Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. Volume 12, Special Issue, Winter and Spring 2021, 2521-2530 ISSN: 2008-6822 (electronic) http://dx.doi.org/10.22075/ijnaa.2021.6397



# New sandwich results for univalent functions defined by the Tang-Aouf operator

Waggas Galib Atshan<sup>a</sup>, Salam Abdulhussein Sehen<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Diwaniyah, Iraq

(Communicated by Ehsan Kozegar)

## Abstract

In this paper, we study some differential subordination and subordination results for certain subclass of univalent functions in the open unit disc U using generalized operator  $H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}$ . Also, we derive some sandwich theorems.

*Keywords:* Analytic function, Subordinate, Differential Subordination, Dominant, Generalized Operator, Sandwich Theorems. 2010 MSC: 30C45

## 1. Introduction

Let Y = Y(U) be the class of analytic functions in the open unit disk  $U = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$ . For  $n \in N$  and  $a \in C$ . Let Y[a, n] be the subclass of Y of the form:

$$f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots, (a \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Let  $\zeta$  denote the subclass of Y of functions f of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (z \in U),$$
 (1.1)

which are analytic in the open unit disk  $U = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$ . Let f and g are analytic functions in Y, f is said to be subordinate to g, or g is said to be superordinate to f in U and write  $f \prec g$ , if there exists a Shwarz function w in U, which with w(0) = 0, and  $|w(z)| < 1(z \in U)$ , where f(z) = g(w(z)). In such a case we write  $f \prec g$  or  $f(z) \prec g(z)(z \in U)$ . If g is univalent in U, then  $f \prec g$  if and only if f(0) = g(0) and  $f(U) \subset g(U)$  ([17, 18]).

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

*Email addresses:* waggas.galib@qu.edu.iq, waggashnd@gmail.com (Waggas Galib Atshan), salamalmaliki751@gmail.com (Salam Abdulhussein Sehen)

**Definition 1.1.** [17] Let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times U \to \mathbb{C}$  and h(z) be univalent in U. If p(z) is analytic in U and satisfies the second – order differential subordination:

$$\phi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z) \prec h(z), \tag{1.2}$$

then p(z) is called a solution of the differential subordination (1.2), and the univalent function q(z)is called a dominant of the solution of the differential subordination (1.2), or more simply dominant if  $p(z) \prec q(z)$  for all p(z) satisfying (1.2). A univalent dominant  $\tilde{q}(z)$  that satisfies  $\tilde{q}(z) \prec q(z)$  for all dominant q(z) of (1.2) is said to be the best dominant is unique up to a relation of U.

**Definition 1.2.** [17] Let  $p, h \in \zeta$  and  $\phi(r, s, t; z) : \mathbb{C}^3 \times U \to \mathbb{C}$ . If p and  $\phi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z)$  are univalent function in U and if p satisfies:

$$h(z) \prec \phi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z),$$
 (1.3)

then p is called a solution of the differential superordination (1.3). An analytic functions q(z), which is called a subordinant of the solutions of the differential subordination (1.3), or more simply a subordinant if  $p \prec q$  for all the functions p satisfying (1.3). A univalent subordinant  $\tilde{q}$  that satisfies  $q \prec \tilde{q}$  for all the subordinants q of (1.3) is said to be the best subordinant.

Several researchers [1, 2, 9, 14, 17] obtained sufficient conditions on the functions h, p and  $\phi$  for which the following implication holds

$$h(z) \prec \phi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z)$$

then

$$q(z) \prec p(z) \tag{1.4}$$

Making use the results (see [3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 11, 18]) to obtain sufficient conditions for normalized analytic functions to satisfy:

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec q_2(z)$$

where  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are given univalent functions in U with  $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 1$ .

Also, several researchers (see [1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8]) derived some differential subordination and superordination results with sandwich results.

Cho et al. [13] introduced the operator  $\Im_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}$  due to Goyal and Prajapat [15](see also [21]) as follows:

$$\Im_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(2-\mu)\Gamma(2-\lambda+\eta)}{\Gamma(2)\Gamma(2-\mu+\eta)} z^{\mu} J_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z) (0 \le \lambda < \eta + 2; z \in U) \\ \frac{\Gamma(2-\mu)\Gamma(2-\lambda+\eta)}{\Gamma(2)\Gamma(2-\mu+\eta)} z^{\mu} I_{0,z}^{-\lambda,\mu,\eta} f(z) (-\infty < \lambda < 0 + 2; z \in U), \end{cases}$$
(1.5)

where  $J_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}$  and  $I_{0,z}^{-\lambda,\mu,\eta}$  are the generalized fractional derivative and integral operators, respectively, due to Srivastava et al. [25](see also [19, 22]). For  $f \in \zeta$  of form Equation (1.1), we have

$$\mathfrak{S}_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\eta}f(z) = z_3 F_2 = (1, 2, 2 + \eta - \mu; 2 - \mu, 2 + \eta - \lambda; z)$$
  
=  $z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(2)_n (2 - \mu + \eta)_n}{(2 - \mu)_n (2 - \lambda + \eta)_n} a_n z^n, \quad (\mu, \eta \in \mathbb{R}; \mu < 2; -\infty < \lambda < \eta + 2),$ (1.6)

where  $qF_S(q \le s+1; q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})$  is the well–Known generalized hypergeometric function (for details, see [20, 24]), the symbol \* stands for convolution of two analytic functions [17] and  $(v)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol [16, 20].

Setting

$$G_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda}(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(2)_n (2 - \mu + \eta)_n}{(2 - \mu)_n (2 - \lambda + \eta)_n} z^n, \quad (\mu, \eta \in \mathbb{R}; \mu < \min\{2, 2 + \eta\}; -\infty < \lambda < \eta + 2) \quad (1.7)$$

and

$$G_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda}(z) * [G_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}(z)] = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{\delta+1}}, \quad (\delta < -1; z \in U).$$

Tang et al. [26] (see also [23]) defined the operator  $H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}: \zeta \to \zeta$  by  $H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z) = [G_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}(z)] * f(z)$ . then for  $f \in \zeta$ , we have

$$H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\delta+1)_n (2-\mu)_n (2-\lambda+\eta)_n}{(1)_n (2)_n (2-\mu+\eta)_n} a_n z^n.$$
 (1.8)

It is easy to verify that

$$z(H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z))' = (\delta+1)H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1}f(z) - \delta H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z),$$
  

$$z(H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda+1,\delta}f(z))' = (1+\eta-\lambda)H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z) - (\eta-\lambda)H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1}f(z).$$
(1.9)

The specific aim of this idea is to find sufficient condition for certain normalized analytic function f to satisfy:

$$q_1(z) \prec \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} \prec q_2(z)$$

and

$$q_1(z) \prec \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1}f(z)}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}\right)^{\beta} \prec q_2(z),$$

#### 2. Preliminaries

In order to prove our subordination and superordination results, we need the following lemmas and definitions.

**Definition 2.1.** [17] denote by Q the class of all functions q that are analytic and injective on  $\overline{U}\setminus E(q)$ , where  $\overline{U} = U \cup \{z \in \partial U\}$  and  $E(q) = \{\zeta \in \partial U : \lim_{z\to\zeta} q(z) = \infty\}$  and are such that  $q(\zeta)' \neq 0$  for  $\zeta \in partialU\setminus E(q)$ . Further, let the subclass of Q for which q(0) = a be denoted by  $Q(a), Q(0) = Q_0$  and  $Q(1) = Q_1 = \{q \in Q : U : q(0) = 1\}.$ 

**Lemma 2.2.** [18] Let q(z) be a convex univalent function in U let  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}, \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and suppose that  $Re\left\{1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right\} > \max\{0, -Re\frac{\gamma}{\zeta}\},$ If g(z) is analytic in U and  $\gamma g(z) + \zeta z \dot{g}(z) \prec \gamma q(z) + \zeta z \dot{q}(z),$ then  $g(z) \prec q(z)$  and q is the best dominant. **Lemma 2.3.** [4] Let q be univalent in U and let  $\emptyset$  and  $\theta$  be analytic in the domain D containing q(U) with  $\emptyset(w) \neq 0$ , when  $w \in q(U)$ . Set  $Q(z) = z\dot{q}(z)\emptyset(q(z))$  and  $h(z) = \theta(q(z)) + Q(z)$ , suppose that

- 1. Q is starlike univalent in U,
- 2.  $Re\left\{\frac{zh'(z)}{Q'(z)}\right\} > 0, z \in U.$

If g is analytic in U with g(0) = q(0),  $g(U) \subseteq D$  and  $\emptyset(g(z)) + z \dot{g}(z) \emptyset(g(z)) \prec \emptyset(q(z)) + z \dot{q}(z) \emptyset(q(z))$ , then  $g(z) \prec q(z)$ , and q is the best dominant.

**Lemma 2.4.** [12] Let q(z) be a convex univalent function in the unit disk U and let  $\theta$  and  $\emptyset$  be analytic in the domain D containing q(U) suppose that:

1.  $Re\left\{\frac{\dot{\theta}(q(z))}{\phi(q(z))}\right\} > 0, z \in U.$ 2.  $Q(z) = z\dot{q}(z)\mathcal{O}(q(z))$  is starlike univalent in U.

If  $g \in H[q(0), 1] \cap Q$ , with  $g(U) \subseteq D$ , and  $\theta(g(z)) + z\dot{g}(z)\emptyset(g(z))$  is univalent in U, and  $\theta(q(z)) + z\dot{q}(z)\emptyset(q(z)) \prec \theta(g(z)) + z\dot{g}(z)\emptyset(g(z))$ , then  $q(z) \prec g(z)$ , and q is the best subordinate.

**Lemma 2.5.** [18] Let q(z) be a convex univalent function in U and q(0) = 1, let  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ , that  $Re\{\beta\} > 0$  if  $g(z) \in H[q(0), 1] \cap Q$  and  $g(z) + \beta z \dot{g}(z)$  is univalent in U, then

$$q(z) + \beta z \dot{q}(z) \prec g(z) + \beta \dot{g}(z),$$

which implies that  $q(z) \prec g(z)$  and q(z) is the best subordinate.

## 3. Differential Subordination Results

**Theorem 3.1.** Let q be convex univalent function in U with  $q(0) = 1, \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  and suppose that q satisfies:

$$Re\left\{1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right\} > \max\left\{0, Re\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)\right\}$$
(3.1)

If  $f \in \zeta$  satisfies the subordination condition:

$$\left[1 - \alpha(\delta + 1)\right] \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} + \alpha(\delta + 1) \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1}f(z)}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}\right) \prec q(z) + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} zq'(z), \quad (3.2)$$

then

$$\left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} \prec q(z), \tag{3.3}$$

and q is the best dominant.

**Proof**. Define the function g by

$$g(z) = \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta},\tag{3.4}$$

then the function g(z) is analytic in U and g(0) = 1, therefore, differentiating (3.4) with respect to z and using the identity (1.9) in the resulting equation, we obtain

$$\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} = \beta(\delta+1) \left[ \frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1}f(z)}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)} - 1 \right].$$

Hence  $\frac{zg'(z)}{\beta} = (\delta + 1) \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} \left[\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1}f(z)}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)} - 1\right].$ The subordination (3.2) from the hypothesis becomes

$$g(z) + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} z g'(z) \prec q(z) + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} z q'(z).$$

An application of Lemma 2.2, we obtain (3.3) with  $\zeta = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$  and  $\gamma = 1$ . Dutting  $q(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$ , in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let  $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and  $Re\left\{1 + \frac{2z}{1-z}\right\} > \max\left\{0, -Re\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)\right\}$ . If  $f \in \zeta$  satisfies the subordination condition:

$$\begin{split} & \left[1-\alpha(\delta+1)\right] \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} + \alpha(\delta+1) \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1}f(z)}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}\right)^{\beta} \left(\frac{1-z^{2}+2\frac{\alpha}{\beta}z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right), \\ & then \ \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right), \\ & and \ q(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) \ is \ the \ best \ dominant. \end{split}$$

**Theorem 3.3.** Let q be convex univalent function in U with  $q(0) = 1, q'(z) \neq 0$  ( $z \in U$ ) and assume that q satisfies:

$$Re\left\{1+\frac{\gamma}{v}q(z)+q^2(z)+\frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}-\frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}\right\}>0, \left\{0, Re\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)\right\}$$
(3.5)

where  $\alpha, v \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \ c, \gamma, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \ and \ z \in U.$ Assume that  $v \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}$  is starlike univalent in U. If  $f \in \zeta$  satisfies:

$$\chi(c,\gamma,v,\beta,\lambda,\delta,\eta,\mu;z) \prec c + \gamma q(z) + q^2(z) + v \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}$$
(3.6)

$$\chi(c,\gamma,v,\beta,\lambda,\delta,\eta,\mu;z) = c + \gamma \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1}f(z)}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}\right)^{\beta} + \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+2}f(z)}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1}f(z)}\right) + v\beta(\delta+1) \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+2}f(z)}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1}f(z)} - \frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1}f(z)}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}\right)$$

$$(3.7)$$

then  $\left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1}f(z)}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}\right)^{\beta} \prec q(z),$ and q(z) is the best dominant of (3.6). **Proof**. Consider a function g by

$$g(z) = \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1}f(z)}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}\right)^{\beta}.$$
(3.8)

Then the function g(z) is analytic in U and g(0) = 1 differentiating (3.8) with respect to z and using the identity (1.9), we get,

$$\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} = \beta(\delta+1) \left( \frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+2}f(z)}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1}f(z)} - \frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1}f(z)}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)} \right),$$

By setting  $\theta(w) = c + \gamma w + w^2$  and  $\emptyset(w) = \frac{v}{w}$ ,  $w \neq 0$ . We see that  $\theta(w)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\emptyset(w)$  is analytic  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and that  $\emptyset(w) \neq 0$ ,  $w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Also, we obtain  $R(z) = zq'(z)\emptyset(q(z)) = zq'(z)\frac{v}{q(z)} = v\frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}$ , and  $S(z) = \theta(q(z)) + R(z) = c + \gamma q(z) + (q(z))^2 + v\frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}$ . We find R(z) is starlike univalent in U, we have

$$S'(z) = \gamma q'(z) + 2(q(z)q'(z)) + \frac{vzq''(z) + vq'(z)}{q(z)}$$
$$\frac{zS'(z)}{R(z)} = \frac{\gamma}{v}q(z) + \frac{2}{vz}(q(z))^2 + \frac{vzq''(z) + vq'(z)}{vzq(z)} = \frac{\gamma}{v}q(z) + \frac{2}{vz}(q(z))^2 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} + \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)},$$
$$Re\left(\frac{zS'(z)}{R(z)}\right) = Re\left\{1 + \frac{\gamma}{v}q(z) + \frac{2}{vz}(q(z))^2 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} + \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}\right\} > 0.$$

By a straightforward computing, we get

$$c + \gamma q(z) + q^2(z) + v \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} = \chi(c, \gamma, v, \beta, \lambda, \delta, \eta, \mu; z),$$
(3.9)

where  $\chi(c, \gamma, v, \beta, \lambda, \delta, \eta, \mu; z)$  is given by (3.7). From (3.6) and (3.9), we have

$$c + \gamma g(z) + g^2(z) + v \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \prec c + \gamma q(z) + q^2(z) + v \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.3, we get  $g(z) \prec q(z)$  by using (3.4), we obtain the result.  $\Box$ 

Putting  $q(z) = \left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right) - 1 \le B < A \le 1$  in the Theorem (3.3), we get the following corollary:

Corollary 3.4. Let  $-1 \le B < A \le 1$  and  $Re\left\{1 + \frac{\gamma}{v}\left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right) + \frac{2}{vz}\left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right)^2 + \frac{2Bz}{1+Bz} + \frac{(A-B)z}{(1+Bz)(1+Az)}\right\} > 0,$ 

where  $v \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $z \in U$ , if  $f \in \zeta$  satisfies

$$\chi(c,\gamma,v,\beta,\lambda,\delta,\eta,\mu;z) \prec c + \gamma \left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right) + \left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right)^2 + \frac{v(A-B)z}{(1+Bz)(1+Az)};$$

and  $\chi(c, \gamma, v, \beta, \lambda, \delta, \eta, \mu; z)$  is given by (3.7), then

$$\left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1}f(z)}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}\right)^{\beta}\prec\left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right),$$

and  $q(z) = \left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right)$  is the best dominant.

#### 4. Differential Superordination Results

**Theorem 4.1.** Let q be a convex univalent function in U with  $q(0) = 1\beta > 0$  and  $Re{\alpha} > 0$ . Let  $f \in \zeta$  satisfies:

$$\left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} \in H[q(0),1] \cap Q$$

 $\begin{array}{l} and \left[1-\alpha(\delta+1)\right] \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} + \alpha(\delta+1) \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1}f(z)}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}\right) \ be \ univalent \ in \ U. \\ If \end{array}$ 

$$q(z) + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} z q'(z) \prec \left[1 - \alpha(\delta + 1)\right] \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} + \alpha(\delta + 1) \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1} f(z)}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta} f(z)}\right), \quad (4.1)$$

then  $q(z) \prec \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta}$ , and q is the best subordinate of (4.1).

**Proof** . Define the function g by

$$g(z) = \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta}.$$
(4.2)

Differentiating (4.2) with respect to z, we obtain

$$v\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} = \beta \left(\frac{z\left(H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)\right)'}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)} - 1\right).$$
(4.3)

After some computations and using (1.9), from (4.3), we get

$$[1 - \alpha(\delta + 1)] \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} + \alpha(\delta + 1) \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1}f(z)}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}\right) = g(z) + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}zg'(z)$$

and now, by using Lemma 2.5, we get the desired result.  $\Box$ 

Putting  $q(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$  in Theorem 4.1, we obtain the following corollary: Corollary 4.2. Let  $\beta > 0$  and  $Re\{\alpha\} > 0$ , if  $f \in \zeta$  satisfies:

$$\left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta}\in H[q(0),1]\cap Q$$

and 
$$\left[1 - \alpha(\delta + 1)\right] \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} + \alpha(\delta + 1) \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1}f(z)}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}\right)$$
 be univalent in U.

$$\left(\frac{1-z^2+2\frac{\alpha}{\beta}z}{(1-z)^2}\right) \prec \left[1-\alpha(\delta+1)\right] \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} + \alpha(\delta+1) \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1}f(z)}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}\right),$$

then  $\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) \prec \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta}$ , and  $q(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$  is the best subordinant.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let q be a convex univalent function in U with q(0) = 1,  $q'(z) \neq 0$  and assume that q satisfies:

$$Re\left\{\frac{\gamma}{v}q(z)q'(z)\right\} > 0 \tag{4.4}$$

where  $v \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $z \in U$ . Suppose that  $v \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}$  is starlike univalent function in U. Let  $f \in \zeta$  satisfies:  $\left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1}f(z)}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}\right)^{\beta} \in H[q(0),1] \cap Q$  and  $\chi(c,\gamma,v,\beta,\lambda,\delta,\eta,\mu;z)$  is univalent function in U, where  $\chi(c,\gamma,v,\beta,\lambda,\delta,\eta,\mu;z)$  is given by (3.7). If

$$c + \gamma q(z) + q^{2}(z) + v \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \prec \chi(c, \gamma, v, \beta, \lambda, \delta, \eta, \mu; z),$$

$$(4.5)$$

then  $q(z) \prec \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1}f(z)}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}\right)^{\beta}$ , and q is the best subordinant of (4.5).

**Proof**. Consider a function g by  $g(z) = \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1}f(z)}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}\right)^{\beta}$ . By setting:  $\theta(w) = c + \gamma w + w^2$  and  $\emptyset(w) = \frac{v}{w}, w \neq 0$ . We see that  $\theta(w)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\emptyset(w)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and that  $\emptyset(w) \neq 0, w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Also, we obtain  $R(z) = zq'(z)\emptyset(q(z)) = v\frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}$ . It is clear that R(z) is starlike univalent function in U,

$$Re\left\{\frac{\theta'(q(z))}{\emptyset(q(z))}\right\} = Re\left\{\frac{\gamma}{v}q(z)q'(z)\right\} > 0$$

By straightforward computation, we get:

$$\chi(c,\gamma,v,\beta,\lambda,\delta,\eta,\mu;z) = c + \gamma q(z) + q^2(z) + v \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)},$$
(4.6)

where  $\chi(c, \gamma, v, \beta, \lambda, \delta, \eta, \mu; z)$  is given by (3.7). From (4.5) and (4.6), we have

$$c + \gamma q(z) + q^2(z) + v \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \prec c + \gamma g(z) + g^2(z) + v \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.4, we get  $q(z) \prec g(z)$ .  $\Box$ 

#### 5. Sandwich Results

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $q_1$  be a convex univalent function in U with  $q_1(0) = 1$ ,  $Re\{\alpha\} > 0$ ,  $\alpha \in$  $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}, \ \beta > 0 \ and \ let \ q_2 \ be \ univalent \ function \ in \ U, \ q_2(0) = 1 \ and \ satisfies \\ Re\left\{1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right\} > \max\left\{0, Re\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)\right\}. \ If \ f \in \zeta \ satisfies:$ 

$$\left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} \in H[1,1] \cap Q,$$

$$and \left[1 - \alpha(\delta + 1)\right] \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} + \alpha(\delta + 1) \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1}f(z)}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}\right) be univalent in U.$$

$$If q_1(z) + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} z q_1'(z) \prec \left[1 - \alpha(\delta + 1)\right] \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} + \alpha(\delta + 1) \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1}f(z)}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}\right) \prec q_2(z) + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} z q_2'(z),$$

$$there q_1(z) \to \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}\right)^{\beta} \prec q_2(z) + \alpha d q_$$

then  $q_1(z) \prec \left(\frac{n_{\eta,\mu J}(z)}{z}\right) \prec q_2(z)$  and  $q_1(z)$  and  $q_2(z)$  are respectively, the best subordinant and the best dominant.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $q_1$  be a convex univalent function in U with  $q_1(0) = 1$  and satisfies  $Re\left\{\frac{\gamma}{n}q(z)q'(z)\right\} > 0$ 0. Let  $q_2$  be univalent function in U with  $q_2(0) = 1$  satisfies

$$Re\left\{1 + \frac{\gamma}{v}q(z) + q_2(z) + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} - \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}\right\} > 0$$

Let  $f \in \zeta$  satisfies:  $\left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1}f(z)}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}\right)^{\beta} H[1,1] \cap Q$ ,

and  $\chi(c,\gamma,v,\beta,\lambda,\delta,\eta,\mu;z)$  is univalent in U, where  $\chi(c,\gamma,v,\beta,\lambda,\delta,\eta,\mu;z)$  is given by (3.7). If  $\alpha q_1(z) - \beta z q'_1(z) \prec \chi(c,\gamma,v,\beta,\lambda,\delta,\eta,\mu;z) \prec \alpha q_2(z) - \beta z q'_2(z)$ , then  $q_1(z) \prec \left(\frac{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta+1}f(z)}{H_{\eta,\mu}^{\lambda,\delta}f(z)}\right)^{\beta} \prec q_2(z)$ , and  $q_1(z)$  and  $q_2(z)$  are respectively, the best subordinant and the best dominant.

## References

- [1] S.A. Al-Ameedee, W.G. Atshan and F.A. Al-Maamori, On sandwich results of univalent functions defined by a linear operator, J. Interdis. Math. 23(4) (2020) 803-809.
- [2] S.A. Al-Ameedee, W.G. Atshan and F.A. Al-Maamori, Some new results of differential subordinations for Higher -order derivatives of multivalent functions, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1804 (2021) 012111.
- [3] R.M. Ali, V. Ravichandran, M.H. Khan and K.G. Subramanian, Differential sandwich theorems for certain analytic functions, Far East J. Math. Sci. 15 (2004) 87–94.
- [4] F.M. Al-Oboudi and H.A. Al-Zkeri, Applications of Briot-Bouquet differential subordination to some classes of meromorphic function, Arab J. Math. Sci. 12(1) (2006) 17–30.
- W.G. Atshan and A.A.R. Ali, On some sandwich theorems of analytic functions involving Noor-Sălăgean operator, [5]Adv. Math.: Sci. J. 9(10) (2020) 8455–8467.
- W.G. Atshan and A.A.R. Ali, On sandwich theorems results for certain univalent functions defined by generalized [6]operator, Iraqi J. Sci, 62(7) (2021) 2376–2383.
- W.G. Atshan, A.H. Battor and A.F. Abaas, Some sandwich theorems for meromorphic univalent functions defined [7]by new integral operator, J. Interdis. Math. 24(3) (2021) 579–591.
- [8] W.G. Atshan and R.A. Hadi, Some differential subordination and superordination results of p-valent functions defined by differential operator, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1664 (2020) 012043.
- [9] W.G. Atshan and S.R. Kulkarni, On application of differential subordination for certain subclass of meromorphically p-valent functions with positive coefficients defined by linear operator, J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math. 10(2) (2009) 11.
- [10] W.G. Atshan, I.A.R. Rahman and A.A. Lupas, Some results of new subclasses for bi-univalent functions using quasi-subordination, Symmetry 13(9) (2021). 1653.

- [11] T. Bulboača, Classes of first-order differential superordinations, Demon. Math. 35(2) (2002) 287–292.
- [12] T. Bulboača, Differential subordinations and superordinations, Recent results, House of Scientific Book Publ. Cluj-Napoca, 2005.
- [13] N.E. Cho, M.K. Aouf and R. Srivastava, The principle of differential subordination and its application to analytic and p- valent functions defined by generalized fractional differintegral operator, Symmetry 11 (2019) 1083.
- [14] R.M. El-Ashwah and M.K. Aouf, Differential subordination and superordination for certain subclasses of p-valent functions, Math. Comput. Model. 51(5–6) (2010) 349–360.
- [15] G.P. Goyal and J.K. Prajapat, A new class of analytic p-valent functions with negative coefficients and fractional calculus operators, Tamsui Oxf. J. Math. Sci. 20 (2004) 175–186.
- [16] S. Kanas and H.M. Srivastava, Linear operators associated with k-uniformly convex functions, Integer. Transforms Spec. Funct. 9 (2000) 121–132.
- [17] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, Differential Subordination: Theory and Applications, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker Inc. New York and Basel, 2000.
- [18] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, Subordinant of differential superordinations, Complex Var. 48(10) (2003) 815–826.
  [19] S. Owa, On the distortion theorem I, Kyungpook Math. J. 18 (1978), 53–59.
- [20] S. Owa and H.M. Srivastava, Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions, Can. J. Math. 39 (1987)
- 1057–1077.
- [21] J.K. Prajapat and M.K. Aouf, Majorization problem for certain class of p-valently analytic function defined by generalized fractional differentegral operator, Comput. Math. Appl. 63 (2012) 42–47.
- [22] J.K. Prajapat, R.K. Raina and H.M. Srivastava, Some inclusion properties for certain subclasses of strongly starlike and strongly convex functions involving a family of fractional integral operators, Integer. Transforms Spec. Funct. 18 (2007) 639-651.
- [23] T.M. Seoudy and M.K. Aouf, Subclasses of p-valent functions of bounded boundary rotation involving the generalized fractional different gral operator, Comptes Rendus Math. 351 (2013), 787–792.
- [24] H.M. Srivastava and S. Owa, Some characterizations and distortions theorems involving fractional calculus, generalized hypergeometric functions, Hadamard products, linear operators and certain subclasses of analytic functions, Nagoya Math. J. 106 (1987) 1–28.
- [25] H.M. Srivastava, M. Saigo and S. Owa, A class of distortion theorems involving certain operators of fractional calculus, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 131 (1988) 412–420.
- [26] H. Tang, G.-T. Deng, S.-H. Li and M.K. Aouf, Inclusion results for certain subclasses of spiral-like multivalent functions involving a generalized fractional differintegral operator, Integr. Transforms Spec. Funct. 24(11) (2013) 873–883.