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#### Abstract

In this paper, we are concerned with a class of fractional integral inequalities of Gronwall type. New integral results with some generalizations are proved. Then, some applications on hybrid differential equations with Hadamard derivative are established.
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## 1. Introduction

Gronwall inequality, or Gronwall-Bellman inequality as it is named in different works, is an inequality that has been used in studying differential and integral equations. Some types of these inequalities have been used for showing that a small perturbation in the order of differential equations causes only a small perturbation in their solutions, some others have been used to prove the uniqueness of solutions of systems of differential equations. Also, we find applications of these type of inequalities in finding approximations to solutions of differential equations. For more information, we refer the reader to [4, 10, 14, 17].

Hybrid differential equations of fractional order have attracted the interest of many mathematicians, like for instance [2, 3, 8, 11, 18]. They are the result of some perturbation techniques applied to a certain type of nonlinear differential equation that was hard to solve as it is.

In this paper, we are concerned with a class of nonlinear inequalities of Gronwall-Bellman type. The proposed main results generalize some interesting results in the papers [5] and [15]. Our results

[^0]have some relationship with the good paper [16]. Also, our proposed integral results can be used as an effective tool to study linear and/or nonlinear fractional differential equations and fractional integro-differential equations. So in this sense, some applications on hybrid fractional differential equations are also discussed in this paper.

## 2. Preliminaries

The integral version of the famous Gronwall-Belman inequality states that if a function $x$ for $t \in\left[t_{0}, T\right), T \leq \infty$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t) \leq h(t)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} k(s) x(s) d s \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any continuous function $h$ on $\left[t_{0}, T\right)$, and any positive function $k$ on the same interval, then we have:

Lemma 2.1. [6] If the above assumptions on (2.1) are valid, then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t) \leq h(t)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} h(s) k(s) \exp \left[\int_{s}^{t} k(u) d u\right] d s, \quad t \in\left[t_{0}, T\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following Jensen Lemma is needed in this work.
Lemma 2.2. [15] Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ be nonnegative real numbers. Then, for $r>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\right)^{r} \leq n^{r-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{r} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are also concerned with the following interesting results:
Lemma 2.3. [15] Let $\left.I=\left[t_{0}, T\right) \in \mathbb{R}, k, b, p \in C\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), T \leq \infty\right)$. Suppose that $u \in C\left(I, R^{+}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \leq k(t)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} b(s) u(s) d s+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} p(s) u^{\gamma}(s) d s, t \in I \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq \gamma<1$. Then, for $t \in I$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \leq\left[A^{1-\gamma}(t)+(1-\gamma) \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \exp \left((\gamma-1) \int_{t_{0}}^{s} b(\sigma) d \sigma\right) p(s) d s\right]^{1 /(1-\gamma)} \times \exp \left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} b(s) d s\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A(t)=\max _{t_{0} \leq s \leq t} k(t)$.
Theorem 2.4. [5] Let $u, a, b, h_{i},(i=1, \ldots, n)$ be real valued nonnegative continuous functions and there exists a series of positive real numbers $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}$ and $u(t)$ that satisfies the integral inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{p}(t) \leq a(t)+b(t) \int_{0}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} h_{i}(s) u^{p_{i}}(s) d s \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$.
Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \leq\left\{a(t)+b(t) \int_{0}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}(s)\left(\frac{p_{i}}{p} a(s)+\frac{p-p_{i}}{p}\right) \times \exp \left(\int_{s}^{t} b(\sigma) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_{i}}{p} h_{i}(\sigma)\right) d s\right\}^{1 / p} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $p \geq p^{*}=\max p_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$.

## 3. Main Results

We propose the following main result that generalizes Theorem 4 of [15]. We have
Theorem 3.1. Let $I=\left[t_{0}, T\right], t_{0} \geq 1, \alpha>0,0<\gamma<1$ and $a, b, p \in C\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$. If $u \in C\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \leq a(t)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\log \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)\right)^{\alpha-1} b(s) u(s) s^{-1} d s+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\log \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)\right)^{\alpha-1} p(s) u^{\gamma}(s) s^{-1} d s \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, the following two cases are valid:
(i) If $\alpha>1 / 2$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t) \leq & {\left[A_{1}^{1-\gamma}(t)+(1-\gamma) G_{1}\right.} \\
& \left.\times \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \exp \left((\gamma-1) G_{1} \int_{t_{0}}^{s} b^{2}(\sigma) \sigma^{-1} d \sigma\right) p^{2}(s) s^{3 \gamma-4)} d s\right]^{\frac{1}{2(1-\gamma)}}  \tag{3.2}\\
& \times t^{3 / 2} \exp \left(\left(G_{1} / 2\right) \int_{t_{0}}^{t} b^{2}(s) s^{-1} d s\right), \quad t \in I,
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{1}(t)=\max _{t_{0} \leq s \leq t} 3 s^{-3} a^{2}(s)$, and $G_{1}=\Gamma(2 \alpha-1) / 9^{\alpha-1}$.
(ii) Suppose that $\alpha \in(0,1 / 2], q=(1+\alpha) / \alpha$, and $p=1+\alpha$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t) \leq & {\left[A_{2}^{1-\gamma}(t)+(1-\gamma) G_{2}\right.} \\
& \left.\times \int_{t_{0}}^{t} p^{q}(s) s^{q\left(\gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{p}\right)-2\right)} \exp \left((\gamma-1) G_{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{s} b^{q}(\sigma) \sigma^{-q\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)} d \sigma\right) d s\right]^{\frac{1}{q(1-\gamma)}}  \tag{3.3}\\
& \times t^{\frac{p+1}{p}} \exp \left(\left(G_{2} / q\right) \int_{t_{0}}^{t} b^{q}(\sigma) \sigma^{-q\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)} d \sigma\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{2}(t)=\max _{t_{0} \leq s \leq t} 3^{q-1} s^{-q\left(\frac{p+1}{p}\right)} a^{q}(s)$, and $G_{2}=3^{q-1}\left(\frac{\Gamma(p(\alpha-1)+1)}{(p+1)^{p(\alpha-1)+1}}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}$.
Proof . Let $t \in I$. We have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \leq a(t)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\log \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)\right)^{\alpha-1} b(s) s^{-2} s u(s) d s+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\log \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)\right)^{\alpha-1} p(s) s^{-2} s u^{\gamma}(s) d s \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i) Applying Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t) \leq & a(t)+\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\log \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)\right)^{2(\alpha-1)} s^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} b^{2}(s) s^{-4} u^{2}(s) d s\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \left.+\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\log \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)\right)^{2(\alpha-1)} s^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} p^{2}(s) s^{-4} u^{2 \gamma}(s)\right) d s\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{3.5}\\
\leq & a(t)+\left(\frac{3 t^{3} \Gamma(2 \alpha-1)}{9^{\alpha}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} b^{2}(s) s^{-4} u^{2}(s) d s\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{3 t^{3} \Gamma(2 \alpha-1)}{9^{\alpha}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} p^{2}(s) s^{-4} u^{2 \gamma}(s)\right) d s\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha>1 / 2$.
Using Lemma 2.2 for $r=2$, the above inequality becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{2}(t) \leq & 3 a^{2}(t)+\left(\frac{t^{3} \Gamma(2 \alpha-1)}{9^{\alpha-1}}\right)\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} b^{2}(s) s^{-4} u^{2}(s) d s\right) \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{t^{3} \Gamma(2 \alpha-1)}{9^{\alpha-1}}\right)\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} p^{2}(s) s^{-4} u^{2 \gamma}(s)\right) d s\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us introduce the function $w(t):=\left[u^{2}(t) t^{-3}\right]$. Then, (3.6) gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.w(t) \leq A_{1}(t)+G_{1}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} b^{2} s^{-1}(s) w(s) d s\right)+G_{1}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} p^{2}(s) s^{3 \gamma-4} w^{\gamma}(s)\right) d s\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $A_{1}(t)$ is nondecreasing, then by Lemma 2.3, it yields that:

$$
\begin{align*}
w(t) \leq & {\left[A_{1}^{1-\gamma}(t)+(1-\gamma) G_{1}\right.} \\
& \left.\times \int_{t_{0}}^{t} p^{2} s^{3 \gamma-4}(s) \exp \left((\gamma-1) G_{1} \int_{t_{0}}^{s} b^{2}(\sigma) \sigma^{-1} d \sigma\right) d s\right]^{1 /(1-\gamma)}  \tag{3.8}\\
& \times \exp \left(G_{1} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} b^{2}(s) s^{-1} d s\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Replacing $w$ by its quantity, we get (3.2).
(ii) Taking $\alpha \in(0,1 / 2], q=(1+\alpha) / \alpha$, and $p=1+\alpha$, then we get $(1 / p)+(1 / q)=1$.

Thanks to Holder inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t) \leq & a(t)+\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\log \frac{t}{s}\right)^{p(\alpha-1)} s^{p} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} b^{q}(s) u^{q}(s) s^{-2 q} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}  \tag{3.9}\\
& +\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\log \frac{t}{s}\right)^{p(\alpha-1)} s^{p} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} p^{q}(s) u^{q \gamma}(s) s^{-2 q} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t) \leq & a(t)+\left(\frac{t^{p+1}}{(p+1)^{p(\alpha-1)+1}} \Gamma(p(\alpha-1)+1)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} b^{q}(s) u^{q}(s) s^{-2 q} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}  \tag{3.10}\\
& +\left(\frac{t^{p+1}}{(p+1)^{p(\alpha-1)+1}} \Gamma(p(\alpha-1)+1)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} p^{q}(s) u^{q \gamma}(s) s^{-2 q} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Lemma 2.2 with $r=q$, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{q}(t) \leq & 3^{q-1} a^{q}(t)+3^{q-1}\left(\frac{t^{p+1}}{(p+1)^{p(\alpha-1)+1}} \Gamma(p(\alpha-1)+1)\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}  \tag{3.11}\\
& \times\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} b^{q}(s) u^{q}(s) s^{-2 q} d s+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} p^{q}(s) u^{q \gamma}(s) s^{-2 q} d s\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Considering the function $w(t):=\left(u(t) t^{-(p+1) / p}\right)^{q}$, it yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(t) \leq A_{2}(t)+G_{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} b^{q}(s) s^{-q\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)} w(s) d s+G_{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} p^{q}(s) w^{\gamma}(s) s^{q\left(\gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{p}\right)-2\right)} d s \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thanks to Lemma 2.3, we observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
w(t) \leq & {\left[A_{2}^{1-\gamma}(t)+(1-\gamma) G_{2}\right.} \\
& \left.\times \int_{t_{0}}^{t} p^{q}(s) s^{q\left(\gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{p}\right)-2\right)} \exp \left((\gamma-1) G_{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} b^{q}(\sigma) \sigma^{-q\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)} d \sigma\right) d s\right]^{1 /(1-\gamma)}  \tag{3.13}\\
& \times \exp \left(G_{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} b^{q}(\sigma) \sigma^{-q\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)} d \sigma\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By replacing $w$ with its value, we get the inequality (3.3). The proof is thus achieved.

## Example:

Let $t_{0}=1, T=e$, and $a(t)=\exp (t), b(t)=\sqrt{3} t^{-2}, p(t)=t^{\frac{-3}{2} \gamma}$. It is obvious that $a, b$, and $p$ are in $C\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$. So, for $\gamma=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha=\frac{3}{4}$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \leq \exp (t)+\int_{1}^{t}\left(\log \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)\right)^{\frac{-1}{4}} \sqrt{3} s^{-2} u(s) s^{-1} d s+\int_{1}^{t}\left(\log \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)\right)^{\frac{-1}{4}} s^{\frac{-3}{4}} u^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) s^{-1} d s \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, $\alpha>1 / 2$ and thanks to (3.2), we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t) \leq & {\left[\left(3 t^{-3} \exp (2 t)\right)^{1 / 2}+\frac{1}{3} \exp \left(-\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2 \sqrt{3}}\left(t^{-3}-1\right)\right)-\frac{1}{3}\right]^{-1} }  \tag{3.15}\\
& \times t^{3 / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2 \sqrt{3}}\left(t^{-3}-1\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We propose this second main result that generalizes Theorem 2.3 of [5].
Theorem 3.2. Let $u, a, k_{i}$ real nonnegative functions defined on $t \in\left[t_{0}, T\right]$ where $t_{0} \geq 1, \delta_{i}<1$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \leq a(t)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\log \frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha-1} \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} k_{i}(s) u^{\delta_{i}}(s) s^{-1} d s \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, we have the following results:
(i) If $\alpha>1 / 2$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t) \leq & \left\{2 a^{2}(t)+\frac{6 t^{3}}{9^{\alpha}} \Gamma(2 \alpha-1) \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{n} n k_{i}^{2}(s) s^{-4}\left(\delta_{i} 2 a^{2}(s)+1-\delta_{i}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times \exp \left(\int_{s}^{t} \frac{6 \sigma^{3}}{9^{\alpha}} \Gamma(2 \alpha-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} n \delta_{i} k_{i}^{2}(\sigma)(\sigma)^{-4} d \sigma\right) d s\right\}^{1 / 2} \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) Suppose that $\alpha \in(0,1 / 2], q=(1+\alpha) / \alpha$, and $p=1+\alpha$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t) \leq & \left\{2^{q-1} a^{q}(t)+2^{q-1}\left(\frac{t^{p+1}}{(p+1)^{p(\alpha-1)+1}} \Gamma(p(\alpha-1)+1)\right)^{q / p}\right. \\
& \times \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{n} n^{q-1} k_{i}^{q}(s) s^{-2 q}\left(\delta_{i} 2^{q-1} a^{q}(s)+\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)\right) \\
& \left.\times \exp \left(\int_{s}^{t} 2^{q-1}\left(\frac{\sigma^{p+1}}{(p+1)^{p(\alpha-1)+1}} \Gamma(p(\alpha-1)+1)\right)^{q / p} \sum_{i=1}^{n} n^{q-1} \delta_{i} k_{i}^{q}(\sigma) \sigma^{-2 q}\right) d s\right\}^{1 / q} \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof . For $t \in\left[t_{0}, T\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \leq a(t)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\log \frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha-1} s \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} k_{i}(s) u^{\delta_{i}}(s) s^{-2} d s \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i) Using Cauchy-Shwartz inequality and Lemma 2.2, we can write:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \leq a(t)+\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\log \frac{t}{s}\right)^{2(\alpha-1)} s^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} n k_{i}^{2}(s) u^{2 \delta_{i}}(s) s^{-4} d s\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \leq a(t)+\left(\frac{3 t^{3}}{9^{\alpha}} \Gamma(2 \alpha-1)\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{t=n} n k_{i}^{2}(s) u^{2 \delta_{i}}(s) s^{-4} d s\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha>1 / 2$.
Thanks to the inequality (3.21) and Lemma 2.2, we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{2}(t) \leq 2 a^{2}(t)+\left(\frac{6 t^{3}}{9^{\alpha}} \Gamma(2 \alpha-1)\right)\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} n k_{i}^{2}(s) s^{-4} u^{2 \delta_{i}}(s) d s\right) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, if we put $\tilde{p}=2, \tilde{p}_{i}=2 \delta_{i}, \tilde{h}_{i}(t)=n k_{i}^{2}(t) t^{-4}, \tilde{a}(t)=2 a^{2}(t), \tilde{b}(t)=\frac{6 t^{3}}{9^{\alpha}} \Gamma(2 \alpha-1)$, the inequality becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\tilde{p}}(t) \leq \tilde{a}(t)+\tilde{b}(t)\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \tilde{h}_{i}(s) u^{\tilde{p}_{i}}(s) d s\right) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, thanks to Theorem 2.4, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \leq\left\{\tilde{a}(t)+\tilde{b}(t) \int_{0}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{h}_{i}(s)\left(\delta_{i} \tilde{a}(s)+1-\delta_{i}\right) \times \exp \left(\int_{s}^{t} \tilde{b}(\sigma) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i} \tilde{h}_{i}(\sigma) d \sigma\right) d s\right\}^{1 / 2} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we conclude (3.17).
(ii) Let $\alpha \in(0,1 / 2], q=(1+\alpha) / \alpha$, and $p=1+\alpha$, then we get $(1 / p)+(1 / q)=1$.

Using Holder inequality and Lemma 2.2, the inequality (3.19) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \leq a(t)+\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\log \frac{t}{s}\right)^{p(\alpha-1)} s^{p} d s\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} n^{q-1} k_{i}^{q}(s) u^{q \delta_{i}}(s) s^{-2 q} d s\right)^{1 / q} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \leq a(t)+\left(\frac{t^{p+1}}{(p+1)^{p(\alpha-1)+1}} \Gamma(p(\alpha-1)+1)\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} n^{q-1} k_{i}^{q}(s) u^{q \delta_{i}}(s) s^{-2 q} d s\right)^{1 / q} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thanks to (3.26) and using Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{q}(t) \leq 2^{q-1} a^{q}(t)+2^{q-1}\left(\frac{t^{p+1}}{(p+1)^{p(\alpha-1)+1}} \Gamma(p(\alpha-1)+1)\right)^{q / p}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} n^{q-1} k_{i}^{q}(s) u^{q \delta_{i}}(s) s^{-2 q} d s\right) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we put $\hat{p}=q, \hat{p}_{i}=q \delta_{i}, \hat{a}(t)=2^{q-1} a^{q}(t), \hat{b}(t)=2^{q-1}\left(\frac{t^{p+1}}{(p+1)^{p(\alpha-1)+1}} \Gamma(p(\alpha-1)+1)\right)^{q / p}, \hat{h}_{i}(t)=$ $n^{q-1} k_{i}^{q}(t) t^{-2 q}$, then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\hat{p}}(t) \leq \hat{a}(t)+\hat{b}(t)\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \hat{h}_{i}(s) u^{\hat{p}_{i}}(s) d s\right) . \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Theorem 2.4, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \leq\left\{\hat{a}(t)+\hat{b}(t) \int_{0}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{h}_{i}(s)\left(\delta_{i} \hat{a}(s)+\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)\right) \times \exp \left(\int_{s}^{t} \hat{b}(\sigma) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i} \hat{h}_{i}(\sigma)\right) d s\right\}^{1 / q} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have (3.18) which completes the proof.

## 4. Applications

In this section, we are concerned with the following hybrid differential problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
{ }_{H} D^{\alpha}\left(\frac{x(t)}{f(t, x(t))}\right) & =g(t, x(t))+h(t) x(t), \quad 1 \leq t \leq T, 0<\alpha \leq 1,  \tag{4.1}\\
\left.{ }_{H} I^{1-\alpha} x(t)\right|_{t=1} & =\eta
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where ${ }_{H} D^{\alpha}$ is the Hadamard fractional derivative, $f \in C([1, T] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}), g \in C([1, T] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $h \in C([1, T], \mathbb{R}),{ }_{H} I^{1-\alpha}$ is the Hadamard fractional integral, and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$.

It is to note that in the case where $h$ is identically zero, the associated problem has been discussed by B. Ahmed et al., see [1].

The following definitions and lemmas are important for better understanding Hadamard fractional operators.

Definition 4.1. [9] Let $t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, and $\mathfrak{R}(\alpha)>0$. The Hadamard fractional integral of order $\alpha$, applied to the function $y \in L^{p}[a, b], 1 \leq p<+\infty, 0<a<b<\infty$, for $t \in[a, b]$, is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{H} I^{\alpha} y(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{t}\left(\log \frac{t}{\tau}\right)^{\alpha-1} y(\tau) \frac{d \tau}{\tau} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 4.2. [9] Let $\delta=t \frac{d}{d t}, \mathfrak{R}(\alpha)>0$, and $n=[\alpha]+1$, where $[\alpha]$ is the integer part of $\alpha$. The Hadamard fractional derivative of order $\alpha$ applied to the function $y \in A C_{\delta}^{n}[a, b], 0 \leq a<b<\infty$, is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{H} D^{\alpha} y(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)}\left(t \frac{d}{d t}\right)^{n} \int_{a}^{t}\left(\log \frac{t}{\tau}\right)^{n-\alpha-1} y(\tau) \frac{d \tau}{\tau}=\delta^{n}\left({ }_{H} I^{(n-\alpha)} y\right)(t) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A C_{\delta}^{n}[a, b]=\left\{y: t \in[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text { such that }\left(\delta^{n-1} y\right) \in A C[a, b]\right\} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 4.3. [9] Let $\mathfrak{R}(\alpha)>0, n=[\mathfrak{R}(\alpha)]+1$, and $0<a<b<\infty$. The equality $\left({ }_{H} D^{\alpha} y\right)(x)=0$ is valid if, and only if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j}\left(\log \frac{x}{a}\right)^{\alpha-j} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the following formula holds:

$$
{ }_{H} I^{\alpha}\left({ }_{H} D^{\alpha} y(x)\right)=y(x)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j}\left(\log \frac{x}{a}\right)^{\alpha-j}
$$

where $c_{j} \in \mathbb{R}, j=1,2, \ldots, n$, and $n-1<\alpha<n$.
Thanks to [1], the integral equation that is equivalent to (4.1) is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=f(t, x(t))\left(\frac{\eta}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(\log t)^{\alpha-1}+\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{1}^{t}\left(\log \frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha-1}(g(s, x(s))+h(s) x(s)) \frac{d s}{s}\right), \quad t \in[1, T] \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing the following two hypotheses,
(H.1) For $t \in[1, T]$, there exist a positive constant $F$, such that $|f(t, x(t))| \leq F$.
$(\mathcal{H} .2)$ For $b(t), p(t) \in C\left([1, T], \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), 0<\gamma<1$, we have $\mid h(t) x(t)+g(t, x(t)|\leq b(t)| x(t) \mid+$ $p(t)|x(t)|^{\gamma}$,
we prove the following theorem.
Theorem 4.4. Suppose that (H.1) and (H.2) are valid. If $x(t)$ is a solution of (4.1), then the following estimations hold:
(i) Suppose that $\alpha>1 / 2$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
|x(t)| \leq & {\left[\tilde{A}_{1}^{1-\gamma}(t)+(1-\gamma) \frac{G_{1} F^{2}}{\Gamma^{2}(\alpha)}\right.} \\
& \left.\times \int_{1}^{t} \exp \left((\gamma-1)\left(\frac{G_{1} F^{2}}{\Gamma^{2}(\alpha)}\right) \int_{1}^{s} b^{2}(\sigma) \sigma^{-1} d \sigma\right) p^{2}(s) s^{3 \gamma-4} d s\right]^{\frac{1}{2(1-\gamma)}}  \tag{4.7}\\
& \times t^{3 / 2} \exp \left(\left(\frac{G_{1} F^{2}}{\Gamma^{2}(\alpha)}\right) \int_{1}^{t} b^{2}(s) s^{-1} d s\right), \quad t \in I
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{A}_{1}(t)=\max _{1 \leq s \leq t} 3 s^{-3} \frac{\eta^{2} F^{2}}{\Gamma^{2}(\alpha)}(\operatorname{logs})^{2(\alpha-1)}$, and $G_{1}=\Gamma(2 \alpha-1) / 9^{\alpha-1}$.
(ii) Suppose that $\alpha \in(0,1 / 2], q=(1+\alpha) / \alpha$, and $p=1+\alpha$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
|x(t)| \leq & {\left[\tilde{A}_{2}^{1-\gamma}(t)+(1-\gamma) \frac{G_{2} F^{q}}{\Gamma^{q}(\alpha)}\right.} \\
& \left.\times \int_{1}^{t} p^{q}(s) s^{q\left(\gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{p}\right)-2\right)} \exp \left((\gamma-1)\left(\frac{G_{2} F^{q}}{\Gamma^{q}(\alpha)}\right) \int_{1}^{s} b^{q}(\sigma) \sigma^{-q\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)} d \sigma\right) d s\right]^{\frac{1}{q(1-\gamma)}}  \tag{4.8}\\
& \times t^{\frac{p+1}{p}} \exp \left(\left(\frac{G_{2} F^{q}}{q \Gamma^{q}(\alpha)}\right) \int_{1}^{t} b^{q}(\sigma) \sigma^{-q\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)} d \sigma\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{A}_{2}(t)=\max _{1 \leq s \leq t} 3^{q-1} s^{-q\left(\frac{p+1}{p}\right)} \frac{|\eta|^{q} F^{q}}{\Gamma^{q}(\alpha)}(\log s)^{q(\alpha-1)}$, and $G_{2}=3^{q-1}\left(\frac{\Gamma(p(\alpha-1)+1)}{(p+1)^{p(\alpha-1)+1}}\right)^{q / p}$.
Proof .
For $t \in[1, T]$, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
|x(t)| \leq & \left\lvert\, f\left(t, x(t) \left\lvert\,\left(\left|\frac{\eta}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(\log t)^{\alpha-1}\right|+\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\times \int_{1}^{t}\left(\log \frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha-1}|h(t) x(t)+g(s, x(s))| \frac{d s}{s}\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Thanks to hypothesis (H.1) and (H.2), we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid x(t) \leq & F\left|\frac{\eta}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(\log t)^{\alpha-1}\right|+\frac{F}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \\
& \times \int_{1}^{t}\left(\log \frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha-1} b(s)|x(s)|+p(t)|x(t)|^{\gamma} \frac{d s}{s} \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Theorem 3.1, we get the desired results.
Now, let's consider the following equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
{ }_{H} D^{\alpha}\left(\frac{x(t)}{f(t, x(t))}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} g_{i}(t, x(t)), \quad 1 \leq t \leq T, 0<\alpha \leq 1,  \tag{4.11}\\
\left.{ }_{H} I^{1-\alpha} x(t)\right|_{t=1} & =\eta,
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where ${ }_{H} D^{\alpha}$ is the Hadamard fractional derivative, $f \in C([1, T] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}), g_{i} \in C([1, T] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ $(i=1, \ldots, n),{ }_{H} I^{1-\alpha}$ is the Hadamard fractional integral, and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$.

The equivalent integral representation of (4.11) can be given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=f(t, x(t))\left(\frac{\eta}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(\log t)^{\alpha-1}+\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{1}^{t}\left(\log \frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha-1} \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} g_{i}(s, x(s)) \frac{d s}{s}\right), \quad t \in[1, T] . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing the following hypothesis,
( $\mathcal{H} .3)$ For $i=1, \ldots n, k_{i}(t) \in C\left([1, T], \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), 0<\delta_{i}<1$, we have $\mid g_{i}\left(t,\left.x(t)\left|\leq k_{i}(t)\right| x(t)\right|^{\delta_{i}}\right.$, we present to the reader the following main result.

Theorem 4.5. Suppose that (H.1) and (H.3) are satisfied.
Then, the following two cases are valid:
(i) If $\alpha>1 / 2$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
|x(t)| \leq & \left\{2 \hat{A}^{2}(t)+\frac{6 t^{3} F^{2} \Gamma(2 \alpha-1)}{9^{\alpha} \Gamma^{2}(\alpha)} \int_{1}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{n} n k_{i}^{2}(s) s^{-4}\left(\delta_{i} 2 \hat{A}^{2}(s)+1-\delta_{i}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times \exp \left(\int_{s}^{t} \frac{6 \sigma^{3} F^{2} \Gamma(2 \alpha-1)}{9^{\alpha} \Gamma^{2}(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} n \delta_{i} k_{i}^{2}(\sigma)(\sigma)^{-4} d \sigma\right) d s\right\}^{1 / 2}, \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where, $\hat{A}(t)=\left(F \frac{|\eta|}{\Gamma(\alpha)}|(\log t)|^{\alpha-1}\right)$.
(ii) Suppose that $\alpha \in(0,1 / 2], q=(1+\alpha) / \alpha$, and $p=1+\alpha$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
|x(t)| \leq & \left\{2^{q-1} \hat{A}^{q}(t)+2^{q-1}\left(\frac{F}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\right)^{q}\left(\frac{t^{p+1} \Gamma(p(\alpha-1)+1)}{(p+1)^{p(\alpha-1)+1}}\right)^{q / p}\right. \\
& \times \int_{1}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{n} n^{q-1} k_{i}^{q}(s) s^{-2 q}\left(\delta_{i} 2^{q-1} \hat{A}^{q}(s)+\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)\right) \\
& \left.\times \exp \left(\int_{s}^{t} 2^{q-1}\left(\frac{F}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\right)^{q}\left(\frac{\sigma^{p+1} \Gamma(p(\alpha-1)+1)}{(p+1)^{p(\alpha-1)+1}}\right)^{q / p} \sum_{i=1}^{n} n^{q-1} \delta_{i} k_{i}^{q}(\sigma) \sigma^{-2 q}\right) d s\right\}^{1 / q} \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof . Let $t \in[1, T]$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x(t)| \leq|f(t, x(t))|\left(\frac{|\eta|}{\Gamma(\alpha)}|(\log t)|^{\alpha-1}+\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{1}^{t}\left(\log \frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha-1} \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}\left|g_{i}(s, x(s))\right| \frac{d s}{s}\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thanks to ( $\mathcal{H} .1$ ) and ( $\mathcal{H} .3$ ), we can write:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x(t)| \leq\left(F \frac{|\eta|}{\Gamma(\alpha)}|(\log t)|^{\alpha-1}+\frac{F}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{1}^{t}\left(\log \frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha-1} \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}\left|k_{i}(s)\right|\left|x^{\delta_{i}}(s)\right| \frac{d s}{s}\right) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thanks to Theorem 3.2, we achieve the proof of this theorem.

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have proved some integral results to certain types of inequalities of GronwallBellman. Some results on the papers [5, 15] have been generalized to expand their usefulness so they can accommodate the cases with Hadamard derivative. We have also provided some hybrid fractional differential equations with Hadamard derivative to show the applicability of our integral results. We think that these kinds of results can be very useful in resolving fractional differential equations with the numerical approach and that is another kind of research to be pursued.
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