Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 12 (2021) No. 1, 273-285

ISSN: 2008-6822 (electronic)

http://dx.doi.org/10.22075/ijnaa.2021.4783



# On certain properties for new subclass of meromorphic starlike functions

Sirous Moradi<sup>a,\*</sup>, Mohammad Taati<sup>b</sup>

(Communicated by Madjid Eshaghi Gordji)

### Abstract

In this paper we studying some properties of starlike function of order  $\lambda$  which satisfy in the condition

$$\Re(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \frac{z^2f''(z)}{f(z)}) < 1 - \lambda + \alpha$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ , where  $f(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$ ,  $0 \le \alpha < 2$  and  $0 \le \lambda < 1$ . Our results extend previor results given by Aghalary et al. (2009) and Wang et al.(2014).

Keywords: Starlike function, Meromorphic function, Hadamard product, Analytic function. 2010 MSC: Primary: 30C45; Secondary: 30C80.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Sigma$  denote the class of functions f of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \tag{1.1}$$

which are analytic in the punctured open unit disk

$$\mathbb{U}^* = \{z : 0 < |z| < 1\} = \mathbb{U} - \{0\}.$$

Email addresses: moradi.s@lu.ac.ir (Sirous Moradi), m\_taati@pnu.ac.ir (Mohammad Taati)

Received: 10 June 2020 Revised: 16 December 2020

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of science, Lorestan University, 68151-4-4316, Khorramabad, Iran.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of science, Payame Noor University, P.O.Box 19395-3697, Tehran, Iran.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

A function  $f \in \Sigma$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{MS}^*(\alpha)$  of meromorphically starlike functions of order  $\alpha$  if it satisfies the inequality

$$\Re(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}) < -\alpha \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \leqslant \alpha < 1).$$

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  denote the class of functions p given by

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k z^k \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

$$(1.2)$$

which are analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  and satisfy the condition

$$\Re(p(z)) > 0$$
  $(z \in \mathbb{U}).$ 

Many authors have studied analytic starlike functions. For some recent investigation, see, for example [1, 2, 8, 12, 14, 15, 18, 19, 20, 23] and the references therein.

Wang et al. [23] introduced a new class of starlike analytic functions on  $\mathbb{U}^*$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}(\beta,\lambda) = \left\{ f \in \Sigma : \Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \beta \frac{z^2f''(z)}{f(z)}\right) < \beta\lambda(\lambda + \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{\beta}{2} - \lambda, \ (z \in U^*) \right\},\,$$

where  $\beta \geqslant 0$  and  $\frac{1}{2} \leqslant \lambda < 1$ .

In [23] Wang et al. had proved that  $\mathcal{H}(\beta, \lambda)$  is a subclass of  $\mathcal{MS}^*(\lambda)$ . Also Wang et al. [22] introduced the following subclass of  $\mathcal{H}(\beta, \lambda)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H}^+(\beta, \lambda)$  denote the subset of  $\mathcal{H}(\beta, \lambda)$  such that all functions  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\beta, \lambda)$  having the following form:

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$
  $(a_k \ge 0).$ 

The following two lemmas can be derived from ([6], Theorem 1) (see also [7]).

### Lemma 1.1. Let

$$1 + \beta \lambda (\lambda + \frac{1}{2}) - \lambda - \frac{3}{2}\beta > 0. \tag{1.3}$$

Suppose also that  $f \in \Sigma$  is given by (1.1). If

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k + \beta k(k-1) + \gamma] |a_k| \leqslant 1 - \gamma - 2\beta.$$

where (and throughout this paper unless otherwise mentioned) the parameter  $\gamma$  is constrained as follows:

$$\gamma = \lambda - \beta \lambda (\lambda + \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{\beta}{2},\tag{1.4}$$

then  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\beta, \lambda)$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** Let  $f \in \Sigma$  be given by (1.1). Suppose also that  $\gamma$  is defined by (1.4) and the condition (1.3) holds. Then  $f \in \mathcal{H}^+(\beta, \lambda)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k + \beta k(k-1) + \gamma] a_k \leqslant 1 - \gamma - 2\beta.$$

Recently Wang et al. [23] proved some coefficient inequalities, neighborhoods, partial sums and inclusion relationships for two classes  $\mathcal{H}(\beta, \lambda)$  and  $\mathcal{H}^+(\beta, \lambda)$ .

In Section 2, we introduced a new class of analytic starlike function. In Section 3 and Section 4, we prove some coefficient inequalities, neighborhoods and partial sums. Our results extend previous results given by Aghalary et al. [1] as well as by Wang et al. [23].

### 2. Preliminaries

In this section we introduce the notation  $\mathcal{A}$  for the class of all functions f of the form

$$f(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \tag{2.1}$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U}$ . Let  $0 \le \alpha < 2$  and  $0 \le \lambda < 1$  and  $\Lambda(\alpha, \lambda)$  denotes the class of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and satisfies the condition

$$\Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \frac{z^2f''(z)}{f(z)}\right) < 1 - \lambda + \alpha. \tag{2.2}$$

A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{V}(\alpha, \lambda)$  if it satisfies the condition

$$\Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \frac{z^2f''(z)}{f(z)}\right) < 1 - \lambda + \alpha(\frac{1}{2} + \lambda^2 - \frac{3}{2}\lambda),\tag{2.3}$$

such that  $0 \le \alpha < 2$  and  $0 \le \lambda < 1$ . Obviously  $\mathcal{V}(\alpha, \lambda) \subseteq \Lambda(\alpha, \lambda)$ . Also suppose that  $\Lambda^*(\lambda)$  denotes the class of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  such that satisfies the following condition

$$\Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) < 1 - \lambda. \tag{2.4}$$

Obviously  $\Lambda(0,\lambda) = \mathcal{V}(0,\lambda) = \Lambda^*(\lambda)$ .

Given two functions  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$  where f is given by (2.1) and g is given by

$$g(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k.$$

The Hadamard product (or convolution) f \* g is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k := (g * f)(z).$$

At first we prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $0 \le \alpha < 2$ ,  $0 \le \lambda < 1$  and  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $f \in \mathcal{V}(\alpha, \lambda)$  if and only if  $\frac{1}{z}f \in \mathcal{H}(\frac{\alpha}{1+2\alpha}, \lambda)$ .

**Proof**. Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\frac{1}{z}f \in \mathcal{H}(\frac{\alpha}{1+2\alpha},\lambda)$  if and only if

$$\Re\left(\frac{z(\frac{1}{z}f(z))'}{(\frac{1}{z}f(z))} + \frac{\alpha}{1+2\alpha}\frac{z^2(\frac{1}{z}f(z))''}{(\frac{1}{z}f(z))}\right) < \frac{\alpha}{1+2\alpha}\lambda(\lambda+\frac{1}{2}) + \frac{\alpha}{2(1+2\alpha)} - \lambda.$$

Which is equivalent to

$$\Re\left(\left(1 - \frac{2\alpha}{1 + 2\alpha}\right)\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{\alpha}{1 + 2\alpha}\frac{z^2f''(z)}{f(z)}\right) < \frac{\alpha}{1 + 2\alpha}\lambda(\lambda + \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{\alpha}{2(1 + 2\alpha)} - \lambda + 1 - \frac{2\alpha}{1 + 2\alpha}.$$

Hence  $\frac{1}{z}f \in \mathcal{H}(\frac{\alpha}{1+2\alpha},\lambda)$  if and only if

$$\Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \frac{z^2f''(z)}{f(z)}\right) < 1 - \lambda + \alpha + \alpha(\frac{1}{2} + \lambda^2 - \frac{3}{2}\lambda).$$

This inequality is equivalent to  $f \in \mathcal{V}(\alpha, \lambda)$ , and completes the proof.  $\square$ 

**Remark 2.2.** If  $0 \le \lambda < 1$  and  $0 \le \beta < \frac{2}{5}$  and  $\alpha = \frac{\beta}{1 - 2\beta}$  then by similar method in proof of Lemma 2.1 we can prove that  $h \in \mathcal{H}(\beta, \lambda)$  if and only if  $zh \in \mathcal{V}(\frac{\beta}{1 - 2\beta}, \lambda)$ .

In order to prove our main results, we need the following useful lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.** (See[11]) If the function  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  is given by (1.2), then

$$|p_k| \leqslant 2 \qquad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

A function  $f \in \Lambda(\alpha, \lambda)$  of the from

$$f(z) = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \ (a_k \geqslant 0)$$
 (2.5)

is said to be in the class  $\Lambda^+(\alpha, \lambda)$ .

# 3. Main Results

We start this section by the following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $0 \leqslant \alpha < 2$ ,  $0 \leqslant \lambda < 1$  and  $f \in A$  is given by (2.1). If

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\alpha k^2 - \alpha k + k - \gamma] |a_k| < \gamma \qquad (\gamma = 1 - \lambda + \alpha)$$
(3.1)

then  $f \in \Lambda(\alpha, \lambda)$ .

 $\mathbf{Proof}$  . For  $z=re^{i\theta}, 0\leqslant r<1$  and  $0\leqslant \theta<2\pi,$  from (3.1), we get

$$\Re\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\alpha k^2 - \alpha k + k] a_k z^k}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k}\right) \leqslant \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\alpha k^2 - \alpha k + k] |a_k| r^k}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| r^k} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\alpha k^2 - \alpha k + k] |a_k|}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|} < \gamma, \qquad (r \to 1).$$

The above inequalities show that  $f \in \Lambda(\alpha, \lambda)$ .  $\square$ 

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $0 \le \alpha < 2$  and  $0 \le \lambda < 1$  and  $f \in A$  is given by (2.5). Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\alpha k^2 - \alpha k + k - \gamma] a_k < \gamma \qquad \gamma = (1 - \lambda + \alpha)$$

if and only if  $f \in \Lambda^+(\alpha, \lambda)$ .

**Proof**. In view of Lemma 3.1, we need only show that  $f \in \Lambda^+(\alpha, \lambda)$  satisfies the coefficient condition. We give  $f \in \Lambda^+(\alpha, \lambda)$ , so

$$\Re\left(\frac{-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}[\alpha k^2 - \alpha k + k]a_k z^k}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}a_k z^k}\right) < \gamma,$$

for  $z = re^{i\theta}, 0 \leqslant r < 1$  and  $0 \leqslant \theta < 2\pi$ , we have

$$\frac{-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\alpha k^2 - \alpha k + k] a_k r^k}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k r^k} < \gamma.$$

The result follows upon letting  $r \to 1$ .

$$\frac{\displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}[\alpha k^2 - \alpha k + k]a_k}{1 + \displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}a_k} \leqslant \frac{\displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}[\alpha k^2 - \alpha k + k]a_k}{-1 + \displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}a_k} < \gamma.$$

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $0 \le \alpha < 2$  and  $0 \le \lambda < 1$ . Suppose also that the sequence  $\{B_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is defined by

$$B_1 = 2(1 - \lambda + \alpha) \quad and \quad B_{k+1} = \frac{2(1 - \lambda + \alpha)}{k + 1 + \alpha k(k+1)} \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k B_i \right) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$
 (3.2)

Then

$$B_k = 2(1 - \lambda + \alpha) \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{j + \alpha j(j-1) + 2(1 - \lambda + \alpha)}{j + 1 + \alpha j(j+1)} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$
 (3.3)

**Proof**. By virtue of (3.2), we easily get

$$[k+1+\alpha k(k+1)]B_{k+1} = 2(1-\lambda+\alpha)\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{k} B_i\right)$$

and

$$[k + \alpha k(k-1)]B_k = 2(1 - \lambda + \alpha)\left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} B_i\right).$$

We obtain that

$$\frac{B_{k+1}}{B_k} = \frac{k + \alpha k(k-1) + 2(1 - \lambda + \alpha)}{k + 1 + \alpha k(k+1)}$$

Thus, for  $k \ge 2$ , so we give

$$B_k = \frac{B_k}{B_{k-1}} \cdot \frac{B_{k-1}}{B_{k-2}} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{B_2}{B_1} \cdot B_1 = 2(1 - \lambda + \alpha) \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{j + \alpha j(j-1) + 2(1 - \lambda + \alpha)}{j + 1 + \alpha j(j+1)}$$

and this evidently completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

By using induction and (3.2) we conclude the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $0 \le \alpha < 2$ ,  $0 \le \lambda < 1$  and the sequence  $\{B_k\}$  is given by (3.2). Then

$$B_k \leqslant \frac{k+1}{3}(1+B_1) \quad (k \geqslant 2).$$
 (3.4)

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $0 \le \alpha < 2$  and  $0 \le \lambda < 1$ . If  $f \in \Lambda(\alpha, \lambda)$ , then

$$|a_1| \leqslant 2(1 - \lambda + \alpha) \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$|a_k| \le 2(1 - \lambda + \alpha) \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{j + \alpha j(j-1) + 2(1 - \lambda + \alpha)}{j+1 + j(j+1)} \quad (k \ge 2).$$
 (3.6)

**Proof** . Suppose that

$$q(z) = -\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha \frac{z^2 f''(z)}{f(z)} + 1 - \lambda + \alpha$$

Then, by  $f \in \Lambda(\alpha, \lambda)$ , we know that q is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$ ,  $q(0) = 1 - \lambda + \alpha > 0$  and  $\Re[q(z)] > 0$ . Hence

$$h(z) = \frac{q(z)}{q(0)} = \frac{q(z)}{1 - \lambda + \alpha} \in \mathcal{P}.$$

If we put

$$q(z) = c_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k \quad (c_0 = 1 - \lambda + \alpha),$$

then by Lemma 2.3,

$$|c_k| \leqslant 2(1 - \lambda + \alpha)$$
  $(k \in \mathbb{N}).$ 

Also

$$q(z)f(z) = -zf'(z) - \alpha z^2 f''(z) + (1 - \lambda + \alpha)f(z)$$

and so

$$\left(c_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k\right) \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k\right) = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_k z^k - \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k (k-1) a_k z^k + (1-\lambda + \alpha) \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k\right).$$

Thus

$$c_0a_1 + c_1 = -a_1 + (1 - \lambda + \alpha)a_1$$

and

$$c_{k+1} + c_0 a_{k+1} + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i c_{k+1-i} = -(k+1)a_{k+1} - \alpha k(k+1)a_{k+1} + (1-\lambda+\alpha)a_{k+1} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Therefore

$$|a_1| \leqslant 2(1 - \lambda + \alpha)$$

and

$$|a_{k+1}| \le \frac{2(1-\lambda+\alpha)}{k+1+\alpha k(k+1)} \left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i\right) \qquad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Next, we define the sequence  $\{B_k\}$  as follows:

$$B_1 = 2(1 - \lambda + \alpha)$$
 and  $B_{k+1} = \frac{2(1 - \lambda + \alpha)}{k + 1 + \alpha k(k+1)} \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k B_i \right)$   $(k \in \mathbb{N}).$ 

Hence, by the principle of mathematical induction, we easily have

$$|a_k| \leqslant B_k \ (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

By using Lemma 3.3, the conditions (3.5) and (3.6) are hold and this completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

By using above theorem and Proposition 3.4 we can conclude the following corollaries.

Corollary 3.6. Let  $0 \leqslant \alpha < 2$  and  $0 \leqslant \lambda < 1$ . If  $f \in \Lambda(\alpha, \lambda)$ , then

$$|a_k| \leqslant \frac{k+1}{3} (1+B_1) \qquad (k \geqslant 2)$$

where  $B_1 = 2(1 - \lambda + \alpha)$ .

Corollary 3.7. Let  $0 \le \lambda < 1$  and  $f \in \Lambda^*(\lambda)$ . Then

$$|a_1| \leqslant 2(1-\lambda)$$
 and  $|a_k| \leqslant \frac{k+1}{3}(3-2\lambda)$   $(k \geqslant 2)$ .

**Proof**. Let  $\alpha = 0$  and apply Corollary 3.6.  $\square$ 

## 4. Neighborhoods

We can see the earlier works (based upon the familiar concept of neighborhood of analytic functions) by Goodman [10] and Ruscheweyh [19], and (more recently) by Altintaş et al. [3], Cataş [4], Cho et al. [5], Liu and Srivastava [15], Frasin [9], Keerthi et al. [13], Srivastava et al. [21] and Wang et al. [24]. Let  $0 \le \alpha < 2$  and  $0 \le \lambda < 1$  and  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  of the from (2.1). For  $\delta > 0$ , we denote the  $\delta$ -neighborhood of f by the notation  $\mathcal{N}(f, \delta)$  and the following definition:

$$\mathcal{N}(f,\delta) = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{A} : g(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_k z^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha k^2 - \alpha k + k - 1 + \lambda - \alpha}{\eta} |d_k - a_k| < \delta \right\}$$
(4.1)

where

$$\eta = \begin{cases}
1, & \lambda - \alpha < 0; \\
1 - \lambda + \alpha, & \lambda - \alpha \geqslant 0.
\end{cases}$$
(4.2)

By above definition, we now prove the following useful theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $0 \le \alpha < 2$  and  $0 \le \lambda < 1$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is given by (2.1) and satisfies the following condition

$$\frac{f+\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} \in \Lambda(\alpha,\lambda) \quad (\epsilon \in \mathcal{C} : |\epsilon| < \delta; \delta > 0)$$

then

$$\mathcal{N}(f,\delta) \subset \Lambda(\alpha,\lambda).$$

**Proof** . Suppose that

$$g(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \in \Lambda(\alpha, \lambda).$$

Hence

$$\Re\left(\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} + \alpha \frac{z^2g''(z)}{g(z)} - 1 + \lambda - \alpha\right) < 0. \tag{4.3}$$

The condition (4.3) can be written as

$$\left| \frac{\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} + \alpha \frac{z^2 g''(z)}{g(z)} - 1 + \lambda - \alpha + 1}{\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} + \alpha \frac{z^2 g''(z)}{g(z)} - 1 + \lambda - \alpha - 1} \right| < 1 \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left| \frac{zg'(z) + \alpha z^2 g''(z) + (\lambda - \alpha)g(z)}{zg'(z) + \alpha z^2 g''(z) + (\lambda - \alpha - 2)g(z)} \right| < 1 \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

$$(4.4)$$

We easily find from (4.4) that  $g \in \Lambda(\alpha, \lambda)$  if and only if

$$\frac{zg'(z) + \alpha z^2 g''(z) + (\lambda - \alpha)g(z)}{zg'(z) + \alpha z^2 g''(z) + (\lambda - \alpha - 2)g(z)} \neq \sigma, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}, \sigma \in \mathcal{C}, |\sigma| = 1)$$

or equivalently

$$1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k + \alpha k(k-1) + \lambda - \alpha - \sigma[k + \alpha k(k-1) + \lambda - \alpha - 2]}{\lambda - \alpha - \sigma(\lambda - \alpha - 2)} b_k z^k \neq 0$$

which is equivalent to  $(g * h)(z) \neq 0$  where

$$h(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k, \quad c_k = \frac{k + \alpha k(k-1) + \lambda - \alpha - \sigma[k + \alpha k(k-1) + \lambda - \alpha - 2]}{\lambda - \alpha - \sigma(\lambda - \alpha - 2)}.$$
 (4.5)

It follows fram (4.5) that

$$|c_k| = \left| \frac{k + \alpha k(k-1) + \lambda - \alpha - \sigma[k + \alpha k(k-1)] + \lambda - \alpha - 2}{\lambda - \alpha - \sigma(\lambda - \alpha - 2)} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{k + \alpha k(k-1) + \lambda - \alpha + |\sigma|[k + \alpha k(k-1) + \lambda - \alpha - 2]}{|\sigma|(\lambda - \alpha - 2) - |\lambda - \alpha|}$$

$$= \frac{k + \alpha k(k-1) - 1 + \lambda - \alpha}{\eta} \quad (|\sigma| = 1).$$

If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $g(z) = \frac{f + \epsilon}{1 + \epsilon} \in \Lambda(\alpha, \lambda)$ , we deduce from  $(g * h)(z) \neq 0$  that

$$(f * h)(z) \neq -\epsilon \quad (\epsilon \in \mathcal{C} : |\epsilon| < \delta; \delta > 0)$$

or equivalently,

$$|(f * h)(z)| \geqslant \delta \qquad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \delta > 0). \tag{4.6}$$

Now suppose that

$$q(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_k z^k \in \mathcal{N}(f, \delta).$$

It follows from (4.1) that

$$|(q-f)*h(z)| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (d_k - a_k) c_k z^k \right| \le |z| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k + \alpha k(k-1) - 1 + \lambda - \alpha}{\eta} |d_k - a_k| < \delta.$$
 (4.7)

By combining (4.6) and (4.7), we can find that

$$|(q*h)(z)| = |([f + (q - f)] * h)(z)| \ge |(f * h)(z)| - |([q - f] * h)(z)| > 0,$$

which implies that

$$(q*h)(z) \neq 0$$
  $(z \in \mathbb{U}).$ 

Hence

$$q(z) \in \Lambda(\alpha, \lambda).$$

Therefore  $\mathcal{N}(f,\delta) \subset \Lambda(\alpha,\lambda)$  and this completes the proof.  $\square$ 

By taking  $\alpha = 0$  we can conclude the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2. Let  $0 \le \lambda < 1$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is given by (2.1) satisfies the following condition

$$\frac{f+\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} \in \Lambda^*(\lambda) \quad (\epsilon \in \mathcal{C} : |\epsilon| < \delta; \delta > 0)$$

then

$$\mathcal{N}(f,\delta) \subset \Lambda^*(\lambda).$$

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  be given by (2.1) and define the partial sums  $f_n(z)$  of f by

$$f_n(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k z^k \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$
 (4.8)

Ιf

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k + \alpha k(k-1) - \gamma}{\eta} |a_k| \leqslant 1, \tag{4.9}$$

where  $\gamma = 1 - \lambda + \alpha$  and  $\eta$  is given by (4.2),then

1.  $f \in \Lambda(\alpha, \lambda)$ ;

2.

$$\Re\left(\frac{f(z)}{f_n(z)}\right) \leqslant \frac{n+1+\alpha n(n+1)-\gamma-\eta}{n+1+\alpha n(n+1)-\gamma} \tag{4.10}$$

and

$$\Re\left(\frac{f_n(z)}{f(z)}\right) \leqslant \frac{n+1+\alpha n(n+1)-\gamma}{n+1+\alpha n(n+1)-\gamma+\eta}$$
(4.11)

Also the bounds in (4.10) and (4.11) are sharp.

**Proof**. First of all, we suppose that  $f_0(z) = 1$ . We know that

$$\frac{f_0 + \epsilon}{1 + \epsilon} = 1 \in \Lambda(\alpha, \lambda).$$

From (4.9), we easily find that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k + \alpha k(k-1) - \gamma}{\eta} |a_k - 0| \leqslant 1,$$

which implies that  $f \in \mathcal{N}(f_0, 1) \subset \Lambda(\alpha, \lambda)$  (by virtue of Theorem 4.1). Next, it is easy to see that

$$\frac{n+1+\alpha n(n+1)-\gamma}{\eta} > \frac{n+\alpha n(n-1)-\gamma}{\eta} > 1 \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} |a_k| + \frac{n+1+\alpha n(n+1)-\gamma}{\eta} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k+\alpha k(k-1)-\gamma}{\eta} |a_k| \leqslant 1$$
 (4.12)

We now suppose that

$$h(z) = \frac{n+1+\alpha n(n+1)-\gamma}{\eta} \left( \frac{f(z)}{f_n(z)} - \frac{n+1+\alpha n(n+1)-\gamma-\eta}{n+1+\alpha n(n+1)-\gamma} \right)$$

$$= 1 + \frac{\frac{n+1+\alpha n(n+1)-\gamma}{\eta} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k}{1+\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k z^k}$$
(4.13)

It follows from (4.12) and (4.13) that

$$\left| \frac{h(z) - 1}{h(z) + 1} \right| \leqslant \frac{\frac{n + 1 + \alpha n(n + 1) - \gamma}{\eta} \sum_{k = n + 1}^{\infty} |a_k|}{2 - 2 \sum_{k = 1}^{n} |a_k| - \frac{n + 1 + \alpha n(n + 1) - \gamma}{\eta} \sum_{k = n + 1}^{\infty} |a_k|} \leqslant 1,$$

which implies that  $\Re(h(z)) > 0$ .

Therefor, we deduce that the assertion (4.10) holds true. Furthermore, if we put

$$f(z) = 1 - \frac{\eta}{n+1 + \alpha n(n+1) - \gamma} z^{n+1}, \tag{4.14}$$

then

$$\frac{f(z)}{f_n(z)} = 1 - \frac{\eta}{n+1+\alpha n(n+1)-\gamma} z^{n+1} \longrightarrow \frac{n+1+\alpha n(n+1)-\gamma-\eta}{n+1+\alpha n(n+1)-\gamma} (|z| \to 1^-),$$

which implies that the bound in (4.10) is the best possible for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Similarly, we suppose that

$$h(z) = \frac{n+1+\alpha n(n+1)-\gamma+\eta}{\eta} \left( \frac{f_n(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{n+1+\alpha n(n+1)-\gamma}{n+1+\alpha n(n+1)-\gamma+\eta} \right),$$

we readily get the assertion (4.10) of Theorem 4.3. The bound in (4.10) is sharp with the extremal function f given by (4.14). We thus complete the proof of Theorem.  $\Box$ 

The proof of the following theorem is similar to that of Theorem 4.3, we here choose to omit the analogous details.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  be given by (2.1) and define the partial sums  $f_n(z)$  of f by (4.8). If the conditions (4.9) hold, where  $\gamma = 1 - \lambda + \alpha$  and  $\eta$  is given by (4.2), then

$$\Re\left(\frac{f'(z)}{f'_n(z)}\right) \leqslant \frac{n+1+\alpha n(n+1)-\gamma-(n+1)\eta}{n+1+\alpha n(n+1)-\gamma} \tag{4.15}$$

and

$$\Re\left(\frac{f_n'(z)}{f'(z)}\right) \leqslant \frac{n+1+\alpha n(n+1)-\gamma}{n+1+\alpha n(n+1)-\gamma+(n+1)\eta}.$$
(4.16)

The bounds in (4.15) and (4.16) are sharp with the extremal function given by (4.14). Finally, we prove the following inclusion relationship for the function class  $\Lambda(\alpha, \lambda)$ .

**Theorem 4.5.** If  $0 \le \alpha_2 < \alpha_1 < 2$  and  $0 \le \lambda_2 < \lambda_1 < 1$ , then

$$\Lambda(\alpha_1,\lambda_1)\subset\Lambda(\alpha_2,\lambda_2).$$

**Proof** . Let  $f \in \Lambda(\alpha_1, \lambda_1)$ . Then

$$\Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha_1 \frac{z^2 f''(z)}{f(z)}\right) < 1 - \lambda_1 + \alpha_1 < 1 - \lambda_2 + \alpha_1,$$

which shows that  $f \in \Lambda(\alpha_1, \lambda_2)$ , and subsequently, we see that  $f \in \Lambda^*(\lambda_2)$ , that is

$$\Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) < 1 - \lambda_2.$$

Now, by setting  $\mu = \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}$ , so that  $0 < \mu < 1$ . Therefore, we have

$$\Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha_2 \frac{z^2 f''(z)}{f(z)}\right) - 1 + \lambda_2 - \alpha_2 =$$

$$\mu\left[\Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha_1 \frac{z^2 f''(z)}{f(z)}\right) - 1 + \lambda_2 - \alpha_1\right] + (1 - \mu)\left[\Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) + \lambda_2 - 1\right] < 0,$$

that is,  $f \in \Lambda(\alpha_2, \lambda_2)$ .  $\square$ 

From Theorem 4.5 and the definition of the function class  $\Lambda^+(\alpha_1, \lambda_1)$ , we easily get the following inclusion relationship.

Corollary 4.6. If  $0 \le \alpha_2 < \alpha_1 < 2$  and  $0 \le \lambda_2 < \lambda_1 < 1$ , then

$$\Lambda^+(\alpha_1, \lambda_1) \subset \Lambda^+(\alpha_2, \lambda_2) \subset \Lambda^*(\lambda_2).$$

By virtue of Lemma 3.2, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4.7. If  $f \in \Lambda^+(\alpha, \lambda)$ , then

$$a_k \leqslant \frac{\gamma}{k + \alpha k(k-1) - \gamma} \qquad (\gamma = 1 - \lambda + \alpha).$$

Each of these inequalities is sharp, with the extremal function given by

$$f_k(z) = 1 + \frac{\gamma}{k + \alpha k(k-1) - \gamma} z^k.$$

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referees for useful comments and suggestions.

### References

- [1] R. Aghalary, A. Ebadian and M. Eshaghi Gordji, Subclasses of meromorphic starlike functions connected to multiplier family, Austr. J. Basic Appl. Sci. 3(4) (2009) 4416–4421.
- [2] O.P. Ahuja, J. M. Jahangiri and H. Silverman, Subclasses of starlike functions related to a multiplier family, J. Natural Geom. 15 (1999) 65-72.
- [3] O. Altinta, Neighborhoods of certain p-valently analytic functions with negative coefficients, Appl. Math. Comput. 187(2007) 47–53.
- [4] A.C. Ata, Neighborhoods of a certain class of analytic functions with negative coefficients, Banach J. Math. Anal. 3 (2009) 111–121.
- [5] N.E. Cho, O.S. Kwon and H.M. Srivastava, Inclusion relationships for certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of multiplier transformations, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 16 (2005) 647–658.
- [6] J. Dziok, Classes of meromorphic functions associated with conic regions, Acta Math. Sci. 32 (2012) 765–774.
- [7] J. Dziok, Classes of multivalent analytic and meromorphic functions with two fixed points, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013 (2013).

- [8] R. Fournier and S.T. Ruscheweyh, Remarks on a multiplier conjecture for univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 116 (1992) 35–43.
- [9] B.A. Frasin, Neighborhoods of certain multivalent functions with negative coefficients, Appl. Math. Comput. 193 (2007) 1–6.
- [10] A.W. Goodman, Univalent functions and nonanalytic curves, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957) 598-601.
- [11] A.W. Goodman, Univalent Functions, vol. 1. Polygonal Publishing House, Washington, New Jersey, 1983.
- [12] N.K. Jain and V. Ravichandran, Product and convolution of certain univalent functions, Honam Math. J. 38(4) (2016) 701—724.
- [13] B.S. Keerthi, A. Gangadharan and H.M. Srivastava, Neighborhoods of certain subclasses of analytic functions of complex order with negative coefficients, Math. Comput. Model. 47 (2008) 271–277.
- [14] V. Kumar, N.E. Cho, V. Ravichandran and H.M. Srivastava, Sharp coefficient bounds for starlike functions associated with the Bell numbers, Math. Slovaca 69(5) (2019) 1053--1064.
- [15] J. L. Liu and H. M. Srivastava, A linear operator and associated families of meromorphically multivalent functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 259 (2001) 566–581.
- [16] M. S. Liu, Y. C. Zhu and H. M. Srivastava, Properties and characteristics of certain subclasses of starlike functions of order β, Math.Comput.Model. 48 (2008) 402–419.
- [17] M. Nunokawa and OP. Ahuja, On meromorphic starlike and convex functions, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 32 (2001) 1027–1032.
- [18] M.S. Robertson, Quasi-subordinate function, Mathematical essays dedicated to A, J. Macintyre, Ohio Univ. Press Athens, (1967) 311–330
- [19] S. Ruscheweyh, Neighborhoods of univalent functions, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 81 (1981) 521–527.
- [20] K. Sharma, N.E. Cho and V. Ravichandran, Sufficient conditions for strong starlikeness, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. (2020), DOI:10.1007/s41980-020-00452-z.
- [21] H. M. Srivastava, S. S. Eker and B. Seker, *Inclusion and neighborhood properties for certain classes of multivalently analytic functions of complex order associated with the convolution structure*, Appl. Math. Comput. 212 (2009) 66–71.
- [22] Z.G. Wang, Z.H. Liu and R.G. Xiang, Some criteria for meromorphic multivalent starlike functions, Appl. Math. Comput. 218 (2011) 1107–1111.
- [23] Z. G. Wang, H. M. Srivastava and S. M. Yuan, Some basic properties of certain subclasses of meromorphically starlike functions, J. Inequal. Appl. 2014 (2014) 29.
- [24] Z.G. Wang, X.S. Yuan and L. Shi, Neighborhoods and partial sums of certain subclass of starlike functions, J. Inequal. Appl. 2013 (2013) 163.