



A topology on a ring part of IS-algebra

Fatema F. Kareem^a, Reyadh. D. Ali^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, College of Education for Pure Science, Ibn Al-Haithem, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

^bDepartment of Mathematics, College of Education for Pure Science, University of Karbala, Karbala, Iraq

(Communicated by Madjid Eshaghi Gordji)

Abstract

We give a topology on a ring part of IS-algebra, by define prime ideals of a commutative ring part of IS-algebra and study some of its properties.

Keywords: IS-algebra; adjoin ring part; prime ideal.

2010 MSC: 54A05, 06F35, 03E72

1. Introduction

In 1966 [2], the notion of BCI-algebra was introduced by Iseki and Imai. A new class of algebra related to BCI-algebra was introduced by Jun and Hong [4], called a BCI-semigroup. After that, Jun et al. [5] renamed the BCI-semigroup as the IS-algebra and studied further properties of this algebra. In [10] the authors gave the ring part and adjoin ring part of IS-algebra. The concept of the topology was applied to a lot of algebraic structures by several authors; see [1, 6, 8, 7, 9]. This paper is intended to implement the new notion of adjoin ring part of IS-algebra and discusses some of their properties to study a topology on this structure.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [2, 3] Algebra $(L, *, 0)$ of $(2, 0)$ is a BCI-algebra, if, $\forall m, n, s \in L$

$$(BCI_1)((m * n) * (m * s)) \leq (s * n)$$

$$(BCI_2)(m * (m * n)) \leq n$$

$$(BCI_3)m \leq m$$

$(BCI_4) m \leq n$ and $n \leq m$ imply $m = n$.

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: fa_sa20072000@yahoo.com (Fatema F. Kareem), eyadhdelphi@gmail.com (Reyadh. D. Ali)

In a BCI -algebra $(L, *, 0)$, the following properties are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (BCI_{1\setminus}) &: m * 0 = m \\
 (BCI_{2\setminus}) &: (m * n) * s = (m * s) * n \\
 (BCI_{3\setminus}) &: m * (m * (m * n)) = m * n \\
 (BCI_{4\setminus}) &: 0 * (0 * m) = m \\
 (BCI_{5\setminus}) &: 0 * (m * n) = (0 * m) * (0 * n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.2. [3] Let $(L, *, 0)$ be a BCI-algebra and $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq L$, I is called an ideal of L if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $0 \in I$,
- (ii) $x * y \in I$ and $y \in I$ imply $x \in I$ (here $x, y \in L$).

Definition 2.3. [4] An IS-algebra $(L, *, \bullet, 0)$ is $L \neq \phi$ with two binary operations $*$, \bullet and constant 0 such that, $\forall m, n, s \in L$

- (I) $(L, *, 0)$ is a BCI-algebra,
- (II) (L, \bullet) is a semigroup,
- (III) $m \bullet (n * s) = (m \bullet n) * (m \bullet s)$ and $(m * n) \bullet s = (m \bullet s) * (n \bullet s)$.

Example 2.4. [4] If $L = \{0, e, f, g, h\}$ is a set with the two operations $*$ and \circ given by :

*	0	e	f	g	h
0	0	e	0	0	h
e	e	0	e	e	0
f	f	f	0	0	0
g	g	g	g	0	0
h	h	h	h	h	0

o	0	e	f	g	h
0	0	0	0	0	0
e	0	0	0	0	0
f	0	0	0	0	f
g	0	0	0	f	g
h	0	e	f	g	h

Then $(L, *, \circ, 0)$ is an IS-algebra (by routine calculations).
 In $(L, *, \circ, 0)$, we have $v \circ 0 = 0 \circ v = 0$, for any $v \in L$.

Lemma 2.5. [4] Let $(L, *, \bullet, 0)$ be an IS-algebra. Then for any $v, w, r \in L$, we have: $v \leq w$ implies $v \bullet r \leq w \bullet r$ and $r \bullet v \leq r \bullet w$.

Definition 2.6. [5] If $I \neq \phi$ is a subset of an IS-algebra $(L, *, \bullet, 0)$. Then I is called an ideal of $(L, *, \bullet, 0)$, if

- (I₁) $v * w \in I$ and $w \in I$, then $v \in I, \forall v, w \in L$
- (I₂) for any $v \in L$ and $r \in I$, we have $v \bullet r \in I, r \bullet v \in I$.

Definition 2.7. [10] If $(L, *, \bullet, 0)$ is an IS-algebra, then $K(L) = \{v \in L | 0 * v = v\}$ is said to be ring part of L .

Theorem 2.8. [10] In IS-algebra $(L, *, \bullet, 0)$:

1. $K(L)$ is a subalgebra of $(L, *, 0)$,
2. $(K(L), *, \bullet)$ is a maximal ring .
3. $K(L)$ is an ideal of $(L, *, \bullet, 0) \Leftrightarrow K(L)$ is an ideal of BCI-algebra $(L, *, 0)$.

3. A Topology on prime ideals of adjoin ring part

In this section, we study the prime spectrum $spec(N)$ of a ring part of an IS-algebra $(L, *, \bullet, 0)$. It turns out $spec(N)$ is T_0 and T_1 -space. Moreover $f : spec(N) \rightarrow spec(K)$ is a continuous map.

Definition 3.1. For every nonempty subset B of L , we define $N(L) = \{v \in L | b * (b * (b \bullet v)) \leq b \bullet v, \forall b \in B\}$, which will be called adjoin ring part of L . $N(L)$ in usual will be written N for short.

Theorem 3.2. In IS-algebra $(L, *, \bullet, 0)$:

- (a) N is a subalgebra of $(L, *, \bullet, 0)$
- (b) If $m + n = m * (0 * n)$, then $(N, +, \bullet)$ is a ring and $m + n = n + m, (m + n) + s = m + (n + s)$.

Proof .

- (a) Since $0 \in N$, so $N \neq \phi$. For any $v, w \in N$, we get $d * (d * (d \bullet (m * n))) = (d * 0) * (d * (d \bullet (m * n))) \leq (d \bullet (m * n)) * 0 = d \bullet (m * n)$... by BCI_1' , that is $m * n \in N$. In addition, we get $d * (d * (d \bullet (m \bullet n))) = (d * 0) * (d * (d \bullet (m \bullet n))) \leq (d \bullet (m \bullet n)) * 0 = d \bullet (m \bullet n)$ by... BCI_1' , that is $m \bullet n \in N$. Similarly we get $n \bullet m \in N$.
- (b) $(N, *, 0)$ is BCI-algebra by (a), $\forall m, n, s \in N$, we get $d * (d * (d \bullet (m + n))) = (d * 0) * (d * (d \bullet (m * (0 * n)))) \leq (d \bullet (m * (0 * n))) * 0 \leq d \bullet (m * (0 * n))$, then $(m * (0 * n)) \in N$. So $m + n \in N$. In addition, since $m + n = m * (0 * n) = (0 * (0 * m)) * (0 * n) = (0 * (0 * n)) * (0 * m) = n * (0 * m) = n + m$, and $m + (n + s) = m * (0 * (n + s)) = m * (0 * (n * (0 * s))) = (n * (0 * s)) * (0 * m) = (n * (0 * m)) * (0 * s) = (m * (0 * n)) * (0 * s) = (m + n) + s$. Therefore "+" is associative and also commutative. Moreover, $m + 0 = 0 + m = 0 * (0 * m) = m$ and $m + (0 * m) = (0 * m) + m = (0 * m) * (0 * m) = 0$, hence $0 * m$ is the inverse of m . Thus $(N, +)$ is an abelian group. Also, since N is closed about \bullet on IS-algebra $(L, *, \bullet, 0)$, so $m \bullet (n + s) = m \bullet (n * (0 * s)) = m \bullet n * (m \bullet (0 * s)) = m \bullet n * (m \bullet 0 * m \bullet s) = m \bullet n * (0 * m \bullet s) = m \bullet n + m \bullet s$ in same reason $(m + n) \bullet s = m \bullet s + n \bullet s$, hence $(N, +, \bullet)$ is a ring.

□

Lemma 3.3. N is an ideal of an IS-algebra $(L, *, \bullet, 0) \Leftrightarrow N$ is an ideal of a BCI-algebra $(L, *, 0)$

Proof . If N is an ideal of an IS-algebra $(L, *, \bullet, 0)$, then by definition above, N is an ideal of a BCI-algebra $(L, *, 0)$. Conversely, suppose N is an ideal of a BCI-algebra $(L, *, 0)$, $\forall v \in L, r \in N$ $d * (d * (d \bullet (r \bullet v))) = (d * 0) * (d * (d \bullet r) \bullet v) \leq ((d \bullet r) \bullet v) * 0 = ((d \bullet r) \bullet v)$... by BCI_1 , Therefore $r \bullet v \in N$, in same reasoning $v \bullet r \in N$, hence N is an ideal of an IS-algebra $(L, *, \bullet, 0)$. □

Definition 3.4. Let N be a ring part of IS-algebra $(L, *, \bullet, 0)$. A proper ideal J of N is called a prime if $cd \in J$ for elements c and d of N , either $c \in J$ or $d \in J$.

Definition 3.5. Let N be a ring part of IS-algebra $(L, *, \bullet, 0)$ and $spec(N)$ be the collection of all prime ideals of N . Now for each ideal Y of N , we define the variety of Y by $V(Y) = \{J \in spec(N) | Y \subseteq J\}$, Therefore $V(N) = \phi$ and $V(\{0\}) = spec(N)$.

Theorem 3.6. Let $(L, *, \bullet, 0)$ be an IS-algebra and N be a ring part of L . If Y and H are two ideals of N , then

$$H \subseteq Y \Rightarrow V(Y) \subseteq V(H). \tag{3.1}$$

$$V(Y) \cup V(H) \subseteq V(Y \cap H). \tag{3.2}$$

Proof .

(3.1) If $O \in V(Y)$, then $Y \subseteq O$ and since $H \subseteq Y$, therefore $O \in V(H)$. It follows that $V(Y) \subseteq V(H)$.

(3.2) Let $O \in V(Y) \cup V(H)$, then $Y \subseteq O$ or $H \subseteq O$. Hence $Y \cap H \subseteq O$, therefore $O \in V(Y \cap H)$. It follows that $V(Y) \cup V(H) \subseteq V(Y \cap H)$.

□

Lemma 3.7. Let $(N, +, \bullet)$ be a ring of IS-algebra $(L, *, \bullet, 0)$, For any $Y_i (i \in I)$ of an ideals of N .

Then $\bigcap_{i \in I} V(Y_i) = V(\sum_{i \in I} Y_i)$.

Proof . Let $J \in \bigcap_{i \in I} V(Y_i)$, then $Y_i \subseteq J, \forall i \in I$, hence $\sum Y_i \subseteq J$. So $J \in V(\sum Y_i)$, It follows that $\bigcap_{i \in I} V(Y_i) \subseteq V(\sum_{i \in I} Y_i)$.

Now, if $J \in V(\sum Y_i)$. So $\sum Y_i \subseteq J$ and since $Y_i \subseteq \sum Y_i$, for $i \in I$, hence $Y_i \subseteq J$, then $J \in V(Y_i)$, for $i \in I$. It follows that $J \in \bigcap_{i \in I} V(Y_i)$. □

Definition 3.8. Let N be a ring part of an IS-algebra L . Then a prime ideal J of N is extraordinary if for any two ideals Y and H of $N, Y \cap H \subseteq J$ implies $Y \subseteq J$ or $H \subseteq J$.

Theorem 3.9. Let N be a ring part of an IS-algebra $(L, *, \bullet, 0)$. If every prime ideal of N is an extraordinary, then $V(Y) \cup V(H) = V(Y \cap H)$, for any two ideals Y and H of N .

Proof . By Theorem 3.6, $V(Y) \cup V(H) \subseteq V(Y \cap H)$. Now, let $J \in V(Y \cap H)$, then $Y \cap H \subseteq J$ and since J is extraordinary. Then $Y \subseteq J$ or $H \subseteq J \Rightarrow J \in V(Y)$ or $J \in V(H) \Rightarrow J \in V(Y) \cup V(H) \Rightarrow V(Y \cap H) \subseteq V(Y) \cup V(H)$. Hence $V(Y) \cup V(H) = V(Y \cap H)$. □

By Definition 3.5, Lemma 3.7 and Theorem 3.9, it follows that the family $\{V(Y)\}_{Y \subseteq N}$ of subsets of $\text{spec}(N)$ satisfies the axioms for closed sets in a topological space. The topological space $\text{spec}(N)$ is called the prime spectrum of N also the resulting topology is called the Zariski topology.

Example 3.10. Let $(Z_6, +, \bullet)$ be a ring part of IS-algebra $(Z_6, -, \bullet, 0)$, then the set of all ideals of Z_6 are $\{\{\bar{0}\}, \{\bar{0}, \bar{3}\}, \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}, \bar{4}\}, Z_6\}$. Now, the set $\{\{\bar{0}, \bar{3}\}, \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}, \bar{4}\}\}$ is all prime ideals of Z_6 and that is extraordinary, hence $\text{Spec}(Z_6) = \{\{\bar{0}, \bar{3}\}, \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}, \bar{4}\}\}$. Therefore the topology on spectrum is $\tau = \{\phi, \text{spec}(Z_6)\}$.

Remark 3.11. For any $Y \subseteq N$, we're denoting the complement of $V(Y)$ by $W(Y)$. So $W(Y) = \{J \in \text{spec}(N) | Y \not\subseteq J\}$, so the collection $\{W(Y)\}_{Y \subseteq N}$ is the collection of open sets of a topological space $\text{Spec}(N)$. By duality, we get the following:

Proposition 3.12. Let N be a ring part of IS-algebra $(L, *, \bullet, 0)$, then

- (i) $W(N) = \text{Spec}(N), W(\{0\}) = \phi$,
- (ii) If $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ is any family ideals of N , then $\bigcup_{i \in I} W(Y_i) = W(\bigcup_{i \in I} Y_i)$,
- (iii) $W(Y_1 \cap Y_2) = W(Y_1) \cap W(Y_2)$, for some ideals $Y_1, Y_2 \subseteq N$
- (iv) For any two ideals $Y, H \in N, Y \subseteq H \Rightarrow W(Y) \subseteq W(H)$.

Proof . Clear. □

Remark 3.13. For any $b \in N$, we denote $V(\{b\})$ by $V(b)$ and $W(\{b\})$ by $W(b)$. So $V(b) = \{J \in \text{spec}(N) | b \in J\}$ and $W(b) = \{J \in \text{spec}(N) | b \notin J\}$.

Theorem 3.14. *If N is a ring part of IS-algebra $(L, *, \bullet, 0)$, the collection $\{W(b)\}_{b \in N}$ is a basis for the topology on $\text{Spec}(N)$.*

Proof. *If $Y \subseteq N, W(Y)$ an open and $W(Y) \subseteq \text{Spec}(N)$, then by proposition 3.12, we get $W(Y) = W(\cup_{b \in Y} \{b\}) = \cup_{b \in Y} W(b)$. Hence, any open set of $\text{Spec}(N)$ is the union of subsets from the collection $\{W(b)\}_{b \in N}$. \square*

Theorem 3.15. *$\text{Spec}(N)$ is a T_0 topological space.*

Proof. *Let J and Q be any two distinct prime ideals in $\text{Spec}(N)$. Then either $J \not\subseteq Q$ or $Q \not\subseteq J$.*

If $J \not\subseteq Q \Rightarrow \exists b \in J \ni b \notin Q \Rightarrow Q \in W(b)$ and $J \notin W(b)$

$\Rightarrow \exists$ an open set $W(b)$ containing Q , but not J .

If $Q \not\subseteq J \Rightarrow \exists b \in Q \ni b \notin J \Rightarrow Q \notin W(b)$ and $J \in W(b)$.

$\Rightarrow \exists$ an open set $W(b)$ containing J , but not Q .

Hence $\text{Spec}(N)$ is a T_0 -space. \square

Theorem 3.16. *$\text{Spec}(N)$ is a T_1 topological space.*

Proof. *If $\text{Spec}(N) = \emptyset \Rightarrow \text{spec}(N)$ is trivial space and so it is a T_1 -space. Now, if $\text{Spec}(N) \neq \emptyset$, then there exist J prime ideal of $\text{Spec}(N), V(J) = \{J\}$ and so $\{J\}$ is closed set in $\text{Spec}(N)$, i.e. $\text{Spec}(N)$ is a T_1 -space. \square*

Proposition 3.17. *If $l : N \rightarrow K$ is a homomorphism of two ring parts N and K of IS-algebra $(L, *, \bullet, 0)$, then \forall prime ideal of $K, l^{-1}(J) = \{b \in N / l(b) \in J\}$ is also a prime ideal of S .*

Proof. *For any $c, d \in J$ such that $c \bullet d \in l^{-1}(J) \Rightarrow l(c \bullet d) \in J \Rightarrow l(c) \bullet l(d) \in J$ (by homomorphism) $\Rightarrow l(c) \in J$ or $l(d) \in J \Rightarrow c \in l^{-1}(J)$ or $d \in l^{-1}(J)$. Hence $l^{-1}(J)$ is prime ideal. \square*

Theorem 3.18. *If $l : N \rightarrow K$ is a homomorphism of two ring parts N and K of IS-algebra $(L, *, \bullet, 0)$, then $f : \text{Spec}K \rightarrow \text{Spec}N$ define by $f(J) = l^{-1}(J), \forall J \in \text{Spec}K$ is continuous map.*

Proof. *For any $b \in N$, Let $W(b)$ be a basic open set in $\text{Spec}(N)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(W(b)) &= \{J \in \text{Spec}K / f(J) \in W(b)\} \\ &= \{J \in \text{Spec}K / l^{-1}(J) \in W(b)\} \\ &= \{J \in \text{Spec}K / b \notin l^{-1}(J)\} \\ &= \{J \in \text{Spec}K / l(b) \notin J\} \end{aligned}$$

which is open in $\text{Spec}(K)$. Hence f is a continuous map. \square

4. Conclusion

We have studied the topology of a ring part of IS -algebra by using prime ideals of a commutative ring part of IS-algebra and discussed few results of this topology, for example, the prime spectrum $\text{spec}(N)$ of a ring part of an IS-algebra and study some of its properties. Also, proved that $\text{spec}(N)$ is T_0 and T_1 -space. Furthermore, $f : \text{spec}(N) \rightarrow \text{spec}(K)$ it is a continuous mapping.

5. Open problems

The following are some open problems for future works:

1. Studying the theory of soft topological space on IS-algebra.
2. Introducing a compact and simply compact of a ring part of an IS-algebra.
3. Studying of soft simply path connected spaces and soft simply compact spaces.
4. Studying the filter of this structure.

References

- [1] E. Eslami and F. Kh. Haghani, *Pure filters and stable topology on BL-algebras*, Kybernetika, 45 (2009) 491–506.
- [2] Y. Imai and K. Iseki, *On axiom systems of propositional calculi*, XIV, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A. Math. Sci. 42 (1966) 19–22.
- [3] K. Iseki, *On BCI-algebras*, Math. Sem. Notes, 8 (1980) 125–130.
- [4] Y. Jun and S. M. Hong, *BCI-semigroups*, Honam Math. J. 15(1) (1993) 59–64.
- [5] Y. Jun, Roh E. H. and X. L. Xin, *I-ideals generated by a set in IS-algebras*, Bull Korean Math. Soc. 35 (1998) 615–624.
- [6] F. Kareem, R. D. Ali and S. M. Mostafa, *Fuzzy topological spectrum of a KU-algebra*, Mater. Sci. Eng. (2019) 571 012015.
- [7] S. M. Mostafa and F. F. Kareem, *A topology spectrum of a KU-algebra*, J. New Theo. 5 (2015) 78–91.
- [8] T. Roudbari and N. Motahari, *A topology on BCK-modules via prime sub-BCK-modules*, J. Hyper Struct. 1 (2012) 24–30.
- [9] K. Venkateswarlu and B. V. N. Murthy, *Spectrum of Boolean like semi ring*, Int. J. Math. Sci. Appl. 1 (2011).
- [10] Y. Wenqi, *Two rings in IS-algebras*, Proc. Fifth Int. Conf. Number Theory and Smarandache Notions, (2009) 98–101.