Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 3 (2012) No. 2, 38-43 ISSN: 2008-6822 (electronic) http://www.ijnaa.semnan.ac.ir



Equivalence of K-Functionals and Modulus of Smoothness for Fourier Transform

R. Daher, M. El Hamma*

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science Ain Chock, University Hassan II, Casablanca, Morocco.

(Communicated by M. Eshaghi Gordji)

Abstract

In Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we prove the equivalence between the modulus of smoothness and the K-functionals constructed by the Sobolev space corresponding to the Fourier transform. For this purpose, Using a spherical mean operator.

Keywords: Fourier Transform, Spherical Mean Operator, K-Functionals, Modulus of Smoothness. 2010 MSC: 42B12.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

It is well known that integral Fourier transform are widely in mathematical physics. In this paper, the main result of the paper is the proof of the equivalence theorem for a K-functionals and a modulus of smoothness analog of the statement proved in [2]. For this paper, we use a spherical mean operator. Assume that $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of integrable functions f with the norm

$$||f|| = ||f||_2 = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 dx\right)^{1/2}.$$

The Fourier transform for the function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-ix.\xi} dx.$$

 * Corresponding author

Email addresses: ra_daher@yahoo.fr (R. Daher), m_elhamma@yahoo.fr (M. El Hamma)

The inverse Fourier transform is defined by formula

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{ix.\xi} d\xi.$$

The Plancherel equality in [4].

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

Let the operator differential D is defined by

$$\mathbf{D} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2},$$

where $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$, and $D^0 f = f$, $D^i f = D(D^{i-1}f)$, i = 1, 2, ...

Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$(\widehat{D^m f})(\xi) = (-1)^m |\xi|^m \widehat{f}(\xi), \tag{1.1}$$

where $m \in \{1, 2,\}$.

Consider in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the spherical mean operator (see [3])

$$\mathcal{M}_h f(x) = \frac{1}{w_{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(x+hw) dw,$$

where \mathbb{S}^{n-1} is the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n , w_{n-1} its total surface measure with respect to the usual induced measure dw.

We have

$$\|\mathbf{M}_h f\| \le \|f\| \quad ; f \in \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \tag{1.2}$$

Let $j_{\alpha}(x)$ be a normalized Bessel function of the first kind, i.e.,

$$j_{\alpha}(x) = \frac{2^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha+1) J_{\alpha}(x)}{x^{\alpha}},$$

where $J_{\alpha}(x)$ is a Bessel function of the first kind ([1], chap. 7). For any function $f(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we define the finite differences of the first and the finite differences of the order m with a step h > 0.

$$\Delta_h f(x) = f(x) - \mathcal{M}_h f(x) = (\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{M}_h) f(x)$$

and

$$\Delta_h^m f(x) = \Delta_h(\Delta_h^{m-1} f(x)) = (\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{M}_h)^m f(x).$$

We define the generalized modulus of smoothness of the mthe order by the formula

$$w_m(f,\delta)_{2,n} = \sup_{0 < h \le \delta} \|\Delta_h^m f\|,$$

where $\delta > 0$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let $\mathbf{W}_{2,n}^m$ be the Sobolev space constructed by the operator D,

$$W_{2,n}^m = \{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : D^j f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n); j = 1, 2, ..., m \}$$

Let us define the K-functionals constructed by the spaces $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $W_{2,n}^m$,

$$K(f, t; \mathbf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}); \mathbf{W}_{2,n}^{m}) = \inf\{\|f - g\| + t\|\mathbf{D}^{m}g\|, g \in \mathbf{W}_{2,n}^{m}\},\$$

where $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$; t > 0.

For brevity, we denote

$$K_m(f,t)_{2,n} = K(f,t; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n); W^m_{2,n})$$

2. Main Result

 c, c_1, c_2, \dots are positive constants

Lemma 2.1. Let $f(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\left\|\Delta_h^m f\right\| \le 2^m \|f\|$$

Proof. We use the proof of recurrence for m and formula (1.2). \Box

Lemma 2.2. Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$(\widehat{\mathcal{M}_h f})(\xi) = j_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(h|\xi|)\widehat{f}(\xi)$$

$$(2.1)$$

Proof. (see Proposition 4 in [3]) \Box

Lemma 2.3. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ the following inequalities are fulfilled

1.
$$|j_{\alpha}(x)| \leq 1$$

2. $|1 - j_{\alpha}(x)| \leq 2|x|$
3. $|1 - j_{\alpha}(x)| \geq c$ with $|x| \geq 1$, where $c > 0$ is a certain constant which depend only on α .

Proof . (Analog of lemma 2.9 in [2]) \Box

Lemma 2.4. Let $f \in W_{2,n}^m$, t > 0. Then

 $w_m(f,t)_{2,n} \le c_1 t^m \|\mathbf{D}^m f\|.$

$$\|\Delta_h^m f\| = \|(1 - j_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(h|\xi|))^m \widehat{f}(\xi)\|; \quad \|\mathbf{D}^m f\| = \||\xi|^m \widehat{f}(\xi)\|$$

we have

$$\|\Delta_h^m f\| = h^m \left\| \frac{(1 - j_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(h|\xi|))^m}{(h|\xi|)^m} |\xi|^m \widehat{f}(\xi) \right\|.$$

From inequality 2 of lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\|\Delta_h^m f\| \le c_1 h^m \||\xi|^m \widehat{f}(\xi)\| = c_1 h^m \|\mathbf{D}^m f\| \le c_1 t^m \|\mathbf{D}^m f\|,$$

where $c_1 = 2^m$. Calculating the supremum with respect to all $h \in (0, t]$, we obtain

 $w_m(f,t)_{2,n} \le c_1 t^m \|\mathbf{D}^m f\|.$

For any function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and any number $\nu > 0$ let us define the function

$$P_{\nu}(f)(x) = F^{-1}(f(\xi)\chi_{\nu}(\xi)),$$

where $\chi_{\nu}(\xi) = 1$ for $|\xi| \leq \nu$ and $\chi_{\nu}(\xi) = 0$ for $|\xi| > \nu$, F^{-1} is the inverse Fourier transform.

One can easily prove that the function $P_{\nu}(f)$ is infinitely differentiable and belongs to all classes $W_{2,n}^m, m \in \{1, 2,\}$

Lemma 2.5. Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The following inequality is true

 $||f - P_{\nu}(f)|| \le c_3 ||\Delta_{1/\nu}^m f||, \ \nu > 0$

Proof. Let $|1 - j_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(h|\xi|)| \ge c$ with $h|\xi| \ge 1$. Using the Parseval equality, we obtain

$$\|f - P_{\nu}(f)\| = \|(1 - \chi_{\nu}(\xi))\widehat{f}(\xi)\| = \left\|\frac{1 - \chi_{\nu}(\xi)}{(1 - j_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(\frac{|\xi|}{\nu}))^m}(1 - j_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(\frac{|\xi|}{\nu}))^m\widehat{f}(\xi)\right\|$$

Note that

$$\sup_{|\xi|\in\mathbb{R}}\frac{1-\chi_{\nu}(\xi)}{|1-j_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(\frac{|\xi|}{\nu})|^{m}} \leq \frac{1}{c^{m}}.$$

We have $||f - P_{\nu}(f)|| \leq c^{-m} ||(1 - j_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(\frac{|\xi|}{\nu}))^m \widehat{f}(\xi)|| = c_3 ||\Delta_{1/\nu}^m f||$, where $c_3 = c^{-m}$. \Box

Corollary 2.6. $||f - P_{\nu}(f)|| \le c_3 w_m (f, 1/\nu)_{2,n}$.

Lemma 2.7. The following inequality is true

 $\|\mathbf{D}^{m}(P_{\nu}(f))\| \leq c_{4}\nu^{m}\|\Delta_{1/\nu}^{m}f\|$

Proof.

We use the Parseval equality

$$\|\mathbf{D}^{m}(P_{\nu}(f))\| = \|\widehat{\mathbf{D}^{m}(P_{\nu}(f))}\| = \||\xi|^{m}\chi_{\nu}(\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi)\| = \|\frac{|\xi|^{m}\chi_{\nu}(\xi)}{(1 - j_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(\frac{|\xi|}{\nu}))^{m}}(1 - j_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(\frac{|\xi|}{\nu}))^{m}\|$$

Note that

$$\sup_{|\xi|\in\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\xi|^m \chi_{\nu}(\xi)}{|1 - j_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(\frac{|\xi|}{\nu})|^m} = \nu^m \sup_{|\xi| \le \nu} \frac{(\frac{|\xi|}{\nu})^m}{|1 - j_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(\frac{|\xi|}{\nu})|^m} = \nu^m \sup_{|t| \le 1} \frac{t^m}{|1 - j_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(t)|^m}$$

Let

$$c_4 = \sup_{|t| \le 1} \frac{t^m}{|1 - j_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(t)|^m}.$$

then the formula is proved. \Box

Corollary 2.8. $\|D^m(P_\nu(f))\| \le c_4 \nu^m w_m(f, 1/\nu)_{2,n}$.

The following theorem establishes the equivalence of the modulus of smoothness and the K-functional.

Theorem 2.9. There exists positive constants c_5 and c_6 which satisfying the inequality

 $c_5 w_m(f,\delta)_{2,n} \le K_m(f,\delta^m)_{2,n} \le c_6 w_m(f,\delta)_{2,n}$

where $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. 1. We prove the inequality

 $c_5 w_m(f,\delta)_{2,n} \le K_m(f,\delta^m)_{2,n}$

Let $h \in (0, \delta]$, $g \in W_{2,n}^m$. We use Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_h^m f\| &\leq \|\Delta_h^m (f-g)\| + \|\Delta_h^m g\| \leq 2^m \|f-g\| + c_1 h^m \|\mathbf{D}^m g\| \\ &\leq c_7 (\|f-g\| + \delta^m \|\mathbf{D}^m g\|), \end{aligned}$$

where $c_7 = \max(2^m, c_1)$. Calculating the supremum with respect to $h \in (0, \delta]$ and the infimum with respect to all possible functions $g \in W_{2,n}^m$, we conclude $w_m(f, \delta)_{2,n} \leq c_7 K_m(f, \delta^m)_{2,n}$, then the inequality is proved.

2. Now, we prove the inequality

 $K_m(f,\delta^m)_{2,n} \le c_6 w_m(f,\delta)_{2,n}.$

Since $P_{\nu}(f) \in W^m_{2,n}$, by the definition of K-functionals we obtain

$$K_m(f, \delta^m)_{2,n} \le ||f - P_\nu(f)|| + \delta^m ||\mathbf{D}^m(P_\nu(f))||.$$

Using corollaries 2.6 and 2.8, we conclude

 $K_m(f,\delta^m)_{2,n} \le c_3 w_m(f,1/\nu)_{2,n} + c_4(\delta\nu)^m w_m(f,1/\nu)_{2,n}.$

Since ν is an arbitrary positive value, choosing $\nu = \frac{1}{\delta}$, we obtain the inequality. \Box

References

- H. Bateman and A. Erdélyi, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, Vol. II (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953, Nauka, Moscow, 1974.
- [2] E. S. Belkina and S.S. Platonov, Equivalence of K-Functionnals and Modulus of Smoothness Constructed by Generalized Dunkl Translations, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat., (8) (2008) 3–15.
- [3] W. O. Bray and M. A. Pinsky, Growth properties of Fourier transforms via moduli of continuity, Journal of Functional Analysis, 255 (2008) 2265–2285.
- [4] M. Plancherel, Contribution a l'etude de la representation d'une fonction arbitraire par des integrales definies, Rend. Circolo Mat. di Palermo, 30 (1910) 289–335.