



properties of M –hypoellipticity for pseudo differential operators

M.Alimohammady^{a,*}, M.K. Kalleji^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, University of Mazandaran, Babolsar 47416-1468, Iran.

^bDepartment of Mathematics, University of Mazandaran, Babolsar 47416-1468, Iran.

(Communicated by M. B. Ghaemi)

Abstract

In this paper we study properties of symbols such that these belong to class of symbols sitting inside $S_{\rho,\varphi}^m$ that we shall introduce as the following. So for because hypoelliptic pseudodifferential operators plays a key role in quantum mechanics we will investigate some properties of M –hypoelliptic pseudo differential operators for which define base on this class of symbols. Also we consider maximal and minimal operators of M –hypoelliptic pseudo differential operators and we express some results about these operators.

Keywords: pseudo differential operator, elliptic operator, hypoelliptic operator, parametrix operator.

2010 MSC: Primary 58G15, 35S05 Secondary 47G30.

1. Introduction

The theory of pseudodifferential operators was born in the early 1960 and, thereafter, it evolved with the theory of partial differential equations. Therefore, many topics in these two theories are closely related, like the hypoellipticity of operators, the sharp form of Garding's inequality, the parametrix of operators, and so on. In the theory of pseudodifferential operators, one of the most interesting topics is to investigate the behavior of pseudodifferential operators of Hormander's class, $S_{\rho,\delta}^m$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and Sobolev spaces. The behavior of operators in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ spaces plays an essential role in the theory of linear and nonlinear partial differential equations. For example, one of the most important equations in mathematical physics is the Schrödinger equation, as it plays a key role in quantum mechanics. An important feature of this equation is the fact that it is stationary

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: amohsen@umz.ac.ir (M.Alimohammady), m.k.kalleji@gmail.com (M.K. Kalleji)

and therefore, one cannot use standard elliptic techniques for its resolution. One overcomes this problem by performing a standard regularization procedure which allows some degree of control over these singularities and, thus, enables (to some extent) the application of methods for hypoelliptic boundary value problems. Hypoelliptic theory has its roots in the work of Hörmander in [6], where a necessary and sufficient condition for a solution of a homogeneous boundary value problem to be C^∞ up to boundary of the domain was given. His condition, of an algebraic nature, was formulated in terms of behavior of the zeros of the so-called characteristic function of the boundary value problem near the infinity. So for because hypoelliptic pseudodifferential operators plays a key role in quantum mechanics we study in this paper some properties hypoelliptic theory for pseudodifferential operators with the symbols type of M -hypoelliptic that introduce the following.

Let m, ρ and δ be real numbers; $0 \leq \delta, \rho \leq 1$. The class $S_{\delta, \rho}^m(X \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ consist of functions $\sigma(x, \xi) \in C^\infty(X \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for any multi-indices α, β and any compact set $K \subset X$ constants $R, C_{\alpha, \beta, K}$ exist for which

$$|(\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta \sigma)(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, K} |\xi|^{m - \rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $|\xi| \geq R$ and $x \in K$. We also take $S^{-\infty} = \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{R}} S^m$. In order to a general class as such functions $\sigma \in C^\infty(X \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ suppose that $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a positive function such that there exist positive constants μ_0, μ_1, c_0 and c_1 for which

$$c_0(1 + |\xi|)^{\mu_0} \leq \varphi(\xi) \leq c_1(1 + |\xi|)^{\mu_1} \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Such a function φ is said to be a weight with polynomial growth. Moreover, we assume that there exists a real number μ such that $\mu \geq \mu_1$ and for all multi-indices α and γ with $\gamma_j \in \{0, 1\}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, there is a positive constant $C_{\alpha, \gamma}$ for which

$$|\xi^\gamma (\partial^{\alpha+\gamma} \varphi)(\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \gamma} \varphi(\xi)^{1 - \frac{1}{\mu}|\alpha|} \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\rho \in (0, \frac{1}{\mu}]$. Then we define $S_{\rho, \varphi}^m$ to be the set of all functions $\sigma \in C^\infty(X \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, where X is open set in \mathbb{R}^n , such that for all multi-indices α and β , there exists a positive constant $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ depending on α and β , only, for which

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \sigma(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \varphi(\xi)^{m - \rho|\beta|}$$

for $x \in X$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

A function in $S_{\rho, \varphi}^m$ is said to be a symbol of order m and type ρ with weight φ . It should be noted that if we let φ be the weight defined by $\varphi(\xi) = \sqrt{1 + |\xi|^2}$, for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then $S_{\rho, \varphi}^m$ is the same as the Hörmander class $S_{\rho, 0}^m$.

The L^p -boundedness for $p \neq 2$ is a completely different matter when $\rho < 1$. That L^p -boundedness is a much harder issue even for the Hörmander class is well documented by Fefferman. Due to this difficulty, the following class of symbols sitting inside $S_{\rho, \varphi}^m$ is introduced.

For $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\rho \in (0, \frac{1}{\mu}]$, we let $M_{\rho, \varphi}^m$ be the set of all functions $\sigma \in C^\infty(X \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open set, such that for all multi-indices γ with $\gamma_j \in \{0, 1\}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$\xi^\gamma \partial^\gamma \sigma(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \varphi}^m.$$

In the case $\varphi(\xi) = \sqrt{1 + |\xi|^2}$, for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $M_{\rho, \varphi}^m$ can be found in the [10].

2. preliminaries

In this section we will express definitions and examples of these definitions and basic results for which we will need in the next section.

2.1. Definitions

Definition 2.1. A function $\sigma \in C^\infty(X \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, for an open set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a M -elliptic if the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (1) $\sigma(x, \xi) \in M_{\rho, \varphi}^m$,
- (2) there exists positive constants C and R for which

$$|\sigma(x, \xi)| \geq C\varphi(\xi)^m$$

for $|\xi| \geq R$.

Definition 2.2. A function $\sigma \in C^\infty(X \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, is called a M -hypoelliptic symbol if the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (1) there exists real number t such that $\sigma(x, \xi) \in M_{\rho, \varphi}^t$,
- (2) there exist real numbers m_0, m such that for an arbitrary compact set $K \subset X$ one can find positive constants c_1, c_2 and R for which

$$c_1\varphi(\xi)^m \leq |\sigma(x, \xi)| \leq c_2\varphi(\xi)^{m_0},$$

where $|\xi| \geq R$, $x \in K$,

- (3) there exist number $0 \leq \delta < \rho \leq 1$ and for each compact set $K \subset X$ a constant R such that for any multi-indices α and β

$$|(\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \sigma(x, \xi))\sigma^{-1}(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, K}[\varphi(\xi)]^{-\rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|}$$

for $|\xi| \geq R$, $x \in K$, with some constant $C_{\alpha, \beta, K}$.

We denote by $H_M S_{\rho, \delta}^{m, m_0}(X \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ the class of symbols satisfying in definition (2.2) for fixed m, m_0, ρ and δ with weight φ . If domain X is obvious then we will denote this space simply by $H_M S_{\rho, \delta}^{m, m_0}$. From definition (2.2) it obviously follows that

$$H_M S_{\rho, \delta}^{m, m_0}(X \times \mathbb{R}^n) \subset S_{\rho, \varphi}^m(X \times \mathbb{R}^n).$$

We will denote by $H_M L_{\rho, \delta}^{m, m_0}(X)$ the class of properly supported pseudo differential operator A for which $\sigma_A(x, \xi) \in H_M S_{\rho, \delta}^{m, m_0}$.

Definition 2.3. A pseudo differential operator A is called M -elliptic (M -hypoelliptic) if there exists a properly supported pseudo differential operator $A_1 \in H_M L_{\rho, \delta}^{m, m_0}(X)$ for which $\sigma_{A_1}(x, \xi)$ is M -elliptic (M -hypoelliptic) and $A = A_1 + R_1$, where $R_1 \in L^{-\infty}(X)$.

Examples

Example 2.4. The Laplace operator $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}$ in \mathbb{R}^n and Cauchy-Riemann operator

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + i \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right]$$

in \mathbb{R}^2 are elliptic operators.

Example 2.5. We consider the partial differential operator $\sigma(D)$ on \mathbb{R}^2 in the paper [3] by Garello and Morando given by

$$\sigma(D) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} \right).$$

The symbol σ of the operator above is given by

$$\sigma(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 - \xi_1 \xi_2 + i(\xi_1^3 + \xi_2^3),$$

for $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. It can be shown that symbol σ is M -elliptic of order 1 with respect to weight φ on \mathbb{R}^2 given by

$$\varphi(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \sqrt{1 + \xi_1^6 + \xi_1^4 \xi_2^4 + \xi_2^6},$$

for $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Example 2.6. The heat operator. The symbol of the heat operator

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2},$$

on \mathbb{R}^2 is given by

$$\sigma(\xi_1, \xi_2) = i\xi_1 + \xi_2^2,$$

for $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. By consider $m_0 = -3, m = 2, \rho = \frac{1}{4}$ and positive constants c_1, c_2, R_1, R_2 with appropriate weight

$$\varphi(\xi_1, \xi_2) = 1 + \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^4,$$

then we have

$$c_1 \varphi(\xi_1, \xi_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq |\sigma(\xi_1, \xi_2)| \leq c_2 \varphi(\xi_1, \xi_2)^2,$$

for $|\xi_1| \geq R_1, |\xi_2| \geq R_2$. Therefore σ is an M -hypoelliptic in $M_{\frac{1}{4}, \varphi}^2$.

2.1.1. Basic results

Lemma 2.7. [9] The following conditions are equivalent for a differential operator A : (1) A is elliptic (2) $A \in HL_{1,0}^{m_0, m}(X)$.

Remark 2.8. First of all note, that it makes sense to say that $\sigma(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m(U)$, where U is an arbitrary region in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^N$, which is conic with respect to ξ . Indeed, we will write that $\sigma(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m(U)$, if for any compact $K \subset (\mathbb{R}^n \times S^{N-1}) \cap U$, where S^{N-1} is the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^N and for arbitrary multi-indices α, β , there is a constant $C_{\alpha, \beta, K} > 0$ such that

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta \sigma(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, K} \langle \xi \rangle^{m - \rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|},$$

where $(x, \frac{\xi}{|\xi|}) \in K$ and $|\xi| \geq 1$. Now assume, that we are given a diffeomorphism from a conical region $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{N_1}$ onto the conical region $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^N$, commuting with the natural action of the multiplicative group \mathbb{R}_+ , of positive numbers, i.e. a diffeomorphism which maps a $(y, \eta) \in V$ to point $(x(y, \eta), \xi(y, \eta)) \in U$, where $x(y, \eta)$ and $\xi(y, \eta)$ are positively homogenous in η of degree 0 and 1 respectively. Change the variables in $\sigma(x, \xi)$:

$$\sigma_1(y, \eta) = \sigma(x(y, \eta), \xi(y, \eta)).$$

Lemma 2.9. [9] Let $\sigma(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m(U)$ and assume that one of the following three assumption hold: (1) $\rho + \delta = 1$ (2) $\rho + \delta \geq 1$ and $x = x(y)$ (3) $x = x(y)$ and $\xi = \xi(y, \eta)$. Then

$$\sigma_1(y, \eta) = \sigma(x(y, \eta), \xi(y, \eta)) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m(V),$$

where $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{N_1}$ and $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^N$ are conical regions.

Theorem 2.10. [11] Let T and S be two properly supported pseudo differential operators in a domain $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and let their symbols be σ_T, σ_S respectively. The composition $Z = S.T$ is then a properly supported pseudo differential operator whose its symbol satisfies the relation,

$$\sigma_{ST}(x, \xi) \sim \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \sigma_S(x, \xi) D_x^{\alpha} \sigma_T(x, \xi)$$

Theorem 2.11. [11] Suppose that $\sigma \in M_{\rho, \varphi}^{m_1}$ and $\tau \in M_{\rho, \varphi}^{m_2}$ then $\lambda \in M_{\rho, \varphi}^{m_1+m_2}$, where

$$\lambda \sim \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \sigma \partial_x^{\alpha} \tau .$$

3. Main results

properties of M–hypoelliptic symbols

If $\sigma(x, \xi)$ belong to $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ or $H_M S_{\rho, \delta}^{m, m_0}$ for large ξ then multiplying by a smooth cut-off function $\psi(x, \xi)$ that for $|\xi| \geq R(K) + 2$ equal 1 and for $|\xi| \leq R(K) + 1$ equal zero, where K is arbitrary compact set. Then we obtain a symbol σ_1 belong to $S_{\rho, \delta}^m(X \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ or $H_M S_{\rho, \delta}^{m, m_0}(X \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ respectively, which coincides with $\sigma(x, \xi)$ for large ξ .

Lemma 3.1. If $\sigma(x, \xi) \in H_M S_{\rho, \delta}^{m, m_0}$ for large ξ , then $\sigma^{-1}(x, \xi) \in H_M S_{\rho, \delta}^{-m_0, -m}$ for large ξ .

Proof . We shall prove that $\sigma^{-1}(x, \xi)$ satisfies in conditions definition of M–hypoelliptic. First we show that $\sigma^{-1} \in M_{\rho \varphi}^{-m}$. Let γ withe $\gamma_j \in \{0, 1\}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and α, β be arbitrary multi-inddices then we estimate

$$|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_x^{\beta} (\xi^{\gamma} \partial_{\xi}^{\gamma} \sigma^{-1}(x, \xi))|.$$

To this end, by Libnitz formula,

$$\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} (\xi^{\gamma} \partial_x^{\beta} \partial_{\xi}^{\gamma} \sigma^{-1}(x, \xi)) = \sum_{\theta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\theta} (\partial^{\alpha - \theta} \xi^{\gamma}) (\partial_x^{\beta} \partial_{\xi}^{\theta + \gamma} \sigma^{-1}(x, \xi)).$$

we set

$$I = \partial^{\alpha - \theta} \xi^{\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad II = \partial_x^{\beta} \partial_{\xi}^{\theta + \gamma} \sigma^{-1}(x, \xi).$$

Then we obtain

$$I = \partial^{\alpha-\theta} \xi^\gamma = (\alpha - \theta)! \binom{\gamma}{\alpha - \theta} |\xi|^{|\gamma| - |\alpha - \theta|}$$

if $\alpha - \theta \leq \gamma$, otherwise $I = 0$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} II &= \partial_x^\beta \partial_\xi^{\theta+\gamma} \sigma^{-1}(x, \xi) = \partial_x^\beta \left(\sum_{\theta+\gamma} C_{\theta_1 \dots \theta_n, \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n} \frac{(\partial^{\theta+\gamma(1)} \sigma) \dots (\partial^{\theta+\gamma(n)} \sigma)}{\sigma^{n+1}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\theta+\gamma} C_{\theta_1 \dots \theta_n, \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n} \partial_x^\beta \left(\frac{(\partial^{\theta+\gamma(1)} \sigma) \dots (\partial^{\theta+\gamma(n)} \sigma)}{\sigma^{n+1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we set $III = \partial_x^\beta \left(\frac{(\partial^{\theta+\gamma(1)} \sigma) \dots (\partial^{\theta+\gamma(n)} \sigma)}{\sigma^{n+1}} \right)$ and we obtain it as the following

$$III = \sum_{\eta \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\eta} \left[\partial^{\beta-\eta} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^{n+1}} \right) \right] \partial_x^\beta [(\partial^{\theta+\gamma(1)} \sigma) \dots (\partial^{\theta+\gamma(n)} \sigma)].$$

We take $Iv = \partial^{\beta-\eta} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^{n+1}} \right)$ and $V = \partial_x^\beta [(\partial^{\theta+\gamma(1)} \sigma) \dots (\partial^{\theta+\gamma(n)} \sigma)]$. Then,

$$IV = \sum C_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n, \eta_1 \dots \eta_n} \frac{(\partial^{\beta-\eta(1)} \sigma^{n+1}) \dots (\partial^{\beta-\eta(n)} \sigma^{n+1})}{\sigma^{(n+1)^2}}.$$

Now, by above conclusions we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta (\xi^\gamma \partial_\xi^\gamma \sigma^{-1}(x, \xi))| &\leq \sum_{\theta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\theta} |I| |II| \leq \\ &\sum_{\theta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\theta} (\alpha - \theta)! \binom{\gamma}{\alpha - \theta} \varphi^{|\gamma| - |\alpha - \theta|} \cdot \sum_{\theta+\gamma} |C_{\theta_1 \dots \theta_n, \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n}| \cdot |III| \leq \\ &\sum_{\theta \leq \alpha} (\alpha - \theta)! \binom{\gamma}{\alpha - \theta} \varphi^{|\gamma| - |\alpha - \theta|} \cdot \sum_{\theta+\gamma} |C_{\theta_1 \dots \theta_n, \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n}| \cdot \sum_{\eta \leq \beta} \binom{\eta}{\beta} \cdot |IV| \cdot |V| \leq \\ &\sum_{\theta \leq \alpha} (\alpha - \theta)! \binom{\gamma}{\alpha - \theta} \varphi^{|\gamma| - |\alpha - \theta|} \cdot \sum_{\theta+\gamma} |C_{\theta_1 \dots \theta_n, \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n}| \cdot \sum_{\eta \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\eta} \cdot \\ &\sum_{\beta, \gamma} |C_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n, \eta_1 \dots \eta_n}| \frac{|C_{\beta-\eta(1)} \dots C_{\beta-\eta(n)}|}{|\sigma|^{(n+1)^2}} \varphi(\xi)^{n(n+1)m} |C_{\beta, \theta+\gamma(1)} \dots C_{\beta, \theta+\gamma(n)}| \varphi(\xi)^{nm - \rho[|\theta+\gamma|]}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $c_1 \varphi(\xi)^{m_0} \leq |\sigma(x, \xi)| \leq c_2 \varphi(\xi)^m$ there exists positive constant D_n such that

$$\frac{D_n}{c_2^{(n+1)^2}} \varphi(\xi)^{-m_0(n+1)^2} \leq \frac{D_n}{|\sigma|^{(n+1)^2}} \leq \frac{D_n}{c_1^{(n+1)^2}} \varphi(\xi)^{-m(n+1)^2}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta [\xi^\gamma \partial_\xi^\gamma \sigma^{-1}(x, \xi)]| &\leq \\ &\sum_{\theta \leq \alpha} (\alpha - \theta)! \binom{\gamma}{\alpha - \theta} \varphi^{\rho[|\gamma| - |\alpha - \theta|]} \cdot \sum_{\theta+\gamma} |C_{\theta_1 \dots \theta_n, \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n}| \cdot \sum_{\eta \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\eta} \times \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\beta, \gamma} |C_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n, \eta_1 \dots \eta_n}| |C_{\beta-\eta(1)} \dots C_{\beta-\eta(n)}| |C_{\beta, \theta+\gamma(1)} \dots C_{\beta, \theta+\gamma(n)}| \frac{D_n}{c_2^{(n+1)^2}} \times \\ & \varphi(\xi)^{\rho [|\gamma| - |\alpha - \theta|]} \cdot \varphi(\xi)^{n(n+1)m} \cdot \varphi(\xi)^{-(n+1)^2 m} \cdot \varphi(\xi)^{nm - \rho|\alpha - \theta|} \\ & \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, n} \varphi(\xi)^{-m - \rho|\alpha|}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\sigma^{-1} \in M_{\rho, \varphi}^{-m}$. Second condition of M -hypoellipticity is clearly. Finally, let α and β be arbitrary multi-indices then

$$\begin{aligned} & |[\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta \sigma^{-2}(x, \xi)] \sigma(x, \xi)| \leq |[\partial_\xi^\alpha (\sum_\beta C_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n} \frac{(\partial^{\beta_1} \sigma) \dots (\partial^{\beta_n} \sigma)}{\sigma^{n+1}})] \sigma(x, \xi)| \leq \\ & \sum_\beta |C_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n}| \sum_{\theta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\theta} [|\partial^{\alpha-\theta} \frac{1}{\sigma^{n+1}}| (\partial_\xi^\alpha ((\partial^{\beta_1} \sigma) \dots (\partial^{\beta_n} \sigma)))] |\sigma(x, \xi)| \leq \\ & \sum_{\theta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\theta} [\sum_{\alpha-\theta} C_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n, \theta_1 \dots \theta_n} \frac{(\partial^{\alpha-\theta(1)} \sigma^{n+1}) \dots (\partial^{\alpha-\theta(n)} \sigma^{n+1})}{\sigma^{(n+1)^2}}] (\partial_\xi^\alpha [(\partial^{\beta_1} \sigma) \dots (\partial^{\beta_n} \sigma)]) |\sigma(x, \xi)| \leq \\ & \sum_{\theta \leq \alpha} \sum_\beta |C_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n}| \sum_{\alpha, \theta} |C_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n, \theta_1 \dots \theta_n}| |C_{\alpha-\theta(1)} \dots C_{\alpha-\theta(n)}| |C_{\theta, \beta_1} \dots C_{\theta, \beta_n}| \frac{D_n}{c_2^{(n+1)^2}} \times \\ & \varphi(\xi)^{n(n+1)m - \rho|\alpha\theta|} \varphi(\xi)^{-(n+1)^2 m} \varphi(\xi)^m \leq \\ & C_{\alpha, \beta, n} \varphi(\xi)^{-\rho|\alpha| + \rho|\theta|}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\sigma^{-1} \in H_M S_{\rho, \delta}^{-m, -m_0}$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *If $\sigma(x, \xi) \in H_M S_{\rho, \delta}^{m, m_0}$ and $r(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{m_1}$ where $m_1 < m_0$ then $\sigma + r \in H_M S_{\rho, \delta}^{m, m_0}$.*

Proof . We must show that for any multi-indices γ with $\gamma_j \in \{0, 1\}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\xi^\gamma \partial_\xi^\gamma (\sigma + r) \in S_{\rho, \varphi}^m.$$

To this, suppose that α and β are arbitrary multi-indices then by Libnitz formula,

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta [\xi^\gamma \partial_\xi^\gamma (\sigma + r)(x, \xi)]| \leq |\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta (\xi^\gamma \partial_\xi^\gamma \sigma(x, \xi))| + |\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta (\xi^\gamma r(x, \xi))|.$$

We set

$$I = \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta (\xi^\gamma \partial_\xi^\gamma \sigma(x, \xi))$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & |I| = |\sum_{\theta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\theta} [\partial^{\alpha-\theta} \xi^\gamma] (\partial_x^\beta \partial_\xi^{\theta+\gamma} \sigma(x, \xi))| \leq \\ & \sum_{\theta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\theta} (\alpha - \theta)! \binom{\gamma}{\alpha - \theta} C'_{\theta, \beta, \gamma} \times \varphi(\xi)^{\rho [|\gamma| - |\alpha - \theta|]} \cdot C''_{\beta, \theta, \gamma} \varphi(\xi)^{m - \rho|\theta + \gamma|} \\ & \sum_{\theta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\theta} (\alpha - \theta)! \binom{\gamma}{\alpha - \theta} C_{\theta, \beta, \gamma} \varphi(\xi)^{m - \rho|\alpha|}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we estimate

$$|II| = |\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta (\xi^\gamma r(x, \xi))| \leq \sum_{\eta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\eta} (\alpha - \eta)! \binom{\gamma}{\alpha - \eta} C'_{\beta, \eta, \gamma} \varphi(\xi)^{\rho[|\gamma| - |\alpha - \eta|]} \varphi(\xi)^{m_1 - \rho|\eta + \gamma|}.$$

Since $m_1 < m_0$ therefore

$$|II| \leq \sum_{\eta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\eta} (\alpha - \eta)! \binom{\gamma}{\alpha - \eta} C'_{\beta, \eta, \gamma} \varphi(\xi)^{m_0 - \rho|\alpha|}.$$

Therefore

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta [\xi^\gamma \partial_\xi^\gamma (\sigma + r)(x, \xi)]| \leq |I| + |II| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, n}^* \varphi(\xi)^{m - \rho|\alpha|}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\alpha, \beta, n}^* &= \sum_{\theta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\theta} (\alpha - \theta)! \binom{\gamma}{\alpha - \theta} C'_{\theta, \beta, \gamma} C''_{\beta, \theta, \gamma} C_{\theta, \beta, \gamma} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\eta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\eta} (\alpha - \eta)! \binom{\gamma}{\alpha - \eta} C'_{\beta, \eta, \gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for any multi-indices α and β we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |[\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta (\sigma + r)(x, \xi)](\sigma + r)^{-1}(x, \xi)| &\leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \varphi(\xi)^{m - \rho|\alpha|} |(\sigma + r)^{-1}(x, \xi)| \leq \\ &C'_{\alpha, \beta} \varphi(\xi)^{m - \rho|\alpha|} C_{0,0} \varphi(\xi)^{-m} \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \varphi(\xi)^{-\rho|\alpha|}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 3.3. Let $\sigma(x, \xi) \in H_M S_{\rho, \delta}^{m, m_0}$ for large ξ and let $\sigma_1(y, \eta) = \sigma(x(y, \eta), \xi(y, \eta))$, where the map $(y, \eta) \mapsto x(y, \eta), \xi(y, \eta)$ is a C^∞ map from $X_1 \times (\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\})$ in to $X \times (\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\})$ and where $\xi(y, \eta)$ is positive homogenous of degree 1 in η . Assume that $1 - \rho \leq \delta \leq \rho$. Then

$$\sigma_1(y, \eta) \in H_M S_{\rho, \delta}^{m, m_0},$$

for large η .

Proof . First, we prove that $\sigma_1(y, \eta) \in M_{\rho, \varphi}^m$. to this, suppose that α, β and γ with $\gamma_j \in \{0, 1\}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, are arbitrary multi-indices then by Libnitz formula we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_\eta^\alpha \partial_y^\beta [\eta^\gamma \partial_\eta^\gamma \sigma_1(y, \eta)]| &\leq \sum_{\theta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\theta} |(\partial^{\alpha - \theta} \eta^\gamma) (\partial_y^\beta \partial_\eta^{\theta + \gamma} \sigma_1(y, \eta))| \leq \\ &\sum_{\theta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\theta} (\alpha - \theta)! \binom{\gamma}{\alpha - \theta} (1 + |\eta|)^\rho [|\gamma| - |\alpha - \theta|] C'_{\beta, \theta, \gamma} \varphi(\eta)^{m - \rho|\theta + \gamma|} \leq \\ &\sum_{\theta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\theta} (\alpha - \theta)! \binom{\gamma}{\alpha - \theta} (1 + |\eta|)^\rho [|\gamma| - |\alpha - \theta|] C'_{\beta, \theta, \gamma} \varphi(\eta)^{m - \rho[|\alpha| + |\gamma|]}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\sigma_1 \in M_{\rho, \varphi}^m$.

Now we prove that there exist real numbers m, m_0 such that for any compact set $K \subset X$ one can find positive constants R, c_1 and c_2 for which

$$c_1 \varphi(\eta)^{m_0} \leq |\sigma_1(y, \eta)| \leq c_2 \varphi(\eta)^m.$$

By assumptions we have $\sigma_1(y, \eta) = \sigma(x(y, \eta), \xi(y, \eta))$, so by differentiating of $\sigma_1(y, \eta)$ we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_1}{\partial \eta_l} = \sum_j \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \xi_j}(x(y, \eta), \xi(y, \eta)) \frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial \eta_l} + \sum_k \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x_k}(x(y, \eta), \xi(y, \eta)) \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial \eta_l} \quad (3.1.3)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_1}{\partial y_r} = \sum_j \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \xi_j}(x(y, \eta), \xi(y, \eta)) \frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial y_r} + \sum_k \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x_k}(x(y, \eta), \xi(y, \eta)) \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial y_r} \quad (3.1.4).$$

The functions $\frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial \eta_l}$, $\frac{\partial x_k}{\partial \eta_l}$, $\frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial y_r}$ and $\frac{\partial x_k}{\partial y_r}$ are positively homogenous in η of degree 0, -1 , 1, and 0 respectively. We obtain for $|\eta| \geq R$, where R is positive constant and $c_1, c_2 > 0$

$$c_1(\varphi(\eta)^{m_0-\rho} + \varphi(\eta)^{m_0+\delta-1}) \leq \left| \frac{\partial \sigma_1}{\partial \eta_l} \right| \leq c_2(\varphi(\eta)^{m-\rho} + \varphi(\eta)^{m+\delta-1})$$

and there exist positive constants d_1, d_2 such that

$$d_1(\varphi(\eta)^{m_0-\rho+1} + \varphi(\eta)^{m_0+\delta}) \leq \left| \frac{\partial \sigma_1}{\partial y_r} \right| \leq d_2(\varphi(\eta)^{m-\rho+1} + \varphi(\eta)^{m+\delta}),$$

for $|\eta| \geq R, y \in K$, where K is some compact set. If $m + \delta - 1 \leq m - \rho$ and $m_0 + \delta - 1 \leq m_0 - \rho$ then $\rho + \delta \leq 1$, therefore from (3.1.3) we have that

$$c_1 \varphi(\eta)^{m_0-\rho} \leq \left| \frac{\partial \sigma_1}{\partial \eta_l} \right| \leq c_2 \varphi(\eta)^{m-\rho} \quad (3.1.5).$$

IF $x = x(y)$ then $\frac{\partial x_k}{\partial \eta_l} = 0$, so we obtain the estimate (3.1.5) without $\rho + \delta \leq 1$. Similarly, if $m - \rho + 1 \leq m + \delta$ and $m_0 - \rho + 1 \leq m_0 + \delta$ then $\rho + \delta \geq 1$ therefore it follows from (3.1.4) that]

$$d_1 \varphi(\eta)^{m_0+\delta} \leq \left| \frac{\partial \sigma_1}{\partial y_r} \right| \leq d_2 \varphi(\eta)^{m+\delta} \quad (3.1.6),$$

and the same estimate is obtained by assumption $1 - \rho \leq \delta < \rho$. The necessary estimates of the from in definition (2.2) are thus verified when m, m_0 and positive constants c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2 and R are chosen nicely. Also when $|\alpha + \beta| \leq 1$ for an arbitrary function $\sigma(x, \xi) \in H_M S_{\rho, \delta}^{m, m_0}$, the assertion of theorem is true. Now, inductively, suppose that the estimates hold for $|\alpha + \beta| \leq k$ and arbitrary $\sigma(x, \xi) \in H_M S_{\rho, \delta}^{m, m_0}$. We obtain that for the derivatives of order equal or less than k of

$$\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \xi_j}(x(y), \xi(y, \eta)) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \xi_k}(x(y), \xi(y, \eta))$$

the estimates of class $H_M S^{m-\rho, m_0-\rho}$ and $H_M S^{m+\delta, m_0+\delta}$ respectively hold. From (3.1.3) and (3.1.4) by similarly reasoning we obtain that these estimates hold for arbitrary $\sigma \in H_M S_{\rho, \delta}^{m, m_0}$. Finally, for arbitrary multi-indices $\alpha \beta$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} | [\partial_\eta^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \sigma_1(y, \eta)] \sigma_1^{-1}(y, \eta) | &\leq C'_{\alpha, \beta} \varphi(\eta)^{m-\rho} [|\alpha|+|\beta|] C_{0,0} \varphi(\eta)^{-m} \\ &\leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \varphi(\eta)^{-\rho|\alpha|+\rho|\beta|}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.4. *If $\sigma \in H_M S_{\rho,\delta}^{m,m_0}$ and $\tau \in H_M S_{\rho,\delta}^{m',m'_0}$ then $\sigma.\tau \in H_M S_{\rho,\delta}^{m+m',m_0+m'_0}$.*

proof. First, we show that for any multi-indices α, β and γ with $\gamma_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta [\xi^\gamma \partial_\xi^\gamma (\sigma.\tau)(x, \xi)]| &\leq \sum_{\theta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\theta} |[\partial^{\alpha-\theta} \xi^\gamma] [\partial_x^\beta \partial_\xi^{\theta+\gamma} (\sigma.\tau)(x, \xi)]| \\ &\sum_{\theta \leq \alpha} (\alpha - \theta)! \binom{\gamma}{\alpha - \theta} |\xi|^{\rho[|\gamma| - |\alpha - \theta|]} |\partial_x^\beta \partial_\xi^{\theta+\gamma} (\sigma.\tau)(x, \xi)|. \end{aligned}$$

We set $I = \partial_x^\beta \partial_\xi^{\theta+\gamma} (\sigma.\tau)(x, \xi)$ then by Libnitz rule

$$\begin{aligned} |I| &\leq \sum_{\eta \leq \theta + \gamma} \binom{\beta}{\eta} [|\partial_x^{\beta-\eta} \partial_\xi^{\theta+\gamma-\eta} \sigma(x, \xi)| | [\partial_\xi^{\eta+\theta+\gamma} \tau(x, \xi)] | \\ &\sum_{\lambda \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\lambda} \sum_{\eta \leq \theta + \gamma} \binom{\theta + \gamma}{\eta} C'_{\beta,\theta,\gamma,\eta,\lambda} \varphi(\xi)^{m-\rho[|\theta+\gamma-\eta|]} C''_{\beta,\theta,\gamma,\eta,\lambda} \varphi(\xi)^{m'-\rho[|\eta+\theta+\gamma|]} \\ &\leq \sum_{\lambda \leq \beta} \sum_{\eta \leq \theta + \gamma} \binom{\beta}{\lambda} \binom{\theta + \gamma}{\eta} C'_{\beta,\theta,\gamma,\eta,\lambda} C''_{\beta,\theta,\gamma,\eta,\lambda} \varphi(\xi)^{m+m'-\rho[|\theta+\gamma|]}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta [\xi^\gamma \partial_\xi^\gamma (\sigma.\tau)(x, \xi)]| &\leq \sum_{\theta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\theta} (\alpha - \theta)! \binom{\gamma}{\alpha - \theta} \varphi(\xi)^{\rho[|\gamma| - |\alpha - \theta|]} |I| \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,\beta} \varphi(\xi)^{m+m'+\rho|\alpha|}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\sigma.\tau \in M_{\rho,\varphi}^{m+m'}$. By assumptions $\sigma.\tau$ satisfies in conditions of definition of M -hypoelliptic symbol therefore $\sigma.\tau \in H_M S_{\rho,\delta}^{m+m',m_0+m'_0}$.

properties of M -hypoelliptic operators

Lemma 3.5. *If $T \in H_M L_{\rho,\delta}^{m,m_0}(X)$, and $R \in L_{\rho,\delta}^{m_1}(X)$ with $m_1 < m_0$ where R is properly supported, then $T + R \in H_M L_{\rho,\delta}^{m,m_0}(X)$.*

Proof . The statement follows immediately from lemma (3.1). \square

Remark 3.6. *Given a diffeomorphism $\chi : X \rightarrow X_1$ from one open set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ onto another open set $X_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the induced transformation $\chi^* : C^\infty(X_1) \rightarrow C^\infty(X)$, taking a function u to the function $u \circ \chi$, is an isomorphism and transforms $C_0^\infty(X_1)$ into $C_0^\infty(X)$. Let T be a pseudo differential operator on X and define $T_1 : C_0^\infty(X_1) \rightarrow C^\infty(X_1)$ with the help of the commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_0^\infty(X_1) & \xrightarrow{T_1} & C^\infty(X_1) \\ \chi^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \chi^* \\ C_0^\infty(X) & \xrightarrow{T} & C^\infty(X) \end{array} .$$

We obtain

$$T_1 u(x) = \int \exp^{(\chi_1(x) - \chi_1(z)) \cdot \xi} a(\chi_1(x), \chi_1(z), \xi) |\det \acute{\chi}_1(z)| u(z) dz d\xi,$$

where $\chi_1(z) = y$ and $\acute{\chi}_1$ is the Jacobi matrix of the transformation χ_1 .

Proposition 3.7. *If $1 - \rho \leq \delta < \rho$, then $H_M L_{\rho, \delta}^{m, m_0}(X)$ is invariant with respect to change of variables i.e. if we are given a diffeomorphism $\chi : X \rightarrow X_1$ and an operator T_1 is defined as remark (2.8) then $T_1 \in H_M L_{\rho, \delta}^{m, m_0}(X)$*

Proof . By theorem (3.3) we have

$$\sigma_T(x^{-1}(y), {}^t \acute{\chi}_1(y)^{-1} \eta) \in H_M S_{\rho, \delta}^{m, m_0}(X).$$

But by theorem (4.2) in Subin [9] and lemma (3.1) we have

$$\sigma_{T_1}(y, \eta) = \sigma_T(x^{-1}(y), {}^t \acute{\chi}_1(y)^{-1} \eta) (1 + r(y, \eta)),$$

where $r(y, \eta) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{-2(\rho - \frac{1}{2})}$ for large η . Now, by theorem (2.11) and lemma (3.1) the assertion is proved. \square

The parametrix and the regularity theorem

Theorem 3.8. *Let $T \in H_M L_{\rho, \delta}^{m, m_0}(X)$ with either $1 - \rho \leq \delta < \rho$ or $\delta < \rho$ and X a domain in \mathbb{R}^n . Then there exists an operator $S \in H_M L_{\rho, \delta}^{-m, -m_0}(X)$, such that*

$$ST = I + R_1 \quad \text{and} \quad TS = I + R_2 \quad (3.3.1)$$

where $R_j \in L^{-\infty}(X)$ for $j = 1, 2$ and I is the identity operator. If, \acute{S} is another pseudo differential operator for which

$$T\acute{S} = I + \acute{R}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \acute{S}T = I + \acute{R}_1$$

where $\acute{R}_j \in L^{-\infty}(X)$ for $j = 1, 2$, then $\acute{S} - S \in L^{-\infty}(X)$.

Proof . Consider a function $b_0(x, \xi) \in H_M S_{\rho, \delta}^{-m, -m_0}(X \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ for which $\sigma_T^{-1}(x, \xi) = b_0(x, \xi)$ for large ξ . Now, corresponding to this symbol we can chose a properly supported operator $S_0 \in H_M L_{\rho, \delta}^{-m, -m_0}(X)$ such that

$$\sigma_{S_0} - b_0 \in M_{\rho, \varphi}^{-\infty}(X \times \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Let us show that

$$S_0 T = I + R_0 \quad (3.3.2) \quad ,$$

where $R_0 \in L_{\rho,\delta}^{-(\rho-\delta)}(X)$. By theorems (2.10) and (2.11) we have for large ξ

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{S_0 T}(x, \xi) &\sim 1 + \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_\xi^\alpha \sigma_T^{-1} D_x^\alpha \sigma_T = \\ &1 + \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \frac{\partial_\xi^\alpha \sigma_T^{-1}}{\sigma_T^{-1}} \frac{D_x^\alpha \sigma_T}{\sigma_T}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by lemma (3.1) we have

$$\frac{\partial_\xi^\alpha \sigma_T^{-1}}{\sigma_T^{-1}} \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{-\rho|\alpha|} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{D_x^\alpha \sigma_T}{\sigma_T} \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{\delta|\alpha|}.$$

Then $\frac{\partial_\xi^\alpha \sigma_T^{-1}}{\sigma_T^{-1}} \frac{D_x^\alpha \sigma_T}{\sigma_T} \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{-|\alpha|(\rho-\delta)}$. Therefore $R_0 \in L_{\rho,\delta}^{-(\rho-\delta)}(X)$. Now, let C_0 be a properly supported pseudo differential operator for which

$$C_0 \sim \sum_{j=0}^{-\infty} (-1)^j R_0^j \quad (3.3.3)$$

or

$$\sigma_{C_0} \sim \sum_{j=0}^{-\infty} (-1)^j \sigma_{R_0^j} \quad (3.3.4).$$

From (3.3.3) we have

$$C_0(I + R_0) - I \in L^{-\infty},$$

so that putting $S_1 = C_0 S_0$ therefore $S_1 T = I + R_1$ (3.3.5) where $R_1 \in L^{-\infty}(X)$. From construction it implies that $S_1 \in H_M L_{\rho,\delta}^{-m,-m_0}(X)$. We can similarly construct an operator $S_2 \in H_M L_{\rho,\delta}^{-m,-m_0}(X)$ for which $S_2 T = I + R_2$ (3.3.6) where $R_2 \in L^{-\infty}(X)$. Let us now verify that if S_1 and S_2 are two arbitrary pseudo differential operator such that (3.3.5) and (3.3.6) hold then $S_1 - S_2 \in L^{-\infty}(X)$. This will demonstrate the existence of the required S and its uniqueness. Note that S_1 and S_2 can be taken to be properly supported. From (3.3.5) we have

$$S_1 T = I + R_1 \Rightarrow S_1 T S_2 = S_2 R_1 S_2 = S_1(I + R_2) = S_2(I + R_1)$$

therefore $S_1 - S_2 = R_1 S_2 - S_1 R_2$. Since $S_1 R_2$ and $R_1 S_2$ both belong to $L^{-\infty}(X)$ therefore $S_1 - S_2 \in L^{-\infty}(X)$. \square

Corollary 3.9. *If T is a M -hypoelliptic pseudo differential operator on X then there exists a properly supported pseudo differential operator S , for which (3.3.1) holds.*

Corollary 3.10. *Any M -hypoelliptic operator $T \in L_{\rho,\delta}^m(X)$ has a parametrix S in $H_M L_{\rho,\delta}^{-m,-m_0}(X)$.*

M–hyoelliptic pseudo-differential operators

In this section we want to study some properties of M–hyoelliptic pseudo-differential operators on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$. We prove that if $T \in H_M L_{\rho,\delta}^{m,m_0}(X)$ and $Dom(T) = S(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then T is closable, where $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the Schwartz space. This result is proposition (3.1) in Wong [11] if $\delta = 0, \rho = 1$ and $m = m_0$.

Proposition 3.11. *If $T \in H_M L_{\rho,\delta}^{m,m_0}(X)$ and $S \in H_M L_{\rho,\delta}^{m',m'_0}(X)$ then*

$$T \circ S \in H_M L_{\rho,\delta}^{m+m',m_0+m'_0}(X).$$

proof. By theorem 2.10

$$\sigma_{TS} \sim \sigma_T(x, \xi) \sigma_S(x, \xi) \left[1 + \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} \frac{\partial_\xi^\alpha \sigma_T}{\sigma_T} \cdot \frac{\partial_x^\alpha \sigma_S}{\sigma_S} \right]$$

and from Lemma 3.1 and 3.2 we see that the series in square bracket is an asymptotic sum belong to $HS_{\rho,\delta}^{0,0}$. It remained to use proposition 3.4.

Theorem 3.12. *If $T \in H_M L_{\rho,\delta}^{m,m_0}(X)$ then $T^* \in H_M L_{\rho,\delta}^{m,m_0}(X)$ where T^* is the adjoint of T .*

proof. It follows from proposition 3.11.

Proposition 3.13. *If $T \in H_M L_{\rho,\delta}^{m,m_0}(X)$ and the $Dom(T)$ of T is $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then T is closable.*

proof. Let $\{\varphi_k\}$ be a sequence of functions in $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\varphi_k \rightarrow 0$, $T(\varphi_k) \rightarrow f$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then for any function ψ in $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\langle T(\varphi_k), \psi \rangle = \langle \varphi_k, T^*(\psi) \rangle.$$

Let $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then we have $\langle f, \psi \rangle = 0$ for all function ψ in $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ it follows that $f = 0$.

Remark 3.14. *A consequence of the above proposition is that $T : S(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has a closed extension in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We denote the smallest such by T_0 and call it the minimal operator of T . It can be shown easily that domain $Dom(T_0)$ of T_0 consist of all functions $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that a sequence $\{\varphi_k\}$ in $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be found for which $\varphi_k \rightarrow u$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $T(\varphi_k) \rightarrow f$ for some f in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, $T_0 u = f$ see [3] by Wong.*

Theorem 3.15. *Let $T \in H_M L_{\rho,\delta}^{m,m_0}(X)$ for which $1 - \rho \leq \delta < \rho$ or $\rho < \delta$ and X is open set in \mathbb{R}^n . If there exist two constants C, D such that*

$$\|\varphi\|_{m,p} \leq C [\|T\varphi\|_{0,p} + \|\varphi\|_{0,p}] \leq D \|\varphi\|_{m,p},$$

for $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $Dom(T_0) = H^{m,p}$.

proof. If $u \in H^{m,p}$, then we can take a sequence $\{\varphi_k\}$ of functions in $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\varphi_k \rightarrow u$ in $H^{m,p}$. Therefore by assumptions, $\{T\varphi_k\}$ and $\{\varphi_k\}$ are Cauchy sequences in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus $\varphi_k \rightarrow u$ and $T\varphi_k \rightarrow f$ for some u and f in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence $u \in Dom(T_0)$ and $T_0 u = f$. ON the other hand, if $u \in Dom(T_0)$, then we can find a sequence $\{\varphi_k\}$ in $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which $\varphi_k \rightarrow u$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $T\varphi_k \rightarrow f$ for some f in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore $\{\varphi_k\}$ and $\{T\varphi_k\}$ are Cauchy sequence in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, so by assumption of theorem $\{\varphi_k\}$ is a Cauchy in $H^{m,p}$. Since $H^{m,p}$ is complete, $\varphi_k \rightarrow v$ for some $v \in H^{m,p}$. Suppose $m \geq 0$. Then the inclusion map $H^{m,p} \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuous. Therefore $\varphi_k \rightarrow v$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. So $u = v$, and consequently lies in $H^{m,p}$. If $m < 0$, then the inclusion map $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow H^{m,p}$ is continuous. Hence $\varphi_k \rightarrow u$ in $H^{m,p}$. Therefore $u = v$ and consequently lies in $H^{m,p}$.

Remark 3.16. We can define another closed extension T_1 of T on $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as follows. We say that $u \in \text{Dom}(T_1)$ and $T_1 u = f$ if u and f are in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\langle u, T^* \psi \rangle = \langle f, \psi \rangle$ for all $\psi \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is called the maximal or weak extension of T . It is the maximal in the sense that it is the largest closed extension having $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the domain of its adjoint. Since T_1 is the maximal closed extension of T , $H^{m,p}$ is contained in the domain of T_1 .

References

- [1] P. Boggiatto and L. Rodino, *Quantization and pseudo-differential operators*, Cubo.mat.Educ., 5 (2003) 237–272.
- [2] P.Cerejeiras and N.Vieira,, *Regularization of the non-stationary Schrödinger operator*, Math. Meth. in Appl. Sc., 32 (4) (2009) 535-555.
- [3] G.Garello and A.Morando *A class of L^p bounded pseudo-differential operators*. in progress in analysis, Vol.I,editors:H.G.Begehr,R.P.Gilbert, M.W .Wong, World scientific, (2003) 689–696.
- [4] C. Garetto, *Pseudodifferential operators in algebras of generalized functions and global hypoellipticity*, Acta Appl. Math., 80(2) (2004) 123-174.
- [5] L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators III*, springer-Verlag, (1985).
- [6] L.Hörmander, *On the regularity of the solutions of boundary problems*, Acta Mathematica., 99 (1958) 225-264.
- [7] M. Ruzhansky and M. Sugimoto, *Global calculus of Fourier integral operators, weighted estimates, and applications to global analysis of hyperbolic equations*, in Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol(164) (2006) 6578.
- [8] M. Ruzhansky and M. Sugimoto, *Global L^2 -boundedness theorems for a class of Fourierintegral operators*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations., 31 (2006) 547569.
- [9] M. Shubin, *pseudo-differential operator and spectral theory*, Springer- verlag Berlin Heidelberg, second edition, (2001).
- [10] M.E. Taylor, *pseudo-differntial operators*, Princeton university press , (1981).
- [11] M.W. Wong, *An introduction to pseudo-differntial operators*, secon edition,World scientific, (1999).
- [12] M.W. Wong, *Wavlent transfoms and localization operators*, Birkhäuser, (2002).
- [13] M.W. Wong, *M-elliptic pseudo-differential operators on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$* , York university, Canada. (2006).
- [14] A.Ziggioto, *quasiclassical annalysis of hypoelliptic operators*, Rend.Sem.Mat.Univ.Pol.Torino 61(1) (2003).