

# On $A_\lambda$ -almost null and $A_\lambda$ -almost convergent Orlicz sequence spaces

Sukhdev Singh<sup>a,\*</sup>, Toseef Ahmed Malik<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, School of Chemical Engineering and Physical Sciences, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, Punjab, India

(Communicated by Ali Jabbari)

---

## Abstract

The idea of almost convergent sequence was introduced by G. G. Lorentz [8]. In this paper, some new generalized sequence spaces on  $A_\lambda$ -almost null and  $A_\lambda$ -almost convergent sequences by Orlicz function are introduced and extended them to the paranormed sequence spaces. Some inclusion relation has also been established between the new spaces. In addition, the  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -duals of these spaces, and the characterization of  $(A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, M, q) : \nu)$  and  $(\nu : A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, M, q))$  of infinite matrices are also given.

Keywords: Almost convergence, paranormed spaces,  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -duals, matrix transformation  
2020 MSC: Primary 40A05; Secondary 46A45

---

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, the set of all complex sequences will be denoted by  $\omega$ . The notations  $\ell_\infty$ ,  $c$  and  $c_0$  and  $\ell_p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) are used for the sequences spaces of all bounded, convergent, null and absolutely  $p$ -summable sequences, respectively.

Furthermore, we denote  $\ell_1$ ,  $bs$  and  $cs$  for sequence spaces of all absolutely convergent series, bounded series, and convergent series respectively.

A  $K$ -space on any sequence space  $E$  with linear topology is defined as a map  $q_r : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , where  $\mathbb{C}$  denote the set of complex field and  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  such that  $q_r(x) = x_r$  is continuous  $\forall r \in \mathbb{N}$ . A complete linear metric  $K$ -space is called an  $FK$ -space. An  $FK$ -space is called a  $BK$ -space whose topology is normable. The spaces  $\ell_\infty$ ,  $c$  and  $c_0$  are  $BK$ -spaces endowed with the sup-norm as  $\|x\|_\infty = \sup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} |x_r|$ . (for details cf. [6, 9]).

The shift operator  $D$  on  $w$  is defined by  $(Dx)_n = x_{n+1} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . A Banach limit  $F$  is defined as a non negative linear functional on  $\ell_\infty$  such that  $F(Dx) = F(x)$  and  $F(e) = 1$ . A sequence  $(x_r)$  is said to be almost convergent to the generalized limit  $\xi$  if all Banach limits of  $x$  are equal to  $\xi$ , and is denoted by  $f - \lim x_r = \xi$ . Lorentz [8] proved the criterion for almost convergence, that is,  $f - \lim x_r = \xi$  iff  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{r=0}^k \frac{x_{n+r}}{k+1} = \xi$  uniformly in  $n$ .

---

\*Corresponding author

Email addresses: [singh.sukhdev01@gmail.com](mailto:singh.sukhdev01@gmail.com) (Sukhdev Singh), [tsfmlk5@gmail.com](mailto:tsfmlk5@gmail.com) (Toseef Ahmed Malik)

The notations  $f_0$  and  $f$  are used to define the spaces of all almost null & almost convergent sequences as follows:

$$f_0 = \left\{ x = (x_r) \in w : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{r=0}^k x_{n+r} = 0 \text{ uniformly in } n \right\}$$

$$f = \left\{ x = (x_r) \in w : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{r=0}^k x_{n+r} = l \text{ uniformly in } n \right\}$$

**Definition 1.1 (Matrix Transformation).** [4] Let  $U$  and  $V$  be two given sequence spaces and  $A = (a_{nr})$  is an infinite matrix of real entries. Then the function  $A : U \rightarrow V$  defined a matrix transformation between two sequence spaces,  $U$  and  $V$  as

$$(Ax)_n = \sum_r a_{nr}x_r \tag{1.1}$$

provided the series on the right hand side of (1.1) is convergent for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We call  $Ax$  as  $A$ -transformation of sequence  $x$ . By  $(U : V)$ , we shall denote the collection of all infinite matrices from  $U$  into  $V$ .

Here, and in what follows, the summation without limits runs from 0 to  $\infty$ .

Further the notion

$$U_A = \{x = (x_r) \in w : Ax \in U\}. \tag{1.2}$$

is called the matrix domain  $A$  in a sequence space  $U$ , which itself is a sequence space.

In this work we continue to study the spaces of difference sequence and also the concept of all almost null & all almost convergent sequences by using the matrix transformations  $A_\lambda$ , where  $\lambda = (\lambda_r)$  is strictly increasing sequence.

For two arbitrary sequence spaces  $U$  and  $V$ . Define the set

$$S(U, V) = \{a = (a_r) \in w : xa = (x_r a_r) \in V \quad \forall x \in U\}$$

is called the multiplier space of the spaces  $U$  and  $V$ . The  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -duals of subset  $U \subset \omega$ , are defined as

$$U^\alpha = S(U, l_1), \quad U^\beta = S(U, cs), \quad U^\gamma = S(U, bs)$$

## 2 $A_\lambda$ -almost null and $A_\lambda$ -almost convergent sequence spaces

Throughout this paper, let  $\lambda = (\lambda_r)$  is a strictly increasing sequence of positive reals tending to infinity, that is,  $0 < \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_r = \infty$ .

We introduce the matrix  $A_\lambda = \{a_{nr}(\lambda)\}$  by

$$a_{nr}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda_r - 2\lambda_{r-1} + \lambda_{r-2}}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}}, & 0 \leq r \leq n \\ 0, & r > n \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

for all  $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Take  $(\Delta x_r) \in w$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Then (2.1) gives by a short calculation that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta x_n - (A_\lambda \Delta x)_n &= \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}} \sum_{r=0}^n (\lambda_r - 2\lambda_{r-1} + \lambda_{r-2})(\Delta x_n - \Delta x_r) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} (\lambda_r - 2\lambda_{r-1} + \lambda_{r-2})(\Delta x_n - \Delta x_r) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} (\lambda_r - 2\lambda_{r-1} + \lambda_{r-2}) \sum_{i=r+1}^n (\Delta x_i - \Delta x_{i-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}} \sum_{i=1}^n (\Delta x_i - \Delta x_{i-1}) \sum_{r=0}^{i-1} (\lambda_r - 2\lambda_{r-1} + \lambda_{r-2}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}} \sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda_{i-1} - \lambda_{i-2})(\Delta x_i - \Delta x_{i-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus for every  $(\Delta x_r) \in w$ , we have

$$\Delta x_n - (A_\lambda \Delta x)_n = (\Lambda \Delta x)_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2.2}$$

where  $\Lambda \Delta x = (\Lambda \Delta x)_n$  is as follows

$$(\Lambda \Delta x)_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}} \sum_{r=1}^n (\lambda_{r-1} - \lambda_{r-2})(\Delta x_r - \Delta x_{r-1}), & n \geq 1 \\ 0, & n = 0 \end{cases}$$

Moreover we have the following result:

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose  $f\text{-lim } \Delta x_n = \xi$  for  $\Delta x_n \in w$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $f\text{-lim}(A_\lambda \Delta x)_n = \xi$  holds if and only if  $\Lambda \Delta x \in f_0$ .

**Proof .** First consider that  $f\text{-lim } \Delta x_n = f\text{-lim}(A_\lambda \Delta x)_n = \xi$ . Then, from equation (2.2), the equality

$$\frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{r=0}^k [\Delta x_{n+r} - (A_\lambda \Delta x)_{n+r}] = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{r=0}^k (\Lambda \Delta x)_{n+r} \tag{2.3}$$

holds for all  $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus by passing  $k \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $n$ , the left hand side of equation (2.3) approach to zero which yields that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{r=0}^k (\Lambda \Delta x)_{n+r} = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n.$$

Therefore,  $\Lambda \Delta x \in f_0$ .

On the contrary suppose that  $\Lambda \Delta x \in f_0$  and take  $f\text{-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta x_n = \xi$ .

By taking limit in the equality (2.3), we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{r=0}^k [\Delta x_{n+r} - (A_\lambda \Delta x)_{n+r}] = 0$$

This yields that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{r=0}^k \Delta x_{n+r} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{r=0}^k (A_\lambda \Delta x)_{n+r} = \xi.$$

Hence the result  $\square$

We approach on the construction of new sequence spaces  $A_\lambda(f_0)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  and  $A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  of all  $A_\lambda$ -almost null &  $A_\lambda$ -almost convergent sequences by means of difference sequence and Orlicz function  $\mathcal{M}$ , [3]. In [7], Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri introduced a sequence space  $l_{\mathcal{M}}$  which consist of an Orlicz function  $\mathcal{M}$  as follows,

$$l_{\mathcal{M}} = \left\{ x \in w : \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{|x_r|}{\rho} \right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}$$

The Orlicz sequence space  $l_{\mathcal{M}}$  under the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{|x_r|}{\rho} \right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

is a Banach space which is called an Orlicz sequence space.

We define the spaces  $A_\lambda(f_0)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  and  $A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$ , as the set of all  $A_\lambda$ -almost null &  $A_\lambda$ -almost convergent sequences of complex numbers, respectively as follows:

$$A_\lambda(f_0)(\Delta, \mathcal{M}) = \left\{ (x_r) \in w : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{r=0}^k \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{|A_\lambda \Delta x|_{n+r}}{\rho} \right) = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n, \rho > 0 \right\}$$

$$A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M}) = \left\{ (x_r) \in w : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{r=0}^k \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{|A_\lambda \Delta x|_{n+r}}{\rho} \right) = l, \text{ uniformly in } n, \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

With notation of (1.2) the spaces  $A_\lambda(f_0)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  and  $A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  can redefine as the matrix domain of triangle  $A_\lambda$  in the spaces  $f_0$  and  $f$ , respectively.

Throughout the text,  $y = (y_r) = (\Delta y_r)$  will be used as the  $A_\lambda$ -transform of a sequence  $x = (x_r) = (\Delta x_r)$ , that is,

$$(y_r) = (A_\lambda x)_n = \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}} \sum_{r=0}^n \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\lambda_r - 2\lambda_{r-1} + \lambda_{r-2}}{\rho} \Delta x_r \right) \tag{2.4}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** The sequence space  $A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  is a Banach- spaces under the norm defined as

$$\|x\|_{A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})} = \|A_\lambda x\|_{f(\Delta, \mathcal{M})} = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sup_{k, n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_{nk} \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{|A_\lambda \Delta x|_{n+r}}{\rho} \right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

where  $\tau_{nk} \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{A_\lambda \Delta x}{\rho} \right) = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{r=0}^k \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{(A_\lambda \Delta x)_{n+r}}{\rho} \right)$ .

**Proof .** Let  $\{x^s\}$  be any Cauchy sequence in  $A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$ , where  $x_k^s = (x_1^s, x_2^s, x_3^s, \dots) \in A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\delta$  be fixed and  $q > 0$ , then  $\exists$  a positive integer  $n_0$  such that

$$\|x^s - x^t\|_{A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})} < \frac{\epsilon}{\delta q}, \forall s, t \geq n_0$$

Thus by using norm definition, we have

$$\sup_{n, r \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{r=0}^k \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{|A_\lambda(\Delta x^s - \Delta x^t)|_{n+r}}{\|x^s - x^t\|_{A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})} (k+1)} \right) \leq 1, \forall s, t \geq n_0 \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^k \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{|A_\lambda(\Delta x^s - \Delta x^t)|_{n+r}}{\|x^s - x^t\|_{A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})} (k+1)} \right) \leq 1, \forall n, r \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } s, t \geq n_0, k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Choose  $q > 0$  with  $\mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\delta q}{2} \right) \geq 1$  so that

$$\sum_{r=0}^k \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{|A_\lambda(\Delta x^s - \Delta x^t)|_{n+r}}{\|x^s - x^t\|_{A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})} (k+1)} \right) \leq \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{q\delta}{2} \right)$$

Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is non-decreasing and  $x_i^s$  is convergent in  $\mathbb{R}$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} x_i^s = x_i$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using the continuity of Orlicz function  $\mathcal{M}$  and modulus, it yields that  $(\Delta x^s - \Delta x) \in A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$ , it follows that  $x \in A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** Note that the absolute property on the sequence spaces  $A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  and  $A_\lambda(f_0)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  is not true, i.e,  $\|x\|_{A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})} \neq \| |x| \|_{A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})}$  for at least one sequence in each of these spaces, and this means that the spaces  $A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  and  $A_\lambda(f_0)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  are *BK*-spaces of non-absolute type.

Next, we discuss the following Theorem showing the isomorphism between the sequence spaces  $A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$ ,  $A_\lambda(f_0)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  and  $f$ ,  $f_0$  respectively.

**Theorem 2.4.** The sequence space  $A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  and  $A_\lambda(f_0)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  of non-absolute type are linearly norm isomorphic to the spaces  $f$  and  $f_0$  respectively.

**Proof .** We establish the result  $A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M}) \cong f$ . The fact  $A_\lambda(f_0)(\Delta, \mathcal{M}) \cong f_0$  can be proved in the similar lines.

We show the existence of a linear bijection between the space  $A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  and  $f$ . The mapping  $P$  from  $A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  to  $f$  by  $x \rightarrow y = Px = A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})x$  is linear (by equation (2.4)). Further,  $Px = \theta$  implies  $x = \theta$ .

Let  $y = (y_r) \in f$  and the sequence  $x = (x_r)$  defined as

$$\Delta x_r = \sum_{j=r-1}^r (-1)^{r-j} \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}} \left( \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_{j-1}}{\lambda_r - 2\lambda_{r-1} + \lambda_{r-2}} \rho \Delta y_r \right) \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2.5}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=0}^{n+j} \frac{\lambda_r - 2\lambda_{r-1} + \lambda_{r-2}}{\lambda_{n+j} - \lambda_{n+j-1}} \Delta x_r &= \sum_{r=0}^{n+j} \sum_{j=r-1}^r (-1)^{r-j} \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}} \left( \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_{j-1}}{\lambda_r - 2\lambda_{r-1} + \lambda_{r-2}} \rho \Delta y_r \right) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{n+j} \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}} \left( \frac{(\lambda_r - \lambda_{r-1}) \Delta y_r - (\lambda_{r-1} - \lambda_{r-2}) \Delta y_{r-1}}{\lambda_{n+j} - \lambda_{n+j-1}} \rho \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}} \rho \Delta y_{n+j} \end{aligned}$$

Since,  $\mathcal{M}$  is continuous and for some  $\rho > 0$ , then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{r=0}^{n+j} \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\lambda_r - 2\lambda_{r-1} + \lambda_{r-2}}{\rho(\lambda_{n+j} - \lambda_{n+j-1})} \right) \Delta x_r = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^k \Delta y_{n+j}$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^k \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{|A_\lambda \Delta x_r|_{n+j}}{\rho} \right) = f - \lim y_k = l \text{ uniformly in } n.$$

This shows that  $x \in A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  and consequently  $P$  is surjective. Hence,  $P$  is a linear bijection. Also, by Theorem (2.2),  $P$  preserves the norm and then  $A_\lambda(f)(\Delta \mathcal{M}) \approx f$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** As the Orlicz function  $\mathcal{M}$  which satisfy  $\Delta_2$ -condition. Then

- (a)  $A_\lambda(f)(\Delta) \subset A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$
- (b)  $A_\lambda(f_0)(\Delta) \subset A_\lambda(f_0)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$

**Proof .** (a) Let  $x \in A_\lambda(f)(\Delta)$ . Then  $\exists$  some  $C > 0$  such that  $|A_\lambda \Delta x|_{n+r} \leq C, \forall n, r$ . Thus, for some  $\rho > 0$

$$\mathcal{M} \left( \frac{|A_\lambda \Delta x|_{n+r}}{\rho} \right) \leq \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{C}{\rho} \right) \leq K.l \mathcal{M}(C), \text{ by } \Delta_2 - \text{condition.}$$

Hence

$$\sup_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_{nk} \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{|A_\lambda \Delta x|_{n+r}}{\rho} \right) < \infty$$

This proves that  $A_\lambda(f)(\Delta) \subset A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$ . Proof of (b) follows similarly.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.6.** The inclusion  $A_\lambda(f_0)(\Delta, \mathcal{M}) \subset A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  strictly holds.

**Proof .** Take  $x = (x_r) \in A_\lambda(f_0)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$ . Then  $A_\lambda \Delta x \in f_0(\mathcal{M})$ . Since  $f_0 \subset f$ , we have  $A_\lambda \Delta x \in f(\mathcal{M})$ , and hence  $x \in A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$ . Therefore the inclusion  $A_\lambda(f_0)(\Delta, \mathcal{M}) \subset A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  is strict.

Next take the sequence  $x \in A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  as defined by  $x = (\Delta x_r) = 1 \forall r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^k \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{|A_\lambda \Delta x|_{n+r}}{\rho} \right) = 1 \neq 0.$$

Thus  $x \in A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  but not in  $A_\lambda(f_0)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$ . Hence, the inclusion  $A_\lambda(f_0)(\Delta, \mathcal{M}) \subset A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  is strict.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.7.** The inclusions  $A_\lambda(c)(\Delta, \mathcal{M}) \subset A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M}) \subset A_\lambda(l_\infty)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  strictly hold.

**Proof .** Consider the sequence  $x \in A_\lambda(c)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$ , then  $A_\lambda \Delta x \in c(\mathcal{M})$ . Since  $c \subset f$ , we have  $A_\lambda \Delta x \in f(\mathcal{M})$ , that is,  $x \in A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$ . Therefore,  $A_\lambda(c)(\Delta, \mathcal{M}) \subset A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$ . Now, take  $y = (y_r) \in A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$ . Then  $A_\lambda \Delta y \in f(\mathcal{M})$  and  $f \subset l_\infty$ , we obtain  $A_\lambda \Delta y \in l_\infty(\mathcal{M})$ . Hence  $A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M}) \subset A_\lambda(l_\infty)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  holds.  $\square$

### 3 Kothe-duals of the space $A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$

In this section the Kothe duals ( $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -duals) of the space  $A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  have been dteremined and studied thoroughly.

**Lemma 3.1.** [12]  $A = (a_{nr}) \in (f : \ell_1)$  if and only if

$$\sup_{K, N \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \sum_{n \in N} \sum_{r \in K} a_{nr} \right| < \infty \tag{3.1}$$

**Theorem 3.2.** The  $\alpha$ -dual of  $A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  is the set  $a_1(\lambda)$ , where

$$a_1(\lambda) = \left\{ a = (a_r) \in w : \sum_{r=0}^k \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}} \left( \frac{\lambda_r - \lambda_{r-1}}{\lambda_r - 2\lambda_{r-1} + \lambda_{r-2}} \right) \rho |\Delta a_r| < \infty \right\} \tag{3.2}$$

**Proof .** Define the matrix  $B = (b_{nr})$  with the aid of a sequence  $a = (a_r)$  as follows

$$b_{nr} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n-r} \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}} \left( \frac{\lambda_r - \lambda_{r-1}}{\lambda_r - 2\lambda_{r-1} + \lambda_{r-2}} \right) \rho \Delta a_r, & n - 1 \leq r \leq n \\ 0, & 0 \leq r \leq n - 1 \text{ or } r > n \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Then  $x = (x_n) \in A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$ , we have

$$a_r x_r = a_r \sum_{j=r-1}^r (-1)^{r-j} \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}} \left( \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_{j-1}}{\lambda_r - 2\lambda_{r-1} + \lambda_{r-2}} \right) \rho y_r = (By)_r, \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore,  $ax = (a_r x_r) \in \ell_1$  whenever  $x \in A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  iff  $By \in \ell_1$  whenever  $y \in A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$ . This yields that  $a \in \{A_\lambda(f)\}^\alpha$  iff  $B \in (f : \ell_1)$ . By Lemma (3.1) this is possible iff

$$\sup_{K, N \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \sum_{n \in N} \sum_{r \in K} b_{nr} \right| < \infty \tag{3.5}$$

It follows that equation (3.5) holds iff  $\sum_r \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}} \left( \frac{\lambda_r - \lambda_{r-1}}{\lambda_r - 2\lambda_{r-1} + \lambda_{r-2}} \right) \rho |a_r| < \infty$  which gives that  $\{A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})\}^\alpha = a_1(\lambda)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.**  $A = (a_{nr}) \in (f : l_\infty)$  iff

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_r |a_{nr}| < \infty. \tag{3.6}$$

**Theorem 3.4.** The  $\gamma$ -dual of the space  $A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  is the set  $d_1 \cap d_2$ , where

$$d_1 = \left\{ a = (a_r) \in w : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \left| \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}} \Delta \left( \frac{\rho a_r}{\lambda_r - 2\lambda_{r-1} + \lambda_{r-2}} \right) (\lambda_r - \lambda_{r-1}) \right| < \infty \right\}$$

$$d_2 = \left\{ a = (a_r) \in w : \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}} \left( \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_n - 2\lambda_{n-1} + \lambda_{n-2}} \rho a_n \right) \in \ell_\infty \right\}$$

**Proof .** Take  $a = (a_r) \in w$  and considering the equality obtained with (2.5) between the sequences  $x = (x_r)$  and  $y = (y_r)$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=0}^n a_r x_r &= \sum_{r=0}^n a_r \left[ \sum_{j=r-1}^r (-1)^{r-j} \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}} \left( \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_{j-1}}{\lambda_r - 2\lambda_{r-1} + \lambda_{r-2}} \right) \rho y_j \right] \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}} \Delta \left( \frac{a_r}{\lambda_r - 2\lambda_{r-1} + \lambda_{r-2}} \right) (\lambda_r - \lambda_{r-1}) \rho y_r + \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}} \left( \frac{\rho a_n (\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1})}{\lambda_n - 2\lambda_{n-1} + \lambda_{n-2}} \right) y_n \\ &= (By)_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where,  $B = (b_{nr})$  is defined as

$$b_{nr} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}} \Delta \left( \frac{a_r}{\lambda_r - 2\lambda_{r-1} + \lambda_{r-2}} \right) \rho(\lambda_r - \lambda_{r-1}), & 0 \leq r \leq n - 1 \\ \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}} \left( \frac{\rho a_n (\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1})}{\lambda_n - 2\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_{n-2}} \right), & r = n \\ 0, & r > n \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

$\forall r, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus from (3.7),  $ax = (a_r x_r) \in bs$  whenever  $x = (x_r) \in A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  iff  $By \in \ell_\infty$  whenever  $y \in f$ . Hence by Lemma (3.3) that  $\{A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})\}^\gamma = d_1 \cap d_2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** [11]  $A = (a_{nr}) \in (f : c)$  iff equation (3.6) holds and there are  $\beta_r, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nr} = \beta_r \text{ for all } r \in \mathbb{N} \tag{3.9}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_r a_{nr} = \beta \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_r |\Delta(a_{nr} - \beta_r)| = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

**Theorem 3.6.** Define the sets  $d_3, d_4$  and  $d_5$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} d_3 &= \left\{ a = (a_r) \in w : \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}} \left( \frac{\rho a_r}{\lambda_r - 2\lambda_{r-1} + \lambda_{r-1}} (\lambda_r - \lambda_{r-1}) \right) \in c \right\}, \\ d_4 &= \left\{ a = (a_r) \in w : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}} \Delta \left( \frac{\rho a_r}{\lambda_r - 2\lambda_{r-1} + \lambda_{r-2}} \right) (\lambda_r - \lambda_{r-1}) \text{ exists} \right\}, \\ d_5 &= \left\{ a = (a_r) \in w : \left\{ \left| \Delta' \left[ \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}} \Delta \left( \frac{\rho a_r}{\lambda_r - 2\lambda_{r-1} + \lambda_{r-2}} \right) (\lambda_r - \lambda_{r-1}) \right] \right| \right\} \in cs \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $\{A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})\}^\beta = \cap_{i=1}^5 d_i$ .

**Proof .** Take any  $a = (a_r) \in w$ . From equation (3.7) that  $ax = (a_r x_r) \in cs$  whenever  $x = (x_r) \in A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  iff  $By \in c$  whenever  $y = (y_r) \in f$ , that is  $(a_r) \in \{A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})\}^\beta$  iff  $B \in (f : c)$ . Therefore, by Lemma (3.5), we have  $\{A_\lambda(f)(\Delta, \mathcal{M})\}^\beta = \cap_{i=1}^5 d_i$ .  $\square$

### References

- [1] B. Altay, *On the spaces of p-summable difference sequences of order m*, ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ), Stud. Sci. Math. Hung. **43** (2006), no. 4, 387–402.
- [2] B. Altay, F. Basar, *The matrix domain and the fine spectrum of the difference operator  $\Delta$  on the sequence space  $l_p$* , ( $0 < p < 1$ ), Commun. Math. Anal. **2** (2007), no. 2, 1–11.
- [3] F. Basar and B. Altay, *On the spaces of the sequences of p-bounded variation and related matrix mappings*, Ukrainian Math. J. **55** (2003), no. 1, 136–147.
- [4] R. Colak and M. Et, *On some generalized difference sequence spaces and related matrix transformations*, Hokkaido Math. J. **26** (1997), no. 3, 483–492.
- [5] R. Colak, M. Et and E. Malkowsky, *Some topics of sequence spaces*, Lectures Notes in Mathematics, Firat Univ. Press, Turkey, 2004.
- [6] H. Kizmaz, *On certain sequence spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull. **24** (1981), no. 2, 169–176.
- [7] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, *On Orlicz sequence spaces*, Israel J. Math. Soc. **10** (1971), 345–355.
- [8] G.G. Lorentz, *A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences*, Acta Math. **80** (1948), 167–190.
- [9] M. Mursaleen and A.K. Noman, *On the spaces of  $\lambda$ -Convergent and bounded sequences*, Thai. J. Math. **8** (2010), no. 2, 311–329.

- 
- [10] H. Polat and F. Basar, *Some Euler spaces of difference sequences of order  $m$* , Acta. Math. Sci. **27B** (2007), no. 2, 254–266.
- [11] J.A. Siddiqi, *Infinite matrices summing every almost periodic sequences*, Pac. J. Math. **39** (1971), no. 1, 235–251.
- [12] M. Stieglitz and H. Tietz, *Matrixtransformationen von folgenräumen eine ergebnisübersicht*, Math. Z. **154** (1977), no. 1, 1–16.