

Boundary curvature of the numerical range of self-inverse operators

Seyyed Nematollah Khademi, Mohammad Taghi Heydari*, Vahid Keshavarz

Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences, Yasouj University, Yasouj, 75918 Iran

(Communicated by Ali Jabbari)

Abstract

In this article, firstly we investigate some properties of the boundary curvature of the numerical range. In the next, we define $M(T)$ as the smallest constant such that $\text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T)) \leq M(T)R_\lambda(T)$, for all $\lambda \in \partial W(T)$, where $R_\lambda(T)$, the radius curvature at the point λ , is defined. Also, we investigate for non-convexoid T , $M(T) = \sup \frac{\text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T))}{R_\lambda(T)}$, where the supremum on the right-hand side is taken along all points $\lambda \in \partial W(T)$ with finite non-zero curvature. Finally, the value of $M(T)$ will be calculated for the self-inverse operators.

Keywords: Boundary Curvature, Numerical range, Self-Inverse Operators
2020 MSC: Primary 47A12; Secondary 32Q10, 31A25

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

The numerical range was initially proposed by Toeplitz [34] in 1918 for matrices. This idea was subsequently expanded upon by Lumer [18] in 1961 and Bauer [4] in 1962 for linear operators on Banach spaces. In 1975, Lightbourne and Martin [16] delivered a thorough exposition of it for semi-norms. For further insights into the numerical range of bounded linear operators on Hilbert spaces, the numerical range of bounded linear operators on Banach spaces, and the numerical range of an element of C^* -algebras, readers are directed to references [6, 7, 35, 36].

The determination of the norm of self-commutators of the operator T has been a subject of debate in numerous academic works. In the year 2009, Abdollahi established the correlations among these norm magnitudes, particularly for self-inverse operators. Moreover, in specific scenarios involving automorphic composition operators, it was illustrated that these discoveries facilitated the replacement of laborious calculations with more efficient options, as indicated in [1].

Boundary curvature holds significant importance in the fields of engineering, physics, and chemistry. The concept of curvature, representing the bending or deviation at a specific point, is symbolized by $k(t)$. In the realm of curvature calculations, a fundamental grounding in mathematics suffices. In the year 2009, Pengzi and Luen-Fai [21] delved into a comprehensive exploration of the volume function concerning constant scalar curvature metrics with a designated boundary metric. Their research not only outlined the essential criteria for a metric to be deemed a critical point but also showcased that geodesic balls exclusively function as critical points in space forms. The classical existence and

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: nemat_khademi@yahoo.com (Seyyed Nematollah Khademi), heydari@yu.ac.ir (Mohammad Taghi Heydari), v.keshavarz68@yahoo.com (Vahid Keshavarz)

uniqueness theory of Jenkins-Serrin was extended for the constant mean curvature equation by Hauswirth et al. in 2009. This study permits prescribed boundary data, including plus or minus infinity, on entire arcs of boundary (see [14, 15]).

In 2013, Pokorný and Rataj [22] demonstrated that for any compact domain in a Euclidean space with a delta-convex boundary, there exists a unique Legendrian cycle such that the associated curvature measures satisfy a local version of the Gauss–Bonnet formula. In 2016, Šprlák and Novák [32] conducted a study on modeling the Earth’s gravitational field, where gravitational curvatures introduce new types of visibility. Initially, the gravitational curvature tensor was decomposed into 6 parts, then expanded using third-order tensor spherical harmonics. Subsequently, boundary-value problems for gravitational curvature were formulated and solved in spectral and spatial domains for four combinations of gravitational curvatures.

In 2017, one of the applications of boundary curvatures was explained by Zhong et al. [37], the distribution of grain boundary curvatures is analyzed as a function of 5 independent crystallographic parameters in austenitic and ferritic steel. Local curvatures and integral mean curvatures are obtained from three-dimensional electron backscattered diffraction data [28, 29, 31].

In the following, we discuss some applications of boundary curvature, Marcel Campen et al. [8] presented an effective algorithm for calculating a discrete metric with specified Gaussian curvature at all interior vertices and geodesic curvature along a mesh boundary in 2021. After that, Niewczas et al. [20] conducted a study to examine the impact of the grain boundary curvature model type on the predictions of static recrystallization (SRX) simulations using cellular automata (CA) in 2022. The issue of calculating $M(A)$ for the matrix A was initially discussed in the works of Toeplitz and Hausdorff. The concept of a constant M and $M = \sup_n M_n$ was introduced by Mantis in 1997, with a negative outcome. The determination of $M(A)$ for 2×2 matrices, as well as certain special 3×3 matrices, has been addressed in various articles. In this paper the definitions and important theorems concerning the boundary curvature of the numerical range are presented. Finally, The value of $M(T)$ will be calculated for the numerical range of self-inverse operators T .

2 Main Results

In this section, consider the numerical range of the operator T from the bounded operators on the Hilbert space H ($T \in B(H)$) defined as $W(T) := \{\langle Tx, x \rangle : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\}$ where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the inner product on the Hilbert space. $W(T)$ forms a subset of the complex plane. The key property of the numerical range is its convexity, as per the Töplitz-Hausdorff theorem. Another notable aspect is its spectrum operator, which has been studied by some researchers (for example, [3, 25]). $W(T)$ is a connected set with a piecewise analytic boundary $\partial W(T)$. For further details, see [13]. Therefore, for all except finitely many points $\lambda \in \partial W(T)$, the radius of curvature $R_\lambda(T)$ of $\partial W(T)$ at λ is well defined. According to the convention, $R_\lambda(T) = 0$ if λ is a corner point of $W(T)$, and $R_\lambda(T) = \infty$ if λ lies inside a flat portion of $\partial W(T)$. Suppose $dist(\lambda, \sigma(T))$ denote the distance from λ to $\sigma(T)$, we define $M(T)$ the smallest constant so that

$$dist(\lambda, \sigma(T)) \leq M(T)R_\lambda(T), \quad \forall \lambda \in \partial W(T), \quad (2.1)$$

where $R_\lambda(T)$ is defined. By attention to Donoghe’s theorem $dist(\lambda, \sigma(T)) = 0$ whenever $R_\lambda(T) = 0$. Therefore, $M(T) = 0$ for all convexoid element T . As a reminder, a convexoid element is an element in which the numerical range is equal to the convex hull of its spectrum. For non-convexoid T ,

$$M(T) = \sup \frac{dist(\lambda, \sigma(T))}{R_\lambda(T)}. \quad (2.2)$$

The supremum on the right-hand side is taken over all points $\lambda \in \partial W(T)$ with finite non-zero curvature. Computing $M(T)$ for any given T is an intriguing challenge. Similarly, computing $M(A)$ for any arbitrary $n \times n$ matrix A remains an interesting open problem.

Definition 2.1. For each infinite, convex and compact subset C of the complex plane, $\lambda(\theta)$ we define it as follows.

$$\lambda(\theta) = \max\{Re(e^{-i\theta}z) : z \in C\}, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi.$$

For each infinite, convex and compact subset $\lambda(\theta)$ of the complex plane X , we define it as follows.

Lemma 2.2. If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, then the following relation holds for $\lambda(\theta)$.

$$\lambda(\theta) = \max \sigma(A_R \cos \theta + A_J \sin \theta), \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi,$$

where A_R is the real part and A_J is the imaginary part of the matrix A in the following form.

$$A_R = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^*), \quad A_J = \frac{1}{2i}(A - A^*).$$

Proof . If we put $C = W(A)$ in definition 2.1, where A is matrix $n \times n$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\theta) &= \max W(\operatorname{Re}(e^{-i\theta} A)) \\ &= \max \sigma(\operatorname{Re}(e^{-i\theta} A)) \\ &= \max \sigma(\cos \theta \operatorname{Re}(A) + \sin \theta \operatorname{Im}(A)), \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi \\ &= \max \sigma(A_R \cos \theta + A_J \sin \theta), \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.3. The parametric representation of $\partial W(T)$ in the form of $A = (x(\theta), y(\theta))$ which is $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ such that:

$$\begin{cases} x(\theta) = \lambda(\theta) \cos \theta - \lambda'(\theta) \sin \theta \\ y(\theta) = \lambda(\theta) \sin \theta + \lambda'(\theta) \cos \theta. \end{cases}$$

Proof . The equation of the tangent line at point $A \in \partial W(T)$ is:

$$x(\theta) \cos \theta + y(\theta) \sin \theta - \lambda(\theta) = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

On the other hand, the slope of the tangent line is as follows.

$$\frac{y'(\theta)}{x'(\theta)} = -\cot \theta.$$

As a result, we have

$$x'(\theta) \cos \theta + y'(\theta) \sin \theta = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Now, if we take the derivative of the equation of the tangent line of relation (2.3) with respect to θ , we have:

$$x'(\theta) \cos \theta - x(\theta) \sin \theta + y'(\theta) \sin \theta + y(\theta) \cos \theta - \lambda'(\theta) = 0,$$

as a result

$$-x(\theta) \sin \theta + y(\theta) \cos \theta = \lambda'(\theta). \quad (2.5)$$

It can be concluded from relations (2.3) and (2.5),

$$\begin{cases} x(\theta) \cos \theta + y(\theta) \sin \theta = \lambda(\theta), \\ -x(\theta) \sin \theta + y(\theta) \cos \theta = \lambda'(\theta). \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

Now we have (2.6) equations from solving the device:

$$\begin{cases} x(\theta) \cos \theta \sin \theta + y(\theta) \sin^2 \theta = \lambda(\theta) \sin \theta, \\ -x(\theta) \cos \theta \sin \theta + y(\theta) \cos^2 \theta = \lambda'(\theta) \cos \theta. \end{cases}$$

Hence, $y(\theta)(\sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta) = \lambda(\theta) \sin \theta + \lambda'(\theta) \cos \theta$. Then, $y(\theta) = \lambda(\theta) \sin \theta + \lambda'(\theta) \cos \theta$. Therefore,

$$\begin{cases} x(\theta) \cos^2 \theta + y(\theta) \sin \theta \cos \theta = \lambda(\theta) \cos \theta, \\ x(\theta) \sin^2 \theta - y(\theta) \cos \theta \sin \theta = -\lambda'(\theta) \sin \theta. \end{cases}$$

Then $x(\theta)(\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta) = \lambda(\theta) \cos \theta - \lambda'(\theta) \sin \theta$ and so $x(\theta) = \lambda(\theta) \cos \theta - \lambda'(\theta) \sin \theta$. Therefore, the machine's calculations lead to the following answer and the end of the proof.

$$\begin{cases} x(\theta) = \lambda(\theta) \cos \theta - \lambda'(\theta) \sin \theta \\ y(\theta) = \lambda(\theta) \sin \theta + \lambda'(\theta) \cos \theta \end{cases}$$

□

Lemma 2.4. (Elliptic Lemma): Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$, then $W(A)$ is an ellipse, and the eigenvalues of the matrix A are the foci of the ellipse.

In the continuation of this article, while examining the numerical range of self-inverse operators of T , we will calculate the value of $M(T)$ exactly for them.

Definition 2.5. The operator T is said to be self inverse if we have $T^2 = I$. The self-inverse operator T is called non-trivial if $T \neq \pm I$.

Now, let T be a non-convexoid self-inverse operator, i.e., $T^2 = I$ and $\|T\| > 1$. Then $\sigma(T) = \{-1, 1\}$. Also $\partial W(T)$ is an ellipse with foci at ± 1 and major/minor axis $\|T\| \pm \frac{1}{\|T\|}$. The equations of $\partial W(T)$ are as follows:

$$\partial W(T) = a \cos \theta + i b \sin \theta \text{ with } a^2 = b^2 + 1$$

Therefore, we perform preliminary calculations as follows:

$$\begin{cases} x = a \cos \theta \implies x' = -a \sin \theta \implies x'' = -a \cos \theta, \\ y = b \sin \theta \implies y' = b \cos \theta \implies y'' = -b \sin \theta. \end{cases}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} K_\lambda(T) &= \frac{|x' y'' - y' x''|}{(x'^2 + y'^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &= \frac{|a b \sin^2 \theta + a b \cos^2 \theta|}{(a^2 \sin^2 \theta + b^2 \cos^2 \theta)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &= \frac{|ab|}{(a^2 \sin^2 \theta + b^2 \cos^2 \theta)^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

In the end it is the result:

$$R_\lambda(T) = \frac{(a^2 \sin^2 \theta + b^2 \cos^2 \theta)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{|ab|}. \quad (2.7)$$

According to the relation (7), the radius of curvature is:

$$\begin{aligned} R_\lambda(T) &= \frac{(a^2(1 - \cos^2 \theta) + b^2 \cos^2 \theta)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{|ab|} \\ &= \frac{(a^2 - a^2 \cos^2 \theta + b^2 \cos^2 \theta)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{|ab|} \\ &= \frac{(a^2 - \cos^2 \theta(a^2 - b^2))^{\frac{3}{2}}}{|ab|} \\ &= \frac{(a^2 - \cos^2 \theta)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{|ab|}. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$R_\lambda(T) = \frac{(a^2 - \cos^2 \theta)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{|ab|}. \quad (2.8)$$

On the other hand, the distance of point $\lambda = (x, y)$ on the border of the ellipse from the nearest center of the ellipse, i.e. $F = (1, 0)$, is calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T)) &= \sqrt{(x-1)^2 + y^2} \\
 &= \sqrt{(a \cos \theta - 1)^2 + (b \sin \theta)^2} \\
 &= \sqrt{a^2 \cos^2 \theta - 2a \cos \theta + 1 + b^2 \sin^2 \theta} \\
 &= \sqrt{a^2 \cos^2 \theta - 2a \cos \theta + 1 + b^2(1 - \cos^2 \theta)} \\
 &= \sqrt{\cos^2 \theta(a^2 - b^2) - 2a \cos \theta + 1 + b^2} \\
 &= \sqrt{\cos^2 \theta - 2a \cos \theta + a^2} \\
 &= \sqrt{(a - \cos \theta)^2} = |a - \cos \theta|.
 \end{aligned}$$

As a result, we have

$$\text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T)) = |a - \cos \theta|. \quad (2.9)$$

We have relations (2.8) and (2.9),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T))}{R_\lambda(T)} &= \frac{ab(a - \cos \theta)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(a^2 - \cos^2 \theta)} \\
 \implies M(T) &= \sup \left(\frac{ab(a - \cos \theta)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(a^2 - \cos^2 \theta)} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, with a simple general mathematical calculation, the value of $M(T)$ is obtained as follows:

$$M(T) = \max \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{a^2 - 1}}{a}, \frac{a}{a+1} \right\}. \quad (2.10)$$

We know

$$2b = \|T\| - \frac{1}{\|T\|}, \quad 2a = \|T\| + \frac{1}{\|T\|}.$$

Therefore, by placing in relation (2.10), the value of $M(T)$ is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 M(T) &= \max \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(\|T\|^2 + 2 + \frac{1}{\|T\|^2})} - 1}{\frac{1}{2}(\|T\| + \frac{1}{\|T\|})}, \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\|T\| + \frac{1}{\|T\|})}{\frac{1}{2}(\|T\| + \frac{1}{\|T\|}) + 1} \right\} \\
 &= \max \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{\frac{\|T\|^4 + 1 - 2\|T\|^2}{4\|T\|^2}}}{\frac{\|T\|^2 + 1}{2\|T\|}}, \frac{\frac{\|T\|^2 + 1}{2\|T\|}}{\frac{\|T\|^2 + 2\|T\| + 1}{2\|T\|}} \right\} \\
 &= \max \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{\frac{(\|T\|^2 - 1)^2}{4\|T\|^2}}}{\frac{\|T\|^2 + 1}{2\|T\|}}, \frac{\frac{\|T\|^2 + 1}{2\|T\|}}{\frac{(\|T\| + 1)^2}{2\|T\|}} \right\} \\
 &= \max \left\{ \frac{\|T\|^2 - 1}{\|T\|^2 + 1}, \frac{\|T\|^2 + 1}{(\|T\| + 1)^2} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the important result of the article in summary from the above calculation process leads to the proof of the following basic theorem.

Theorem 2.6. Suppose that T is a non-convexoid self-inverse operator. Then we have

$$M(T) = \max \left\{ \frac{\|T\|^2 - 1}{\|T\|^2 + 1}, \frac{\|T\|^2 + 1}{(\|T\| + 1)^2} \right\}. \quad (2.11)$$

Example 2.7. Let the operator $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be defined by $T(x, y) = (-y, -x)$. This operator is self-inverse and non-trivial. But $\|T\| = 1$ and then, $M(T) = 0$.

Example 2.8. Let \mathbb{U} denote the open unit disc in the complex plane, and the *Hardy space* H^2 the functions $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n)z^n$ holomorphic in \mathbb{U} such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 < \infty$, with $\widehat{f}(n)$ denoting the n -th Taylor coefficient of f . The inner product inducing the norm of H^2 is given by $\langle f, g \rangle := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n)\overline{\widehat{g}(n)}$. The inner product of two functions f and g in H^2 may also be computed by integration:

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\mathbb{U}} f(z)\overline{g(z)} \frac{dz}{z}$$

where $\partial\mathbb{U}$ is positively oriented and f and g are defined a.e. on $\partial\mathbb{U}$ via radial limits.

For each holomorphic self map φ of \mathbb{U} induces on H^2 a *composition operator* C_φ defined by the equation $C_\varphi f = f \circ \varphi$ ($f \in H^2$). A consequence of a famous theorem of J. E. Littlewood [17] asserts that C_φ is a bounded operator. (see also [10] and [30]). In fact (see [10])

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{1 - |\varphi(0)|^2}} \leq \|C_\varphi\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{1 + |\varphi(0)|}{1 - |\varphi(0)|}}.$$

In the case $\varphi(0) \neq 0$ Joel H. Shapiro has been shown that the second inequality changes to equality if and only if φ is an inner function.

A *conformal automorphism* is a univalent holomorphic mapping of \mathbb{U} onto itself. If it has only one fixed point in the disc it is called *elliptic*. Each Such automorphism is linear fractional, and can be represented as a product $w.\alpha_p$, where

$$\alpha_p(z) := \frac{p - z}{1 - \overline{p}z}, (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

for some fixed $p \in \mathbb{U}$ and $w \in \partial\mathbb{U}$ (see [27]). The map α_p interchanges the point p and the origin and it is a self-inverse automorphism of \mathbb{U} . Put $T = C_{\alpha_p(z)}$. Then $\|T\| = \sqrt{\frac{1+|p|}{1-|p|}} > 1$ and so $M(T) > 0$.

Theorem 2.9. If φ is an elliptic automorphism with order 2 and p it's only fixed point in open unit disc, then there is $0 \leq r \leq 1$ such that

$$M(C_\varphi) = \begin{cases} \frac{1+|p|^2}{2} & \text{if } 0 \leq |p| \leq r; \\ \frac{2|p|}{1+|p|^2} & \text{if } r < |p| \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof .Let the operator A be self-inverse, i.e., $A^2 = I$ but $A \neq \pm I$, so $\partial W(A)$ is an ellipse with foci at ± 1 [26]. If $\partial W(A) = a \cos(\theta) + ib \sin(\theta)$ with $a^2 = b^2 + 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} M(A) &= \sup_{0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi} \frac{D(\theta)}{R(\theta)} = \sup_{0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{D(\theta)}{R(\theta)} \\ &= \sup_{0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{ab\sqrt{(a \cos(\theta) - 1)^2 + b^2 \sin^2(\theta)}}{(a^2 \sin^2(\theta) + b^2 \cos^2(\theta))^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &= \sup_{0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{ab(a - \cos(\theta))}{(\sin^2(\theta) + b^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &= \max\left\{ \frac{\sqrt{a^2 - 1}}{a}, \frac{a}{a + 1} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

If φ is an elliptic automorphism with order 2 and p it's only fixed point in open unit disc, then $\varphi = \alpha_q$ where $q = \frac{2p}{1+|p|^2}$. Since $C_{\alpha_q(z)}$ is a nontrivial self-inverse operator on Hardy space H^2 and α_q is an inner function, then

$$a = \frac{1}{2} \left(\|C_\varphi\| + \frac{1}{\|C_\varphi\|} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - |q|^2}} = \frac{1 + |p|^2}{1 - |p|^2}$$

and so there is $0 \leq r \leq 1$ such that:

$$M(C_\varphi) = \begin{cases} \frac{1+|p|^2}{2} & \text{if } 0 \leq |p| \leq r; \\ \frac{2|p|}{1+|p|^2} & \text{if } r < |p| \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

□

But for period $k > 2$ then all we can say is that the numerical range of C_φ has k -fold symmetry and we strongly suspect that in this case the closure is not a disc. Because the numerical range in this case is an open problem so the computing of $M(C_\varphi)$ is also open problem.

3 Conclusions

In consideration of the applications of boundary curvature in engineering, physics, and chemistry, we initially explored certain properties of the boundary curvature of the numerical range. Secondly, we introduced $M(T)$ as the smallest constant such that $\text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T)) \leq M(T)R_\lambda(T)$, for all $\lambda \in \partial W(T)$. Subsequently, for non-convexoid T , we have $M(T) = \sup \frac{\text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T))}{R_\lambda(T)}$, where the supremum on the right-hand side is taken over all points $\lambda \in \partial W(T)$ with finite non-zero curvature. Lastly, we determined the value of $M(T)$ for the self-inverse operators.

References

- [1] A. Abdollahi, *On the self-inverse operators*, *Rendi. Circ. Mate. Palermo Ser. 2* **58** (2009), 257–264.
- [2] J. Agler, *Geometric and topological properties of the numerical range*, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **31** (1982), 767–777.
- [3] A. Baklouti and M. Mabrouk, *Essential numerical ranges of operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces*, *Ann. Funct. Anal.* **13** (2022), 16.
- [4] F.L. Bauer, *On the field of values subordinate to a norm*, *Numer. Math.* **4** (1962), 103–113.
- [5] S.K. Berberian and G.H. Orland, *On the closure of the numerical range of an operator*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18** (1967) 499–503.
- [6] P. Bhunia, S.S. Dragomir, M.S. Moslehian, and P. Kallol, *Lectures on Numerical Radius Inequalities*, Springer Nature, 2022.
- [7] F.F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Numerical Ranges of Operators on Normed Spaces and of Elements of normed Algebras*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series 2, Cambridge, 1971.
- [8] M. Campen, R. Capouellez, H. Shen, L. Zhu, D. Panozzo, and D. Zorin, *Efficient and robust discrete conformal equivalence with boundary*, *ACM Trans. Graphics* **40** (2021), no. 6, 1–16.
- [9] L. Caston and M. Savova, I. Spitkovsky, and N. Zobin, *On eigenvalues and boundary curvature of the numerical range*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **322** (2001), 129–140.
- [10] C.C. Cowen and B.D. Maccluer, *Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1995.
- [11] F. Facchinei and J.S. Pang, *Finite-Dimensional Variational Inequalities and Complementarity Problems*, Springer Science and Business Media. 2007.
- [12] K. Fan, *A generalization of Tychonoff's fixed point theorem*, *Math. Ann.* **142** (1961), 305–310.
- [13] K.E. Gustafson and K.M. Rao, *The Numerical Range, the Field of Values of Linear Operators and Matrices*, Springer, New York, 1997.
- [14] L. Hauswirth, H. Rosenberg, and J. Spruck, *Infinite boundary value problems for constant mean curvature graphs in $H^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$* , *Amer. J. Math.* **131** (2009), no. 1, 195–226.
- [15] L. He and Z. Tan, *Inverse boundary value problem for the magnetohydrodynamics equations*, *J. Funct. Spaces* **2021** (2021), Article ID 9966687, 10 pages.
- [16] J.H. Lightbourne and R.H. Martin, *Projection seminorms and the field of values of linear operators*, *Numer. Math.* **24** (1975), 151–161.

- [17] J.E. Littlewood, *On inequalities in the theory of functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **23** (1925), 481–519.
- [18] G. Lumer, *Semi-inner-product spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **100** (1961), 29–43.
- [19] F.J. Narcowich, *Analytic properties of the boundary of the numerical range*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **29** (1980), 67–77.
- [20] S. Niewczas, M. Sitko, and L. Madej, *Influence of the grain boundary curvature model on cellular automata static recrystallization simulations*, Mater. Sci. Engin. **926** (2022), 1977–1985.
- [21] M. Pengzi and T. Luen-Fai, *On the volume functional of compact manifolds with boundary with constant scalar curvature*, Cal. Variat. Partial Differ. Equ. **36** (2009), 141–171.
- [22] D. Pokorný and J. Rataj, *Normal cycles and curvature measures of sets with d.c. boundary*, Adv. Math. **248** (2013), 963–985.
- [23] F.M. Pollack, *Numerical range and convex sets*, Canad. Math. Bull. **17** (1974), 295–296.
- [24] M. Radjabalipour and H. Radjavi, *On the geometry of numerical ranges*, Pacific J. Math. **61** (1975), no. 2, 507–511.
- [25] M.H.M. Rashid, *On the isolated points of the operators satisfying absolute condition inequality*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo Ser 2 **73** (2024), no. 3, 1217–1230.
- [26] L. Rodman and I.M. Spitkovsky, *On generalized numerical ranges of quadratic operators*, Ball, J.A., Eidelman, Y., Helton, J.W., Olshevsky, V., Rovnyak, J. (eds) Recent Advances in Matrix and Operator Theory. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol 179. Birkhäuser Basel, 2008, pp. 241–256.
- [27] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, Third Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1987.
- [28] K. B. Sabitov and S. N. Sidorov, *Initial-boundary value problem for a three-dimensional equation of the parabolic-hyperbolic type*, Differ. Equ. **57** (2017), 1042–1052.
- [29] K.B. Sabitov and S.N. Sidorov, *Initial-boundary problem for a three-dimensional inhomogeneous equation of parabolic-hyperbolic type*, Lobachevskii J. Math. **41** (2020), 2257–2268.
- [30] J.H. Shapiro, *Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [31] S.N. Sidorov, *Three-dimensional initial-boundary value problem for a parabolic-hyperbolic equation with a degenerate parabolic part*, Azerbaijan J. Math. **12** (2022), no. 1, 49–67.
- [32] M. Šprlák and P. Novák, *Spherical gravitational curvature boundary-value problem*, J. Geod. **90** (2016), 727–739.
- [33] J.G. Stampfli and J.P. Williams, *Growth conditions and the numerical range in a Banach algebra*, Tôhoku Math. J. **20** (1968), 417–424.
- [34] O. Toeplitz, *Das algebraische Analogon zu einem Satze von Fejer (German)*, Math. Z. **2** (1918), no. 1-2, 187–197.
- [35] Y. Zhang, *Toeplitz Operator and Carleson Measure on Weighted Bloch Spaces*, J. Funct. Spaces **2019** (2019), Article ID 4358959, 5 pages.
- [36] Y. Zhang, G. Cao, and L. He, *Toeplitz operators with IMO^s symbols between generalized Fock spaces*, J. Funct. Spaces **2021** (2021), Article ID 8044854, 9 pages.
- [37] X. Zhong, D. J. Rowenhorst, H. Beladi, and G.S. Rohrer, *The five-parameter grain boundary curvature distribution in an austenitic and ferritic steel*, Acta Mater. **123** (2017), 136–145.