



New subclasses of meromorphic bi-univalent functions by associated with subordinate

Safa Salehian^{a,*}, Ahmad Motamednezhad^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Gorgan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Gorgan, Iran

^bFaculty of Mathematical Sciences, Shahrood University of Technology, P.O. Box 316-36155, Shahrood, Iran

(Communicated by Javad Damirchi)

Abstract

In the present paper, we define two subclasses $\Sigma(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$, $\Sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \beta)$ of meromorphic univalent functions and subclass $\Sigma_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$ of meromorphic bi-univalent functions. Furthermore, we obtain estimates on the general coefficients $|b_n|$ ($n \geq 1$) for functions in the subclasses $\Sigma(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$, $\Sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \beta)$ and estimates for the early coefficients of functions in subclass $\Sigma_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$ by associated subordination. The results obtained in this paper would generalize and improve those in related works of several earlier authors.

Keywords: Meromorphic univalent functions, Meromorphic bi-univalent functions, Coefficients estimates, Subordinate.

2010 MSC: 30C45, 30C55.

1. Introduction

Let Σ denote the class of meromorphic univalent functions f of the form

$$f(z) = z + b_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{z^n}, \quad (1.1)$$

defined on the domain $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 1 < |z| < \infty\}$. It is well known that every function $f \in \Sigma$ has an inverse f^{-1} , defined by

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: s.salehian84@gmail.com (Safa Salehian), a.motamedne@gmail.com (Ahmad Motamednezhad)

and

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w \quad (M < |w| < \infty, M > 0).$$

Furthermore, for $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1.1), the inverse map $g = f^{-1}$ has the following expansion:

$$g(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{w^n} = w - b_0 - \frac{b_1}{w} - \frac{b_2 + b_0 b_1}{w^2} + \dots, \quad (M < |w| < \infty). \quad (1.2)$$

Function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be meromorphic bi-univalent, if the inverse function f^{-1} also belongs to Σ . The class of all meromorphic bi-univalent functions will be denoted by $\Sigma_{\mathcal{B}}$.

Let $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ be the open unit disk in \mathbb{C} and let $\mathbb{U}^* := \mathbb{U}/\{0\}$ be the punctured unit disk.

We say that f is subordinate to F in \mathbb{U} , written as $f \prec F$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$), if and only if $f(z) = F(w(z))$ for some Schwarz function $w(z)$ such that:

$$w(0) = 0 \text{ and } |w(z)| < 1 \text{ (} z \in \mathbb{U}\text{)}.$$

If F is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then the subordination $f \prec F$ is equivalent to $f(0) = F(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{U}) \subset F(\mathbb{U})$.

Recently, many subclasses of meromorphic bi-univalent functions were introduced by researchers. Also they obtain upper bounds for the coefficient of these subclasses. We mention refer to [2, 5, 6, 10, 11] for the precise arguments.

In the present paper, we introduce two subclasses $\Sigma(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$, $\Sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \beta)$ of meromorphic univalent functions and a subclass $\Sigma_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$ of meromorphic bi-univalent functions. Also, for functions belonging to subclasses $\Sigma(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$, $\Sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \beta)$, estimates on the general coefficients are obtained and for functions belonging to subclass $\Sigma_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$, estimates on the initial coefficients are found.

Moreover, the results presented would generalize recent work of Hamidi et al. [1], Panigrahi [6] and Salehian et al. [9].

2. Lemmas

For the proofs of theorems we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. [8] Let $q(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n z^n$ be analytic and univalent in \mathbb{U} and suppose that $q(z)$ maps \mathbb{U} onto a convex domain. If $p(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n z^n$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} and satisfies the following subordination:

$$p(z) \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then

$$|A_n| \leq |B_1| \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Lemma 2.2. [4] Let α and β be real numbers such that $0 \leq \alpha < 1 < \beta$. The function p defined by

$$p(z) = 1 + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\pi} i \log \left(\frac{1 - e^{2\pi i \frac{1-\alpha}{\beta-\alpha}} z}{1 - z} \right) \quad (2.1)$$

maps the unit disk \mathbb{U} onto the strip domain $\{w : \alpha < \operatorname{Re}(w) < \beta\}$.

Remark 2.3.

$$p(z) = 1 + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\pi} i \log \left(\frac{1 - e^{2\pi i \frac{(1-\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha}} z}{1 - z} \right) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n, \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$p_n = \frac{\beta - \alpha}{n\pi} i \left(1 - e^{2n\pi i \frac{(1-\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha}} \right) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots). \tag{2.3}$$

Specially

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow +\infty} p_n = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow +\infty} \left\{ \frac{1 - e^{2n\pi i \frac{(1-\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha}}}{\frac{n\pi}{(\beta-\alpha)i}} \right\} = 2(1 - \alpha), \tag{2.4}$$

a simple check gives us that

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2(1 - \alpha) z^n = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z} \quad (\beta \rightarrow +\infty),$$

which implies that $p(z)$ ($\beta \rightarrow +\infty$) maps \mathbb{U} onto the right half-plane w with $\operatorname{Re} w > \alpha$.

Lemma 2.4. [3] Let $p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$ be a function with positive real part in \mathbb{U} . Then, for any complex number ν ,

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| \leq 2 \max\{1, |1 - 2\nu|\}.$$

Lemma 2.5. [7] If $p \in P$, then $|c_k| \leq 2$ for each k , where P is the family of all functions p analytic in $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 1 < |z| < +\infty\}$ for which $\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > 0$ where $p(z) = 1 + \frac{c_1}{z} + \frac{c_2}{z^2} + \frac{c_3}{z^3} + \dots$.

3. Coefficient bounds for functions in $\Sigma(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ and $\Sigma_c(\alpha, \beta)$

In this section, we define two subclasses of meromorphic univalent and obtain the general coefficient estimates for functions in these subclasses.

Definition 3.1. Let λ, α and β be real numbers such that $0 \leq \alpha < 1 < \beta$ and $\lambda \geq 1$. The meromorphic univalent function f given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $\Sigma(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$, if the following condition is satisfied:

$$\alpha < \operatorname{Re} \left(\lambda f'(z) + (1 - \lambda) \frac{f(z)}{z} \right) < \beta \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Remark 3.2. By putting $\lambda = 1$, the class $\Sigma(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ reduces to the class $\Sigma_c^0(\alpha, \beta)$ introduced and studied by Sim et al. [10].

Theorem 3.3. Let f given by (1.1) be in the class $\Sigma(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1 < \beta, \lambda \geq 1$). Then

$$|b_n| \leq \frac{2(\beta - \alpha)}{((n + 1)\lambda - 1)\pi} \sin \left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha} \pi \right) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Proof . Define a function $g : \mathbb{U}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$g(z) = f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}^*). \quad (3.1)$$

Since $f \in \Sigma(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$, we have

$$\alpha < \operatorname{Re}\{-\lambda z^2 g'(z) + (1 - \lambda)z g(z)\} < \beta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (3.2)$$

Let

$$q(z) = -\lambda z^2 g'(z) + (1 - \lambda)z g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (3.3)$$

So $q(z)$ is an analytic function in \mathbb{U} such that $q(0) = 1$. Also, from (3.2) and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$q(z) \prec p(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (3.4)$$

where $p(z)$ is given by (2.1). On the other hand, the function $p(z)$ is convex in \mathbb{U} , and has the form

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n, \quad (3.5)$$

where p_n is given by (2.3). From (3.1) and (3.3), we have

$$q(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - (n + 1)\lambda) b_n z^{n+1}. \quad (3.6)$$

From (3.4), (3.6) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$|1 - (n + 1)\lambda| |b_n| \leq |p_1|.$$

Therefore

$$|b_n| \leq \frac{|p_1|}{|1 - (n + 1)\lambda|} = \frac{2(\beta - \alpha)}{((n + 1)\lambda - 1)\pi} \sin\left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha}\pi\right) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

□

Theorem 3.4. Let f given by (1.1) be in the class $\Sigma(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1 < \beta$, $\lambda > 1$) and $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$|b_1 - \mu b_0^2| \leq \frac{2(\beta - \alpha)}{\pi(2\lambda - 1)} \sin\left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha}\pi\right) \times \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{\mu(1 - 2\lambda)(\beta - \alpha)i}{\pi(1 - \lambda)^2} - \frac{1}{2} - \left(\frac{\mu(1 - 2\lambda)(\beta - \alpha)i}{\pi(1 - \lambda)^2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) e^{2\pi i \frac{1 - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha}} \right| \right\}.$$

Proof . We consider functions $g(z)$, $q(z)$ and $p(z)$ given by (3.1), (3.3) and (2.1). Since $q(z) \prec p(z)$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$), then there exists an analytic function $r : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$, with $r(0) = 0$, $|r(z)| < 1$, $z \in \mathbb{U}$, such that:

$$q(z) = p(r(z)). \quad (3.7)$$

Next, define the function h by

$$h(z) = \frac{1 + r(z)}{1 - r(z)} = 1 + h_1 z + h_2 z^2 + \dots \quad (3.8)$$

Since $r(z)$ is Schwarz function, $h(z)$ is an analytic function in \mathbb{U} , with $h(0) = 1$ and which has positive real part in \mathbb{U} . From (3.8) one can derive

$$r(z) = \frac{h(z) - 1}{h(z) + 1} = \frac{1}{2}h_1z + \frac{1}{2}(h_2 - \frac{h_1^2}{2})z^2 + \dots .$$

So

$$p \left(\frac{h(z) - 1}{h(z) + 1} \right) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}p_1h_1z + \left(\frac{1}{2}p_1h_2 - \frac{1}{4}p_1h_1^2 + \frac{1}{4}p_2h_1^2 \right) z^2 + \dots . \tag{3.9}$$

By comparing the coefficients in (3.6) and (3.9), we gain

$$(1 - \lambda)b_0 = \frac{1}{2}p_1h_1$$

and

$$(1 - 2\lambda)b_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(p_1h_2 - \frac{1}{2}p_1h_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}p_2h_1^2 \right).$$

From the above equations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 - \mu b_0^2 &= \frac{1}{2(1 - 2\lambda)} \left(p_1h_2 - \frac{1}{2}p_1h_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}p_2h_1^2 \right) - \frac{\mu}{4(1 - \lambda)^2} h_1^2 p_1^2 \\ &= \frac{p_1}{2(1 - 2\lambda)} \left(h_2 - \frac{1}{2}h_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{p_2}{p_1} h_1^2 - \frac{\mu(1 - 2\lambda)}{2(1 - \lambda)^2} h_1^2 p_1 \right) \\ &= \frac{p_1}{2(1 - 2\lambda)} \left(h_2 - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{p_2}{p_1} + \frac{\mu(1 - 2\lambda)}{(1 - \lambda)^2} p_1 \right\} h_1^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$b_1 - \mu b_0^2 = \frac{p_1}{2(1 - 2\lambda)} (h_2 - \nu h_1^2),$$

where

$$\nu = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{p_2}{p_1} + \frac{\mu(1 - 2\lambda)}{(1 - \lambda)^2} p_1 \right).$$

By using Lemma 2.4, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} |b_1 - \mu b_0^2| &= \frac{|p_1|}{2|2\lambda - 1|} |h_2 - \nu h_1^2| \leq \frac{|p_1|}{(2\lambda - 1)} \max\{1, |1 - 2\nu|\} \\ &= \frac{|p_1|}{(2\lambda - 1)} \max\{1, \left| \frac{\mu(1 - 2\lambda)}{(1 - \lambda)^2} p_1 - \frac{p_2}{p_1} \right|\}. \end{aligned}$$

By substituting

$$p_1 = \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\pi} i \left(1 - e^{2\pi i \frac{(1-\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha}} \right)$$

and

$$p_2 = \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2\pi} i \left(1 - e^{4\pi i \frac{(1-\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha}} \right),$$

in above equation we obtain the desired result. \square

Definition 3.5. Let α and β be real numbers such that $0 \leq \alpha < 1 < \beta$. The meromorphic univalent function f given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $\Sigma_C(\alpha, \beta)$, if the following condition is satisfied:

$$\alpha < \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) < \beta \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Theorem 3.6. Let f given by (1.1) be in the class $\Sigma_C(\alpha, \beta)$. Then

$$|b_1| \leq \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\pi} \sin \left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha} \pi \right)$$

and

$$|b_n| \leq \frac{2(\beta - \alpha)}{n(n+1)\pi} \sin \left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha} \pi \right) \prod_{k=2}^n \left\{ 1 + \frac{2(\beta - \alpha)}{\pi k} \sin \left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha} \pi \right) \right\} \quad (n \geq 2).$$

Proof . Define a function $g : \mathbb{U}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$g(z) = f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n. \quad (3.10)$$

Since $f \in \Sigma_C(\alpha, \beta)$, it follows that

$$\alpha < \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 - \frac{(z^2 g'(z))'}{z g'(z)} \right\} < \beta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (3.11)$$

Let

$$L(z) = 1 - \frac{(z^2 g'(z))'}{z g'(z)} = 1 + 2b_1 z^2 + 6b_2 z^3 + \dots \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (3.12)$$

Then $L(z)$ is an analytic function in \mathbb{U} such that $L(0) = 1$. So, from (3.11) and Lemma 2.2, we get

$$L(z) \prec p(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (3.13)$$

where $p(z)$ is given by (2.1). Note that the function $p(z)$ is convex in \mathbb{U} , and has the form

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n, \quad (3.14)$$

where p_n is given by (2.3).

If we let

$$L(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} l_n z^n, \quad (3.15)$$

then from (3.13), (3.14), (3.15) and Lemma 2.1, we imply

$$|l_n| \leq |p_1| = \frac{2(\beta - \alpha)}{\pi} \sin \left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha} \pi \right) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (3.16)$$

Now from (3.12), we have

$$(z^2g'(z))' = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} l_n z^n\right) \times (-zg'(z)).$$

So, by comparing the coefficients of the above relation, we get

$$2b_1 = l_2$$

and

$$b_n = \frac{1}{n(n+1)} [l_{n+1} - b_1 l_{n-1} - 2b_2 l_{n-2} - \dots - (n-1)b_{n-1} l_1].$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |b_n| &\leq \frac{1}{n(n+1)} \{|l_{n+1}| + |b_1||l_{n-1}| + 2|b_2||l_{n-2}| \dots + (n-1)|b_{n-1}||l_1|\} \\ &\leq \frac{|p_1|}{n(n+1)} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k|b_k|\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, in order to prove the claim of theorem, so we have to show that

$$|b_n| \leq \frac{|p_1|}{n(n+1)} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k|b_k|\right) \leq \frac{|p_1|}{n(n+1)} \prod_{k=2}^n \left(1 + \frac{|p_1|}{k}\right) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{3.17}$$

We now use the mathematical induction for the proof of (3.17). Since

$$\begin{aligned} |b_1| &= \frac{|l_2|}{2} \leq \frac{|p_1|}{2}, \\ |b_2| &\leq \frac{|p_1|}{6} (1 + |b_1|) \leq \frac{|p_1|}{6} \left(1 + \frac{|p_1|}{2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|b_3| \leq \frac{|p_1|}{12} (1 + |b_1| + 2|b_2|) \leq \frac{|p_1|}{12} \left[1 + \frac{|p_1|}{2} + \frac{|p_1|}{3} \left(1 + \frac{|p_1|}{2}\right)\right] = \frac{|p_1|}{12} \left(1 + \frac{|p_1|}{2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{|p_1|}{3}\right).$$

It is clear that the claim holds true for $n = 1, 2, 3$. We suppose that the proposition is correct for $n \leq m - 1$. Therefore, according to the induction hypothesis, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |b_m| &\leq \frac{|p_1|}{m(m+1)} (1 + |b_1| + 2|b_2| + 3|b_3| + \dots + (m-1)|b_{m-1}|) \leq \\ &\frac{|p_1|}{m(m+1)} \left[1 + \frac{|p_1|}{2} + \left\{\frac{|p_1|}{3} \left(1 + \frac{|p_1|}{2}\right)\right\} + \dots + \left\{\frac{|p_1|}{m} \left(1 + \frac{|p_1|}{2}\right) \dots \left(1 + \frac{|p_1|}{m-1}\right)\right\}\right] \\ &= \frac{|p_1|}{m(m+1)} \left(1 + \frac{|p_1|}{2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{|p_1|}{3}\right) \dots \left(1 + \frac{|p_1|}{m}\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.7. *Let f given by (1.1) be in the class $\Sigma_C(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Then*

$$|b_2 - \mu b_1^2| \leq \frac{2(\beta - \alpha)}{4\pi} \sin\left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha}\pi\right) \left[\frac{2}{3} + |\mu| \frac{2(\beta - \alpha)}{\pi} \sin\left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha}\pi\right)\right].$$

Proof . We consider functions $g(z)$, $L(z)$ and $p(z)$ given by (3.10), (3.12) and (2.1). Since $L(z) \prec p(z)$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$), then there exists an analytic function $r : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$, with $r(0) = 0$, $|r(z)| < 1$, $z \in \mathbb{U}$, such that:

$$L(z) = p(r(z)). \quad (3.18)$$

Define the function h by

$$h(z) = \frac{1+r(z)}{1-r(z)} = 1 + h_1z + h_2z^2 + \dots . \quad (3.19)$$

Clearly, h is analytic in \mathbb{U} , $h(0) = 1$ and $Re h(z) > 0$. From (3.19) one can derive

$$r(z) = \frac{h(z) - 1}{h(z) + 1} = \frac{1}{2}h_1z + \frac{1}{2}(h_2 - \frac{h_1^2}{2})z^2 + \frac{1}{2}(h_3 - h_1h_2 + \frac{1}{4}h_1^3)z^3 + \dots .$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} p(r(z)) &= p\left(\frac{h(z) - 1}{h(z) + 1}\right) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}p_1h_1z + \left(\frac{1}{2}p_1h_2 - \frac{1}{4}p_1h_1^2 + \frac{1}{4}p_2h_1^2\right)z^2 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2}p_1h_3 + \frac{1}{2}(p_2 - p_1)h_1h_2 + \frac{1}{8}(p_1 - 2p_2 + p_3)h_1^3\right)z^3 + \dots . \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

By equating the corresponding coefficients in (3.12) and (3.20), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{2}p_1h_1, \\ 2b_1 &= \frac{1}{2}p_1h_2 - \frac{1}{4}p_1h_1^2 + \frac{1}{4}p_2h_1^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$6b_2 = \frac{1}{2}p_1h_3 + \frac{1}{2}(p_2 - p_1)h_1h_2 + \frac{1}{8}(p_1 - 2p_2 + p_3)h_1^3.$$

From the above equations, we have

$$h_1 = 0, \quad b_1 = \frac{1}{4}p_1h_2 \quad \text{and} \quad b_2 = \frac{1}{12}p_1h_3.$$

Hence

$$b_2 - \mu b_1^2 = \frac{1}{12}p_1h_3 - \frac{\mu}{16}p_1^2h_2^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{3}h_3 - \frac{\mu}{4}p_1h_2^2 \right) p_1.$$

By using Lemma 2.5, we get

$$|b_2 - \mu b_1^2| \leq \frac{|p_1|}{4} \left[\frac{1}{3}|h_3| + \frac{|\mu|}{4}|p_1||h_2|^2 \right] \leq \frac{|p_1|}{4} \left[\frac{2}{3} + |\mu||p_1| \right].$$

□

4. Coefficient bounds for functions in $\Sigma_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$

In this section, we introduce new subclass of meromorphic bi-univalent and find the initial coefficients estimates for functions in this subclass.

Definition 4.1. Let λ, α and β be real numbers such that $0 \leq \alpha < 1 < \beta$ and $\lambda \geq 1$. The function f given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $\Sigma_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$, if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$f \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{B}} \text{ and } \alpha < \operatorname{Re} \left(\lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + (1 - \lambda) \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right) < \beta \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

and

$$\alpha < \operatorname{Re} \left(\lambda \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + (1 - \lambda) \left(1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} \right) \right) < \beta \quad (w \in \Delta),$$

where $g(w) = f^{-1}(w)$.

Remark 4.2. If $f \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$ and $\beta \rightarrow +\infty$, then the function f is said to be in the class $\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma_b}(\alpha, \lambda)$ introduced and studied by Panigrahi [6].

If $f \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$, $\lambda = 1$ and $\beta \rightarrow +\infty$, then the function f is said to be in the meromorphic bi-starlike of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) presented and studied by Hamidi et al. [1].

Theorem 4.3. Let f given by (1.1) be in the class $\Sigma_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$. Then

$$|b_0| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{|p_1|}{\lambda}, \sqrt{\frac{|p_1| + |p_2 - p_1|}{\lambda}} \right\},$$

$$|b_1| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{|p_1|}{2(2\lambda - 1)}, \frac{1}{2(2\lambda - 1)} \sqrt{\left| \frac{p_1^4}{\lambda^2} - p_1^2 - p_2^2 + 2p_1p_2 \right| + |p_1|^2} \right\}$$

and

$$|b_2| \leq \frac{1}{3(3\lambda - 2)} \left[2|p_2 - p_1| + |p_1| + \left| p_1 - 2p_2 + p_3 - \frac{p_1^3}{\lambda^2} \right| \right],$$

where p_1, p_2, p_3 given by (2.3).

Proof . For meromorphic function f of the form (1.1), we have:

$$\lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + (1 - \lambda) \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) =$$

$$1 - \frac{\lambda b_0}{z} + \frac{\lambda b_0^2 + 2(1 - 2\lambda)b_1}{z^2} - \frac{\lambda b_0^3 - 3\lambda b_0 b_1 - 3(2 - 3\lambda)b_2}{z^3} + \dots \tag{4.1}$$

and for its inverse map, $g = f^{-1}$ of the form (1.2), we have:

$$\lambda \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + (1 - \lambda) \left(1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} \right) =$$

$$1 + \frac{\lambda b_0}{w} + \frac{\lambda b_0^2 - 2(1 - 2\lambda)b_1}{w^2} + \frac{\lambda b_0^3 - 3(2 - 3\lambda)b_2 - 6(1 - 2\lambda)b_0 b_1}{w^3} + \dots \tag{4.2}$$

Define functions ϕ and ψ by

$$\phi(z) = f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(w) = g\left(\frac{1}{w}\right) \quad (z, w \in \mathbb{U}^*).$$

respectively. Therefore

$$-\lambda \frac{z\phi'(z)}{\phi(z)} + (1-\lambda) \left(1 - \frac{(z^2\phi'(z))'}{z\phi'(z)}\right) = \lambda \frac{f'(\frac{1}{z})}{zf'(\frac{1}{z})} + (1-\lambda) \left(1 + \frac{f''(\frac{1}{z})}{zf'(\frac{1}{z})}\right), \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}^*) \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$-\lambda \frac{w\psi'(w)}{\psi(w)} + (1-\lambda) \left(1 - \frac{(w^2\psi'(w))'}{w\psi'(w)}\right) = \lambda \frac{g'(\frac{1}{w})}{wg'(\frac{1}{w})} + (1-\lambda) \left(1 + \frac{g''(\frac{1}{w})}{wg'(\frac{1}{w})}\right), \quad (w \in \mathbb{U}^*). \quad (4.4)$$

Since $f \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$, we have

$$\alpha \leq \operatorname{Re} \left\{ -\lambda \frac{z\phi'(z)}{\phi(z)} + (1-\lambda) \left(1 - \frac{(z^2\phi'(z))'}{z\phi'(z)}\right) \right\} \leq \beta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$\alpha \leq \operatorname{Re} \left\{ -\lambda \frac{w\psi'(w)}{\psi(w)} + (1-\lambda) \left(1 - \frac{(w^2\psi'(w))'}{w\psi'(w)}\right) \right\} \leq \beta \quad (w \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (4.6)$$

Now, let

$$L(z) = -\lambda \frac{z\phi'(z)}{\phi(z)} + (1-\lambda) \left(1 - \frac{(z^2\phi'(z))'}{z\phi'(z)}\right) \quad (4.7)$$

and

$$T(w) = -\lambda \frac{w\psi'(w)}{\psi(w)} + (1-\lambda) \left(1 - \frac{(w^2\psi'(w))'}{w\psi'(w)}\right). \quad (4.8)$$

From (4.1), (4.2), (4.7) and (4.8), we get

$$L(z) = 1 - \lambda b_0 z + [\lambda b_0^2 + 2(1-2\lambda)b_1]z^2 - [\lambda b_0^3 - 3\lambda b_0 b_1 - 3(2-3\lambda)b_2]z^3 + \dots \quad (4.9)$$

and

$$T(w) = 1 + \lambda b_0 w + [\lambda b_0^2 - 2(1-2\lambda)b_1]w^2 + [\lambda b_0^3 - 3(2-3\lambda)b_2 - 6(1-2\lambda)b_0 b_1]w^3 + \dots \quad (4.10)$$

Also, from (4.5) and (4.6), we get

$$L(z) \prec p(z) \quad \text{and} \quad T(w) \prec p(w) \quad (z, w \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (4.11)$$

where $p(z)$ is given by (2.1) and has the series given by (2.2). Also, we imply from (4.11), there exists two analytic functions $r, s : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$, with $r(0) = 0 = s(0)$, $|r(z)| < 1$, $|s(w)| < 1$, $z, w \in \mathbb{U}$, such that:

$$L(z) = p(r(z)) \quad \text{and} \quad T(w) = p(s(w)). \quad (4.12)$$

Define functions h and k by

$$h(z) = \frac{1+r(z)}{1-r(z)} = 1 + h_1 z + h_2 z^2 + \dots \quad \text{and} \quad k(w) = \frac{1+s(w)}{1-s(w)} = 1 + k_1 w + k_2 w^2 + \dots \quad (4.13)$$

Clearly, h and k are analytic functions in \mathbb{U} , $h(0) = 1 = k(0)$ and which have positive real part in \mathbb{U} . From (4.13) one can derive

$$r(z) = \frac{h(z) - 1}{h(z) + 1} = \frac{1}{2}h_1z + \frac{1}{2}(h_2 - \frac{h_1^2}{2})z^2 + \frac{1}{2}(h_3 - h_1h_2 + \frac{h_1^3}{4})z^3 + \dots \tag{4.14}$$

and

$$s(w) = \frac{k(w) - 1}{k(w) + 1} = \frac{1}{2}k_1w + \frac{1}{2}(k_2 - \frac{k_1^2}{2})w^2 + \frac{1}{2}(k_3 - k_1k_2 + \frac{k_1^3}{4})w^3 + \dots t. \tag{4.15}$$

From (2.2), (4.14) and (4.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(r(z)) &= p\left(\frac{h(z) - 1}{h(z) + 1}\right) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}p_1h_1z + \left(\frac{1}{2}p_1h_2 - \frac{1}{4}p_1h_1^2 + \frac{1}{4}p_2h_1^2\right)z^2 \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{8}p_1h_1^3 - \frac{1}{2}p_1h_1h_2 + \frac{1}{2}p_1h_3 + \frac{1}{2}p_2h_1h_2 - \frac{1}{4}p_2h_1^3 + \frac{1}{8}p_3h_1^3\right)z^3 + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} p(s(w)) &= p\left(\frac{k(w) - 1}{k(w) + 1}\right) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}p_1k_1w + \left(\frac{1}{2}p_1k_2 - \frac{1}{4}p_1k_1^2 + \frac{1}{4}p_2k_1^2\right)w^2 \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{8}p_1k_1^3 - \frac{1}{2}p_1k_1k_2 + \frac{1}{2}p_1k_3 + \frac{1}{2}p_2k_1k_2 - \frac{1}{4}p_2k_1^3 + \frac{1}{8}p_3k_1^3\right)w^3 + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

It follows from (4.9), (4.10), (4.16) and (4.17) that

$$-\lambda b_0 = \frac{1}{2}p_1h_1, \tag{4.18}$$

$$\lambda b_0^2 + 2(1 - 2\lambda)b_1 = \frac{1}{2}p_1h_2 - \frac{1}{4}p_1h_1^2 + \frac{1}{4}p_2h_1^2, \tag{4.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\lambda b_0^3 + 3\lambda b_0b_1 + 3(2 - 3\lambda)b_2 &= \\ &\frac{1}{8}p_1h_1^3 - \frac{1}{2}p_1h_1h_2 + \frac{1}{2}p_1h_3 + \frac{1}{2}p_2h_1h_2 - \frac{1}{4}p_2h_1^3 + \frac{1}{8}p_3h_1^3, \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

$$\lambda b_0 = \frac{1}{2}p_1k_1, \tag{4.21}$$

$$\lambda b_0^2 - 2(1 - 2\lambda)b_1 = \frac{1}{2}p_1k_2 - \frac{1}{4}p_1k_1^2 + \frac{1}{4}p_2k_1^2 \tag{4.22}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda b_0^3 - 6(1 - 2\lambda)b_0b_1 - 3(2 - 3\lambda)b_2 &= \\ &\frac{1}{8}p_1k_1^3 - \frac{1}{2}p_1k_1k_2 + \frac{1}{2}p_1k_3 + \frac{1}{2}p_2k_1k_2 - \frac{1}{4}p_2k_1^3 + \frac{1}{8}p_3k_1^3. \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

From equations (4.18) and (4.21), we obtain

$$h_1 = -k_1, \quad 2\lambda^2b_0^2 = \frac{1}{4}p_1^2(h_1^2 + k_1^2).$$

Applying Lemma 2.5 for the above equation, we obtain

$$|b_0|^2 \leq \frac{|p_1|^2(|h_1|^2 + |k_1|^2)}{8\lambda^2} \leq \frac{|p_1|^2}{\lambda^2}.$$

Now, from (4.19) and (4.22), we get that

$$b_0^2 = \frac{1}{4\lambda}p_1(h_2 + k_2) + \frac{1}{8\lambda}(p_2 - p_1)(h_1^2 + k_1^2).$$

By using Lemma 2.5 once again, we readily get

$$|b_0|^2 \leq \frac{|p_1| + |p_2 - p_1|}{\lambda}.$$

Also, from (4.19) and (4.22), we obtain

$$\lambda^2 b_0^4 - 4(1 - 2\lambda)^2 b_1^2 = \frac{1}{4}p_1^2 h_2 k_2 - \frac{1}{8}p_1(p_1 - p_2)h_1^2(h_2 + k_2) + \frac{1}{16}h_1^4(p_1 - p_2)^2.$$

Therefore, we find that

$$4(1 - 2\lambda)^2 b_1^2 = \frac{1}{16} \left(\frac{p_1^4}{\lambda^2} - p_1^2 - p_2^2 + 2p_1 p_2 \right) h_1^4 + \frac{1}{8} p_1^2 k_2 \left(\frac{p_1 - p_2}{p_1} h_1^2 - h_2 \right) + \frac{1}{8} p_1^2 h_2 \left(\frac{p_1 - p_2}{p_1} k_1^2 - k_2 \right).$$

Now taking the absolute value of both sides of above equation, we obtain

$$4|1 - 2\lambda|^2 |b_1|^2 \leq \frac{1}{16} \left| \frac{p_1^4}{\lambda^2} - p_1^2 - p_2^2 + 2p_1 p_2 \right| |h_1|^4 + \frac{1}{8} |p_1|^2 |k_2| \left| \frac{p_1 - p_2}{p_1} h_1^2 - h_2 \right| + \frac{1}{8} |p_1|^2 |h_2| \left| \frac{p_1 - p_2}{p_1} k_1^2 - k_2 \right|. \quad (4.24)$$

By applying Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{8} |p_1|^2 |k_2| \left| \frac{p_1 - p_2}{p_1} h_1^2 - h_2 \right| &\leq \frac{1}{4} |p_1|^2 |k_2| \max \left\{ 1; \left| 2 \frac{p_2}{p_1} - 1 \right| \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} |p_1|^2 |k_2| \max \left\{ 1; |e^{2\pi i(1-\alpha)/(\beta-\alpha)}| \right\} = \frac{1}{4} |p_1|^2 |k_2|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\frac{1}{8} |p_1|^2 |h_2| \left| \frac{p_1 - p_2}{p_1} k_1^2 - k_2 \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} |p_1|^2 |h_2|. \quad (4.26)$$

By applying Lemma 2.5 in equations (4.24), (4.25) and (4.26), we find that

$$4|1 - 2\lambda|^2 |b_1|^2 \leq \left| \frac{p_1^4}{\lambda^2} - p_1^2 - p_2^2 + 2p_1 p_2 \right| + |p_1|^2.$$

On the other hand, by subtracting (4.22) from (4.19), we get

$$4(1 - 2\lambda)b_1 = \frac{1}{2}p_1(h_2 - k_2).$$

Therefore, we get

$$|b_1| = \frac{|p_1||h_2 - k_2|}{8|1 - 2\lambda|} \leq \frac{|p_1|}{2(2\lambda - 1)}.$$

Next, to find the bound on b_2 , consider the sum of (4.20) and (4.23) with $h_1 = -k_1$, we have

$$b_0b_1 = \frac{1}{6(5\lambda - 2)} [(h_1h_2 + k_1k_2)(p_2 - p_1) + p_1(h_3 + k_3)]. \tag{4.27}$$

Subtracting (4.23) from (4.20) with $h_1 = -k_1$, we obtain

$$6(2 - 3\lambda)b_2 = 2\lambda b_0^3 + 3(3\lambda - 2)b_0b_1 + \frac{1}{4}(p_1 - 2p_2 + p_3)h_1^3 + \frac{1}{2}(h_1h_2 - k_1k_2)(p_2 - p_1) + \frac{1}{2}p_1(h_3 - k_3). \tag{4.28}$$

By using (4.18) and (4.27) in (4.28) gives

$$6(2 - 3\lambda)b_2 = (p_2 - p_1) \left(\frac{4\lambda - 2}{5\lambda - 2}h_1h_2 - \frac{\lambda}{5\lambda - 2}k_1k_2 \right) + p_1 \left(\frac{4\lambda - 2}{5\lambda - 2}h_3 - \frac{\lambda}{5\lambda - 2}k_3 \right) + \frac{1}{4}(p_1 - 2p_2 + p_3 - \frac{p_1^3}{\lambda^2})h_1^3. \tag{4.29}$$

Applying Lemma 2.5 once again for the coefficients h_1, h_2, k_1 and k_2 , we get

$$|b_2| \leq \frac{1}{3(3\lambda - 2)} \left[2|p_2 - p_1| + |p_1| + |p_1 - 2p_2 + p_3 - \frac{p_1^3}{\lambda^2}| \right].$$

□

5. Corollaries and Consequences

By putting $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem 3.3, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 5.1. *Let f given by (1.1) be in the class $\Sigma_c^0(\alpha, \beta)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1 < \beta$). Then*

$$|b_n| \leq \frac{|p_1|}{n} = \frac{2(\beta - \alpha)}{n\pi} \sin \left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha} \pi \right) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

If $\beta \rightarrow +\infty$ in Theorem 4.3, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 5.2. *Let $f(z) \in \Sigma$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma_b^t}(\alpha, \lambda)$, then*

$$|b_0| \leq \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\lambda}} & ; \lambda + 2\alpha \leq 2 \\ \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\lambda} & ; \lambda + 2\alpha \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

$$|b_1| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{1 - \alpha}{2\lambda - 1}, \frac{1 - \alpha}{2\lambda - 1} \sqrt{\frac{4(1 - \alpha)^2}{\lambda^2} + 1} \right\} = \frac{1 - \alpha}{2\lambda - 1}$$

and

$$|b_2| \leq \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{3(3\lambda - 2)} \left[1 + \frac{4(1 - \alpha)^2}{\lambda^2} \right].$$

Remark 5.3. *Corollary 5.2 provides the estimates of $|b_0|, |b_1|$ and $|b_2|$ obtained previously by Salehian et al. [9, Corollary 3.3]. Furthermore, the bounds on $|b_0|$ and $|b_1|$ given in Corollary 5.2 are better than those given by Panigrahi [6, Theorem 3.2].*

By putting $\lambda = 1$ in Corollary 5.2, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 5.4. *Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be meromorphic bi-starlike of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) in Δ . Then*

$$|b_0| \leq \begin{cases} \sqrt{2(1-\alpha)} & ; \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 2(1-\alpha) & ; \alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

$$|b_1| \leq \min \left\{ (1-\alpha), (1-\alpha)\sqrt{4(1-\alpha)^2 + 1} \right\} = 1-\alpha$$

and

$$|b_2| \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{3} [1 + 4(1-\alpha)^2].$$

Remark 5.5. *Corollary 5.4 provides the estimates of $|b_0|$ and $|b_1|$ obtained previously by Salehian et al. [9, Corollary 3.5]. Also, the bound on $|b_0|$ given in Corollary 5.4 is better than that given by Hamidi et al. [1, Theorem 2]. Also we find estimate of coefficient $|b_2|$ of functions in this subclass.*

References

- [1] S. G. Hamidi, S. A. Halim, J. M. Jahangiri, *Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for meromorphic bi-starlike functions*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2013 (2013), Art. ID 498159, 1–4.
- [2] T. Janani and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, *Coefficient estimates of meromorphic bi-starlike functions of complex order*, Int. J. Anal. Appl. 4 (1) (2014) 68–77.
- [3] F. Keogh and E. Merkers, *A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 20 (1969) 8–12.
- [4] K. Kuroki and S. Owa, *Notes on new class for certain analytic functions*, Adv.Math. Sci. J. 1 (2) (2012) 127–131.
- [5] H. Orhan, N. Magesh and V. K. Balaji, *Initial coefficient bounds for certain classes of Meromorphic bi-univalent functions*, Asian European J. Math. 7(1) (2014) 1–9.
- [6] T. Panigrahi, *Coefficient bounds for certain subclasses of meromorphic and bi-univalent functions*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 50(5) (2013) 1531–1538.
- [7] C. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions. Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht*, Göttingen, 1975.
- [8] W. Rogosinski, *On the coefficients of subordinate functions*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 48 (1943) 48–82.
- [9] S. Salehian and A. Zireh, *Coefficient estimate for certain subclass of meromorphic and bi-univalent functions*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 32(2) (2017) 389–397.
- [10] Y. J. Sim and O. S. Kwon, *Certain subclasses of meromorphically bi-univalent functions*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 40(2) (2017) 841–855.
- [11] H.-G. Xiao, Q.-H. Xu, *Coefficient estimates for three generalized classes of meromorphic and bi-univalent functions*, Filomat 29(7) (2015) 1601–1612.