Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 13 (2022) 1, 2219-2225 ISSN: 2008-6822 (electronic) http://dx.doi.org/10.22075/ijnaa.2022.5917



# Forms of $\varpi$ -continuous functions between bitopological spaces

Adwea Naji Atewi\*, Bushra Swedan Naser, Safaa Jawad Ali, Muataz Adnan Harhoosh Institute of Medical Technology Al-Mansour, Middle Technical University, Iraq

(Communicated by Madjid Eshaghi Gordji)

# Abstract

TThe paper introduces the concepts of  $\varpi$ -strongly (resp.,  $\varpi$ -closure,  $\varpi$ -weakly) form of continuous functions on bitopological spaces, furthermore, we introduce theorems, characterizing on the class of functions, show how it can be studied from a different point of view.

Keywords:  $\varpi$ -strongly continuous,  $\varpi$ -closure continuous,  $\varpi$ -weakly continuous, bitopological spaces.

2010 MSC: 54C05, 54D10, 05C10

## 1. Introduction and notations

Let  $\mathscr{X}$  be anon empty set and  $\mathscr{T}_1$ ,  $\mathscr{T}_2$  are two topologies on  $\mathscr{X}$ , then the triple  $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2)$  is called bitopological spaces [1]. For other notions or notations not defined here we follow closely S. Willard [2].

**Definition 1.1.** [3] A point x of a space  $\mathscr{X}$  is called a condensation point of the sub set  $\mathscr{W} \subseteq \mathscr{X}$  if every neighbourhood of x contains an uncountable subset of this set.

**Definition 1.2.** [3] A subset  $\mathscr{W}$  of a space  $\mathscr{X}$  is called  $\varpi$ -closed if all its condensation points are contains it. Also the  $\varpi$ -closure of a set  $\mathscr{W}$  is the intersection of all  $\varpi$ -closed sets that contains  $\mathscr{W}$ , and denoted by  $Cl^{\varpi}\mathscr{W}$ , then  $\mathscr{W}$  is  $\varpi$ -closed if and only if  $\mathscr{W} = Cl^{\varpi}\mathscr{W}$ . The complete of a  $\varpi$ -closed is called  $\varpi$ -open. Similarly, the  $\varpi$ -interior of a set  $\mathscr{W}$  in a space  $\mathscr{X}$ , denoted by  $Int^{\varpi}$ , consists points x of  $\mathscr{W}$  such that for some open set  $\mathscr{U}$  containing x such that  $Cl^{\varpi}\mathscr{U} \subseteq \mathscr{W}$ , then  $\mathscr{W}$  is  $\varpi$ -open if and only if  $\mathscr{W} = Int^{\varpi}\mathscr{W}$ , or we can write it as  $\mathscr{X} - \mathscr{W}$  is  $\varpi$ -closed. Form above, we have every closed set is  $\varpi$ -closed and every open set is  $\varpi$ -open.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

*Email addresses:* adwea-naji@mtu.edu.iq (Adwea Naji Atewi), bushrasewdan@gmail.com (Bushra Swedan Naser), safajawad1970@gmail.com (Safaa Jawad Ali), muataz\_adnan@mtu.edu.iq (Muataz Adnan Harhoosh)

**Definition 1.3.** [1] A bitopological space  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$  is called pairwise Hausdorff space if for each pair of difference points  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ , then there is  $\mathcal{T}_1$ -open set  $\mathscr{A}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2$ -open set  $\mathscr{N}$  such that  $x_1 \in \mathscr{A}$  and  $x_2 \in \mathcal{N}$ , where  $\mathscr{A}$  and  $\mathscr{N}$  are disjoint.

**Definition 1.4.** [1] A function  $f : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$  is call pairwise continuous if  $f : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1) \to (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1)$  and  $f : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_2)$ ) are continuous.

Let  $f: (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$  be a function, we will use the following symbol in this work as follow:

$$\begin{split} &\mathcal{T}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}) \text{ denoted the } \mathcal{T}_1 - \varpi\text{-closed of a set } \mathscr{A} \subseteq (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1) \\ &\mathcal{F}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{N}) \text{ denoted the } \mathscr{F}_1 - \varpi\text{-closed of a set } \mathscr{N} \subseteq (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1) \\ &\mathcal{T}_1 Int^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}) \text{ denoted the } \mathscr{T}_1 - \varpi\text{-interior of a set } \mathscr{A} \subseteq (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1) \\ &\mathcal{F}_1 Int^{\varpi}(\mathscr{N}) \text{ denoted the } \mathscr{F}_1 - \varpi\text{-interior of a set } \mathscr{N} \subseteq (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1) \\ &\text{same as for } \mathscr{T}_2 \text{ and } \mathscr{F}_2 \text{ with respect to } (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_2) \text{ and } (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_2) \text{ respectively.} \\ &\text{A set } \mathscr{A} \text{ is called } \mathscr{T}_1 - \varpi\text{-closed if and only if } \mathscr{T}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}) = \mathscr{A}, \\ &\text{A set } \mathscr{N} \text{ is called } \mathscr{F}_1 - \varpi\text{-closed if and only if } \mathscr{F}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}) = \mathscr{A}, \\ &\text{A set } \mathscr{A} \text{ is called } \mathscr{F}_1 - \varpi\text{-open if and only if } \mathscr{T}_1 Int^{\varpi}(\mathscr{N}) = \mathscr{A}, \\ &\text{A set } \mathscr{N} \text{ is called } \mathscr{F}_1 - \varpi\text{-open if and only if } \mathscr{T}_1 Int^{\varpi}(\mathscr{N}) = \mathscr{N}, \\ &\text{a same as for } \mathscr{T}_2 \text{ and } \mathscr{F}_2 \text{ with respect to } (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_2) \text{ and } (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_2) \text{ respectively.} \end{split}$$

### 2. Main Result

The author in [6, 7, 8, 9] define  $\varpi$ -strongly (resp.,  $\varpi$ -closure,  $\varpi$ -weakly) continuous functions as follows: A function  $f: \mathscr{X} \to \mathscr{Y}$  is called  $\varpi$ -strongly (resp.,  $\varpi$ -closure,  $\varpi$ -weakly) continuous, if for each point  $x \in \mathscr{X}$  and every open set  $\mathscr{N}$  of f(x) in  $\mathscr{Y}$ , there exists an open set  $\mathscr{A}$  containing x in  $\mathscr{X}$  such that  $f(Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}) \subseteq \mathscr{N}$  (resp.,  $f(Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}) \subseteq Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{N}), f(\mathscr{A}) \subseteq Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{N})$ ).

Now, we present the main definition in this work.

**Definition 2.1.** A function  $f: (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$  is call pairwise  $\varpi$ -strongly (resp.,  $\varpi$ closure,  $\varpi$ -weakly) continuous, if either  $f: (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1) \to (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1)$  is  $\varpi$ -strongly (resp.,  $\varpi$ -closure,  $\varpi$ weakly) continuous or  $f: (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_2)$ ) is  $\varpi$ -strongly (resp.,  $\varpi$ -closure,  $\varpi$ -weakly) continuous (i.e., for each point  $x \in (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1)$  and every  $\mathscr{F}_1$ -opening set  $\mathscr{N}_1$  of f(x) in  $\mathscr{Y}$ , there exists an  $\mathscr{T}_1$ opening set  $\mathscr{A}_1$  contain x in  $\mathscr{X}$  such that  $f(\mathscr{T}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_1) \subseteq \mathscr{N}_1$  (resp.,  $f(\mathscr{T}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_1) \subseteq Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{N}_1)$ ),  $f(\mathscr{A}_1) \subseteq \mathscr{F}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{N}_1)$  or for each point  $x \in (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_2)$  and every  $\mathscr{F}_2$ -opening set  $\mathscr{N}_2$  of f(x) in  $\mathscr{Y}$ , there exist an  $\mathscr{T}_2$ -opening set  $\mathscr{A}_2$  contain x in  $\mathscr{X}$  such that  $f(\mathscr{T}_2 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_2) \subseteq \mathscr{N}_2$  (resp.,  $f(\mathscr{T}_2 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_2) \subseteq Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{N}_2)$ )

**Definition 2.2.** If  $(x_{\alpha})$  is a net in a space  $\mathscr{X}$ , then  $(x_{\alpha})$  is called  $\varpi$ -convergence to  $x \in \mathscr{X}$  denoted by  $(x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\varpi} x)$ , if for each neighbourhood  $\mathscr{A}$  of x, there is some  $\alpha_0 \in \Lambda$  such that  $\alpha \leq \alpha_0$  implies  $x_{\alpha} \in Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A})$ . Thus  $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\varpi} x$  if and only if each  $\varpi$ -closure nbd of x contains a tail of  $(x_{\alpha})$ , this is sometime said;  $(x_{\alpha}) \varpi$ -converges to x if it is eventually in every  $\varpi$ -closure nbd of x.

**Theorem 2.3.** For any  $f : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2))$  the follow are equivalent:

(a) f is pairwise  $\varpi$ -strongly continuous,

(b) The inverses images of every  $\mathscr{F}_1$ -closed sets is  $\mathscr{T}_1$ - $\varpi$ -closed and the inverses images of every  $\mathscr{F}_2$ -closed sets is  $\mathscr{T}_2$ - $\varpi$ -closed,

(c) The inverses images of every  $\mathscr{F}_1$ -opening set is  $\mathscr{T}_1$ - $\varpi$ -opening and the inverses images of every

# $\mathscr{F}_2$ -opening sets is $\mathscr{T}_2$ - $\varpi$ -opening,

(d) For each  $x \in (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2)$  and each net  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{\varpi} x$ , we have  $f(x_\alpha) \to f(x)$ .

**Proof**. (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) Let  $\mathscr{N}_1$  be  $\mathscr{F}_1$ -closed sets in  $(\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1)$  and  $\mathscr{N}_2$  be  $\mathscr{F}_2$ -closed set in  $(\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_2)$ . Suppose that  $f^{-1}(\mathscr{N}_1)$  is not  $\mathscr{F}_1$ -closed in  $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1)$  and  $f^{-1}(\mathscr{N}_2)$  is not  $\mathscr{F}_2$ -closed in  $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_2)$ . Then there is a point  $x \notin f^{-1}(\mathscr{N}_1) \cup f^{-1}(\mathscr{N}_2)$  such that for every  $\mathscr{T}_1$ -open set  $\mathscr{A}_1$  and every  $\mathscr{T}_2$ -open set  $\mathscr{A}_2$  both containing x we have  $\mathscr{T}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_1) \cap f^{-1}(\mathscr{N}_1) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\mathscr{T}_2 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_2) \cap f^{-1}(\mathscr{N}_2) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $f(x) \notin \mathscr{N}_1 \cup \mathscr{N}_2, \mathscr{Y} \setminus \mathscr{N}_1$  is  $\mathscr{F}_1$ -open and  $\mathscr{Y} \setminus \mathscr{N}_2$  is  $\mathscr{F}_2$ -open, both containing f(x), having the property that no  $\varpi$ -closed neighbourhood of x will map into  $\mathscr{Y} \setminus \mathscr{N}_1$  and  $\mathscr{Y} \setminus \mathscr{N}_2$  under f. Consequently, f is not pairwise  $\varpi$ -strongly continuous [10] at x. This contradiction implies that  $f^{-1}(\mathscr{N}_1)$  is  $\mathscr{T}_1$ - $\varpi$ -closed in  $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1)$  and  $f^{-1}(\mathscr{N}_2)$  is  $\mathscr{T}_2$ - $\varpi$ -closed in  $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_2)$ .

 $\begin{array}{l} (b) \Rightarrow (c) \ Let \ \mathscr{N}_1 \ be \ \mathscr{F}_1 \text{-opening sets in} \ (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1) \ and \ \mathscr{N}_2 \ be \ \mathscr{F}_2 \text{-open set in} \ (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_2). \end{array} \\ \mathcal{Y} \setminus \mathscr{N}_1 \ is \ \mathscr{F}_1 \text{-closed and} \ \mathscr{Y} \setminus \mathscr{N}_2 \ is \ \mathscr{F}_2 \text{-closed.} \ By \ (b) \ f^{-1}(\mathscr{Y} \setminus \mathscr{N}_1) \ is \ \mathscr{T}_1 \text{-}\varpi \text{-closed in} \ (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1) \ and \\ f^{-1}(\mathscr{Y} \setminus \mathscr{N}_2) \ is \ \mathscr{T}_2 \text{-}\varpi \text{-closed in} \ (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_2). \\ But \ \mathscr{X} \setminus f^{-1}(\mathscr{Y} \setminus \mathscr{N}_1) = f^{-1}(\mathscr{N}_1) \ is \ \mathscr{T}_1 \text{-}\varpi \text{-opening in} \\ (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1) \ and \ \mathscr{X} \setminus f^{-1}(\mathscr{Y} \setminus \mathscr{N}_2) = f^{-1}(\mathscr{N}_2) \ is \ \mathscr{T}_2 \text{-}\varpi \text{-open in} \ (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_2). \end{array}$ 

 $(c) \Rightarrow (d)$  Let  $x \in (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2)$  and let a net  $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\varpi} x$ . Let  $\mathscr{N}_1$  be  $\mathscr{F}_1$ -open set in  $(\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1)$  and  $\mathscr{N}_2$ be  $\mathscr{F}_2$ -open set in  $(\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_2)$ .), both contain f(x). Thus by  $(c), f^{-1}(\mathscr{N}_1)$  is  $\mathscr{T}_1$ - $\varpi$ -open in  $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1)$  and  $f^{-1}(\mathscr{N}_2)$  is  $\mathscr{T}_2$ - $\varpi$ -open in  $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_2)$  both containing x. Thus there exists an  $\mathscr{T}_1$ -open  $\mathscr{A}_1$  and  $\mathscr{T}_2$ -open  $\mathscr{A}_2$  such that  $x \in \mathscr{A}_1 \subseteq \mathscr{T}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_1) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathscr{N}_1)$  and  $x \in \mathscr{A}_2 \subseteq \mathscr{T}_2 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_2) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathscr{N}_2)$ . The  $\mathscr{T}_1$ - $\varpi$ convergence and  $\mathscr{T}_2$ - $\varpi$ -convergence of  $x_{\alpha}$  is eventually in  $\mathscr{T}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_1)$  and  $\mathscr{T}_2 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_2)$  respectively. So that  $f(x_{\alpha})$  is eventually in  $\mathscr{N}_1$  and  $\mathscr{N}_2$ . This shows that  $f(x_{\alpha}) \to f(x)$ .

 $(d) \Rightarrow (a) \text{ Suppose that } f \text{ is not pairwise } \varpi \text{-strongly continuous for some } x \in (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2). \text{ Thus there is an } \mathscr{F}_1\text{-open set } \mathscr{N}_1 \text{ in } (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1) \text{ and } \mathscr{F}_2\text{-opening set } \mathscr{N}_2 \text{ in } (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_2), \text{ both contain } f(x) \text{ such that for every } \mathscr{T}_1\text{-open set } \mathscr{A}_1 \text{ in } (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1) \text{ and } \mathscr{T}_2\text{-opening sets } \mathscr{A}_2 \text{ in } (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_2), \text{ both contain } x, \text{ such that } \mathscr{T}_1 \text{Cl}^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_1) \not\subset \mathscr{N}_1 \text{ and } \mathscr{T}_2 \text{Cl}^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_2) \not\subset \mathscr{N}_2. \text{ Now consider the directed sets } \mathscr{D}_1 = \{ x_\alpha : \mathscr{T}_1 \text{Cl}^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_1) \} \text{ and } \mathscr{D}_2 = \{ x_\alpha : \mathscr{T}_2 \text{Cl}^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_2) \not\subset \mathscr{N}_2. \text{ Now consider the directed sets } \mathscr{D}_1 = \{ x_\alpha : \mathscr{T}_1 \text{Cl}^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_1) \} \text{ and } \mathscr{D}_2 = \{ x_\alpha : \mathscr{T}_2 \text{Cl}^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_2) \} \text{ using by reverse inclusion where } \mathscr{A}_{1\varsigma} \text{ and } \mathscr{A}_{2\varsigma} \text{ both contains } x \text{ and } x \in \mathscr{T}_1 \text{Cl}^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_{1\varpi}) \cup \mathscr{T}_2 \text{Cl}^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_{2\varpi}) \text{ such that } f(x_\alpha) \not\subset \mathscr{N}_1 \cup \mathscr{N}_2. \text{ Then the net } g_1 : \mathscr{D}_1 \to (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1) \text{ and } g_2 : \mathscr{D}_2 \to (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_2) \text{ defined by } g_1(x_\alpha, \mathscr{A}_1) = x_\alpha , \mathscr{T}_1\text{-}\varpi\text{-converges to } x \text{ and } g_2(x_\alpha, \mathscr{A}_2) = x_\alpha , \mathcal{T}_2\text{-}\varpi\text{-converges to } x, \text{ but the net fog does not converge to } f(x) . \text{ The contradiction we obtained implies that } f \text{ is pairwise } \varpi\text{-strongly continuous function. } \Box$ 

Similarly, we proving the follow theorems:

**Theorem 2.4.** For any  $f : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2))$  the follow are equivalent:

(a) f is pairwise  $\varpi$ -closure continuous,

(b) The inverses images of every  $\mathscr{F}_1$ - $\varpi$ -closed sets is  $\mathscr{T}_1$ - $\varpi$ -closed and the inverses images of every  $\mathscr{F}_2$ - $\varpi$ -closed sets is  $\mathscr{T}_2$ - $\varpi$ -closed,

(c) The inverses images of every  $\mathscr{F}_1$ - $\varpi$ -opening sets is  $\mathscr{T}_1$ - $\varpi$ -opening and the inverses images of every  $\mathscr{F}_2$ - $\varpi$ -opening sets is  $\mathscr{T}_2$ - $\varpi$ -open,

(d) For each  $x \in (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2)$  and each net  $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\varpi} x$ , we have  $f(x_{\alpha}) \xrightarrow{\varpi} f(x)$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** For any  $f : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2))$  the follow are equivalent:

(a) f is pairwise  $\varpi$ -weakly continuous,

(b) The inverses images of every  $\mathscr{F}_1$ - $\varpi$ -closed sets is  $\mathscr{T}_1$ -closed and the inverses images of every  $\mathscr{F}_2$ - $\varpi$ -closed set is  $\mathscr{T}_2$ -closed,

(c) The inverses images of every  $\mathscr{F}_1$ - $\varpi$ -opening sets is  $\mathscr{T}_1$ -open and the inverses images of every  $\mathscr{F}_2$ - $\varpi$ -opening sets is  $\mathscr{T}_2$ -opening,

(d) For each  $x \in (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2)$  and each net  $x_{\alpha} \to x$ , we have  $f(x_{\alpha}) \xrightarrow{\varpi} f(x)$ .

**Definition 2.6.** A bitopological space  $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2)$  is called pairwise  $\varpi$ -Urysohn if for each pairs of different point  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in  $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2)$  then there is a  $\mathscr{T}_1$ -opening sets  $\mathscr{A}$  and  $\mathscr{T}_2$ -opening sets  $\mathscr{N}$  such that  $x_1 \in \mathscr{A}$  and  $x_2 \in \mathscr{N}, \ \mathscr{T}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}) \cap \mathscr{T}_2 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{N}) = \phi$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** If  $f : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$  be a pairwise  $\varpi$ -strongly continuous invective function and  $(\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$  be pairwise Hausdorff. Then  $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2)$  is pairwise  $\varpi$ -Urysohn. **Proof**. Let  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathscr{X}$  such that  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . Then  $f(x_1), \neq f(x_2)$ . By hypothesis  $(\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$  is pairwise Hausdorff, then there exist disjointing sets  $\mathscr{F}_1$ -opening  $\mathscr{N}_1$  and  $\mathscr{F}_2$ -opening  $\mathscr{N}_2$  contain  $f(x_1)$  and  $f(x_2)$  respective. Since f is pairwise  $\varpi$ -strongly continuous, there exist  $\mathscr{T}_1$ -opening sets  $\mathscr{A}$  and  $\mathscr{T}_2$ opening sets  $\mathscr{A}_2$  containing  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  respectively, such that  $f(\mathscr{T}_1Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_1) \subseteq \mathscr{N}_1$  and  $f(\mathscr{T}_2Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_2)) \subseteq$  $\mathscr{N}_2$ . It follows that  $f^{-1}(f(\mathscr{T}_1Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_1)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathscr{N}_1)$  and  $f^{-1}(f(\mathscr{T}_2Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_2)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathscr{N}_2)$ , therefore  $\mathscr{T}_1Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_1) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathscr{N}_1)$  and  $\mathscr{T}_2Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_2) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathscr{N}_2)$ . Then  $\mathscr{T}_1Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_1) \cap \mathscr{T}_2Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_2) = \phi$ , So  $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2)$  is pairwise  $\varpi$ -Urysohn  $\Box$ 

Similarly, we can proving the follow theorems:

**Theorem 2.8.** If  $f : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$  be a pairwise  $\varpi$ -closure continuous invectively function and let  $(\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$  be pairwise  $\varpi$ -Urysohn. Then  $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2)$  is pairwise  $\varpi$ -Urysohn.

**Theorem 2.9.** If  $f : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$  be a pairwise  $\varpi$ -closure continuous invectively function and let  $(\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$  be pairwise  $\varpi$ -Urysohn. Then  $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2)$  is pairwise Hausdorf.

Now, we are study the composition of difference form of pairwise  $\omega$ -continuous functions.

**Theorem 2.10.** If  $f : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$  be a pairwise  $\varpi$ -strongly continuous and  $g : (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2) \to (\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{K}_1, \mathscr{K}_2)$  be pairwise  $\varpi$ -strongly continuous. Then  $gof : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{K}_1, \mathscr{K}_2)$  is pairwise  $\varpi$ -strongly continuous.

**Proof**. take  $x \in (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2)$ . Let  $\mathscr{W}_1$  be  $\mathscr{K}_1$ -open set in  $(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{K}_1)$  and  $\mathscr{W}_2$  be  $\mathscr{K}_2$ -open set in  $(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{K}_2)$  both containing (gof)(x) in  $\mathscr{K}$ , since g is pairwise  $\varpi$ -strongly continuous, there is  $\mathscr{F}_1$ -open set  $\mathscr{N}_1$  in  $(\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1)$  and  $\mathscr{F}_2$ -opening set  $\mathscr{N}_2$  in  $(\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_2)$  both contain f(x) in  $\mathscr{Y}$  such that  $g(\mathscr{F}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{N}_1) \subseteq \mathscr{W}_1$  and  $g(\mathscr{F}_2 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{N}_2) \subseteq \mathscr{W}_1$ . Since f is pairwise  $\varpi$ -strongly continuous, there is  $\mathscr{T}_1$ -opening sets  $\mathscr{A}_1$  in  $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1)$  and  $\mathscr{T}_2$ -opening sets  $\mathscr{A}_2$  in  $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_2)$  both contain x in  $\mathscr{X}$  such that  $f(\mathscr{T}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_1) \subseteq \mathscr{N}_1$  and  $f(\mathscr{T}_2 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_2) \subseteq \mathscr{N}_2$ , since  $\mathscr{N}_1) \subseteq \mathscr{F}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{N}_1)$  and  $\mathscr{N}_2) \subseteq \mathscr{F}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{N}_2)$ , then  $f(\mathscr{T}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_1) \subseteq \mathscr{T}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{M}_1) \cong \mathfrak{I}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{M}_1)) \cong g(\mathscr{T}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{M}_1))$  and  $g(f(\mathscr{T}_2 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{M}_2))) \subseteq g(\mathscr{T}_2 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{M}_2))$ , also  $gof(\mathscr{T}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{M}_1)) \subseteq g(\mathscr{T}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{M}_1)) \cong g(\mathscr{T}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{M}_2)) \subseteq g(\mathscr{T}_2 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{M}_2)))$ . Therefore, found is  $\mathscr{T}_1$ -opening sets  $\mathscr{A}_1$  in  $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1)$  and  $\mathscr{T}_2$ -opening sets  $\mathscr{A}_2$  in  $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_2)$  both contain x in  $\mathscr{X}$  such that  $(gof)(\mathscr{T}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{M}_1) \subseteq \mathscr{M}_1$  and  $(gof)(\mathscr{T}_2 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_2) \subseteq \mathscr{M}_2)$  and gof is pairwise  $\varpi$ -strongly continuous. □

**Theorem 2.11.** If  $f : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$  be a pairwise  $\varpi$ -strongly continuous and  $g : (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2) \to (\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{K}_1, \mathscr{K}_2)$  be pairwise continuous. Then  $gof : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{K}_1, \mathscr{K}_2)$  is pairwise  $\varpi$ -strongly continuous.

**Proof**. Let  $\mathscr{W}_1$  be  $\mathscr{K}_1$ -open set in  $(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{K}_1)$  and  $\mathscr{W}_2$  be  $\mathscr{K}_2$ -open set in  $(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{K}_2)$  Since g is pairwise continuous, we have  $g^{-1}(\mathscr{W}_1$  is  $\mathscr{F}_1$ -opening sets in  $(\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1)$  and  $g^{-1}(\mathscr{W}_2$  is  $\mathscr{F}_2$ -opening set in  $(\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_2)$ . By Theorem 2.3 (c) we have  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(\mathscr{W}_1) = (gof) - 1(\mathscr{W}_1 \text{ is } \mathscr{T}_1 - \varpi \text{ opening sets in } (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1)$  and  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(\mathscr{W}_2) = (gof) - 1(\mathscr{W}_2 \text{ is } \mathscr{T}_2 - \varpi \text{ open set in } (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_2)$ . Therefore, gof is pairwise  $\omega$ -strongly continuous. Both contain (gof)(x) in  $\mathscr{K}$ , since g is pairwise  $\varpi$ -strongly continuous, there is  $\mathscr{F}_1$ -opening sets  $\mathscr{N}_1$  in  $(\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1)$  and  $\mathscr{F}_2$ -opening sets  $\mathscr{N}_2$  in  $(\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_2)$  both contain f(x) in  $\mathscr{Y}$  such that

 $\begin{array}{l} g(\mathscr{F}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{N}_1) \subseteq \mathscr{W}_1 \ and \ g(\mathscr{F}_2 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{N}_2) \subseteq \mathscr{W}_1. \ Since \ f \ is \ pairwise \ \varpi-strongly \ continuous, \ there \ is \\ \mathscr{T}_1 \text{-opening sets } \mathscr{A}_1 \ in \ (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1) \ and \ \mathscr{T}_2 \text{-opening sets } \mathscr{A}_2 \ in \ (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_2) \ both \ contain \ x \ in \ \mathscr{X} \ such \ that \\ f(\mathscr{T}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_1) \subseteq \mathscr{N}_1 \ and \ f(\mathscr{T}_2 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_2) \subseteq \mathscr{N}_2, \ since \ \mathscr{N}_1) \subseteq \mathscr{F}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{N}_1) \ and \ \mathscr{N}_2) \subseteq \mathscr{F}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{N}_2), \ then \\ f(\mathscr{T}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_1) \subseteq \mathscr{T}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{N}_1) \ and \ f(\mathscr{T}_2 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_2) \subseteq \mathscr{T}_2 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{N}_2), \ so \ g(f(\mathscr{T}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_1))) \subseteq g(\mathscr{T}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{N}_1)) \\ and \ g(f(\mathscr{T}_2 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_2)) \subseteq g(\mathscr{T}_2 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{N}_2)), \ also \ gof(\mathscr{T}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_1) \subseteq g(\mathscr{T}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{N}_1)) \ and \ gof(\mathscr{T}_2 cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_2)) \subseteq \\ g(\mathscr{T}_2 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{N}_2)). \ Therefore, \ there \ is \ \mathscr{T}_1 \text{-opening sets } \mathscr{A}_1 \ in \ (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1) \ and \ \mathscr{T}_2 \text{-opening sets } \mathscr{A}_2 \ in \\ (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_2) \ both \ contain \ x \ in \ \mathscr{X} \ such \ that \ (gof)(\mathscr{T}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_1) \subseteq \mathscr{W}_1 \ and \ (gof)(\mathscr{T}_2 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{A}_2) \subseteq \mathscr{W}_2 \ and \\ gof \ is \ pairwise \ \varpi - strongly \ continuous. \ \Box \end{array}$ 

Similarly, we can proving the follow theorems:

**Theorem 2.12.** If  $f : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$  be a pairwise  $\varpi$ -weakly continuous and  $g : (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2) \to (\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{K}_1, \mathscr{K}_2)$  be pairwise  $\varpi$ -strongly continuous. Then  $gof : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{K}_1, \mathscr{K}_2)$  is pairwise continuous.

**Theorem 2.13.** If  $f : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$  be a pairwise continuous and  $g : (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2) \to (\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{K}_1, \mathscr{K}_2)$  be pairwise  $\varpi$ -weakly continuous. Then  $gof : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{K}_1, \mathscr{K}_2)$  is pairwise  $\varpi$ -weakly continuous.

**Theorem 2.14.** If  $f : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$  be a pairwise  $\varpi$ -closure continuous and  $g : (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2) \to (\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{K}_1, \mathscr{K}_2)$  be pairwise  $\varpi$ -closure continuous. Then  $gof : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{K}_1, \mathscr{K}_2)$  is pairwise  $\varpi$ -closure continuous.

**Theorem 2.15.** If  $f : (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \to (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$  be a pairwise  $\varpi$ -weakly continuous and  $g : (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2) \to (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)$  be pairwise  $\varpi$ -closure continuous. Then  $gof : (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \to (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)$  is pairwise  $\varpi$ -weakly continuous.

**Lemma 2.16.** If  $f : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$  be a pairwise  $\varpi$ -strongly continuous if and only if for each pairwise sub basis  $\mathscr{F}_1$ -open subset  $\mathscr{S}$  and  $\mathscr{F}_2$ -open subset  $\mathscr{T}$  of  $(\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$ , then  $f^{-1}(\mathscr{S})$ and  $f^{-1}(\mathscr{T})$  are  $\mathscr{T}_1$ - $\varpi$ -open in  $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1)$  and  $\mathscr{T}_2$ - $\varpi$ -open in  $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_2)$ . **Proof**.  $(\Rightarrow)$  Follows from Theorem 2.4.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $\{\mathscr{I}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_{\alpha}; \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  be a pairwise sub basis for  $(\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$  and suppose that  $f^{-1}(\mathscr{I}_{\alpha})$  and  $f^{-1}(\mathscr{T}_{\alpha})$  are  $\mathscr{T}_1$ - $\varpi$ -opening sets in  $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1)$  and  $\mathscr{T}_2$ - $\varpi$ -opening sets in  $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_2)$  for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . Every  $\mathscr{F}_1$ -open subset  $\mathscr{S}$  and  $\mathscr{F}_2$ -open subset  $\mathscr{T}$  of  $(\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$  can be written as

 $\begin{aligned} \mathscr{S} &= \cup \{ \mathscr{S}_{\alpha 1} \cap \mathscr{S}_{\alpha 2} \cap \dots \mathscr{S}_{\alpha n}; \{ \alpha 1, \alpha 2, \dots, \alpha n \subseteq \Lambda \} \} \\ and \ \mathscr{T} &= \cup \{ \mathscr{T}_{\alpha 1} \cap \mathscr{T}_{\alpha 2} \cap \dots \mathscr{T}_{\alpha n}; \{ \alpha 1, \alpha 2, \dots, \alpha n \subseteq \Lambda \} \} \\ then \ f^{-1}(\mathscr{S}) &= \cup \{ f^{-1}(\mathscr{S}_{\alpha 1}) \cap f^{-1}(\mathscr{S}_{\alpha 2}) \cap \dots f^{-1}(\mathscr{S}_{\alpha n}) \} \\ and \ f^{-1}(\mathscr{T}) &= \cup \{ f^{-1}(\mathscr{T}_{\alpha 1}) \cap f^{-1}(\mathscr{T}_{\alpha 2}) \cap \dots f^{-1}(\mathscr{T}_{\alpha n}) \}. \end{aligned}$ 

The finite intersect of  $\mathscr{T}_1$ - $\varpi$ -opening sets is  $\mathscr{T}_1$ - $\varpi$ -opening and the finite intersect of  $\mathscr{T}_2$ - $\varpi$ -opening sets is  $\mathscr{T}_2$ - $\varpi$ -opening and the union of  $\mathscr{T}_1$ - $\varpi$ -open sets is  $\mathscr{T}_1$ - $\varpi$ -opening and the union of  $\mathscr{T}_2$ - $\varpi$ -open sets is  $\mathscr{T}_1$ - $\varpi$ -opening. Therefore  $f^{-1}(\mathscr{S})$  is  $\mathscr{T}_1$ - $\varpi$ -open and  $f^{-1}(\mathscr{T})$  is  $\mathscr{T}_2$ - $\varpi$ -open and hence by Theorem 2.3, f is pairwise  $\varpi$ -strongly continuous.  $\Box$ 

Similarly, we can prove the following lemmas:

**Lemma 2.17.** If  $f : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$  be a pairwise  $\varpi$ -closed contineous if and only if for each pairwise sub basis  $\mathscr{F}_1$ - $\varpi$ -open subset  $\mathscr{S}$  and  $\mathscr{F}_2$ - $\varpi$ -open subset  $\mathscr{T}$  of  $(\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$ , then  $f^{-1}(\mathscr{S})$  and  $f^{-1}(\mathscr{T})$  are  $\mathscr{T}_1$ - $\varpi$ -open in  $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1)$  and  $\mathscr{T}_2$ - $\varpi$ -open in  $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_2)$ .

**Lemma 2.18.** If  $f : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$  be a pairwise  $\varpi$ -weakly continuous if and only if for each pairwise sub basis  $\mathscr{F}_1$ - $\varpi$ -open subset  $\mathscr{S}$  and  $\mathscr{F}_2$ - $\varpi$ -opening subset  $\mathscr{T}$  of  $(\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$ , then  $f^{-1}(\mathscr{S})$  and  $f^{-1}(\mathscr{T})$  are  $\mathscr{T}_1$ -open in  $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1)$  and  $\mathscr{T}_2$ -open in  $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_2)$ .

**Theorem 2.19.** the function  $f : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\prod \mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$  is a pairwise  $\varpi$ -strongly continuous if and only if the composting with each pairwise continuous project function  $\prod_{\alpha}$  is pairwise  $\varpi$ -strongly continuous.

**Proof** .  $(\Rightarrow)$  Follows from Theorem 2.11

 $(\Leftarrow) \text{ Let } \mathscr{S}_1 \text{ and } \mathscr{S}_2 \text{ be a pairwise sub basis } \mathscr{F}_1 \text{-open set in } (\prod \mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}_1) \text{ and } \mathscr{F}_2 \text{-open set in } (\prod \mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}_2) \text{ for each } \alpha \in \Lambda. \text{ Then } \mathscr{S}_1 = \prod_{\alpha}^{-1}(\mathscr{T}_1) \text{ for some } \mathscr{F}_1 \text{-open set } \mathscr{T}_1 \text{ in } (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}_1) \text{ and } \mathscr{S}_2 = \prod_{\alpha}^{-1}(\mathscr{T}_2) \text{ for some } \mathscr{F}_2 \text{-open set } \mathscr{T}_2 \text{ in } (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}_2). \text{ Thus } f^{-1}(\mathscr{S}_1) = f^{-1}(\prod_{\alpha}^{-1}(\mathscr{T}_1)) = (\prod_{\alpha} of)^{-1}(\mathscr{T}_1) \text{ is } \mathscr{T}_1 \text{-} \varpi \text{-} \text{open and } f^{-1}(\mathscr{S}_2) = f^{-1}(\prod_{\alpha}^{-1}(\mathscr{T}_2)) = (\prod_{\alpha} of)^{-1}(\mathscr{T}_2) \text{ is } \mathscr{T}_2 \text{-} \varpi \text{-} \text{open. By Lemma 2.16, } f \text{ is pairwise } \varpi \text{-strongly continuous. } \Box$ 

Similarly, we can proving the follow theorems:

**Theorem 2.20.** the function  $f : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\prod \mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$  is a pairwise  $\varpi$ -closure continuous if and only if the compost with each pairwise continuous project function  $\prod_{\alpha}$  is pairwise  $\varpi$ -closure continuous.

**Theorem 2.21.** the function  $f : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\prod \mathscr{X}_\alpha, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$  is a pairwise  $\varpi$ -weakly continuous if and only if the compost with each pairwise continuous project function  $\prod_{\alpha}$  is pairwise  $\varpi$ -weakly continuous.

The following propositions is follow from Theorem 2.19, Theorem 2.20 and Theorem 2.21.

**Proposition 2.22.** If  $f : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$  be a function and let  $g : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{X} \times \mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{T}_1 \times \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{T}_2 \times \mathscr{F}_2)$  be the pairwise graphic function of f given by g(x) = (x, f(x)) for every point  $x \in \mathscr{X}$ . Then f is pairwise  $\varpi$ -strongly continuous if and only if g is pairwise  $\varpi$ -strongly continuous.

**Proposition 2.23.** If  $f : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$  be a function and let  $g : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{X} \times \mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{T}_1 \times \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{T}_2 \times \mathscr{F}_2)$  be the pairwise graphic function of f given by g(x) = (x, f(x)) for every point  $x \in \mathscr{X}$ . Then f is pairwise  $\varpi$ -closure continuous if and only if g is pairwise  $\varpi$ -closure continuous.

**Proposition 2.24.** If  $f : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{F}_2)$  be a function and let  $g : (\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2) \to (\mathscr{X} \times \mathscr{Y}, \mathscr{T}_1 \times \mathscr{F}_1, \mathscr{T}_2 \times \mathscr{F}_2)$  be the pairwise graphic function of f given by g(x) = (x, f(x)) for every point  $x \in \mathscr{X}$ . Then f is pairwise  $\varpi$ -weakly continuous if and only if g is pairwise  $\varpi$ -weakly continuous.

**Lemma 2.25.** Let  $(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha i}, \mathscr{T}_1, \mathscr{T}_2)$  be a bitopological spaces and let  $\mathscr{W}_{\alpha i}$  and  $\mathscr{A}_{\alpha i}$  be subsets of  $(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha i}, \mathscr{T}_1)$ and  $(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha i}, \mathscr{T}_2)$  respectively, for each i = 1, 2, ..., n. Then  $\mathscr{W}_{\alpha 1} \times \mathscr{W}_{\alpha 2} \times ... \times \mathscr{W}_{\alpha n} \times \prod_{\alpha \neq \alpha 0} (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_1) \subseteq \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_1)$ . and  $\mathscr{A}_{\alpha 1} \times \mathscr{A}_{\alpha 2} \times ... \times \mathscr{A}_{\alpha n} \times \prod_{\alpha \neq \alpha 0} (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_2) \subseteq \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_2)$  are  $\mathscr{T}_1$ - $\varpi$ -open and  $\mathscr{T}_2$ - $\varpi$ -open respectively if and only if  $\mathscr{W}_i$  is  $\mathscr{T}_1$ - $\varpi$ -open in  $(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha i}, \mathscr{T}_1)$  and  $\mathscr{A}_i$  is  $\mathscr{T}_2$ - $\varpi$ -open in  $(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha i}, \mathscr{T}_1)$ 

**Proof**. ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose that  $\mathscr{W}_i$  is  $\mathscr{T}_1$ - $\varpi$ -open in  $(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha i}, \mathscr{T}_1)$  and  $\mathscr{A}_i$  is  $\mathscr{T}_2$ - $\varpi$ -open in  $(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha i}, \mathscr{T}_2)$  for each i = 1, 2, ..., n.

Then for each *i* and each  $x_i \in \mathscr{S}_{\alpha i} \subset \mathscr{T}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{S}_{\alpha i}) \subset \mathscr{W}_{\alpha i}, x_i \in \mathscr{T}_{\alpha i} \subset \mathscr{T}_1 Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{E}_{\alpha i}) \subset \mathscr{A}_{\alpha i}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} Thus, \ for \ each \ \{x_{\alpha}\} \in \mathscr{W}_{\alpha 1} \times \mathscr{W}_{\alpha 2} \times \ldots \times \mathscr{W}_{\alpha n} \times \prod_{\alpha \neq \alpha 0} (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_{1}) \subseteq \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_{1}), \\ \{x_{\alpha}\} \in \mathscr{A}_{\alpha 1} \times \mathscr{A}_{\alpha 2} \times \ldots \times \mathscr{A}_{\alpha n} \times \prod_{\alpha \neq \alpha 0} (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_{2}) \subseteq \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_{2}) \subset \mathscr{T}_{1}Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{S}_{\alpha 1}) \times \mathscr{T}_{1}Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{S}_{\alpha 2}) \times \\ \ldots \times \mathscr{T}_{1}Cl^{\varpi}(\mathscr{S}_{\alpha n}) \times \prod_{\alpha \neq \alpha 0} (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_{1}) \subset \mathscr{W}_{\alpha 1} \times \mathscr{W}_{\alpha 2} \times \ldots \times \mathscr{W}_{\alpha n} \times \prod_{\alpha \neq \alpha 0} (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_{1}) \subseteq \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_{1}) \subseteq \\ This \ show \ that \ \mathscr{W}_{\alpha 1} \times \mathscr{W}_{\alpha 2} \times \ldots \times \mathscr{W}_{\alpha n} \times \prod_{\alpha \neq \alpha 0} (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_{1}) \subseteq \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_{2}) \ is \ \mathscr{T}_{1} \text{-} \varpi \text{-} open. \\ By \ a \ similar \ way, \ we \ get \ \mathscr{A}_{\alpha 1} \times \mathscr{A}_{\alpha 2} \times \ldots \times \mathscr{A}_{\alpha n} \times \prod_{\alpha \neq \alpha 0} (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_{2}) \subseteq \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_{2}) \ is \ \mathscr{T}_{2} \text{-} \varpi \text{-} open. \end{array}$ 

 $(\Rightarrow)$  Straightforward.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.26.** The function  $\prod_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} : \prod_{\alpha} (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_{1}, \mathscr{T}_{2}) \to \prod_{\alpha} (\mathscr{Y}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}_{1}, \mathscr{F}_{2})$  define by  $\{\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\} \to \{f_{\alpha}(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha})\}$  is a pairwise  $\varpi$ -strongly continuous if and only if each  $f_{\alpha} : (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_{1}, \mathscr{T}_{2}) \to (\mathscr{Y}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}_{1}, \mathscr{F}_{2})$  is pairwise  $\varpi$ -strongly continuous.

**Proof**. ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $\prod_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}$  is pairwise  $\varpi$ -strongly continuous. Let  $\mathscr{W}_{\alpha i}$  be  $\mathscr{F}_1$ -open in  $(\mathscr{Y}_{\alpha i}, \mathscr{F}_1)$ and  $\mathscr{A}_{\alpha i}$  be  $\mathscr{F}_2$ -open in  $(\mathscr{Y}_{\alpha i}, \mathscr{F}_2)$ . Then  $\mathscr{W} = \mathscr{W}_{\alpha i} \times \prod_{\alpha \neq \alpha 0} (\mathscr{Y}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}_1)$  and  $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{A}_{\alpha i} \times \prod_{\alpha \neq \alpha 0} (\mathscr{Y}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}_2)$ are pairwise sub basic  $\mathscr{F}_1$ -open in  $\prod_{\alpha} (\mathscr{Y}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}_1)$  and  $\mathscr{F}_2$ -open in  $\prod_{\alpha} (\mathscr{Y}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}_2)$ , respectively. And

$$(\prod_{\alpha} f_{\alpha})^{-1}(\mathscr{W}) = f_{\alpha 0}^{-1}(\mathscr{W}_{\alpha i}) \times \prod_{\alpha \neq \alpha 0} (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_{1})$$

is  $\mathscr{T}_1$ - $\varpi$ -open and  $(\prod_{\alpha} f_{\alpha})^{-1}(\mathscr{A}) = f_{\alpha 0}^{-1}(\mathscr{A}_{\alpha i}) \times \prod_{\alpha \neq \alpha 0} (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T})$  is  $\mathscr{T}_2$ - $\varpi$ -open. Thus  $f^{-1}(\mathscr{W}_{\alpha i} \text{ is } \mathscr{T}_1$ - $\varpi$ -open in  $(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha i}, \mathscr{T}_1)$  and  $f^{-1}(\mathscr{A}_{\alpha i} \text{ is } \mathscr{T}_2$ - $\varpi$ -open in  $(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha i}, \mathscr{T}_2)$  by Theorem 2.3 implies that  $f_{\alpha i}$  is pairwise  $\varpi$ -strongly continuous.

 $(\Leftarrow) \ \mathscr{W} = \mathscr{W}_{\alpha 1} \times \mathscr{W}_{\alpha 2} \times \ldots \times \mathscr{W}_{\alpha n} \times \prod_{\alpha \neq \alpha 0} (\mathscr{Y}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}_{1}) \ be \ a \ base \ \mathscr{F}_{1} \text{-open in} \ \prod_{\alpha} (\mathscr{Y}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}_{1}) \ and \ \mathscr{A} = \mathscr{A}_{\alpha 1} \times \mathscr{A}_{\alpha 2} \times \ldots \times \mathscr{A}_{\alpha n} \times \prod_{\alpha \neq \alpha 0} (\mathscr{Y}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}_{2}) \ be \ a \ base \ \mathscr{F}_{2} \text{-open in} \ \prod_{\alpha} (\mathscr{Y}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}_{2}). \ Then \ f_{\alpha 0}^{-1}(\mathscr{W}_{\alpha i}) \ is \ \mathscr{T}_{1} \text{-} \\ \varpi \text{-open in} \ (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha i}, \mathscr{T}_{1}) \ and \ f_{\alpha 0}^{-1}(\mathscr{A}_{\alpha i}) \ is \ \mathscr{T}_{2} \text{-} \\ \varpi \text{-open in} \ (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha i}, \mathscr{T}_{2}) \ for \ each \ \alpha i, \ where \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \ Then \ by \ Lemma \ 2.25 \ we \ have \ (\prod_{\alpha} f_{\alpha})^{-1}(\mathscr{W}) = f_{\alpha 0}^{-1}(\mathscr{W}_{\alpha i}) \times \prod_{\alpha \neq \alpha 0} (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_{1}) \ is \ \mathscr{T}_{1} \text{-} \\ \varpi \text{-} open \ in \ (\prod_{\alpha} f_{\alpha})^{-1}(\mathscr{A}) = f_{\alpha 0}^{-1}(\mathscr{A}_{\alpha i}) \times \prod_{\alpha \neq \alpha 0} (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_{1}) \ is \ \mathscr{T}_{2} \text{-} \\ \varpi \text{-} open \ in \ (\prod_{\alpha} \mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_{2}). \ This \ shows \ that \ \prod_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} \ is \ pairwise \ \varpi \text{-} strongly \ continuous.} \ \Box$ 

Similarly, we can prove the following theorems:

**Theorem 2.27.** The function  $\prod_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} : \prod_{\alpha} (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_{1}, \mathscr{T}_{2}) \to \prod_{\alpha} (\mathscr{Y}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}_{1}, \mathscr{F}_{2})$  define by  $\{\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\} \to \{f_{\alpha}(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha})\}$  is a pairwise  $\varpi$ -closure continuous if and only if each  $f_{\alpha} : (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_{1}, \mathscr{T}_{2}) \to (\mathscr{Y}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}_{1}, \mathscr{F}_{2})$  is pairwise  $\varpi$ -closure continuous.

**Theorem 2.28.** The function  $\prod_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} : \prod_{\alpha} (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_{1}, \mathscr{T}_{2}) \to \prod_{\alpha} (\mathscr{Y}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}_{1}, \mathscr{F}_{2})$  define by  $\{\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}\} \to \{f_{\alpha}(\mathscr{X}_{\alpha})\}$  is a pairwise  $\varpi$ -weakly continuous if and only if each  $f_{\alpha} : (\mathscr{X}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{T}_{1}, \mathscr{T}_{2}) \to (\mathscr{Y}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{F}_{1}, \mathscr{F}_{2})$  is pairwise  $\varpi$ -weakly continuous.

### References

- [1] J.C. Kelly, Bitopological spaces, Proc. London Math. Soc. 13 (1963) 71--89.
- [2] S. Willard, *General topology*, Courier Corporation, 2012.
- [3] R. Englking, Outline of general topology, Amsterdam, 1989.
- [4] H. Z. Hdeib,  $\varpi$ -closed mappings, Rev. Colomb. Mate. 16(1–2) (1982) 65–78.
- [5] P.E. Long, Functional with closed graphs, Amer. Math. Month. 76(8) (1969) 930–932.
- Y.Y. Yousif, Some generalization of continuity functions, Seventeenth Scientific Conf. College of Educ. 2010, pp. 360--368.
- Y.Y. Yousif, Rough continuity and rough separation axioms in Gm-closure approximation spaces, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 12(2) (2021) 769–782.
- [8] Y.Y. Yousif, Fibrewise totally perfect mapping, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 12(2) (2021) 783–792.
- [9] G.S. Ashaea and Y.Y. Yousif, Weak and strong forms of  $\omega$ -perfect mappings, Iraqi J. Sci. 2020 (2020) 45–55.
- [10] N.A. Adwea and J.A. Safaa, Approximation (M.S.E) of the shape parameter for Pareto distribution by using the standard bayes estimator, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 13(1) (2022) 871–880.