

# On Subclass of Analytic Univalent Functions Defined By Fractional Differ-integral Operator I

Rafid Habib Buti<sup>a\*</sup>, Ameen Khlaif Sachit<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics and Computer Applications College of Sciences, University of Al-Muthanna, Samawa, Iraq.

<sup>b</sup> Babil Education Directorate ,Babil , Iraq.

(Communicated by Dr. Ehsan Kozegar)

---

## Abstract

In this paper , we studied and introduced a new subclass of analytic univalent functions defined by differ – integral operator . We obtain distortion bounds, extreme points , and some theorem of this subclass .

*Keywords:* Univalent Function , Fractional Calculus , Differ-integral Operator .

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 30C45.

---

## 1. Introduction

Let  $RHB$  denoted the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, (n \in \{1, 2, ..\}) \quad (1)$$

Which are analytic and univalent functions in the unit disk:  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ .  $RHB$  , we define the subclass of  $RH$  consisting of the functions defined by the form :

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, (a_n \geq 0, n \in \{1, 2, ..\}) \quad (2)$$

---

\*Corresponding Author: Rafid Habib Buti

*Email address:* Rafidhbuti@yahoo.com, Ameenaltaa198315@gmail.com (Rafid Habib Buti<sup>a\*</sup>, Ameen Khlaif Sachit<sup>b</sup>)

Let  $g \in RH$  and  $f \in RH$  if

$$g(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n, (b_n \geq 0, n \in \{1, 2, ..\}) \quad (3)$$

Then the Hadamard product or (Convolution) Defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n = (f * g)(z) \quad (4)$$

**Definition 1:** A function  $f \in RH$  is said to be in the class  $RH_{\nu}^{\mu}(\psi, \beta, \theta, \eta, A)$  if and only if satisfies the condition :

$$\left| \frac{z[H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)]'' + \theta(1 - [H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)]')}{\psi(1 - \beta) + zA[H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)]'' + \theta(1 - [H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)]')} \right| < 1 \quad (5)$$

where  $0 \leq \beta < 1, A \geq 0, \theta \geq 0, -\infty < \mu < 1, \nu < 2, \eta \in R, \psi > 0$ .

and  $H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta}$  is the fractional differintegral operator of order  $\mu$  ( $-\infty < \mu < 1$ ) (see Goyal and Prajapat [1]). For this operator if  $H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} : W(n) \rightarrow W(n)$  (6), then

$$H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} R_k(\mu, \nu, \eta) a_k z^k, (a_k \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}, z \in U) \quad (7)$$

where  $R_k(\mu, \nu, \eta) = G(\mu, \nu, \eta)M(\mu, \nu, \eta, k)$  (8) and

$$G(\mu, \nu, \eta) = \frac{(1 - \nu)(1 - \mu + |\eta|)}{(1 - \nu + |\eta|)}, M(\mu, \nu, \eta, k) = \frac{\Gamma(k + 1)(1 - \nu + \eta)_k}{(1 - \nu)_k(1 - \mu + |\eta|)_k} \quad (9)$$

Throughout the paper

$$(a)_n = \prod_{k=1}^n a + k - 1 \text{ or } = (a + 1)(a + 2) \dots (a + n + 1) \quad (10)$$

is the factorial function , or if  $a > 0$  , then  $(a)_n = \frac{\Gamma(a + n)}{\Gamma(a)}$  (11) (where  $\Gamma$  is Euler's Gamma function).

For  $z \neq 0$  , (1.5) may be expressed as

$$H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(2 - \nu)\Gamma(2 - \mu + n)}{\Gamma(2 - \nu + \eta)} z^{\nu} J_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z); 0 \leq \mu < 1 \\ \frac{\Gamma(2 - \nu)\Gamma(2 - \mu + n)}{\Gamma(2 - \nu + \eta)} z^{\nu} I_{0,z}^{-\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z); -\infty \leq \mu < 0 \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

where  $J_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)$  is the fractional derivative operator of order  $\mu$  ( $0 \leq \mu < 1$ ) , while  $I_{0,z}^{-\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)$  is the fractional integral operator of order  $-\mu$  ( $-\infty < \mu < 0$ ) introduced and studied by Saigo ([4],[5]).

It may be worth noting that, by choosing  $-\infty < \mu = \nu < 1$  the operator  $H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)$  becomes

$$H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z) = H_z^{\mu} f(z) = \Gamma(2 - \mu) z^{\mu} D_z^{\mu} f(z) \quad (13)$$

Where  $D_z^\mu f(z)$  is respectively, the fractional integral operator of order  $-\mu$  ( $-\infty < \mu < 0$ ) and fractional derivative operator of order  $\mu$  ( $0 \leq \mu < 1$ ) considered by Owa[3] and defined by Liouville[2]. Further if  $\mu = \nu = 0$ , then

$$H_{0,z}^{0,0,\eta} f(z) = f(z) \quad (14)$$

and for  $\mu \rightarrow 1^-$  and  $\nu = 1$

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 1^-} H_{0,z}^{\mu,1,\eta} f(z) = z f'(z) \quad (15)$$

**Theorem 1:** A function  $f \in RH_\nu^\mu(\psi, \beta, \theta, \eta, A)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[n(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]a_n \leq (1 - \beta)\psi \quad (16)$$

where  $0 \leq \beta < 1, A \geq 0, \theta \geq 0, -\infty < \mu < 1, \nu < 2, \eta \in R, \psi > 0$ .

**Proof .** Assume that the inequality (16) holds true and let  $|z| = 1$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{z [H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)]'' + \theta(1 - [H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)]')}{(1 - \beta) + zA [H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)]'' + \theta(1 - [H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)]')} \right|$$

So

$$\left| \frac{z[-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)(n - 1)a_n z^{n-2}] + \theta(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)a_n z^{n-1})}{(1 - \beta)\psi + z[A(-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)(n - 1)a_n z^{n-2})] + \theta(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)a_n z^{n-1})} \right| < 1$$

$$\left| \frac{-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)(n - 1)a_n z^{n-1} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \theta R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)a_n z^{n-1}}{(1 - \beta)\psi + [-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} AR_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)(n - 1)a_n z^{n-1}] + (\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \theta R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)a_n z^n)} \right| < 1$$

$$\left| \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)(n - 1 - \theta)a_n z^{n-1}}{(1 - \beta)\psi - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[A(n - 1) - \theta]a_n z^{n-1}} \right| < 1$$

$$\left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)(n - (1 + \theta))a_n z^{n-1} \right| < \left| (1 - \beta)\psi - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[A(n - 1) - \theta]a_n z^{n-1} \right|$$

$$\left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)(n - (1 + \theta))a_n z^{n-1} \right| - \left| (1 - \beta)\psi - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[A(n - 1) - \theta]a_n z^{n-1} \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)(n - (1 + \theta))a_n |z|^{n-1} - (1 - \beta)\psi + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[A(n - 1) - \mu]a_n |z|^{n-1}$$

Since  $|z| = 1$ , we get

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[n(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]a_n \leq (1 - \beta)\psi$$

Conversely ,suppose that is in the class  $RH_{\nu}^{\mu}(\psi, \beta, \theta, \eta, A)$

$$\left| \frac{z [H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)]'' + \theta(1 - [H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)]')}{(1 - \beta) + zA [H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)]'' + \theta(1 - [H_{0,z}^{\mu,\nu,\eta} f(z)]')} \right| < 1$$

For all  $z$  , we have  $|Re(z)| \leq |z|$  , since

$$Re \left\{ \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)(n - 1 - \theta)a_n z^{n-1}}{(1 - \beta)\psi - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta) [A(n - 1) - \theta] a_n z^{n-1}} \right\} < 1$$

Choose the value of  $z$  on the real axis and let  $z \rightarrow 1$  we get

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[n(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]a_n \leq (1 - \beta)\psi$$

**Corollary 2 :** Let  $f \in RH_{\nu}^{\mu}(\psi, \beta, \theta, \eta, A)$  then

$$a_n \leq \frac{(1 - \beta)}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[n(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]}$$

**Theorem 3:** Let the function  $f$  defined by (2) be in the class  $RH_{\nu}^{\mu}(\psi, \beta, \theta, \eta, A)$ . Then

$$r - \frac{(1 - \beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]}r^2 \leq |f(z)| \leq r + \frac{(1 - \beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]}r^2, \\ 0 < |z| = r < 1 \quad (17)$$

The equality in (17) is attained by the function  $f$  given by

$$f(z) = z - \frac{(1 - \beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]}z^2$$

**Proof .** Since the function  $f$  defined by (2) in the  $RH_{\nu}^{\mu}(\psi, \beta, \theta, \eta, A)$  , we have from theorem 1

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq \frac{(1 - \beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]}$$

Thus

$$|f(z)| = \left| z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \right| \leq |z| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n |z|^n \\ |f(z)| \leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \\ |f(z)| \leq r + r^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \\ |f(z)| \leq r + \frac{(1 - \beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]}r^2$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(z)| &\geq |z| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n |z|^n \\
 |f(z)| &\geq |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \\
 |f(z)| &\geq r - r^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \\
 |f(z)| &\geq r - \frac{(1-\beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1+A) - (1-A+2\theta)]} r^2
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof .

**Theorem 4:** Let  $f_1(z) = z$  and  $f_n(z) = z - \frac{(1-\beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1+A) - (1-A+2\theta)]} z^n$  Then  $f$  is in the class  $RH_{\nu}^{\mu}(\psi, \beta, \theta, \eta, A)$  if and only if can be expressed in the form  $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n f_n(z)$  where  $(\sigma_n \geq 1, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n = 1 \text{ or } 1 = \sigma_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n)$ .

**Proof .** Assume that

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(z) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n f_n(z) \\
 f(z) &= \sigma_1 f_1(z) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n f_n(z) \\
 f(z) &= \sigma_1 z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n \left( z - \frac{(1-\beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1+A) - (1-A+2\theta)]} z^n \right) \\
 f(z) &= \sigma_1 z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n \frac{(1-\beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1+A) - (1-A+2\theta)]} z^n \\
 f(z) &= z \left( \sigma_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n \right) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n \frac{(1-\beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1+A) - (1-A+2\theta)]} z^n \\
 f(z) &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n \frac{(1-\beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1+A) - (1-A+2\theta)]} z^n
 \end{aligned}$$

From theorem 1,  $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1+A) - (1-A+2\theta)] a_n \leq (1-\beta)\psi$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1+A) - (1-A+2\theta)]}{(1-\beta)\psi} \right] \sigma_n \frac{(1-\beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1+A) - (1-A+2\theta)]} \\
 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n = 1 - \sigma_1 \leq 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Conversely ,suppose that  $f \in RH_{\nu}^{\mu}(\psi, \beta, \theta, \eta, A)$  implies from theorem 1

$$a_n \leq \frac{(1-\beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1+A) - (1-A+2\theta)]}$$

Setting

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n &= \frac{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]}{(1 - \beta)\psi} a_n \\ a_n &= \frac{(1 - \beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]} \sigma_n \\ f(z) &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \\ f(z) &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]} \sigma_n z^n \end{aligned}$$

From

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(z) &= z - \frac{(1 - \beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]} z^n \\ \frac{(1 - \beta)\psi}{R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]} z^n &= z - f_n(z) \\ f(z) &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n (z - f_n(z)) \\ f(z) &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n f_n(z) \\ f(z) &= z(1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n f_n(z) \\ f(z) &= f_1 \sigma_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n f_n(z) \\ f(z) &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sigma_n f_n(z) \end{aligned}$$

This complete the proof .

Now ,we shall prove that the class  $RH_{\nu}^{\mu}(\psi, \beta, \theta, \eta, A)$  is closed under arithmetic mean . Let the function  $f_r(r = 2, 3, \dots, m)$  define by

$$f_r(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,r} z^n \quad (18)$$

**Theorem 5:** Let the function defined  $f$  by (2) be in the class  $RH_{\nu}^{\mu}(\psi, \beta, \theta, \eta, A)$  . For every  $(r = 2, 3, \dots, m)$  ,then the arithmetic mean of  $f_r(r = 2, 3, \dots, m)$  is defined by

$$g(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^n \quad , \quad (c_n \geq 2, n \geq 2, n \in \mathbb{N})$$

Also belong to the class  $RH_{\nu}^{\mu}(\psi, \beta, \theta, \eta, A)$  ,where  $c_n = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{r=2}^m a_{n,r}$ .

**Proof .** Since  $f_r \in RH_\nu^\mu(\psi, \beta, \theta, \eta, A)$  ,then from theorem 1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]a_n \leq (1 - \beta)\psi \quad (19) \\ & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]c_n \\ & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)] \left[ \frac{1}{m} \sum_{r=2}^m a_{n,r} \right] \\ & \frac{1}{m} \sum_{r=2}^m \left[ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} R_n(\mu, \nu, \eta)[2(1 + A) - (1 - A + 2\theta)]a_{n,r} \right] \end{aligned}$$

by (19)

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{r=2}^m (1 - \beta)\psi \\ & (1 - \beta)\psi \frac{1}{m} .m \\ & \leq (1 - \beta)\psi \end{aligned}$$

This complete the proof .

## References

- [1] S.P. Goyal and J.K. prajapat , New class of analytic functions involving certain fractional differ-integral operators, proceedings of 4th Annual conference of the society for special functions and their applications. (Eds: A.K. Agarwal, M.A. Pathan and S.P. Goyal), 4 (2004),27-35.
- [2] J. Liouville, Memoire sur and J. Ecole polytech.. Le calcul des differential's a indies quelcongues ,13 (1832) ,71-162.
- [3] S.Owa,On the distortion theorems I, Kyungpook Math. J. 18(1978), 53-59.
- [4] M. Saigo, Aremark on integral operators involving the Gauss hyper geometric functions , Math. Rep. College General Ed. Kyushu Univ. 11(1978), 135-143.
- [5] M. Saigo , A certain boundary value problem for the Euler- Darbox equation , Math . Japon , 25 (1979) , 377-385.