



Approximation of a generalized Euler-Lagrange type additive mapping on Lie C^* -algebras

Zhihua Wang^{a,*}, Prasanna K. Sahoo^b

^aSchool of Science, Hubei University of Technology, Wuhan, Hubei 430068, P.R. China

^bDepartment of Mathematics, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY 40292, USA

(Communicated by M.B. Ghaemi)

Abstract

Using fixed point method, we prove some new stability results for Lie (α, β, γ) -derivations and Lie C^* -algebra homomorphisms on Lie C^* -algebras associated with the Euler-Lagrange type additive functional equation

$$\sum_{j=1}^n f\left(-r_j x_j + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, i \neq j} r_i x_i\right) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n r_i f(x_i) = n f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i\right)$$

where $r_1, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{R}$ are given and $r_i, r_j \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

Keywords: Fixed point theorem; Lie (α, β, γ) -derivation; Lie C^* -algebra homomorphisms; generalized Hyers-Ulam stability.

2010 MSC: Primary 39B82, 39B52; Secondary 16W25, 46L05, 47H10.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

A classical question in the theory of functional equations is the following: When is it true that a function, which approximately satisfies a functional equation \mathfrak{E} , must be close to an exact solution of \mathfrak{E} ?

If the problem admits a solution, we say that the equation \mathfrak{E} is stable. Such a problem was formulated by Ulam [18] in 1940 and solved in the next year for the Cauchy functional equation by Hyers [5]. It gave rise to the stability theory for functional equations. Hyers' theorem was

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: mathwzh2010@mail.hbut.edu.cn (Zhihua Wang), sahoo@louisville.edu (Prasanna K. Sahoo)

generalized by Aoki [1] for additive mappings and by Rassias [15] for linear mappings by considering an unbounded Cauchy difference. Găvruta [3] generalized the Rassias' result by using a general control function in the spirit of Rassias' approach. Later, the stability of several functional equations has been extensively investigated by a number of authors and there are many interesting results concerning this problem (see [6, 7, 8, 16, 17] and references therein).

Now, we deal with the following additive functional equation of Euler-Lagrange type [11]:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n f\left(-r_j x_j + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, i \neq j} r_i x_i\right) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n r_i f(x_i) = n f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i\right) \quad (1.1)$$

where $r_1, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Every solution of the functional equation (1.1) is said to be a generalized Euler-Lagrange type additive mapping. Najati and Park [11] investigated the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation (1.1) in Banach modules over a C^* -algebra. They also applied their results to investigate C^* -algebra homomorphisms in unital C^* -algebras. In [9], Kenary et al. proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation (1.1) in non-Archimedean Banach spaces by using fixed point method.

In this paper, using some ideas from [4, 10], we apply a fixed point theorem to investigate the stability by using contractively subhomogeneous and expansively superhomogeneous functions for Lie (α, β, γ) -derivations and Lie C^* -algebra homomorphisms on Lie C^* -algebras associated with the Euler-Lagrange type additive functional equation (1.1).

Next, following [4, 10], we recall some definitions and preliminary results to be used in this paper. A C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} endowed with the Lie product $[x, y] = \frac{xy - yx}{2}$ on \mathcal{A} , is called a Lie C^* -algebra [13, 14]. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be Lie C^* -algebras. A \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called a Lie derivation of \mathcal{A} if $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{D}([x, y]) = [\mathcal{D}(x), y] + [x, \mathcal{D}(y)]$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ [13, 14]. Following [12], a \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called a Lie (α, β, γ) -derivation of \mathcal{A} if there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\alpha \mathcal{D}([x, y]) = \beta [\mathcal{D}(x), y] + \gamma [x, \mathcal{D}(y)]$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. A \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $H : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is called a Lie C^* -algebra homomorphism if $H([x, y]) = [H(x), H(y)]$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ [2].

The following fixed point theorem will play an important role in proving our main theorems.

Theorem 1.1. (Banach). Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and consider a mapping $\mathcal{T} : X \rightarrow X$ is a strictly contractive mapping, that is

$$d(\mathcal{T}x, \mathcal{T}y) \leq Ld(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and for some (Lipschitz constant) $0 < L < 1$. Then there exists a unique $a \in X$ such that $\mathcal{T}a = a$. Moreover, for each $x \in X$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T}^n x = a$$

and in fact for each $x \in X$,

$$d(x, a) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(x, \mathcal{T}x).$$

Let k be a fixed positive integer. We recall that a function $\eta : A \rightarrow B$ having a domain A and a codomain (B, \leq) that are both closed under addition is called:

- (i) a *homogeneous function of degree k* if $\eta(\lambda x) = \lambda^k \eta(x)$ (for the case $k = 1$, the corresponding function is simply called homogeneous),
- (ii) a *contractively subhomogeneous function of degree k* if there exists a constant L with $0 < L < 1$ such that $\eta(\lambda x) \leq L \lambda^k \eta(x)$,
- (iii) a *expansively superhomogeneous function of degree k* if there exists a constant L with $0 < L < 1$ such that $\eta(\lambda x) \leq \frac{\lambda^k}{L} \eta(x)$ for all $x, y \in A$ and all positive integer $\lambda > 1$.

Remark 1.2. (cf. [4]) If η is contractively subadditive and expansively superadditive separately, then η is contractively subhomogeneous ($\ell = 1$) and expansively superhomogeneous ($\ell = -1$), respectively, and therefore

$$\eta(\lambda^{\ell j} x) \leq (\lambda^{\ell} L)^j \eta(x), \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Also, if there exists a constant L satisfying $0 < L < 1$ such that a function $\eta : A^n = \overbrace{A \times \dots \times A}^{n \text{ times}} \rightarrow B$ satisfies

$$\eta(x_1, \dots, \overbrace{\lambda^{\ell} x}^{i^{\text{th}}}, \dots, x_n) \leq \lambda^{\ell} L \eta(x_1, \dots, \overbrace{x}^{i^{\text{th}}}, \dots, x_n)$$

for all $x, x_j \in A$ ($1 \leq j \neq i \leq n$) and all positive integers λ , then we say that η is n -contractively subhomogeneous if $\ell = 1$, and η is n -expansively superhomogeneous if $\ell = -1$.

Remark 1.3. (cf. [4]) If η is n -contractively subadditive and n -expansively superadditive separately, then η is contractively subhomogeneous of degree n and expansively superhomogeneous of degree n , respectively.

2. Main results

Throughout this section, we will assume that X and Y are linear spaces, \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are Lie C^* -algebras and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ is a positive integer. Further, we assume that $\mathbb{T}_{1/n_0}^1 := \{e^{i\theta}; 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi/n_0\}$. For convenience, we use the following abbreviations for a given mapping $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu, r_1, \dots, r_n, f}(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{j=1}^n f\left(-\mu r_j x_j + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, i \neq j} \mu r_i x_i\right) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \mu r_i f(x_i) - n f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu r_i x_i\right)$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, f}(x, y) := \alpha f([x, y]) - \beta [f(x), y] - \gamma [x, f(y)]$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n, x, y \in X$, all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{1/n_0}^1$, $r_1, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$.

Before proceeding to the proof of the main results, we first introduce the following lemmas which will be used in this paper.

Lemma 2.1. (cf. [11]). Let X and Y be linear spaces and let r_1, \dots, r_n be real numbers with $\sum_{k=1}^n r_k \neq 0$ and $r_i, r_j \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Assume that a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional equation (1.1) for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$. Then f is an additive mapping satisfying $f(r_k x) = r_k f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $r_k \in \mathbb{R}$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$).

Using the same method of the proof of Lemma 2.1, we have an alternative result of Lemma 2.1 when $\sum_{k=1}^n r_k = 0$.

Lemma 2.2. (cf. [11]). Let X and Y be linear spaces and let r_1, \dots, r_n be real numbers with $r_i, r_j \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Assume that a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the functional equation (1.1) for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$. Then f is an additive mapping satisfying $f(r_k x) = r_k f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $r_k \in \mathbb{R}$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$).

Lemma 2.3. (cf. [2, 4]). Let $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be an additive mapping such that $f(\mu x) = \mu f(x)$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{1/n_0}^1$ and all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Then the mapping f is \mathbb{C} -linear.

Remark 2.4. Throughout this paper, let r_1, \dots, r_n be real numbers such that $r_i, r_j \neq 0$ for fixed $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and $\varphi_{ij}(x, y) := \varphi(0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{x}_{i^{\text{th}}}, 0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{y}_{j^{\text{th}}}, 0, \dots, 0)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

In the following theorem, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation (1.1) on Lie C^* -algebras by using contractively subhomogeneous and expansively superhomogeneous functions.

Theorem 2.5. Assume that there exist a contractively subhomogeneous mapping $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and a 2-contractively subhomogeneous mapping $\psi : \mathcal{A}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with a constant $0 < L < 1$ such that a mapping $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies

$$\text{and } \|\mathcal{D}_{\mu, r_1, \dots, r_n, f}(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \tag{2.1}$$

$$\|\mathcal{D}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, f}(x, y)\| \leq \psi(x, y) \tag{2.2}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n, x, y \in \mathcal{A}$, all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{1/n_0}^1$ and some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Then there exists a unique Lie (α, β, γ) -derivation $\mathfrak{L} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ which satisfies the functional equation (1.1) and the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - \mathfrak{L}(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{4(1-L)} & \left\{ [\varphi_{ij}(\frac{x}{r_i}, \frac{x}{r_j}) + 2\varphi_{ij}(\frac{x}{2r_i}, -\frac{x}{2r_j})] \right. \\ & \left. + [\varphi_{ij}(\frac{x}{r_i}, 0) + 2\varphi_{ij}(\frac{x}{2r_i}, 0)] + [\varphi_{ij}(0, \frac{x}{r_j}) + 2\varphi_{ij}(0, -\frac{x}{2r_j})] \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof . Consider the set

$$\mathfrak{W} := \left\{ g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}, \sup_{x \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{\|g(x) - f(x)\|}{\Phi(x)} < \infty \right\}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x) := & \frac{1}{2} \left\{ [\varphi_{ij}(\frac{x}{r_i}, \frac{x}{r_j}) + 2\varphi_{ij}(\frac{x}{2r_i}, -\frac{x}{2r_j})] \right. \\ & \left. + [\varphi_{ij}(\frac{x}{r_i}, 0) + 2\varphi_{ij}(\frac{x}{2r_i}, 0)] + [\varphi_{ij}(0, \frac{x}{r_j}) + 2\varphi_{ij}(0, -\frac{x}{2r_j})] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, and introduce the following metric on \mathfrak{W} :

$$d(g, h) = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{\|g(x) - h(x)\|}{\Phi(x)}.$$

Then it is easy to see that (\mathfrak{W}, d) is a complete metric space. Now we consider the mapping $\mathcal{J} : \mathfrak{W} \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}$ given by

$$\mathcal{J}g(x) := \frac{1}{2}g(2x), \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathfrak{W} \text{ and } x \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{2.4}$$

Let $g, h \in \mathfrak{W}$ and let $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_+$ be an arbitrary constant with $d(g, h) \leq \rho$. From the definition of d , we have

$$\frac{\|g(x) - h(x)\|}{\Phi(x)} \leq \rho$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. By the assumption and the last inequality, we get

$$\frac{\|\mathcal{J}g(x) - \mathcal{J}h(x)\|}{\Phi(x)} = \frac{\|g(2x) - h(2x)\|}{2\Phi(x)} \leq \frac{L\|g(2x) - h(2x)\|}{\Phi(2x)} \leq L\rho$$

for some $L < 1$ and for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, it holds that $d(\mathcal{J}g, \mathcal{J}h) \leq L\rho$, that is, $d(\mathcal{J}g, \mathcal{J}h) \leq Ld(g, h)$ for all $g, h \in \mathfrak{W}$. This means that \mathcal{J} is a strictly contractive self-mapping of \mathfrak{W} , with the Lipschitz constant L .

For each $1 \leq k \leq n$ with $k \neq i, j$, substituting $x_k = 0$ and $\mu = 1$ in the functional inequality (2.1), we obtain

$$\|f(-r_i x_i + r_j x_j) + f(r_i x_i - r_j x_j) - 2f(r_i x_i + r_j x_j) + 2r_i f(x_i) + 2r_j f(x_j)\| \leq \varphi_{ij}(x_i, x_j) \tag{2.5}$$

for all $x_i, x_j \in \mathcal{A}$. Letting $x_i = 0$ in (2.5), we get

$$\|f(-r_j x_j) - f(r_j x_j) + 2r_j f(x_j)\| \leq \varphi_{ij}(0, x_j) \tag{2.6}$$

for all $x_j \in \mathcal{A}$. Similarly, letting $x_j = 0$ in (2.5), we get

$$\|f(-r_i x_i) - f(r_i x_i) + 2r_i f(x_i)\| \leq \varphi_{ij}(x_i, 0) \tag{2.7}$$

for all $x_i \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows from (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(-r_i x_i + r_j x_j) + f(r_i x_i - r_j x_j) - 2f(r_i x_i + r_j x_j) + 2r_i f(x_i) + 2r_j f(x_j) \\ & \quad - (f(-r_i x_i) - f(r_i x_i) + 2r_i f(x_i)) - (f(-r_j x_j) - f(r_j x_j) + 2r_j f(x_j))\| \\ & \leq \varphi_{ij}(x_i, x_j) + \varphi_{ij}(x_i, 0) + \varphi_{ij}(0, x_j) \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

for all $x_i, x_j \in \mathcal{A}$. Replacing x_i and x_j by $\frac{x}{r_i}$ and $\frac{y}{r_j}$ in (2.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(-x + y) + f(x - y) - 2f(x + y) + f(x) + f(y) - f(-x) - f(-y)\| \\ & \leq \varphi_{ij}\left(\frac{x}{r_i}, \frac{y}{r_j}\right) + \varphi_{ij}\left(\frac{x}{r_i}, 0\right) + \varphi_{ij}\left(0, \frac{y}{r_j}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Putting $y = x$ in (2.9), we have

$$\|2f(x) - 2f(-x) - 2f(2x)\| \leq \varphi_{ij}\left(\frac{x}{r_i}, \frac{x}{r_j}\right) + \varphi_{ij}\left(\frac{x}{r_i}, 0\right) + \varphi_{ij}\left(0, \frac{x}{r_j}\right) \tag{2.10}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Replacing x and y by $\frac{x}{2}$ and $-\frac{x}{2}$ in (2.9), respectively, we get

$$\|f(x) + f(-x)\| \leq \varphi_{ij}\left(\frac{x}{2r_i}, -\frac{x}{2r_j}\right) + \varphi_{ij}\left(\frac{x}{2r_i}, 0\right) + \varphi_{ij}\left(0, -\frac{x}{2r_j}\right) \tag{2.11}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows from (2.10) and (2.11) that

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x)\| \leq \Phi(x) \tag{2.12}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus

$$\frac{\|\frac{1}{2}f(2x) - f(x)\|}{\Phi(x)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \tag{2.13}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence $d(\mathcal{J}f, f) \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Due to Theorem 1.1, there exists a unique mapping $\mathfrak{L} \in \mathfrak{W}$ such that $\mathfrak{L}(2x) = 2\mathfrak{L}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, i.e., \mathfrak{L} is a unique fixed point of \mathcal{J} . Moreover,

$$\mathfrak{L}(x) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^m x)}{2^m} \tag{2.14}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Also

$$d(f, \mathfrak{L}) \leq \frac{1}{1 - L} d(f, \mathcal{J}f) \leq \frac{1}{2(1 - L)},$$

i.e., inequality (2.3) holds for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

It follows from (2.1), (2.14) and the contractively subhomogeneity of φ that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{D}_{\mu, r_1, \dots, r_n, \mathfrak{L}}(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^m} \|\mathcal{D}_{\mu, r_1, \dots, r_n, f}(2^m x_1, \dots, 2^m x_n)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^m} \varphi(2^m x_1, \dots, 2^m x_n) \\ &\leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} L^m \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{1/n_0}^1$. So $\mathcal{D}_{\mu, r_1, \dots, r_n, \mathfrak{L}}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{1/n_0}^1$. If we put $\mu = 1$ in the last equality, then \mathfrak{L} is additive by Lemma 2.2 and $\mathfrak{L}(r_k x) = r_k \mathfrak{L}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and for all $1 \leq k \leq n$. So letting $x_i = x$ and $x_k = 0$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$, $k \neq i$ in the last equality, we obtain $\mathfrak{L}(\mu x) = \mu \mathfrak{L}(x)$. Now by using Lemma 2.3, we infer that the mapping $\mathfrak{L} \in \mathfrak{W}$ is \mathbb{C} -linear.

It follows from the 2-contractively subhomogeneity of ψ , (2.2) and (2.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{D}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\mathfrak{L}}(x, y)\| &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^m} \|\mathcal{D}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma, f}(2^m x, 2^m y)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^m} \psi(2^m x, 2^m y) \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^m} (2L)^{2m} \psi(x, y) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Then we have

$$\alpha \mathfrak{L}([x, y]) = \beta [\mathfrak{L}(x), y] + \gamma [x, \mathfrak{L}(y)] \tag{2.15}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus, the mapping $\mathfrak{L} \in \mathfrak{W}$ is a unique Lie (α, β, γ) -derivation on Lie C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} satisfying (2.3). This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Theorem 2.6. *Assume that there exist an expansively superhomogeneous mapping $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and a 2-expansively superhomogeneous mapping $\psi : \mathcal{A}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with a constant $0 < L < 1$ such that a mapping $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies (2.1) and (2.2) for all $x_1, \dots, x_n, x, y \in \mathcal{A}$, all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{1/n_0}^1$ and some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Then there exists a unique Lie (α, β, γ) -derivation $\mathfrak{L} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ which satisfies the functional equation (1.1) and the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - \mathfrak{L}(x)\| &\leq \frac{L}{4(1-L)} \left\{ [\varphi_{ij}(\frac{x}{r_i}, \frac{x}{r_j}) + 2\varphi_{ij}(\frac{x}{2r_i}, -\frac{x}{2r_j})] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + [\varphi_{ij}(\frac{x}{r_i}, 0) + 2\varphi_{ij}(\frac{x}{2r_i}, 0)] + [\varphi_{ij}(0, \frac{x}{r_j}) + 2\varphi_{ij}(0, -\frac{x}{2r_j})] \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof . Let (\mathfrak{W}, d) be a complete metric space defined in the proof of Theorems 2.5. Now, we consider the mapping $\mathcal{J} : \mathfrak{W} \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{J}g(x) := 2g(\frac{x}{2}), \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathfrak{W} \text{ and } x \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{2.17}$$

One can show that $d(\mathcal{J}g, \mathcal{J}h) \leq Ld(g, h)$ for all $g, h \in \mathfrak{W}$. By (2.12), we have

$$\|2f(\frac{x}{2}) - f(x)\| \leq \Phi(\frac{x}{2}) \tag{2.18}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus

$$\frac{\|2f(\frac{x}{2}) - f(x)\|}{\Phi(x)} \leq \frac{L}{2} \tag{2.19}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence $d(\mathcal{J}f, f) \leq \frac{L}{2}$. Due to Theorem 1.1, there exists a unique mapping $\mathfrak{L} \in \mathfrak{W}$ such that $\mathfrak{L}(2x) = 2\mathfrak{L}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, i.e., \mathfrak{L} is a unique fixed point of \mathcal{J} . Moreover,

$$\mathfrak{L}(x) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} 2^m f(\frac{x}{2^m}) \tag{2.20}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Also

$$d(f, \mathfrak{L}) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(f, \mathcal{J}f) \leq \frac{L}{2(1-L)},$$

which implies that (2.16) holds for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

The remaining assertion goes through in a similar way to the corresponding part of Theorem 2.5. \square

Theorem 2.7. Assume that there exists a contractively subhomogeneous mapping $\phi : \mathcal{A}^{n+2} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with a constant $0 < L < 1$ such that a mapping $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies

$$\|\mathcal{D}_{\mu, r_1, \dots, r_n, f}(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \mathcal{D}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, f}(x, y)\| \leq \phi(x_1, \dots, x_n, x, y) \tag{2.21}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n, x, y \in \mathcal{A}$, all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{1/n_0}^1$ and some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Then there exists a unique Lie (α, β, γ) -derivation $\mathfrak{L} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ which satisfies the functional equation (1.1) and the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - \mathfrak{L}(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{4(1-L)} & \left\{ [\phi_{ij}(\frac{x}{r_i}, \frac{x}{r_j}) + 2\phi_{ij}(\frac{x}{2r_i}, -\frac{x}{2r_j})] \right. \\ & \left. + [\phi_{ij}(\frac{x}{r_i}, 0) + 2\phi_{ij}(\frac{x}{2r_i}, 0)] + [\phi_{ij}(0, \frac{x}{r_j}) + 2\phi_{ij}(0, -\frac{x}{2r_j})] \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof . For each $1 \leq k \leq n$ with $k \neq i, j$, substituting $x_k = x = y = 0$ and $\mu = 1$ in the functional inequality (2.21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(-r_i x_i + r_j x_j) + f(r_i x_i - r_j x_j) - 2f(r_i x_i + r_j x_j) + 2r_i f(x_i) + 2r_j f(x_j)\| \\ \leq \overbrace{\phi(0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{x}_{i^{\text{th}}}, 0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{y}_{j^{\text{th}}}, 0, \dots, 0, 0, 0)}^{n \text{ times}} \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

for all $x_i, x_j \in \mathcal{A}$. For convenience, let

$$\phi_{ij}(x, y) := \overbrace{\phi(0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{x}_{i^{\text{th}}}, 0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{y}_{j^{\text{th}}}, 0, \dots, 0, 0, 0)}^{n \text{ times}}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. By the same way as in the proof of Theorem 2.5, we obtain

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x)\| \leq \Psi(x) \tag{2.24}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(x) := \frac{1}{2} & \left\{ [\phi_{ij}(\frac{x}{r_i}, \frac{x}{r_j}) + 2\phi_{ij}(\frac{x}{2r_i}, -\frac{x}{2r_j})] \right. \\ & \left. + [\phi_{ij}(\frac{x}{r_i}, 0) + 2\phi_{ij}(\frac{x}{2r_i}, 0)] + [\phi_{ij}(0, \frac{x}{r_j}) + 2\phi_{ij}(0, -\frac{x}{2r_j})] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We introduce the same definition for \mathfrak{W} as in the proof of Theorem 2.5 (by replacing Φ by Ψ) such that (\mathfrak{W}, d) becomes a complete metric space. Let $\mathcal{T} : \mathfrak{W} \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}$ be the mapping defined by

$$\mathcal{T}g(x) := \frac{1}{2}g(2x), \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathfrak{W} \text{ and } x \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Then, we have $d(\mathcal{T}g, \mathcal{T}h) \leq Ld(g, h)$ for all $g, h \in \mathfrak{W}$. It follows from (2.24) that $d(\mathcal{T}f, f) \leq \frac{1}{2}$. The rest of this proof is similar to the proof of Theorems 2.5 and 2.6. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.8. *Assume that there exists an expansively superhomogeneous mapping $\phi : \mathcal{A}^{n+2} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with a constant $0 < L < 1$ such that a mapping $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies (2.21) for all $x_1, \dots, x_n, x, y \in \mathcal{A}$, all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{1/n_0}^1$ and some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Then there exists a unique Lie (α, β, γ) -derivation $\mathfrak{L} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ which satisfies the functional equation (1.1) and the inequality*

$$\|f(x) - \mathfrak{L}(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{4(1-L)} \left\{ [\phi_{ij}(\frac{x}{r_i}, \frac{x}{r_j}) + 2\phi_{ij}(\frac{x}{2r_i}, -\frac{x}{2r_j})] + [\phi_{ij}(\frac{x}{r_i}, 0) + 2\phi_{ij}(\frac{x}{2r_i}, 0)] + [\phi_{ij}(0, \frac{x}{r_j}) + 2\phi_{ij}(0, -\frac{x}{2r_j})] \right\}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof . The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.7. \square

Next, we investigate the Lie C*-algebra homomorphisms on Lie C*-algebras associated with the functional equation (1.1).

Theorem 2.9. *Assume that there exist a contractively subhomogeneous mapping $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and a 2-contractively subhomogeneous mapping $\psi : \mathcal{A}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with a constant $0 < L < 1$ such that a mapping $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies (2.1) for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{1/n_0}^1$, and*

$$\|f([x, y]) - [f(x), f(y)]\| \leq \psi(x, y) \tag{2.25}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Then there exists a unique Lie C*-algebra homomorphism $\mathfrak{L} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ satisfying (2.3) for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof . By the same method as in Theorem 2.5, we obtain a \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $\mathfrak{L} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ satisfying (2.3). The mapping is given by $\mathfrak{L}(x) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^m x)}{2^m}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows from (2.25) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \mathfrak{L}([x, y]) - [\mathfrak{L}(x), \mathfrak{L}(y)] \| \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^m} \| f(4^m[x, y]) - [f(2^m x), f(2^m y)] \| \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} L^{2m} \psi(x, y) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus, \mathfrak{L} is a Lie C*-algebra homomorphism. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.10. *Assume that there exist an expansively superhomogeneous mapping $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and a 2-expansively superhomogeneous mapping $\psi : \mathcal{A}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with a constant $0 < L < 1$ such that a mapping $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies (2.1) and (2.25) for all $x_1, \dots, x_n, x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}_{1/n_0}^1$. Then there exists a unique Lie C*-algebra homomorphism $\mathfrak{L} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ satisfying (2.16) for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof . The proof is similar to the proof of Theorems 2.6 and 2.9. \square

Acknowledgements

This research work was done during 2015-16 while the first author studied at the University of Louisville as a Visiting Scholar from the Hubei University of Technology. This research work was supported by Key Project of Educational Department of Hubei Province of China (Grant Nos. D20161401).

References

- [1] T. Aoki, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 2 (1950) 64–66.
- [2] J. Brzdęk and A. Fošner, *Remarks on the stability of Lie homomorphisms*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 400 (2013) 585–596.
- [3] P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 184 (1994) 431–436.
- [4] M.E. Gordji and H. Khodaei, *A fixed point technique for investigating the stability of (α, β, γ) -derivations on Lie C^* -algebras*, Nonlinear Anal. 76 (2013) 52–57.
- [5] D.H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 27 (1941) 222–224.
- [6] D.H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several variables*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
- [7] S.M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Nonlinear Analysis*, Springer Science, New York, 2011.
- [8] Pl. Kannappan, *Functional Equations and Inequalities with Applications*, Springer Science, New York, 2009.
- [9] H.A. Kenary, H. Rezaei, M. Sharifzadeh, D. Shin and J. Lee, *Non-Archimedean Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of m -variable functional equation*, Adv. Differ. Equ. 2012 (2012) 111.
- [10] S.S. Kim, G.Y. Kim and S.H. Kim, *On the stability of (α, β, γ) -derivations and Lie C^* -algebra homomorphisms on Lie C^* -algebras: A fixed points method*, Math. Problems Engineering. Volume 2013, Article ID 954749, 5 pages.
- [11] A. Najati and C. Park, *Stability of a generalized Euler-Lagrange type additive mapping and homomorphisms in C^* -algebras*, Adv. Differ. Equ. Volume 2013, Article ID 273165, 22 pages.
- [12] P. Novotný and J. Hrivnák, *On (α, β, γ) -derivations of Lie algebras and corresponding invariant functions*, J. Geom. Phys. 58 (2008) 208–217.
- [13] C. Park, *Lie $*$ -homomorphisms between Lie C^* -algebras and Lie $*$ -derivations on Lie C^* -algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 293 (2004) 419–434.
- [14] C. Park, *Homomorphisms between Lie JC^* -algebras and Cauchy-Rassias stability of Lie JC^* -derivations*, J. Lie Theory 15 (2005) 393–414.
- [15] Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1978) 297–300.
- [16] Th.M. Rassias, *Functional Equations, Inequalities and Applications*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2003.
- [17] P.K. Sahoo and Pl. Kannappan, *Introduction to Functional Equations*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2011.
- [18] S.M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics, Chapter VI*, Science Editions, Wiley, New York, 1964.