

Coefficient Bounds of m-Fold Symmetric Bi-Univalent Functions for Certain Subclasses

Nihad Hameed Shehab ^{a*}, Abdul Rahman S. Juma^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics; College of Education for Pure Sciences ;University of Anbar ;Anbar; Iraq.

^bDepartment of Mathematics ;College of Education for Pure Sciences ;University of Anbar ;Anbar ; Iraq.

(Communicated by Dr.Ehsan Kozegar)

Abstract

In this article, the authors introduce two new subclasses of a class m-fold symmetric biunivalent functions in open unit disk. Coefficient bounds for the Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $|a_{m+1}|$ and $|a_{2m+1}|$ are obtained. Furthermore, we solve Fekete-Szegő functional problems for functions in $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,m}(\gamma, \mu, \vartheta)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma,m}(\kappa, \eta, \vartheta)$. Also, several certain special improved results for the associated classes are presented.

Keywords: Analytic functions, Bi-Univalent functions, Fekete-Szegő coefficient, Taylor-Maclaurin series, Univalent functions.

1. Introduction

Indicate by \mathcal{A} the class of normalized functions satisfying the condition $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ and given by next Taylor expansion :

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$, where \mathbb{C} is complex plane. Further, let \mathcal{H} indicate the class of all functions in \mathcal{A} which are univalent in open unit disk. The Koebe one

*Corresponding Author: Nihad Hameed Shehab

Email address: nih19u2012@uoanbar.edu.iq, ORCID : <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7017-4490>
eps.abdulrahman.juma@uoanbar.edu.iq

Mobile : 009647807209830 (Nihad Hameed Shehab ^{a*}, Abdul Rahman S. Juma^b)

Received: October 2020 accepted: January 2021

– Quarter Theorem [5] ensures that the image of \mathbb{D} under every univalent function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ contains a disk of radius $\frac{1}{4}$. therefore , every univalent functions f has an inverse f^{-1} define $z = f^{-1}(f(z))$,($z \in \mathbb{D}$)and

$$\omega = f(f^{-1}(\omega)), \left(|\omega| < p_0(f); p_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4} \right) \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$f^{-1}(\omega) = \omega - c_2\omega^2 + (2c_2^2 - c_3)\omega^3 - (5c_2^3 - 5c_2c_3 + c_4)\omega^4 + \dots \tag{1.3}$$

If both two functions f and f^{-1} are univalent in \mathbb{D} , $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is known to be bi univalent functions. Indicate for the class of bi-univalent functions in \mathbb{D} by Σ , which are normalized by (1.1). Let f and g be two analytic functions in \mathbb{D} . A function f is subordinate to g if there exist h be a Schwarz analytic function in \mathbb{D} with $h(0) = 0$ and $|h(z)| < 1, (z \in \mathbb{D})$ satisfying the following condition :

$$f(z) = g(h(z)) \quad , \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

This subordination is indicate by $f < g$ or $f(z) < g(z), z \in \mathbb{D}$.

If the function g is univalent in \mathbb{D} , then $f < g$ if and only if $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(D) \subset g(D)$ (see [20]).

Lewin [9] obtained a coefficient bound given by $|a_2| \leq 1.51$ for each $f \in \Sigma$ and investigated the class Σ of bi-univalent functions. Thereafter, stimulated by the working of Lewin[9], Clunie and Brannan [3] guessing that $|a_2| \leq \sqrt{2}$ for each $f \in \Sigma$.

Actully , in recent years Srivastava et al.[16] have actually enliven the study of bi-univalent and analytic functions, by Bulut [4] it was followed by such work, Adegani and et al.[1], Guney et al. [6], Srivastava and Wanas [12] and other (see, for example [2, 8,13,14,15,17,18,19]). We notice that the class Σ is note empty. For example , the functions $z, \frac{z}{1-z}, -\log(1-z)$ and $\frac{1}{2}\log\frac{1+z}{1-z}$ are members of Σ . However, the Koebe functions is note a member of Σ . Until now , the coefficient estimate problem for each the following Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $|a_n|, (n \in N = \{1, 2, 3, 4, \dots\}, n \geq 3)$,for functions $f \in \Sigma$ is as yet an open problem (see ,for specifics,[16]).

For all $f \in \mathcal{H}$, the function p define by $p(z) = \sqrt[m]{f(z^m)}$ ($m \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$) is maps and univalent in \mathbb{D} into region with m -fold symmetry. A function is called m -fold symmetric (see [10],[11]) if the condition of normalized is hold and written as the form :

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{mj+1} z^{mj+1} \quad , \quad (m \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}, z \in \mathbb{D}). \tag{1.4}$$

The class m -fold symmetric univalent functions indicate by \mathcal{H}_m and which are normalized by above series expansion (1.4). in particular if $m = 1$, the function in class \mathcal{H} are one-fold symmetric. Similar to the notion of m -fold symmetric, one can think of the notion of m -fold symmetric biunivalent functions in a normal way. For all positive integer m , each function f in the class Σ creates an m -fold symmetric biunivalent function. The normalized form of f is define as in (1.4) and f^{-1} is define as follows:

$$g(\omega) = \omega - c_{m+1}\omega^{m+1} + [(m+1)c_{2m+1}^2 - c_{2m+1}]\omega^{2m+1} - \left[\frac{1}{2}(m+1)(3m+1)c_{m+1}^3 - (3m+1)c_{m+1}c_{2m+1} \right] \omega^{3m+1} + \dots \tag{1.5}$$

where $g = f^{-1}$. The class m-fold symmetric biunivalent functions denoted by Σ_m . For $m = 1$, the formula (1.5) synchronized with the formula (1.3) of the class Σ . Indicate by ς of the class function of the form :

$$h(z) = 1 + h_1z + h_2z^2 + \dots \quad (z \in \mathbb{D})$$

such that

$$Re(h(z)) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

Pommerenke [10] in see of his working, a symmetric m-fold function h in the class ς of the form

$$h(z) = 1 + d_mz^m + d_{2m}z^{2m} + d_{3m}z^{3m} \dots \tag{1.6}$$

Throughout our present investigation , it is assumed that analytic function ϑ with positive real part in \mathbb{D} such that $\vartheta(0) = 0$ and $\vartheta(\mathbb{D})$ is symmetric with regard to the real part . Such a function has a series expansion of the form:

$$\vartheta(z) = 1 + A_1z + A_2z^2 + A_3z^3 + \dots, \quad (A_1 > 0) \tag{1.7}$$

Let two analytic functions $t(z)$ and $u(\omega)$ in \mathbb{D} with

$$t(0) = u(0) \text{ and } \max|t(z)|, |u(\omega)| < 1.$$

Assume that

$$t(z) = b_mz^m + b_{2m}z^{2m} + b_{3m}z^{3m} + \dots \tag{1.8}$$

$$u(\omega) = d_m\omega^m + d_{2m}\omega^{2m} + d_{3m}\omega^{3m} + \dots \tag{1.9}$$

Observe that

$$|b_m| \leq 1, |b_{2m}| \leq 1 - |b_m|^2, |d_m| \leq 1, |d_{2m}| \leq 1 - |d_m|^2. \tag{1.10}$$

By simple computations , we have

$$\vartheta(t(z)) = 1 + A_1b_mz^m + A_2b_{2m}z^{2m} + A_2b_m^2z^{2m} + \dots, \quad (|z| < 1) \tag{1.11}$$

$$\vartheta(u(\omega)) = 1 + A_1d_m\omega^m + A_2d_{2m}\omega^{2m} + A_2d_m^2\omega^{2m} + \dots, \quad (|\omega| < 1) \tag{1.12}$$

In this work, two new classes of m-fold biunivalent functions are introduced for this classes and obtain boundary for the Taylor-Maclauain coefficients $|c_{m+1}|$ and $|c_{2m+1}|$. Also, in this two new classes Fekete-Szegö functional problems for afunctions are presented .

2. The function class $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,m}(\gamma, \mu, \vartheta)$

Definition1. Let $f(z)$ be a function, given in (1.4) ,be in the class $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,m}(\gamma, \mu, \vartheta)$ if satisfied the following conditions $f \in \Sigma_m, \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^\gamma \left(\frac{zf'(z) + \mu z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\mu)f(z) + \mu z f'(z)}\right) < \vartheta(z)$, where $z \in \mathbb{D}, 0 \leq \mu \leq 1$

and $\gamma \geq 0$, and

$$\left(\frac{\omega g'(\omega)}{g(\omega)}\right)^\gamma \left(\frac{\omega g'(\omega) + \mu \omega^2 g''(\omega)}{(1-\mu)g(\omega) + \mu \omega g'(\omega)}\right) < \vartheta(\omega), \quad (g(\omega) = f^{-1}(\omega))$$

where g() be a function define by (1.5) .

Note that the particular cases of above class

- 1- when $m = 1$ reduce to the classes $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,m}(\gamma, \mu, \vartheta) = \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,1}(\gamma, \mu, \vartheta)$.
- 2- when $m = 1, \gamma = 0$ and $\mu = 0$ reduce to the classes $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,m}(\gamma, \mu, \vartheta) = \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,1}(0, 0, \vartheta)$ introduce to the class starlike function [7].
- 3) when $m = 1, \gamma = 0$ and $\mu = 0$ reduce to the classes $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,m}(\gamma, \mu, \vartheta) = \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,1}(1, 0, \vartheta)$ introduce to the class convex function [7].

The next Theorem prove to a class $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,m}(\gamma, \mu, \vartheta)$.

Theorem1. Let $f(z)$ be a function, define by (1.4), in the class $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,m}(\gamma, \mu, \vartheta)$ Then:

$$|c_{m+1}| \leq \frac{A_1 \sqrt{2A_1}}{\sqrt{[2m^2(1 - \mu^2m - \mu) + 2m^2(\mu\gamma m + \mu m + 2\gamma) + m(2\mu + \gamma^2 - \gamma)]A_1^2 - 2m^2(1 + \mu m + \gamma)^2 A_2} + (1 + \mu m + \gamma)^2 A_1} \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$|c_{m+1}| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(m+1)A_1}{[2m^2(1 - \mu^2m - \mu) + 2m^2(\mu\gamma m + \mu m + 2\gamma) + m(2\mu + \gamma^2 - \gamma)]}; & \text{if } |A_2| \leq A_1 \\ \frac{\langle (m+1)[2m^2(1 - \mu^2m - \mu) + 2m^2(\mu\gamma m + \mu m + 2\gamma) + m(2\mu + \gamma^2 - \gamma)]A_1^2 - 2m^2(1 + \mu m + \gamma)^2 A_2 \rangle A_1 + (m+1)(1 + \mu m + \gamma)^2 |A_2| A_1}{[2m^2(1 - \mu^2m - \mu) + 2m^2(\mu\gamma m + \mu m + 2\gamma) + m(2\mu + \gamma^2 - \gamma)][2m^2(1 - \mu^2m - \mu) + 2m^2(\mu\gamma m + \mu m + 2\gamma) + m(2\mu + \gamma^2 - \gamma)]A_1^2 - 2m^2(1 + \mu m + \gamma)^2 A_2} + (1 + \mu m + \gamma)^2 A_1} & \text{if } |A_2| > A_1 \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

Proof . Let $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,m}(\gamma, \mu, \vartheta)$. Then there is two analytic functions $t : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ and $u : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ with $t(0) = u(0) = 0$, satisfying the next conditions:

$$\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^\gamma \left(\frac{zf'(z) + \mu z^2 f''(z)}{(1 - \mu)f(z) + \mu z f'(z)}\right) = \vartheta(t(z)), \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\omega g'(\omega)}{g(\omega)}\right)^\gamma \left(\frac{\omega g'(\omega) + \mu \omega^2 g''(\omega)}{(1 - \mu)g(\omega) + \mu \omega g'(\omega)}\right) = \vartheta(u(\omega)), \quad (g(\omega) = f^{-1}(\omega)) \tag{2.4}$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^\gamma \left(\frac{zf'(z) + \mu z^2 f''(z)}{(1 - \mu)f(z) + \mu z f'(z)}\right) &= 1 + m(1 + \mu m + \gamma)c_{m+1}z^m + 2m(1 + 2\mu m + \gamma)c_{2m+1}z^{2m} \\ &- \left[m(\mu m + 1)^2 - m^2\gamma(\mu m + 1) - \frac{1}{2}m(\gamma^2 - 3\gamma)\right]c_{m+1}^2z^{2m} + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\omega g'(\omega)}{g(\omega)}\right)^\gamma \left(\frac{\omega g'(\omega) + \mu \omega^2 g''(\omega)}{(1 - \mu)g(\omega) + \mu \omega g'(\omega)}\right) &= 1 - m(1 + \mu m + \gamma)c_{m+1}\omega^m - 2m(1 + 2\mu m + \gamma)c_{2m+1}\omega^{2m} \\ &+ \left[m(2m + 1)^2 - \mu m(2m^2 - \mu m^2 + 2) + \gamma m^2(1 + \mu m) + 2m(1 + m) + \frac{1}{2}m(\gamma^2 - 3\gamma)\right]c_{m+1}^2\omega^{2m} + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

from (1.11),(1.12),(2.5) and (2.6), we find that

$$m(1 + \mu m + \gamma)c_{m+1} = A_1 b_m, \tag{2.7}$$

$$2m(1 + 2\mu m + \gamma)c_{2m+1} - \left[m(\mu m + 1)^2 - m^2\gamma(\mu m + 1) - \frac{1}{2}m(\gamma^2 - 3\gamma) \right] c_{m+1}^2 = A_1 b_{2m} + A_2 b_m^2 \tag{2.8}$$

$$- m(1 + \mu m + \gamma)c_{m+1} = A_1 d_m \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$- 2m(1 + 2\mu m + \gamma)c_{2m+1} + \left[m(2m + 1)^2 + \gamma m^2(1 + \mu m) + \mu m(2m^2 - \mu m^2 + 2) + 2m(1 + m) + \frac{1}{2}m(\gamma^2 - 3\gamma) \right] c_{m+1}^2 = A_1 d_{2m} + A_2 d_m^2 \tag{2.10}$$

From (2.7) and (2.9), we get

$$d_m = -b_m \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$2m^2(1 + \mu m + \gamma)^2 c_{m+1}^2 = A_1^2(b_m^2 + d_m^2)$$

By adding (2.8) and (2.10) and , up on some calculations using (2.7) and (2.11), we obtain

$$[[2m^2(1 - \mu^2 m - \mu) + 2m^2(\mu\gamma m + \mu m + 2\gamma) + m(2\mu + \gamma^2 - \gamma)]A_1^2 - 2m^2(1 + \mu m + \gamma)^2 A_2] c_{m+1}^2 = A_1^3(b_{2m} + d_{2m}) \tag{2.12}$$

Moreover, the equations (2.11) , (2.12), jointly with (1.10), yield

$$|[2m^2(1 - \mu^2 m - \mu) + m(\gamma^2 + 2\mu - \gamma)2m^2(\mu\gamma m + \mu m + 2\gamma)]A_1^2 - 2m^2(1 + \mu m + \gamma)^2 A_2| \leq 2A_1^3(1 - |b_m|^2) \tag{2.13}$$

Now, from (2.7) and (2.13), we get

$$|c_{m+1}| \leq \frac{A_1 \sqrt{2A_1}}{\sqrt{[2m^2(1 - \mu^2 m - \mu) + m(2\mu + \gamma^2 - \gamma) + 2m^2(\mu\gamma m + \mu m + 2\gamma)]A_1^2 - 2m^2(1 + \mu m + \gamma)^2 A_2} + (1 + \mu m + \gamma)^2 A_1}$$

as certain in (2.1).

Subtracting (2.10) from (2.8), and using (2.11) and (2.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(2m^2 - \mu m^2 + 2) + (2m + 1) + \gamma m(\mu m + 1) + 2\gamma(m + 1) + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^2 - 3\gamma)A_1 b_{2m} \\ & + \left[m^2\gamma(\mu m + 1) - m(\mu m + 1)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m(\gamma^2 - 3\gamma) \right] A_1 d_{2m} + 2(m + 1)[1 + 2\mu m + \gamma]^2 A_2 b_m^2 \\ & = 4m^2[1 + \gamma + 2\mu m][1 + \mu m + \gamma]c_{2m+1} \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Therefore, by using equation (1.10) in (2.14) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2m^2[1 + \mu m + \gamma][1 + \mu m + \gamma]|c_{2m+1}| \\
 & \leq \mu(2m^2 - \mu m^2 + 2) + (2m + 1) + \gamma m(\mu m + 1) + 2\gamma(m + 1) + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^2 - 3\gamma)A_1 \\
 & - (2m + 1) + \mu(2m^2 - \mu m^2 + 2) + \gamma m(\mu m + 1) + 2(1 + m) + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^2 - 3\gamma)A_1|b_m|^2 \\
 & = +(1 + m)(1 + 2\mu m + \gamma)|A_2||b_m|^2
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Since

$$|b_m|^2 \leq \frac{[1 + \mu m + \gamma]^2 A_1}{\sqrt{[2m^2(1 - \mu^2 m - \mu) + m(2\mu + \gamma^2 - \gamma) + 2m^2(\mu\gamma m + \mu m + 2\gamma)]A_1^2 - 2m^2(1 + \mu m + \gamma)^2 A_2} + (1 + \mu m + \gamma)^2 A_1} \tag{2.16}$$

Up on substituting from (2.16) into (2.15), we are led easily to the asertion (2.2) of Theorem1.

In case of one-fold symmetric functions of Theorem1 we get the next results.

Corollary1. Let $f(z)$ be a function, define by (1.4), in the clas $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,1}(\gamma, \mu, \vartheta)$. Then

$$|c_2| \leq \frac{A_1 \sqrt{2A_1}}{\sqrt{[2(1 - \mu^2 - \mu) + 2(\mu\gamma + \mu + 2\gamma) + (2\mu + \gamma^2 - \gamma)]A_1^2 - 2^2(1 + \mu + \gamma)^2 A_2} + (1 + \mu + \gamma)^2 A_1}$$

and

$$|c_3| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2A_1}{[2(1 - \mu^2 - \mu) + 2(\mu\gamma + \mu + 2\beta) + (2\mu + \gamma^2 - \gamma)]}; \text{ if } |A_2| \leq A_1 \\ \frac{\langle 2[2(1 - \mu^2 - \mu) + 2(\mu\gamma + \mu + 2\gamma) + (2\mu + \gamma^2 - \gamma)]A_1^2 - 2(1 + \mu + \gamma)^2 A_2 \rangle A_1 + 2(1 + \mu + \gamma)^2 |A_2| A_1}{[2(1 - \mu^2 - \mu) + 2(\mu\gamma + \mu + 2\gamma) + (2\mu + \gamma^2 - \gamma)]|2(1 - \mu^2 - \mu) + 2(\mu\gamma + \mu + 2\gamma) + (2\mu + \gamma^2 - \gamma)A_1^2 - 2(1 + \mu + \gamma)^2 A_2} + (1 + \mu + \gamma)^2 A_1} \end{cases} ; \text{ if } |A_2| > A_1$$

Theorem2. Let $f(z)$ be a function, define by (1.4), in the class $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,m}(\gamma, \mu, \vartheta)$. Then

$$|c_{2m+1} - \lambda c_{m+1}^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{A_1}{2m(1 + 2\mu m + \gamma)} \text{ for } 0 \leq |q(\lambda)| < \frac{1}{4m(1 + 2\mu m + \gamma)} \\ 2A_1|q(\lambda)| \text{ for } |q(\lambda)| \geq \frac{1}{4m(1 + 2\mu m + \gamma)} \end{cases} \tag{2.17}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 q(\lambda) &= \frac{A_1^2(m + 1 - 2\lambda)}{2[2m^2(1 - \mu^2 m - \mu) + m(2\mu + \gamma^2 - \gamma) + 2m^2(\mu\gamma m + \mu m + 2\gamma)]A_1^2 - 2m^2(1 + \mu m + \gamma)^2 A_2} \\
 c_{m+1}^2 &= \frac{A_1^3(b_{2m} + d_{2m})}{[2m^2(1 - \mu^2 m - \mu) + 2m^2(\mu m + \mu m \gamma^2 + 2\gamma) + m(2\mu + \gamma^2 - \gamma)]A_1^2 - 2m^2(1 + \mu m + \gamma)^2 A_2}
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Subtract (2.10) from (2.8), we get

$$c_{2m+1} = \frac{(m + 1)A_1^2(b_m^2 + d_m^2)}{4m^2[1 + \mu m + \gamma]^2} + \frac{A_1(b_{2m} - d_{2m})}{4m[1 + 2\mu m + \gamma]} \tag{2.19}$$

From (2.18) and (2.19), it follows that

$$c_{2m+1} - \lambda c_{m+1}^2 = A_1 \left[\left(q(\lambda) + \frac{1}{4m[1 + 2\mu m + \gamma]} \right) b_{2m} + \left(q(\lambda) - \frac{1}{4m[1 + 2\mu m + \gamma]} \right) d_{2m} \right] \quad (2.20)$$

where

$$q(\lambda) = \frac{A_1^2(m + 1 - 2\lambda)}{2[2m^2(1 - \mu^2m - \mu) + m(2\mu + \gamma^2 - \gamma) + 2m^2(\mu\gamma m + \mu m + 2\gamma)]A_1^2 - 2m^2(1 + \mu m + \gamma)^2A_2}$$

Because each $A_i \in \mathbb{R}$ (real) and $\lambda > 0$, this implies that get the equation (2.17).

In cases of onefold functions symmytric, Theorem2 reduces to the next.

Corollary2. Let $f(z)$ be a function, define by (1.4), in the class $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,1}(\gamma, \mu, \vartheta)$. Then

$$|c_3 - \lambda c_2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{A_1}{2(1 + 2\mu + \gamma)} \text{ for } 0 \leq |q(\lambda)| < \frac{1}{4(1 + 2\mu + \gamma)} \\ 2A_1|q(\lambda)| \text{ for } |q(\lambda)| \geq \frac{1}{4(1 + 2\mu + \gamma)} \end{cases}$$

In Theorem2 in case $\lambda = 1$, we get the following corollary

Corollary3. Let $f(z)$ be a function, define by (.1.4), in a class $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,m}(\gamma, \mu, \vartheta)$. Then

$$|c_{2m+1} - c_{m+1}^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{A_1}{2m(1 + 2\mu m + \gamma)} \text{ for } 0 \leq |q(\lambda)| < \frac{1}{4m(1 + 2\mu m + \gamma)} \\ 2A_1|q(\lambda)| \text{ for } |q(\lambda)| \geq \frac{1}{4m(1 + 2\mu m + \gamma)} \end{cases}$$

In case of onefold symmytric, then Corollary3 reduces to the next Corollary.

Corollary4. Let $f(z)$ be a function, define by (1.4), be in the class $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma,1}(\beta, \mu, \vartheta)$. Then

$$|c_3 - c_2^2| \leq \frac{A_1}{2(1 + 2\mu + \gamma)}$$

3. The function class $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma,m}(\kappa, \eta, \vartheta)$

Definition2. Let $f(z)$ be a function, define by (1.4), in a class $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma,m}(\kappa, \eta, \vartheta)$ if satisfied next conditions $f \in \Sigma_{m'} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^\kappa \left((1 - \eta) \frac{f(z)}{z} + \eta f'(z) \right) < \vartheta(z)$, where $z \in \mathbb{D}$, $0 \leq \eta$ and $\kappa \geq 0$, and $\left(\frac{\omega g'(\omega)}{g(\omega)} \right)^\kappa \left((1 - \eta) \frac{g(\omega)}{\omega} + \eta g'(\omega) \right) < \vartheta(\omega)$, ($g(\omega) = f^{-1}(\omega)$)

where $g(\omega)$ be a function define by (1.5).

Note in above definiation in case $m = 1$ the class $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma,m}(\kappa, \eta, \vartheta)$ reduce by $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma,1}(\kappa, \eta, \vartheta)$.

Theorem3. Let $f(z)$ be a function, define by (1.4), in the classe $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma,m}(\kappa, \eta, \vartheta)$. Thens

$$|c_{m+1}| \leq \frac{A_1 \sqrt{2A_1}}{\sqrt{|(\kappa m(\eta m + 2m + 3) + (1 + m)(1 + 2\eta m) + m(\kappa^2 - 3\kappa))A_1^2 - 2(1 + m(\eta + \kappa)^2A_2| + 2(1 + m(\eta + \kappa)^2A_1}} \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$|c_{2m+1}| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(1+m)A_1}{(1+m)(1+2\eta m) + 2m\kappa(m+1) + \frac{1}{2}m(\kappa^2 - 3\kappa)}; & \text{if } B_1 \leq \psi(B_1) \\ \frac{|\kappa m(\eta m + 2m + 3) + m(\kappa^2 - 3\kappa) + (1+m)(1+2\eta m)|A_1^2 - 2(1+m\kappa + m\eta)^2|A_1 + (\kappa^2 - 3\kappa) + (1+m)(1+2\eta m)|A_1^3}{(1+2m(\eta + \kappa))a_{2m+1} + \left[\kappa m(1 + \eta m) + \frac{1}{2}m(\kappa^2 - 3\kappa)\right]|\kappa m(\eta m + 2m + 3) + m(\kappa^2 - 3\kappa) + (1+m)(1+2\eta m)|A_1^2 - 2(1+m(\eta + \kappa))^2A_2| + 2(1+m(\eta + \kappa))^2A_1} & \text{if } |A_2| > A_1 \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\psi(A_1) = \frac{2(m\kappa + m\eta + 1)^2}{2m\kappa(m+1) + (1+m)(1+2\eta m) + \frac{1}{2}m(\kappa^2 - 3\kappa)}$$

Proof . Let $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma,m}(\kappa, \eta, \vartheta)$. Then there is two analytic functions $t : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ and $u : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ with $t(0) = u(0) = 0$, such that satisfying the next conditions:

$$f \in \sum_{m'} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^\kappa \left((1-\eta)\frac{f(z)}{z} + \eta f'(z) \right) < \vartheta(t(z)) \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\omega g'(\omega)}{g(\omega)} \right)^\kappa \left((1-\eta)\frac{g(\omega)}{\omega} + \eta g'(\omega) \right) < \vartheta(\omega), \quad (g(\omega) = f^{-1}(\omega)) \tag{3.4}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^\kappa \left((1-\eta)\frac{f(z)}{z} + \eta f'(z) \right) &= 1 + (1+m(\eta + \kappa))c_{m+1}z^m + (1+2m(\eta + \kappa))c_{2m+1}z^{2m} \\ &+ \left[\kappa m(\eta m + 1) + \frac{1}{2}m(\kappa^2 - 3\kappa) \right] c_{m+1}^2 z^{2m} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\omega g'(\omega)}{g(\omega)} \right)^\kappa \left((1-\eta)\frac{g(\omega)}{\omega} + \eta g'(\omega) \right) &= 1 - (1+m(\eta + \kappa))c_{m+1}\omega^m + (1+2m(\eta + \kappa))c_{2m+1}\omega^{2m} \\ &+ \left[(1+m)(1+2\eta m) + 2m\kappa(1+m) + \frac{1}{2}m(\kappa^2 - 3\kappa) \right] c_{m+1}^2 \omega^{2m} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Now,frome (1.11) ,(1.12),(3.3) and (3.4) we obtain

$$(1+m\kappa + m\eta)c_{m+1} = A_1 b_m \tag{3.5}$$

$$(1+2m(\eta + \kappa))c_{2m+1} + \left[\frac{1}{2}m(\kappa^2 - 3\kappa) + \kappa m(1 + \eta m) \right] c_{m+1}^2 = A_1 b_{2m} = A_2 b_m^2 \tag{3.6}$$

$$-(1+m\kappa + m\eta)c_{m+1} = A_1 d_m \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$-(1 + 2m(\eta + \kappa))c_{2m+1} + \left[(1 + m)(1 + 2\eta m) + 2m\kappa(1 + m) + \frac{1}{2}m(\kappa^2 - 3\kappa) \right] c_{m+1}^2 = A_1 d_{2m} + A_2 d_m^2 \quad (3.8)$$

From (3.5) and (3.7), we get

$$d_m = -d_m \quad (3.9)$$

adding (3.6) and (3.8) and up on some calculations use (3.5) and (3.9),we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\kappa m(\eta m + 2m + 3) + (1 + m)(1 + 2\eta m) + m(\kappa^2 - 3\kappa))A_1^2 - 2(1 + m(\eta + \kappa)^2 A_2) a_{m+1}^2 \\ & = A_1^3(b_{2m} + d_{2m}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Also , from (3.9) and (3.10), jointly with (1.10), implies that

$$|(\kappa m(\eta m + 2m + 3) + (1 + m)(1 + 2\eta m) + m(\kappa^2 - 3\kappa))A_1^2 - 2(1 + m(\eta + \kappa)^2 A_2) a_{m+1}^2| \leq A_1^3(1 - |b_m^2|) \quad (3.11)$$

Now , from (3.5) and (3.11), conclude that

$$|c_{m+1}| \leq \frac{A_1 \sqrt{2A_1}}{\sqrt{|(\kappa m(\eta m + 2m + 3) + (1 + m)(1 + 2\eta m) + m(\kappa^2 - 3\kappa))A_1^2 - 2(1 + m(\eta + \kappa)^2 A_2)| + 2(1 + m(\eta + \kappa)^2 A_1}}$$

as asserted in (3.1).

Next, subtracting (3.8) from (3.6), we find

$$\begin{aligned} 2(1 + 2m(\eta + \kappa))c_{2m+1} & = [(1 + m)(1 + 2\eta m) - m\kappa(1 + \eta m) - \kappa m(1 + \eta m) + 2\kappa m(m + 1)] c_{m+1}^2 \\ & + A_1(b_{2m} - d_{2m}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

By using (1.10) and (3.5) in (3.12), if follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 2(1 + 2m(\eta + \kappa))|c_{2m+1}| & \leq [2\kappa m(m + 1) + (m + 1)(1 + 2\eta m) + \kappa m(1 + \eta m)] |c_{m+1}^2| \\ & + A_1(|b_{2m}| - |d_{2m}|) \end{aligned}$$

Which ,implies that in view (3.5).

By applying (3.1) in (3.13),we get (3.2).

Theorem3 is complete .

Remark: in case one-fold symmetric functions, Theorem3 which we recall as next Corollary.

Corollary5 . Let $f(z)$ be a function , define by (1.4), be in the classe $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma,m}(\kappa, \eta, \vartheta)$. Then

$$|c_2| \leq \frac{A_1 \sqrt{2A_1}}{\sqrt{|(\kappa(\eta + 5) + 5(1 + 2\eta 5) + (\kappa^2 - 3\kappa))A_1^2 - 2(1 + \eta + \kappa)^2 A_2| + 2(1 + \eta + \kappa)^2 A_1}} \quad (3.13)$$

and

$$|c_3| \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{A_1}{(1+2\eta) + 2\kappa + \frac{1}{4}m(\kappa^2 - 3\kappa)} ; \text{if } |A_2| \leq \frac{(1+\eta+\kappa)^2}{(1+2\eta) + 2\kappa + \frac{1}{4}m(\kappa^2 - 3\kappa)} \\ \frac{|\kappa(\eta+5) + (\kappa^2 - 3\kappa) + 2(1+2\eta))A_1^2 - 2(1+\eta+\kappa)^2A_2|A_1 2(1+2\eta) + 4\kappa + \frac{1}{2}m(\kappa^2 - 3\kappa)A_1^3}{2(1+2\eta) + 4\kappa + \frac{1}{2}(\kappa^2 - 3\kappa)|\kappa(\eta+5) + (\kappa^2 - 3\kappa) + 2(1+2\eta))A_1^2 - 2(1+\eta+\kappa)^2A_2| + 2(1+\eta+\kappa)^2A_1} ; \\ \text{if } |A_2| \leq \frac{(1+\eta+\kappa)^2}{(1+2\eta) + 2\kappa + \frac{1}{4}m(\kappa^2 - 3\kappa)} \end{array} \right.$$

Theorem4. Let $f(z)$ be a function, define by (1.4), in aclass $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma,m}(\kappa, \eta, \vartheta)$. Then

$$|c_{2m+1} - \lambda c_{m+1}^2| \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{A_1}{(1+2m(\eta+\kappa)) + \left[\kappa m(\eta m + 1) + \frac{1}{2}(\kappa^2 - 3\kappa) \right]} \text{ for } 0 \leq |q(\lambda)| < \frac{1}{2(1+2m(\eta+\kappa))} \\ 2A_1|q(\lambda)| \text{ for } |q(\lambda)| \geq \frac{1}{2(1+2m(\eta+\kappa))} \end{array} \right. \tag{3.14}$$

where

$$q(\lambda) = \frac{A_1^2(m+1-2\lambda)}{2[(\kappa m(\eta m + 2m + 3) + (1+m)(1+2\eta m) + m(\kappa^2 - 3\kappa))B_1^2 - 2(1+m(\eta+\kappa))^2B_2]}$$

Proof . Adding (3.6) and (3.8), we get

$$c_{m+1}^2 = \frac{A_1^3(b_{2m} + d_{2m})}{2[(\kappa m(\eta m + 2m + 3) + (1+m)(1+2\eta m) + m(\kappa^2 - 3\kappa))A_1^2 - 2(1+m(\eta+\kappa))^2A_2]} \tag{3.15}$$

Subtract (3.8) from (3.6), we get

$$c_{2m+1} = \frac{(m+1)A_1^2(b_m^2 + d_m^2)}{2(1+m(\eta+\kappa))^2} + \frac{A_1(b_{2m} - d_{2m})}{2(1+2m(\eta+\kappa))} \tag{3.16}$$

From (3.15) and (3.16), it follows that

$$c_{2m+1} - \lambda c_{m+1}^2 = B_1 \left[\left(q(\lambda) + \frac{1}{2(1+2m(\eta+\kappa))} \right) b_{2m} + \left(q(\lambda) - \frac{1}{2(1+2m(\eta+\kappa))} \right) d_{2m} \right] \tag{3.17}$$

where

$$q(\lambda) = \frac{A_1^2(m+1-2\lambda)}{2[(\kappa m(\eta m + 2m + 3) + (1+m)(1+2\eta m) + m(\kappa^2 - 3\kappa))A_1^2 - 2(1+m(\eta+\kappa))^2A_2]}$$

Since all i are real and $A_1 > 0$, which implies the assertion (3.14) .

In case of the one- fold symmytric function , Theorem4 reduce to the next .

Corollary6. Let $f(z)$ be the function , define by (1.4), in a classe $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma,m}(\kappa, \eta, \vartheta)$. Then

$$|c_{2m+1} - c_{m+1}^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{A_1}{(1 + 2(\eta + \kappa)) + \left[\kappa(1 + \eta) + \frac{1}{2}(\kappa^2 - 3\kappa) \right]} \text{ for } 0 \leq |q(\lambda)| < \frac{1}{2(1 + 2(\eta + \kappa))} \\ 2A_1|q(\lambda)| \text{ for } |q(\lambda)| \geq \frac{1}{2(1 + 2(\eta + \kappa))} \end{cases}$$

In Theorem4 in case $\lambda = 1$, we get the following corollary.

Corollary7. Let $f(z)$ be a function, define by (1.4), in a clas $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma,m}(\kappa, \eta, \vartheta)$. Then

$$|c_{2m+1} - c_{m+1}^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{A_1}{(1 + 2m(\eta + \kappa)) + \left[\kappa m(1 + \eta m) + \frac{1}{2}m(\kappa^2 - 3\kappa) \right]} \text{ for } 0 \leq |q(\lambda)| < \frac{1}{2(1 + 2m(\eta + \kappa))} \\ 2A_1|q(\lambda)| \text{ for } |q(\lambda)| \geq \frac{1}{2(1 + 2m(\eta + \kappa))} \end{cases}$$

In case of one-fold symmyric, then Corollary7 reduces to the next Corollary.

Corollary8. Let $f(z)$ be a function, define by (1.4), in a class $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma,m}(\kappa, \eta, \vartheta)$. Then

$$|c_3 - c_2^2| \leq \frac{A_1}{(1 + \eta(2 + \kappa)) + \frac{1}{2}m(\kappa^2 + 3\kappa)}$$

4. Conclusions

We conclude from this study, in the case of applying the two new classes m-fold symmetric bi univalent to the geometric functions, it was determine $|c_{m+1}|$ and $|c_{2m+1}|$ for all class m-fold symmetric bi-univalent , it is useful in complex analysis ,also derived the Fekete-Szegö functional problems for functions are obtains and several many improver results for this two new classes are presented inside new open unit disk

References

- [1] E. A. Adegani,S. Bulut, A. Zireh, Coefficient estimates for a subclass of analytic bi-univalent functions. Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society, 55(2), (2018)405-413.
- [2] S.Altınkaya, S. Yalçın , On the (p, q)-Lucas polynomial coefficient bounds of the bi-univalent function class σ . Boletín de la Sociedad Matemática Mexicana, 25(3),(2019) 567-575.
- [3] A. Baernstein, D. A. Brannan, J. G. Clunie, Aspects of contemporary complex analysis. Analytic functions of bounded mean oscillation.,(1980) 2-26.
- [4] S. Bulut, Coefficient estimates for general subclasses of m -fold symmetric analytic bi-univalent functions. Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 40(6), (2016) 1386-1397.
- [5] P. L. Duren, Univalent Functions, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 259, Springer Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg and Tokyo. (1983).
- [6] H. Ö. Güney, G. Murugusundaramoorthy,J. Sokół, Subclasses of bi-univalent functions related to shell-like curves connected with Fibonacci numbers. Acta Universitatis Sapientiae, Mathematica, 10(1), (2018) 70-84.
- [7] M. Haji Mohd, M. Darus, Fekete-Szegö problems for quasi-subordination classes. In Abstract and Applied Analysis (Vol. 2012). Hindawi.(2012).
- [8] A. R. S. Juma, M. H. Saloomi, Coefficient Estimates for Subclasses of Regular Functions. Iraqi Journal of Science, (2018) 1710-1716.
- [9] M. Lewin, On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions. Proceedings of the American mathematical society, 18(1), (1967)63-68.

-
- [10] C. Pommerenke, On the coefficients of close-to-convex functions. *The Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 9(3), (1962) 259-269.
- [11] H. M. Srivastava, N. Tuneski, E. Georgieva-Celakoska, Some distortion and other properties associated with a family of n -fold symmetric Koebe type functions, *Austral. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 9 (2), Article 1, (2012) 1–17.
- [12] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Wanas, Initial Maclaurin Coefficient Bounds for New Subclasses of Analytic and m -Fold Symmetric Bi-Univalent Functions De-fined by a Linear Combination. *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 59, (2019) 493-503.
- [13] H. M., Srivastava, S. S. Eker, S. G. Hamidi, J. M. Jahangiri, Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions defined by the Tremblay fractional derivative operator. *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society*, 44(1), (2018) 149-157.
- [14] H. M., Srivastava, S. S. Eker, S. G. Hamidi, J. M. Jahangiri, Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions defined by the Tremblay fractional derivative operator. *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society*, 44(1), (2018) 149-157..
- [15] H. M. Srivastava, S. Gaboury, F. Ghanim, Coefficient estimates for some general subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Afrika Matematika*, 28(5-6), (2017)693-706.
- [16] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra, P. Gochhayat, Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Applied mathematics letters*, 23(10), (2010) 1188-1192.
- [17] A. K. Wanas, A. L. Alina, Applications of Horadam Polynomials on Bazilevic Bi-Univalent Function Satisfying Subordinate Conditions. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1294, No. 3, p. 032003). IOP Publishing. (2019, September).
- [18] A. K. Wanas, A. H. Majeed, Chebyshev polynomial bounded for analytic and bi-univalent functions with respect to symmetric conjugate points. *Applied Mathematics E-Notes*, 19, (2019) 14-21.
- [19] A. K. Wanas, S. Yalçın, Initial coefficient estimates for a new subclasses of analytic and m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions. *Malaya Journal of Matematik (MJM)*, 7(3, 2019), (2019). 472-476.
- [20] Q. H. Xu, Y. C. Gui, H. M. Srivastava, Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 25(6), (2012)990-994.