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#### Abstract

Using the techniques of the differential subordination and superordination, we derive certain subordination and superordination properties of multivalent functions associated with the Dziok-Srivastava operator.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $A(p, k)$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_{n+p} z^{n+p} \quad(p, k \in \mathbb{N}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathrm{U}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$; we write $A(p):=A(p, 1)$.

[^0]Suppose that $f$ and $g$ are analytic in U . We say that the function $f$ is subordinate to $g$ in U , or $g$ superordinate to $f$ in U , and we write $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists an analytic function $w$ in U with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$, such that $f(z)=g(w(z)), z \in \mathrm{U}$. If $g$ is univalent in U , then the following equivalence relationship holds (see [13], [14] and [15]):

$$
f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0)=g(0) \text { and } f(\mathrm{U}) \subset g(\mathrm{U}) .
$$

For the functions $f_{j} \in A(p, k)$ given by

$$
f_{j}(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_{n+p, j} z^{n+p}, \quad z \in \mathrm{U}, \quad(j=1,2),
$$

we define the Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ by

$$
\left(f_{1} * f_{2}\right)(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_{n+p, 1} a_{n+p, 2} z^{n+p}=\left(f_{2} * f_{1}\right)(z), \quad z \in \mathrm{U}
$$

For the complex parameters $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q}$ and $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}$, with $b_{j} \notin \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{-}:=\{0,-1,-2, \ldots\}, j=$ $1, \ldots, s$, the generalized hypergeometric function ${ }_{q} F_{s}$ is defined (see [26]) by the following infinite series

$$
\begin{gathered}
{ }_{q} F_{s}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q} ; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s} ; z\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(a_{1}\right)_{n} \ldots\left(a_{q}\right)_{n}}{\left(b_{1}\right)_{n} \ldots\left(b_{s}\right)_{n}} \frac{z^{n}}{n!}, \quad z \in \mathrm{U}, \\
\left(q \leq s+1 ; q, s \in \mathbb{N}_{0}:=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $(\theta)_{n}$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined, in terms of the Gamma function $\Gamma$, by

$$
(\theta)_{n}=\frac{\Gamma(\theta+n)}{\Gamma(\theta)}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } \theta=0 \\ \theta(\theta+1) \ldots(\theta+n-1), & \text { if } \theta \in \mathbb{N}\end{cases}
$$

Corresponding to the function $h_{p}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q} ; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s} ; z\right)$ defined by

$$
h_{p}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q} ; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s} ; z\right)=z^{p}{ }_{q} F_{s}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q} ; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s} ; z\right), z \in \mathrm{U}
$$

Dziok and Srivastava [4] considered a linear operator

$$
H_{p}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q} ; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}\right): A(p, k) \rightarrow A(p, k)
$$

defined by the following Hadamard product:

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{p}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q} ; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}\right) f(z)=h_{p}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q} ; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s} ; z\right) * f(z), z \in \mathrm{U}  \tag{1.2}\\
&\left(q \leq s+1 ; q, s \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

If $f \in A(p, k)$ is given by (1.1), then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{p}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q} ; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}\right) f(z)=f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \Gamma_{n} a_{n+p} z^{n+p}, z \in \mathrm{U} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Gamma_{n}=\frac{\left(a_{1}\right)_{n} \ldots\left(a_{q}\right)_{n}}{\left(b_{1}\right)_{n} \ldots\left(b_{s}\right)_{n}} \frac{1}{n!} \quad(n \in \mathbb{N}) .
$$

To simplify the notations, we write

$$
H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z):=H_{p}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q} ; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}\right) f(z) .
$$

From (1.2) or (1.3) it follows that

$$
z\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{\prime}=a_{1} H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}+1\right) f(z)-\left(a_{1}-p\right) H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z), z \in \mathrm{U} .
$$

It should be remarked that the linear operator $H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right)$ is a generalization of many other linear operators considered earlier. In particular, for $f \in A(p)$ we have the following special cases:
(i) $H_{1,2,1}(a, b ; c) f=:\left(I_{c}^{a, b}\right) f\left(a, b \in \mathbb{C} ; c \notin \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{-}\right)$, where the linear operator $I_{c}^{a, b}$ was investigated by Hohlov [8];
(ii) $H_{p, 2,1}(n+p, 1 ; 1) f=: D^{n+p-1} f(n \in \mathbb{N} ; n>-p)$, where the linear operator $D^{n+p-1}$ was studied by Goel and Sohi [7]. In the case when $p=1, D^{n} f$ is the Ruscheweyh derivative of $f$ (see [22]);
(iii) $H_{p, 2,1}(\delta+p, 1 ; \delta+p+1) f(z)=: J_{p, \delta}(f)(z)=\frac{p+\delta}{z^{\delta}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\delta-1} f(t) d t(\delta>-p)$, where $J_{p, \delta}$ is the generalized Bernardi-Libera-Livingston integral operator (see [3]);
(iv) $H_{p, 2,1}(p+1,1 ; p+1-\lambda) f(z)=: \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)=\frac{\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)} z^{\lambda} D_{z}^{\lambda} f(z)(-\infty \leq \lambda<p+1)$, where $D_{z}^{\lambda} f$ is the fractional integral of $f$ of order $-\lambda$ when $-\infty \leq \lambda<0$, and fractional derivative of $f$ of order $\lambda$ when $0 \leq \lambda<p+1$. The extended fractional differintegral operator $\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)}$ was introduced and studied by Patel and Mishra [21], while the fractional differential operator $\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)}$ with $0 \leq \lambda<1$ was investigated by Srivastava and Aouf [25]. The operator $\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, 1)}=: \Omega_{z}^{\lambda}$ was introduced by Owa and Srivastava [20] (see also Owa [19]);
(v) $H_{p, 2,1}(a, 1 ; c) f=: L_{p}(a, c) f\left(a \in \mathbb{R} ; c \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{-}\right)$, where the linear operator $L_{p}(a, c)$ was studied by Saitoh [23], which yields the operator $L(a, c)$ introduced by Carlson and Shaffer [1] for $p=1$;
(vi) $H_{1,2,1}(\mu, 1 ; \lambda+1) f=: I_{\lambda, \mu} f(z)(\lambda>-1 ; \mu>0)$, where $I_{\lambda, \mu}$ is the Choi-Saigo-Srivastava operator [3], which is closely related to the Carlson-Shaffer [1] operator $L(\mu, \lambda+1)$;
(vii) $H_{p, 2,1}(p+1,1 ; n+p) f=: I_{n, p} f(n \in \mathbb{Z} ; n>-p)$, where the operator $I_{n, p}$ was considered by Liu and Noor [10];
(viii) $H_{p, 2,1}(\lambda+p, c ; a) f=: I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c) f\left(a, c \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{-} ; \lambda>-p\right)$, where $I_{p}^{\lambda}(a, c)$ is the Cho-KwonSrivastava operator [2].

In recent years, many interesting subclasses of analytic functions associated with the DziokSrivastava operator $H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right)$ and its many special cases were investigated by (for example) Dziok and Srivastava ([4] and [5]), Gangadharan et al. [6], Liu and Noor [10], Liu [9], Liu and Srivastava [12], Liu and Patel [11], and many others (see also [2, 16, 17, 27]). In the present paper we shall use the method based upon the differential subordination to derive inclusion relationships and other interesting properties and characteristics of the Dziok-Srivastava operator $H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right)$.

## 2. Preliminaries lemmas

Let $P[c, k]$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\varphi(z)=c+c_{k} z^{k}+c_{k+1} z^{k+1}+\ldots,
$$

that are analytic in U ; we write $P[k]:=P[1, k]$.
Definition 2.1. [15] Denote by $\mathcal{Q}$ the set of all functions $f$ that are analytic and injective on $\mathrm{U} \backslash E(f)$, where

$$
E(f)=\left\{\zeta \in \partial \mathrm{U}: \lim _{z \rightarrow \zeta} f(z)=\infty\right\}
$$

and such that $f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ for $\zeta \in \mathrm{U} \backslash E(f)$.
In our present investigation, we shall require the following lemmas.
Lemma 2.2. 14] Let $h$ be analytic and convex (univalent) in U , with $h(0)=1$, and let $\varphi \in P[k]$. If

$$
\varphi(z)+\frac{z \varphi^{\prime}(z)}{\gamma} \prec h(z),
$$

where $\gamma \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} \gamma \geq 0$, then

$$
\varphi(z) \prec q(z)=\frac{\gamma}{k} z^{-\frac{\gamma}{k}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\frac{\gamma}{k}-1} h(t) d t \prec h(z),
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
Lemma 2.3. 24] Let $q$ be a convex (univalent) function in U , let $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}:=\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$, with

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\max \left\{0 ;-\operatorname{Re} \frac{\sigma}{\theta}\right\} .
$$

If the function $\varphi$ is analytic in U and

$$
\sigma \varphi(z)+\theta z \varphi^{\prime}(z) \prec \sigma q(z)+\theta z q^{\prime}(z),
$$

then $\varphi(z) \prec q(z)$, and $q$ is the best dominant.
Lemma 2.4. [15] Let $q$ be a convex (univalent) function in U and let $k \in \mathbb{C}$, with $\operatorname{Re} k>0$. If

$$
\varphi \in P[q(0), 1] \cap \mathcal{Q},
$$

and $\varphi(z)+k z \varphi^{\prime}(z)$ is univalent in U , then

$$
q(z)+k z q^{\prime}(z) \prec \varphi(z)+k z \varphi^{\prime}(z)
$$

implies $q(z) \prec \varphi(z)$, and $q$ is the best subordinant.
Lemma 2.5. [28, Chapter 14] For any real or complex numbers $a, b, c\left(c \notin \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{-}\right)$we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{1} t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1}(1-t z)^{-a} d t=\frac{\Gamma(b) \Gamma(c-b)}{\Gamma(c)}{ }_{2} F_{1}(a, b ; c ; z)  \tag{2.1}\\
& \quad(\operatorname{Re} c>\operatorname{Re} b>0) ; \\
& { }_{2} F_{1}(a, b ; c ; z)={ }_{2} F_{1}(b, a ; c ; z) ; \quad  \tag{2.2}\\
& { }_{2} F_{1}(a, b ; c ; z)=(1-z)^{-a}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(a, b ; c ; \frac{z}{1-z}\right) . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. Main results

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout the sequel that $a_{i}>0$ for $i=1, \ldots, q, \alpha>0$, $\mu>0$ and $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$. Now, we will prove the following sharp subordination result:

Theorem 3.1. Let $0 \leq j<p$, and for $f \in A(p, k)$ suppose that

$$
\frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \neq 0, z \in \mathrm{U}
$$

whenever $\mu \in(0,+\infty) \backslash \mathbb{N}$. Let define the function $\Phi_{j}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{j}(z)=(1-\alpha)\left[\frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}}\right]^{\mu}+  \tag{3.1}\\
& \alpha \frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}+1\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}}\left[\frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}}\right]^{\mu-1},
\end{align*}
$$

where all the powers are the principal ones, i.e. $\log 1=0$.
If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{j}(z) \prec\left[\frac{p!}{(p-j)!}\right]^{\mu} \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}}\right]^{\mu} \prec\left[\frac{p!}{(p-j)!}\right]^{\mu} q(z), \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
q(z)= \begin{cases}\frac{A}{B}+\left(1-\frac{A}{B}\right)(1+B z)^{-1}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(1,1 ; \frac{\mu a_{1}}{\alpha k}+1 ; \frac{B z}{B z+1}\right), & \text { if } B \neq 0, \\ 1+\frac{\mu a_{1}}{\mu a_{1}+\alpha k} A z, & \text { if } B=0,\end{cases}
$$

and $\left[\frac{p!}{(p-j)!}\right]^{\mu} q$ is the best dominant of (3.3). Furthermore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}}\right]^{\mu}>\left[\frac{p!}{(p-j)!}\right]^{\mu} \eta, z \in \mathrm{U} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta$ is given by

$$
\eta= \begin{cases}\frac{A}{B}+\left(1-\frac{A}{B}\right)(1-B)^{-1}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(1,1 ; \frac{\mu a_{1}}{\alpha k}+1 ; \frac{B}{B-1}\right), & \text { if } B \neq 0, \\ 1-\frac{\mu a_{1}}{\mu a_{1}+\alpha k} A, & \text { if } B=0,\end{cases}
$$

and the estimate (3.4) is the best possible.
Proof . Letting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z)=\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!} \frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}}\right]^{\mu}, z \in \mathrm{U} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

by choosing the principal branch in (3.5) we note that $\varphi \in P[k]$. Differentiating both the sides of (3.5), by using in the resulting equation the assumption (3.2) and the fact that

$$
\begin{align*}
& z\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j+1)}=a_{1}\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}+1\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}-  \tag{3.6}\\
& \left(a_{1}-p+j\right)\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}, \quad z \in \mathrm{U}, \quad(0 \leq j<p)
\end{align*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\varphi(z)+\frac{z \varphi^{\prime}(z)}{\frac{\mu a_{1}}{\alpha}} \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} .
$$

Now, by using Lemma 2.2 , with $\gamma=\frac{\mu a_{1}}{\alpha}$, in the above differential subordination, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi(z) \prec q(z)=\frac{\mu a_{1}}{\alpha k} z^{-\frac{\mu a_{1}}{\alpha k}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\frac{\mu a_{1}}{\alpha k}-1}\left(\frac{1+A t}{1+B t}\right) d t= \\
& \begin{cases}\frac{A}{B}+\left(1-\frac{A}{B}\right)(1+B z)^{-1}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(1,1 ; \frac{\mu a_{1}}{\alpha k}+1 ; \frac{B z}{B z+1}\right), & \text { if } B \neq 0, \\
1+\frac{\mu a_{1}}{\mu a_{1}+\alpha k} A z, & \text { if } B=0,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used a change of variable followed by the use of the identities (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), respectively. This completes the proof of the assertion (3.3).

Next, we will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf \{\operatorname{Re} q(z):|z|<1\}=q(-1) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, we have

$$
\operatorname{Re} \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \geq \frac{1-A r}{1-B r} \quad(|z|<r<1) .
$$

Setting

$$
g(s, z)=\frac{1+A s z}{1+B s z} \quad(0 \leq s \leq 1 ; z \in \mathrm{U})
$$

and

$$
d v(s)=\frac{\mu a_{1}}{\alpha k} s^{\frac{\mu a_{1}}{\alpha k}-1} d s
$$

which is a positive measure on the closed interval $[0,1]$, we get that

$$
q(z)=\int_{0}^{1} g(s, z) d v(s),
$$

so that

$$
\operatorname{Re} q(z) \geq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1-A s r}{1-B s r} d v(s)=q(-r) \quad(|z| \leq r<1)
$$

Now, taking $r \rightarrow 1^{-}$in the above inequality we obtain the assertion (3.7). The estimate (3.4) is the best possible since the function $\left[\frac{p!}{(p-j)!}\right]^{\mu} q$ is the best dominant of (3.3).

Corollary 3.2. Let $0 \leq j<p$ and $f \in A(p, k)$. If

$$
\frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}+1\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \prec \frac{p!}{(p-j)!} \frac{1+A^{*} z}{1+B z},
$$

where

$$
A^{*}= \begin{cases}\frac{B_{2} F_{1}\left(1,1 ; \frac{\mu a_{1}}{\alpha k}+1 ; \frac{B}{B-1}\right)}{B_{1+2} F_{1}\left(1,1 ; \frac{\mu a_{1}}{\alpha k}+1 ; \frac{B}{B-1}\right)-1}, & \text { if } B \neq 0, \\ \frac{a_{1+k}}{a_{1}}, & \text { if } B=0,\end{cases}
$$

then $H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f$ is $p$-valent in U .

Proof. Putting $\mu=\alpha=1$ and replacing $A$ by $A^{*}$ in Theorem 3.1, we get

$$
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j+1}}=\operatorname{Re} \frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}}>0, z \in \mathrm{U} .
$$

Since the function $\phi(z)=z^{p-j+1}$ is $(p-j+1)$-valently starlike in U , in view of the result [18, Theorem 8] we obtain that the function $H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f$ is $p$-valent in U .

Theorem 3.3. Let $0 \leq j<p$, and for $f \in A(p, k)$ let define the function $F_{\alpha}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\alpha}(z)=\left(1-\alpha-\alpha a_{1}+\alpha p\right) H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)+\alpha a_{1} H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}+1\right) f(z) . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F_{\alpha}^{(j)}(z)}{z^{p-j}} \prec(1-\alpha+\alpha p) \frac{p!}{(p-j)!} \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}, \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \prec \frac{p!}{(p-j)!} q(z), \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
q(z)= \begin{cases}\frac{A}{B}+\left(1-\frac{A}{B}\right)(1+B z)^{-1}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(1,1 ; \frac{1-\alpha+\alpha p}{\alpha k}+1 ; \frac{B z}{B z+1}\right), & \text { if } B \neq 0, \\ 1+\frac{1-\alpha+\alpha p}{1-\alpha+\alpha(p+k)} A z, & \text { if } B=0,\end{cases}
$$

and $\frac{p!}{(p-j)!} q$ is the best dominant of (3.10). Furthermore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}}>\frac{p!}{(p-j)!} \xi, z \in \mathrm{U}, \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi$ is given by

$$
\xi= \begin{cases}\frac{A}{B}+\left(1-\frac{A}{B}\right)(1-B)^{-1}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(1,1 ; \frac{1-\alpha+\alpha p}{\alpha k}+1 ; \frac{B}{B-1}\right), & \text { if } B \neq 0, \\ 1-\frac{\mu a_{1}}{\mu a_{1}+\alpha k} A, & \text { if } B=0,\end{cases}
$$

and the estimate in (3.11) is the best possible.
Proof . Using the definition (3.8) and the identity (3.6), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\alpha}^{(j)}(z)=(1-\alpha+\alpha j)\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}+\alpha z\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j+1)} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq j<p$. Putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z)=\frac{(p-j)!}{p!} \frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}}, z \in \mathrm{U}, \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have that $\varphi \in P[k]$. Differentiating both the sides of (3.13), using (3.9) and (3.12) in the resulting equation, by a simple calculation we get

$$
\varphi(z)+\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha+\alpha p} z \varphi^{\prime}(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} .
$$

The remaining part of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1, so we omit these details.

Theorem 3.4. Let $0 \leq j<p$, and for $\delta>-p$ let define the operator $J_{p, \delta}: A(p, k) \rightarrow A(p, k)$ by

$$
J_{p, \delta}(f)(z)=\frac{p+\delta}{z^{\delta}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\delta-1} f(t) d t, z \in \mathrm{U} .
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \prec \frac{p!}{(p-j)!} \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}, \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) J_{p, \delta}(f)(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \prec \frac{p!}{(p-j)!} q(z), \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
q(z)= \begin{cases}\frac{A}{B}+\left(1-\frac{A}{B}\right)(1+B z)^{-1}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(1,1 ; \frac{\delta+p}{k}+1 ; \frac{B z}{B z+1}\right), & \text { if } B \neq 0, \\ 1+\frac{\delta+p}{\delta+p+k} A z, & \text { if } B=0,\end{cases}
$$

and $\frac{p!}{(p-j)!}$ q is the best dominant of (3.15). Furthermore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) J_{p, \delta}(f)(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}}>\frac{p!}{(p-j)!} k, z \in \mathrm{U} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is given by

$$
k= \begin{cases}\frac{A}{B}+\left(1-\frac{A}{B}\right)(1-B)^{-1}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(1,1 ; \frac{\delta+p}{k}+1 ; \frac{B}{B-1}\right), & \text { if } B \neq 0, \\ 1-\frac{\delta+p}{\delta+p+k} A, & \text { if } B=0,\end{cases}
$$

and the estimate in (3.16) is the best possible.
Proof . Letting

$$
\varphi(z)=\frac{(p-j)!}{p!} \frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) J_{p, \delta}(f)(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}}, z \in \mathrm{U}
$$

we have that $\varphi(z) \in P[k]$. Differentiating the above definition formula, by using (3.14) and the identity

$$
\begin{gathered}
z\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) J_{p, \delta}(f)(z)\right)^{(j+1)}=(\delta+p)\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}- \\
(\delta+j)\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) J_{p, \delta}(f)(z)\right)^{(j)}
\end{gathered}
$$

in the resulting equation, we get

$$
\varphi(z)+\frac{z \varphi^{\prime}(z)}{\delta+p} \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} .
$$

Now, the assertion (3.15) and the estimate (3.16) follow by employing the same techniques that was used in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.5. Let $q$ be a univalent function in U , such that $q$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\max \left\{0 ;-\frac{\mu a_{1}}{\alpha}\right\}, z \in \mathrm{U} . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $0 \leq j<p$, and for $f \in A(p, k)$ suppose that

$$
\frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \neq 0, z \in \mathrm{U},
$$

whenever $\mu \in(0,+\infty) \backslash \mathbb{N}$. Let the function $\Phi_{j}$ defined by (3.1), and suppose that it satisfies the following subordination:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!}\right]^{\mu} \Phi_{j}(z) \prec q(z)+\frac{\alpha}{\mu a_{1}} z q^{\prime}(z) . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!} \frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}}\right]^{\mu} \prec q(z),
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant of the above subordination.
Proof. If the function $\varphi$ is defined by (3.5), from Theorem 3.1 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!}\right]^{\mu} \Phi_{j}(z)=\varphi(z)+\frac{\alpha}{\mu a_{1}} z \varphi^{\prime}(z) . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.18) and (3.19) we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z)+\frac{\alpha}{\mu a_{1}} z \varphi^{\prime}(z) \prec q(z)+\frac{\alpha}{\mu a_{1}} z q^{\prime}(z), \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by using Lemma 2.3 and $(3.20)$ we easily get the assertion of Theorem 3.5.
Taking $q(z)=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}$ in Theorem 3.5 we obtain the following special case:
Corollary 3.6. For $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$, suppose that

$$
\operatorname{Re} \frac{1-B z}{1+B z}>\max \left\{0 ;-\frac{\mu a_{1}}{\alpha}\right\}, z \in \mathrm{U} .
$$

Let $0 \leq j<p$, and for $f \in A(p, k)$ suppose that

$$
\frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \neq 0, z \in \mathrm{U}
$$

whenever $\mu \in(0,+\infty) \backslash \mathbb{N}$. Let the function $\Phi_{j}$ defined by (3.1), and suppose that it satisfies the following subordination:

$$
\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!}\right]^{\mu} \Phi_{j}(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}+\frac{\alpha}{\mu a_{1}} \frac{(A-B) z}{(1+B z)^{2}} .
$$

Then,

$$
\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!} \frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}}\right]^{\mu} \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}
$$

and the function $\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}$ is the best dominant of the above subordination.

Theorem 3.7. Let $0 \leq j<p$, and for $f \in A(p, k)$ suppose that

$$
\frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \neq 0, z \in \mathrm{U},
$$

whenever $\mu \in(0,+\infty) \backslash \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that

$$
\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!} \frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}}\right]^{\mu} \in P[1] \cap \mathcal{Q}
$$

such that $\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!}\right]^{\mu} \Phi_{j}(z)$ is univalent in U , where the function $\Phi_{j}$ is defined by (3.1). If $q$ is a convex (univalent) function in U , and

$$
q(z)+\frac{\alpha}{\mu a_{1}} z q^{\prime}(z) \prec\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!}\right]^{\mu} \Phi_{j}(z)
$$

then

$$
q(z) \prec\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!} \frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}}\right]^{\mu},
$$

and $q$ is the best subordinant of the above subordination.
Proof . If the function $\varphi$ is defined by (3.5), from (3.19) we have

$$
q(z)+\frac{\alpha}{\mu a_{1}} z q^{\prime}(z) \prec\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!}\right]^{\mu} \Phi_{j}(z)=\varphi(z)+\frac{\alpha}{\mu a_{1}} z \varphi^{\prime}(z) .
$$

Now, an application of Lemma 2.4 yields the assertion of Theorem 3.7.
Taking $q(z)=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}$ in Theorem 3.7, we get the following special case:
Corollary 3.8. Let $0 \leq j<p$, and for $f \in A(p, k)$ suppose that

$$
\frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \neq 0, z \in \mathrm{U},
$$

whenever $\mu \in(0,+\infty) \backslash \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that

$$
\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!} \frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}}\right]^{\mu} \in P[1] \cap \mathcal{Q}
$$

such that $\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!}\right]^{\mu} \Phi_{j}(z)$ is univalent in U , where the function $\Phi_{j}$ is defined by (3.1), and suppose that $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$. If

$$
\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}+\frac{\alpha}{\mu a_{1}} \frac{(A-B) z}{(1+B z)^{2}} \prec\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!}\right]^{\mu} \Phi_{j}(z)
$$

then

$$
\frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \prec\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!} \frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}}\right]^{\mu}
$$

and the function $\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}$ is the best subordinant of the above subordination.

Combining the Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.7, we easily get the following Sandwich-type result:
Theorem 3.9. Let $0 \leq j<p$, and for $f \in A(p, k)$ suppose that

$$
\frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}} \neq 0, z \in \mathrm{U}
$$

whenever $\mu \in(0,+\infty) \backslash \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that

$$
\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!} \frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}}\right]^{\mu} \in P[q(0), k] \cap \mathcal{Q}
$$

such that $\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!}\right]^{\mu} \Phi_{j}(z)$ is univalent in U , where the function $\Phi_{j}$ is defined by (3.1).
Let $q_{1}$ be a convex (univalent) function in U , and suppose that $q_{2}$ is a univalent function in U that $q_{2}$ satisfies (3.17). If

$$
q_{1}(z)+\frac{\alpha}{\mu a_{1}} z q_{1}^{\prime}(z) \prec\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!}\right]^{\mu} \Phi_{j}(z) \prec q_{2}(z)+\frac{\alpha}{\mu a_{1}} z q_{2}^{\prime}(z),
$$

then

$$
q_{1}(z) \prec\left[\frac{(p-j)!}{p!} \frac{\left(H_{p, q, s}\left(a_{1}\right) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}{z^{p-j}}\right]^{\mu} \prec q_{2}(z),
$$

and $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are, respectively, the best subordinant and the best dominant of the above double subordination.
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