectively.

Then we define $\mathcal{I}_1^* o \varphi : W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{1,p'(x)}(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{I}_2^* o \phi : W_0^{1,q(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{1,q'(x)}(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{I}_1^* o \vartheta : W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{1,p'(x)}(\Omega)$, and $\mathcal{I}_2^* o \chi : W_0^{1,q(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{1,q'(x)}(\Omega)$. On another hand, as the operators \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 are compact, then \mathcal{I}_1^* and \mathcal{I}_2^* are compact. Therefore, the compositions $\mathcal{I}_1^* o \varphi$, $\mathcal{I}_2^* o \phi$, $\mathcal{I}_1^* o \vartheta$ and $\mathcal{I}_2^* o \chi$ are compact. We conclude that $S = \mathcal{I}_1^* o \varphi + \mathcal{I}_2^* o \phi + \mathcal{I}_1^* o \vartheta + \mathcal{I}_2^* o \chi$ is compact, which completes the proof of Lemma3.3. \square

Theorem 3.4 ([36], Theorem 26A). Let the operator equation

$$Au = b, \quad u \in X \tag{3.2}$$

together with the corresponding Galerkin equations

$$a(u_n, w_k) = \langle b, w_k \rangle, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \tag{3.3}$$

where $A : X \rightarrow X^*$ is a monotone, coercive, and hemicontinuous operator on the real, separable, reflexive B-space X . Assume $\{w_1, w_2, \dots\}$ is a basis in X . Then the following assertions hold:

1. Solution set. For each $b \in X^*$, equation (3.2) has a solution. The solution set of (3.2) is bounded, convex, and closed.
2. Galerkin method. If $\dim X = \infty$, then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Galerkin equation (3.3) has a solution $u_n \in X_n$ and the sequence (u_n) has a weakly convergent subsequence

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } X \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where u is a solution of the original equation (3.2).

3. Uniqueness. If the operator A is strictly monotone, then equation (3.2) (resp. equation (3.3)) is uniquely solvable in X (resp. X_n).
4. Inverse operator. If A is strictly monotone, then the inverse operator $A^{-1} : X^* \rightarrow X$ exists. This operator is strictly monotone, demicontinuous, and bounded.
 If A is uniformly monotone, then A^{-1} is continuous.
 If A is strongly monotone, then A^{-1} is Lipschitz continuous.
5. Strong convergence of the Galerkin method. Let $\dim X = \infty$. If the operator A is strictly monotone, then the sequence of Galerkin solutions (u_n) converges weakly in X to the unique solution u of equation (3.2).
 If A is uniformly monotone, then (u_n) converges strongly in X to the unique solution u of (3.2).
6. Nonseparable spaces. If X is not separable, then the assertions 1, 3, and 4 remain true.

Theorem 3.5. Suppose that the assumptions (A_1) and (A_2) hold true. Then problem (1.1) has least one distributional solution (u, v) in U .

Proof . Let $(u, v) \in U, (w, \psi) \in U$, we define the operator S as defined in Lemma 3.3 and the operator T as defined in subsection 2.3

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S(u, v), (w, \psi) \rangle &= - \int_{\Omega} (\lambda|u|^{r(x)-2}u + g(x, v, \nabla v))w dx - \int_{\Omega} (\mu|v|^{s(x)-2}v + h(x, u, \nabla u))\psi dx, \\ \langle T(u, v), (w, \psi) \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u \nabla w dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{q(x)-2}\nabla v \nabla \psi dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then $(u, v) \in U$ is a distributional solution of (1.1) if and only if

$$T(u, v) = -S(u, v). \tag{3.4}$$

According to the properties of the operator T seen in Theorem 2.12 and by using the Minty-Browder Theorem 3.4, the inverse operator $L = T^{-1} : U^* \rightarrow U$ is bounded, continuous and satisfies condition (S_+) . On another side, thanks to Lemma 3.3, the operator S is bounded, continuous and quasimonotone. Consequently, equation (3.4) is equivalent to

$$(u, v) = L(w, \psi) \text{ and } (w, \psi) + SoL(w, \psi) = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Folowing the terminology of [36], the equation $(w, \psi) + SoL(w, \psi) = 0$ is an abstract Hammerstein equation in the reflexive space $W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega) \times W^{-1,q'(x)}(\Omega)$. Since the equation (3.4) is equivalent to (3.5), then to solve (3.4), it is thus enough to solve (3.5). To solve (3.5), we will using the degree theory introduced in subsection 2.1. For this , we first show that the set

$$\Sigma = \{(w, \psi) \in U^* | (w, \psi) + tSoL(w, \psi) = 0 \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}$$

is bounded. Indeed, let us up $(u, v) = L(w, \psi)$ for all $(w, \psi) \in \Sigma$, then $\|L(w, \psi)\|_U = \|(u, v)\|_U = \max(\|\nabla u\|_{p(x)}, \|\nabla v\|_{q(x)})$. If $\|\nabla u\|_{p(x)} \leq 1$ and $\|\nabla v\|_{q(x)} \leq 1$. Then $\|L(w, \psi)\|_U \leq 1$, that means $\{L(w, \psi) : (w, \psi) \in \Sigma\}$ is bounded. If $\|\nabla u\|_{p(x)} > 1$ and $\|\nabla v\|_{q(x)} > 1$, then by using the assumption (A_2) , the inequalities (2.1), (2.7), the implication (2.4) and the Young inequality, we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|L(w, \psi)\|_U^{\min(p^-, q^-)} &= \|(u, v)\|_U^{\min(p^-, q^-)} \\ &\leq \rho_{p(x)}(\nabla u) + \rho_{q(x)}(\nabla v) \\ &= \langle T(u, v), (u, v) \rangle \\ &= \langle (w, \psi), L(w, \psi) \rangle \\ &= -t \langle SoL(w, \psi), L(w, \psi) \rangle \\ &= t \left(\int_{\Omega} (\lambda|u|^{r(x)-2}u + g(x, v, \nabla v))u dx + \int_{\Omega} (\mu|v|^{s(x)-2}v + h(x, u, \nabla u))v dx \right) \\ &\leq \text{const} \left(\|u\|_{r(x)}^{r^-} + \|u\|_{r(x)}^{r^+} + \|b\|_{p'(x)}\|v\|_{p(x)} + \frac{1}{\alpha'^-}\rho_{\alpha(x)}(v) + \frac{1}{\alpha^-}\rho_{\alpha(x)}(u) \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\alpha'^-}\rho_{\alpha(x)}(\nabla v) + \frac{1}{\alpha^-}\rho_{\alpha(x)}(u) + \|v\|_{s(x)}^{s^-} + \|v\|_{s(x)}^{s^+} + \|d\|_{q'(x)}\|u\|_{q(x)} \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\beta'^-}\rho_{\beta(x)}(u) + \frac{1}{\beta^-}\rho_{\beta(x)}(v) + \frac{1}{\beta'^-}\rho_{\beta(x)}(\nabla u) + \frac{1}{\beta^-}\rho_{\beta(x)}(v) \right) \\ &\leq \text{const} \left(\|u\|_{r(x)}^{r^-} + \|u\|_{r(x)}^{r^+} + \|v\|_{p(x)} + \|v\|_{\alpha(x)}^{\alpha^+} + \|\nabla v\|_{\alpha(x)}^{\alpha^+} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|v\|_{s(x)}^{s^-} + \|v\|_{s(x)}^{s^+} + \|u\|_{q(x)} + \|u\|_{\beta(x)}^{\beta^+} + \|\nabla u\|_{\beta(x)}^{\beta^+} \right), \end{aligned}$$

then, thanks to $L^{p(x)} \hookrightarrow L^{r(x)}, L^{p(x)} \hookrightarrow L^{\alpha(x)}, L^{q(x)} \hookrightarrow L^{s(x)}$ and $L^{q(x)} \hookrightarrow L^{\beta(x)}$ and (2.10), we get

$$\|L(w, \psi)\|_U^{\min(p^-, q^-)} \leq \text{const} (\|L(w, \psi)\|_U^{\max(r^+, s^+)} + \|L(w, \psi)\|_U + \|L(w, \psi)\|_U^{\max(\alpha^+, \beta^+)}).$$

If $\|\nabla u\|_{p(x)} > 1$ and $\|\nabla v\|_{q(x)} \leq 1$ (resp. if $\|\nabla u\|_{p(x)} \leq 1$ and $\|\nabla v\|_{q(x)} > 1$), we can also get that $\|L(w, \psi)\|_U$ is bounded. Consequently $\{L(w, \psi) | (w, \psi) \in \Sigma\}$ is bounded. Since the operator S is bounded, it is obvious from (3.5) that the set Σ is bounded in U^* . However, there exists $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\|(w, \psi)\|_{U^*} < \eta \text{ for all } (w, \psi) \in \Sigma,$$

which says that

$$(w, \psi) + tSoL(w, \psi) \neq 0 \text{ for all } (w, \psi) \in \partial\Sigma_\eta(0) \text{ and all } t \in [0, 1],$$

where $\Sigma_\eta(0)$ is the ball of radius η and center 0 in U^* . By Lemma 2.4, we conclude that

$$I + SoL \in \mathcal{F}_L(\overline{\Sigma_\eta(0)}) \text{ and } I = ToL \in \mathcal{F}_L(\overline{\Sigma_\eta(0)}).$$

Since the operators I, S and L are bounded, then $I + SoL$ is bounded. We conclude that

$$I + SoL \in \mathcal{F}_{L,B}(\overline{\Sigma_\eta(0)}) \text{ and } I \in \mathcal{F}_{L,B}(\overline{\Sigma_\eta(0)}).$$

Next, we consider the homotopy $\mathcal{H} : [0, 1] \times \overline{\Sigma_\eta(0)} \rightarrow U^*$ given by

$$\mathcal{H}(t, w, \psi) := (w, \psi) + tSoL(w, \psi) \text{ for } (t, w, \psi) \in [0, 1] \times \overline{\Sigma_\eta(0)}.$$

Hence, according to the properties of the degree \deg stated in Theorem 2.7, we obtain

$$\deg(I + SoL, \Sigma_\eta(0), 0) = \deg(I, \Sigma_\eta(0), 0) = 1,$$

which implies that $\exists(w, \psi) \in \Sigma_\eta(0)$ such that

$$(w, \psi) + SoL(w, \psi) = 0.$$

Which implies that $(u, v) = L(w, \psi)$ is a distributional solution of (1.1). This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] E. Acerbi and G. Mingione, *Regularity results for stationary electro-rheological fluids*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **164** (2002), no. 3, 213–259.
- [2] M. Ait Hammou and E. Azroul, *Existence result for a nonlinear elliptic problem by topological degree in Sobolev spaces with variable exponent*, Moroccan J. Pure Appl. Anal. **7** (2021), no. 1, 50–65.
- [3] M. Ait Hammou, E. Azroul, and B. Lahmi, *Existence of solutions for $p(x)$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problem by Topological degree*, Bull. Transilv. Univ. Brasov Ser III. **11** (2018), no. 2, 29–38.
- [4] M. Ait Hammou, E. Azroul, and B. Lahmi, *Topological degree methods for a Strongly nonlinear $p(x)$ -elliptic problem*, Rev. Colombiana Mat. **53** (2019), no. 1, 27–39.
- [5] J. Berkovits, *Extension of the Leray-Schauder degree for abstract Hammerstein type mappings*, J. Differ. Equ. **234** (2007), no. 1, 289–310.
- [6] L.E.J. Brouwer, *Über Abbildung von Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Math. Ann. **71** (1912), 97–115.
- [7] F.E. Browder, *Degree of mapping for nonlinear mappings of monotone type*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. **80** (1983), no. 6, 1771–1773.
- [8] L. Dingien, P. Harjulehto, P. Hasto, and M. Ruzicka, *Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponent*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 2011.
- [9] G.G. dos Santos, G.M. Figueiredo, and L.S. Tavares, *Sub-super solution method for nonlocal systems involving the $p(x)$ -Laplacian operator*, Electron. J. Differ. Equ. **2020** (2020), no. 25, 1–19.
- [10] D.E. Edmunds, J. Lang, and A. Nekvinda, *On $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ norms*, Proc. Royal Soc. London Ser. A **455** (1999), 219–225.
- [11] D.E. Edmunds and J. Rakosnik, *Sobolev embeddings with variable exponent*, Studia Math. **143** (2000), no. 3, 267–293.
- [12] X. Fan, Q. Zhang, and D. Zhao, *Eigenvalues of $p(x)$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **302** (2005), no. 2, 306–317.
- [13] X.L. Fan, J. Shen, and D. Zhao, *Sobolev embedding theorems for spaces $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **262** (2001), no. 2, 749–760.

- [14] X.L. Fan and Q.H. Zhang, *Existence of solutions for $p(x)$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problem*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **52** (2003), no. 8, 1843–1852.
- [15] X.L. Fan and D. Zhao, *On the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$* , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **263** (2001), no. 2, 424–446.
- [16] G. Fragnelli, *Positive periodic solutions for a system of anisotropic parabolic equations*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **367** (2010), 204–228.
- [17] J. Giacomoni and G. Vallet, *Some results about an anisotropic $p(x)$ -Laplace Barenblatt equation*, *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.* **1** (2012), 227–298.
- [18] S. Heidari and A. Razani, *Infinitely many solutions for $(p(x); q(x))$ -Laplacian-like systems*, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* **36** (2021), no. 1, 51–62.
- [19] F. Karami, K. Sadik, and L. Ziad, *A variable exponent nonlocal $p(x)$ -Laplacian equation for image restoration*, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **75** (2018), 534–546.
- [20] A. Khaleghi and A. Razani, *Solutions to a $(p(x); q(x))$ -biharmonic elliptic problem on a bounded domain*, *Bound. Value Prob.* **2023** (2023), 53.
- [21] I.S. Kim and S.J. Hong, *A topological degree for operators of generalized (S_+) type*, *Fixed Point Theory Algorithms Sci. Eng.* **2015** (2015), 194.
- [22] O. Kovacik and J. Rakosnik, *On spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$* , *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **41** (1991), 592–618.
- [23] H. Lalilia, S. Tasa, and A. Djellitb, *Existence of solutions for critical systems with variable exponents*, *Math. Modell. Anal.* **23** (2018), 596–610.
- [24] S. Lecheheb and A. Fekrache, *Topological degree methods for a nonlinear elliptic systems with variable exponents*, *Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.*, In Press.
- [25] J. Leray and J. Schauder, *Topologie et equations fonctionnelles*, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér* **51** (1934), no. 3, 45–78.
- [26] N. Mokhtar and F. Mokhtari, *Anisotropic nonlinear elliptic systems with variable exponents and degenerate coercivity*, *Appl. Anal.* **100** (2019), no. 11, 2347–2367.
- [27] A. Moussaoui and J. Vélin, *Existence and a priori estimates of solutions for quasilinear singular elliptic systems with variable exponents*, *J. Elliptic Parabol. Equ.* **4** (2018), 417–440.
- [28] V. Radulescu and D. Repovš, *Partial Differential Equations with Variable Exponents, Variational Methods and Qualitative Analysis*, *Monographs and Research Note in Mathematics*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2015.
- [29] A. Razani, *Two weak solutions for fully nonlinear Kirchhoff-type problem*, *Filomat* **35** (2021), no. 10, 3267–3278.
- [30] A. Razani and F. Safari, *A $(p(\cdot); q(\cdot))$ -Laplacian problem with the Steklov boundary conditions*, *Lobachevskii J. Math.* **43** (2022), no. 12, 3616–3625.
- [31] A. Razani, *Non-existence of solution of Haraux-Weissler equation on a strictly starshaped domain*, *Miskolc Math. Notes* **24** (2023), no. 1, 395–402.
- [32] O. Saifia and J. Vélin, *Existence result for variable exponents elliptic system with lack of compactness*, *Appl. Anal.*, **101** (2020), no. 6, 2119–2143.
- [33] F. Souilah, M. Maouni, and K. Slimani, *Quasilinear parabolic problems in the Lebesgue-Sobolev space with variable exponent and L^1 data*, *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.*, In Press, 1–15. 10.22075/ijnaa.2023.30528.4423
- [34] N. Tsouli and O. Darhouche, *Existence and multiplicity results for nonlinear problems involving the $p(x)$ -Laplace operator*, *Opuscula Math.* **34** (2014), no. 3, 621–638.
- [35] L. Yin, Y. Liang, Q. Zhang, and C. Zhao, *Existence of solutions for a variable exponent system without PS conditions*, *Electronic J. Differ. Equ.* **2015** (2015), no. 63, 1–23.
- [36] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications II/B: Nonlinear Monotone Operators*, Springer, New York, 1990.
- [37] Q. Zhang and C. Zhao, *Existence of strong solutions of a $p(x)$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problem without the Am-*

- brosetti–Rabinowitz condition*, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **69** (2015), 1–12.
- [38] Q. Zhang, Y. Guo, and G. Chen, *Existence and multiple solutions for a variable exponent system*, *Nonlinear Anal.: Theory Meth. Appl.* **73** (2010), 3788–3804.
- [39] D. Zhao, W.J. Qiang, and X.L. Fan, *On generalized Orlicz spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$* , *J. Gansu Sci.* **9** (1996), no. 2, 1–7.
- [40] V. Zhikov, *Averaging of functionals in the calculus of variations and elasticity*, *Math. USSR Izv.* **29** (1987), no. 1, 33–66.