Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. **2** (2011) No.2, 109–113 ISSN: 2008-6822 (electronic) http://www.ijnaa.com

# MAXIMUM MODULUS OF THE DERIVATIVES OF A POLYNOMIAL

#### AHMAD ZIREH

ABSTRACT. For an arbitrary entire function f(z), let  $M(f, R) = \max_{|z|=R} |f(z)|$ and  $m(f, r) = \min_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$ . If P(z) is a polynomial of degree *n* having no zeros in  $|z| < k, k \ge 1$ , then for  $0 \le r \le \rho \le k$ , it is proved by Aziz et al. that

$$\begin{split} M(P',\rho) &\leq \frac{n}{\rho+k} \{ (\frac{\rho+k}{k+r})^n [1 - \frac{k(k-\rho)(n|a_0|-k|a_1|)n}{(\rho^2+k^2)n|a_0|+2k^2\rho|a_1|} (\frac{\rho-r}{k+\rho}) (\frac{k+r}{k+\rho})^{n-1} ] M(P,r) \\ &- [\frac{(n|a_0|\rho+k^2|a_1|)(r+k)}{(\rho^2+k^2)n|a_0|+2k^2\rho|a_1|} \times [((\frac{\rho+k}{r+k})^n-1) - n(\rho-r)] ] m(P,k) \}. \end{split}$$

In this paper, we obtain a refinement of the above inequality. Moreover, we obtain a generalization of above inequality for M(P', R), where  $R \ge k$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

For an arbitrary entire function f(z), let  $M(f, R) = \max_{|z|=R} |f(z)|$  and  $m(f, r) = \min_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$ . Let P(z) be a polynomial of degree n, then according to a famous result known as Bernstein's inequality on the derivative of a polynomial, we have

$$M(P',1) \le nM(P,1).$$
 (1.1)

The result is best possible and equality holds for the polynomials having all its zeros at the origin.

For polynomials having no zeros in |z| < 1, Erdös conjectured and later Lax [6] proved that if  $P(z) \neq 0$  in |z| < 1, then (1.1) can be replaced by

$$M(P',1) \le \frac{n}{2}M(P,1).$$
 (1.2)

With equality for those polynomials, which have all their zeros on |z| = 1. As an extension of (1.2) Malik [7] proved that if  $P(z) \neq 0$  in  $|z| < k, k \ge 1$  then

$$M(P',1) \le \frac{n}{1+k}M(P,1).$$
 (1.3)

The result is best possible and equality holds for the polynomial  $P(z) = (z + k)^n$ .

Date: Received: January 2011; Revised: June 2011.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30A10; Secondary 30C10, 30D15.

Key words and phrases. Polynomial, Inequality, Maximum modulus, Restricted zeros.

This work was partially supported by a research grant from Shahrood University of Technology.

#### A. ZIREH

Dewan and Bidkham [2] obtained a generalization of inequality (1.3) for the class of polynomials  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j z^j$  having no zeros in  $|z| < k, k \ge 1$ , by proving

$$M(P',\rho) \le n \frac{(\rho+k)^{n-1}}{(1+k)^n} M(P,1),$$
(1.4)

where  $1 \le \rho \le k$ . The result is best possible and equality holds for the polynomial  $P(z) = (z+k)^n$ .

Further, as a generalization of (1.4) Dewan and Mir [3] proved that if  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j z^j$  having no zeros in  $|z| < k, k \ge 1$  then for  $0 \le r \le \rho \le k$ ,

$$M(P',\rho) \le n \frac{(\rho+k)^{n-1}}{(k+r)^n} \{1 - \frac{k(k-\rho)(n|a_0|-k|a_1|)n}{(\rho^2+k^2)n|a_0|+2k^2\rho|a_1|} \frac{(\rho-r)(k+r)^{n-1}}{(k+\rho)^n} \} M(P,r).$$
(1.5)

The result is best possible and equality holds for the polynomial  $P(z) = (z+k)^n$ . Recently Aziz and Zargar [1] obtained a generalization of (1.5) and proved if  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j z^j$  having no zeros in  $|z| < k, k \ge 1$  then for  $0 \le r \le \rho \le k$ ,

$$M(P',\rho) \leq \frac{n}{\rho+k} \{ (\frac{\rho+k}{k+r})^n [1 - \frac{k(k-\rho)(n|a_0|-k|a_1|)n}{(\rho^2+k^2)n|a_0|+2k^2\rho|a_1|} (\frac{\rho-r}{k+\rho})(\frac{k+r}{k+\rho})^{n-1}] M(P,r) - [\frac{(n|a_0|\rho+k^2|a_1|)(r+k)}{(\rho^2+k^2)n|a_0|+2k^2\rho|a_1|} \times \{ ((\frac{\rho+k}{r+k})^n-1) - n(\rho-r) \} ] m(P,k) \}.$$

$$(1.6)$$

The result is best possible and equality holds for the polynomial  $P(z) = (z + k)^n$ . In this paper, first we obtain the following result which is a refinement of inequality (1.6).

**Theorem 1.1.** If  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j z^j$  is a polynomial of degree *n*, having no zeros in  $|z| < k, k \ge 1$  then for  $0 \le r \le \rho \le k$ ,

$$\begin{split} M(P',\rho) &\leq \frac{n(n|a_0|\rho^2 + k^2\rho|a_1|)}{\rho((\rho^2 + k^2)n|a_0| + 2k^2\rho|a_1|)} \times \\ &\left\{ (\frac{\rho + k}{k+r})^n [1 - \frac{k(k-\rho)(n|a_0| - k|a_1|)n}{(\rho^2 + k^2)n|a_0| + 2k^2\rho|a_1|} (\frac{\rho - r}{k+\rho})(\frac{k+r}{k+\rho})^{n-1}]M(P,r) \right. (1.7) \\ &\left. - \left[ \frac{(n|a_0|\rho + k^2|a_1|)(r+k)}{(\rho^2 + k^2)n|a_0| + 2k^2\rho|a_1|} \times \left[ ((\frac{\rho + k}{r+k})^n - 1) - n(\rho - r) \right] \right] m(P,k) \right\}. \end{split}$$

The result is best possible and equality holds for the polynomial  $P(z) = (z+k)^n$ .

**Remark.** Theorem 1.1 is, in general, an improvement of inequality (1.6). To see this, we note that for a polynomial  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j z^j$  such that does not vanish in  $|z| < k, k \ge 1$  and  $0 \le r \le \rho \le k$ , by using lemma 2.5 inequality  $\frac{(n|a_0|\rho^2 + k^2\rho|a_1|)}{\rho((\rho^2 + k^2)n|a_0| + 2k^2\rho|a_1|)} \le \frac{1}{\rho+k}$  is true.

If we take  $\rho = k$  in Theorem 1.1, then we have

**Corollary 1.2.** If P(z) be a polynomial of degree n, having no zeros in |z| < k,  $k \ge 1$  then for  $0 \le r \le k$ , we have

$$M(P',k) \le \frac{n}{2k} \{ (\frac{2k}{k+r})^n M(P,r) - \frac{r+k}{2k} [(\frac{2k}{r+k})^n - 1 - n(k-r)] m(P,k) \}.$$
(1.8)

Next we prove the following interesting result which is a generalization of inequality (1.6) for radius greater than k.

**Theorem 1.3.** If P(z) is a polynomial of degree n, having no zeros in |z| < k,  $k \ge 1$  then for  $0 \le r \le k \le R$ 

$$M(P',R) \le \frac{nR^{n-1}}{2k^n} \times \{(\frac{2k}{k+r})^n M(P,r) - \frac{r+k}{2k} [(\frac{2k}{r+k})^n - 1 - n(k-r)]m(P,k)\}.$$
(1.9)

If we take R = k in Theorem 1.3, then we have inequality (1.8) again,

**Corollary 1.4.** If P(z) is a polynomial of degree n, having no zeros in |z| < k,  $k \ge 1$  then for  $0 \le r \le k$ , we have

$$M(P',k) \le \frac{n}{2k} \{ (\frac{2k}{k+r})^n M(P,r) - \frac{r+k}{2k} [(\frac{2k}{r+k})^n - 1 - n(k-r)] m(P,k) \}.$$
  
2. LEMMAS

For the proof of theorems, we need the following lemmas. The first lemma is due to Govil, Rahman and Schmeisser [5].

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j z^j$  be a polynomial of degree n, having no zeros in  $|z| \le k, k \ge 1$ , then

$$M(P',1) \le n\{\frac{n|a_0| + k^2|a_1|}{n|a_0|(1+k^2) + 2k^2|a_1|}\}M(P,1).$$
(2.1)

**Lemma 2.2.** If  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j z^j$  is a polynomial of degree n, having no zeros in  $|z| < k, k \ge 1$ , then for  $0 \le r \le \rho \le k$ ,

$$M(P,\rho) \leq \left(\frac{\rho+k}{k+r}\right)^{n} \left[1 - \frac{k(k-\rho)(n|a_{0}|-k|a_{1}|)n}{(\rho^{2}+k^{2})n|a_{0}|+2k^{2}\rho|a_{1}|} \left(\frac{\rho-r}{k+\rho}\right) \left(\frac{k+r}{k+\rho}\right)^{n-1}\right] M(P,r) - \left[\frac{(n|a_{0}|\rho+k^{2}|a_{1}|)(r+k)}{(\rho^{2}+k^{2})n|a_{0}|+2k^{2}\rho|a_{1}|} \times \left[\left(\frac{\rho+k}{r+k}\right)^{n}-1\right) - n(\rho-r)\right] m(P,k).$$

$$(2.2)$$

The above lemma is due to Aziz and Zargar [1].

**Lemma 2.3.** Let F(z) be a polynomial of degree n, having all its zeros in the closed disk  $|z| \leq 1$ . Furthermore, let f(z) be a polynomial of degree at most n such that  $|f(z)| \leq |F(z)|$  for |z| = 1, then  $|f'(z)| \leq |F'(z)|$  for  $|z| \geq 1$ .

You can find the proof of Lemma 2.3 in [8].

Lemma 2.4. If P(z) is a polynomial of degree n and  $Q(z) = z^n \overline{P(1/\overline{z})}$ , then for  $|z| \ge 1$  we have  $|P'(z)| + |Q'(z)| \le n|z|^{n-1}M(P,1).$ (2.3)

111

*Proof.* Since  $|P(z)| \leq M(P,1)$ , where  $|z| \leq 1$ . Then by using Rouche's theorem it follows the polynomial

$$G(z) = P(z) - \lambda M(P, 1)$$

does not vanish in  $|z| \leq 1$ , for  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| > 1$ . Now consider

$$H(z) = z^n \overline{G(1/\overline{z})} = Q(z) - \overline{\lambda} M(P, 1) z^n.$$

Then the polynomial H(z) has all its zeros in  $|z| \leq 1$ , and |H(z)| = |G(z)|, where |z| = 1.

Therefore on applying Lemma 2.3 to polynomials G(z) and H(z), we have for  $|z| \geq 1$ ,

$$|P'(z)| \le |Q'(z) - n\overline{\lambda}M(P, 1)z^{n-1}|.$$
(2.4)

Since M(Q, 1) = M(P, 1), then again we can apply Lemma 2.3 to polynomials Q(z)and  $M(P,1)z^n$ , and we obtain

$$|Q'(z)| \le nM(P,1)|z|^{n-1},$$

for  $|z| \geq 1$ .

Therefore for an appropriate choice of the argument of  $\lambda$  we have

$$|Q'(z) - n\overline{\lambda}M(P,1)z^{n-1}| = |\lambda|nM(P,1)|z|^{n-1} - |Q'(z)|.$$

Which helps us to rewrite inequality (2.4) as

$$P'(z)| + |Q'(z)| \le |\lambda| n M(P, 1) |z|^{n-1}$$

Make  $|\lambda| \to 1$ , we get inequality (2.3).

**Lemma 2.5.** If  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j z^j$ , is a polynomial of degree n, having no zeros in  $|z| < k, k \geq 1$ , then

$$\frac{k|a_1|}{|a_0|} \le n. \tag{2.5}$$

The above result is due to Gardner et al. [4].

### 3. Proof of the theorems

**Proof of the Theorem 1.1**. For  $\rho$  with  $0 \leq \rho \leq k$ , the polynomial  $P(\rho z)$  has no zeros in  $|z| \leq k/\rho, k/\rho \geq 1$ . Now by applying Lemma 2.1, for |z| = 1, we have

$$\rho|P'(\rho z)| \le n\{\frac{n|a_0| + \frac{k^2}{\rho^2}\rho|a_1|}{(1 + \frac{k^2}{\rho^2})n|a_0| + 2\frac{k^2}{\rho^2}\rho|a_1|}\}M(P,\rho).$$
(3.1)

Now, if  $0 \le r \le \rho \le k$ , then by using Lemma 2.2, we have

$$M(P,\rho) \leq \left(\frac{\rho+k}{k+r}\right)^{n} \left[1 - \frac{k(k-\rho)(n|a_{0}|-k|a_{1}|)n}{(\rho^{2}+k^{2})n|a_{0}|+2k^{2}\rho|a_{1}|} \left(\frac{\rho-r}{k+\rho}\right) \left(\frac{k+r}{k+\rho}\right)^{n-1}\right] M(P,r) - \left[\frac{(n|a_{0}|\rho+k^{2}|a_{1}|)(r+k)}{(\rho^{2}+k^{2})n|a_{0}|+2k^{2}\rho|a_{1}|} \times \left[\left(\left(\frac{\rho+k}{r+k}\right)^{n}-1\right) - n(\rho-r)\right]\right] m(P,k).$$
(3.2)  
By combining (3.1) and (3.2), Theorem 1.1 follows.

By combining (3.1) and (3.2), Theorem 1.1 follows.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Since P(z) having no zero in |z| < k, therefore the polynomial H(z) = P(kz) does not vanish in |z| < 1. Then the polynomial G(z) =

 $z^n \overline{H(\frac{1}{z})}$  has all its zeros in  $|z| \leq 1$ , and |H(z)| = |G(z)| for |z| = 1. By applying Lemma 2.3 we have

$$|H'(z)| \le |G'(z)|$$
 for  $|z| \ge 1$ . (3.3)

On the other hand by using Lemma 2.4, for |z| > 1 we have

$$|H'(z)| + |G'(z)| \le n|z|^{n-1}M(H,1).$$
(3.4)

Now combining (3.3) and (3.4) we have

$$|H'(te^{i\theta})| \le \frac{nt^{n-1}}{2}M(H,1) \ t \ge 1.$$

Replacing H(z) by P(kz), we conclude that

$$k|P'(kte^{i\theta})| \le \frac{nt^{n-1}}{2}M(P,k) \ t \ge 1.$$
 (3.5)

Now if we take  $\rho = k$  in Lemma 2.2 we have

$$M(P,k) \le \left(\frac{2k}{k+r}\right)^n M(P,r) - \frac{r+k}{2k} \left[\left(\frac{2k}{r+k}\right)^n - 1 - n(k-r)\right] m(P,k).$$
(3.6)

Hence for  $R \ge k$ , we take t = R/k in (3.5), now combining (3.6) and (3.5), we have

$$|P'(Re^{i\theta})| \le \frac{nR^{n-1}}{2k^n} \{ (\frac{2k}{k+r})^n M(P,r) - \frac{r+k}{2k} [(\frac{2k}{r+k})^n - 1 - n(k-r)]m(P,k) \}.$$
  
This completes the proof.

This completes the proof.

## Acknowledgement.

The Author is highly thankful to the referee for his useful comments and valuable guidance.

### References

- 1. A. Aziz and B. A. Zargar, Inequalities for the maximum modulus of the derivative of a polynomial, J. Inequalities in pure and applied mathematics. 8 (2007) 1–15.
- 2. K. K. Dewan and M. Bidkham, Inequalities for a polynomial and its derivative, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 166 (1992) 319–324.
- 3. K. K. Dewan and A. Mir, On the maximum modulus of a polynomial and its derivatives, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences. 16 (2005) 2641–2645.
- 4. R. B. Gardner, N. K. Govil and A. Weems, Some results concerning rate of growth of polynomials, East Journal of Approximation. 10 (2004) 301-312.
- 5. N. K. Govil, Q. I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser, On the derivative of a polynomial, Illinois J. Math. 23 (1979) 319–329.
- 6. P. D. Lax, Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdös on the derivative of a polynomial, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **50** (1944) 509–513.
- 7. M. A. Malik, On the derivative of a polynomial, J. London Math. Soc. 1 (1969) 57-60.
- 8. Q. I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser, Analytic Theory of Polynomials, Oxford University Press, New York (2002).

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Shahrood University of Technology, Shahrood, IRAN.

E-mail address: azireh@gmail.com, azireh@shahroodut.ac.ir