

An additive (u, β) -functional equation and linear mappings in Banach modules

Siriluk Paokanta^a, Choonkil Park^b, Jung Rye Lee^{c,*}

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Hanyang University, Seoul 04763, Korea

^bResearch Institute for Natural Sciences, Hanyang University, Seoul 04763, Korea

^cDepartment of Data Science, Daejin University, Kyunggi 11159, Korea

(Communicated by Madjid Eshaghi Gordji)

Abstract

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. In this paper, we investigate the additive (u, β) -functional equation

$$f(x) + u^* f(uy) + f(z) = \beta^{-1} f(\beta(x + y + z)) \quad (0.1)$$

for all unitary elements u in A and for a fixed nonzero complex number β . Using the fixed point method and the direct method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive (u, β) -functional equation (0.1) in Banach modules.

Keywords: Hyers-Ulam stability, additive (u, β) -functional equation, A -linear mapping, fixed point method, direct method, Banach module

2020 MSC: 39B52, 39B62, 46L05, 47H10

1 Introduction and preliminaries

The stability problem of functional equations originated from a question of Ulam [24] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms.

The functional equation $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ is called the *Cauchy equation*. In particular, every solution of the Cauchy equation is said to be an *additive mapping*. Hyers [14] gave a first affirmative partial answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. Hyers' Theorem was generalized by Aoki [1] for additive mappings and by Rassias [23] for linear mappings by considering an unbounded Cauchy difference. A generalization of the Rassias theorem was obtained by Găvruta [9] by replacing the unbounded Cauchy difference by a general control function in the spirit of Rassias' approach. See [2, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 18, 20] for more information on functional equations and applications.

We recall a fundamental result in fixed point theory.

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: siriluk22@hanyang.ac.kr (Siriluk Paokanta), baak@hanyang.ac.kr (Choonkil Park), jrlee@daejin.ac.kr (Jung Rye Lee)

Theorem 1.1. [3, 7] Let (X, d) be a complete generalized metric space and let $J : X \rightarrow X$ be a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant $\alpha < 1$. Then for each given element $x \in X$, either

$$d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) = \infty$$

for all nonnegative integers n or there exists a positive integer n_0 such that

- (1) $d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) < \infty, \quad \forall n \geq n_0;$
- (2) the sequence $\{J^n x\}$ converges to a fixed point y^* of J ;
- (3) y^* is the unique fixed point of J in the set $Y = \{y \in X \mid d(J^{n_0} x, y) < \infty\};$
- (4) $d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} d(y, Jy)$ for all $y \in Y$.

In 1996, Isac and Rassias [15] were the first to provide applications of stability theory of functional equations for the proof of new fixed point theorems with applications. By using fixed point methods, the stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors (see [4, 5, 19, 21, 22]).

In Section 2, we solve the additive (u, β) -functional equation (0.1) in vector spaces and prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive (u, β) -functional equation (0.1) in Banach spaces by using the fixed point method. In Section 3, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive (u, β) -functional equation (0.1) in Banach spaces by using the direct method.

Throughout this paper, assume that A is a unital C^* -algebra with unit e and $U(A)$ is the set of unital elements of A . Let X be a normed A -module and that Y is a Banach A -module. Let β be a fixed nonzero complex number.

2 Additive (u, β) -functional equation (0.1) in Banach modules I

We solve the additive (u, β) -functional equation (0.1) in A -modules.

Lemma 2.1. Let X and Y be A -modules. If a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies

$$f(x) + u^* f(uy) + f(z) = \beta^{-1} f(\beta(x + y + z)) \tag{2.1}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $u \in U(A)$, then $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is A -linear.

Proof . Assume that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (2.1).

Letting $x = y = z = 0$ in (2.1), we get $(2 + u^*)f(0) = \beta^{-1} f(0)$ for all $u \in U(A)$. So $f(0) = 0$.

Letting $u = e, y = -x$ and $z = 0$ in (2.1), we get $f(x) + f(-x) = 0$ and so $f(-x) = -f(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Letting $u = e$ and $z = -x - y$ in (2.1), we get

$$f(x) + f(y) - f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y) + f(-x - y) = 0$$

and so

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Letting $z = 0$ and $y = -x$ in (2.1), we get $f(x) + u^* f(-ux) = 0$ and so $f(ux) = u f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and all $u \in U(A)$.

Now let $a \in A$ ($a \neq 0$) and M an integer greater than $4|a|$. Then $|\frac{a}{M}| < \frac{1}{4} < 1 - \frac{2}{3} = \frac{1}{3}$. By [16, Theorem 1], there exist three elements $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in U(A)$ such that $3\frac{a}{M} = u_1 + u_2 + u_3$. So

$$\begin{aligned} f(ax) &= f\left(\frac{M}{3} \cdot 3\frac{a}{M}x\right) = M \cdot f\left(\frac{1}{3} \cdot 3\frac{a}{M}x\right) = \frac{M}{3} f\left(3\frac{a}{M}x\right) = \frac{M}{3} f(u_1x + u_2x + u_3x) \\ &= \frac{M}{3} (f(u_1x) + f(u_2x) + f(u_3x)) = \frac{M}{3} (u_1 + u_2 + u_3) f(x) = \frac{M}{3} \cdot 3\frac{a}{M} f(x) \\ &= a f(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. So $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is A -linear, as desired. \square

Since $U(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{T} := \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\mu| = 1\}$, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. Let X and Y be complex vector spaces. If a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies

$$f(x) + \bar{\alpha}f(\alpha y) + f(z) = \beta^{-1}f(\beta(x + y + z))$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$, then $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is \mathbb{C} -linear.

Using the fixed point method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive (α, β) -functional equation (2.1) in complex Banach spaces.

Theorem 2.3. Let $\varphi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L < 1$ with

$$\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right) \leq \frac{L}{2}\varphi(x, y, z) \tag{2.2}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\|f(x) + u^*f(uy) + f(z) - \beta^{-1}f(\beta(x + y + z))\| \leq \varphi(x, y, z) \tag{2.3}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $u \in U(A)$. Then there exists a unique A -linear mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{2(1-L)}(\varphi(-x, -x, 2x) + 2\varphi(-x, x, 0)) \tag{2.4}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof . Let $u = e$. Replacing x by $-x$ and letting $y = -x$ and $z = 2x$ in (2.3), we get

$$\|2f(-x) + f(2x)\| \leq \varphi(-x, -x, 2x) \tag{2.5}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Replacing x by $-x$ and letting $y = x$ and $z = 0$ in (2.3), we get

$$\|f(-x) + f(x)\| \leq \varphi(-x, x, 0) \tag{2.6}$$

for all $x \in X$.

It follows from (2.5) and (2.6) that

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x)\| \leq \varphi(-x, -x, 2x) + 2\varphi(-x, x, 0) \tag{2.7}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Consider the set

$$S := \{h : X \rightarrow Y, \quad h(0) = 0\}$$

and introduce the generalized metric on S :

$$d(g, h) = \inf \{\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq \mu(\varphi(-x, -x, 2x) + 2\varphi(-x, x, 0)), \quad \forall x \in X\},$$

where, as usual, $\inf \phi = +\infty$. It is easy to show that (S, d) is complete (see [17]).

Now we consider the linear mapping $J : S \rightarrow S$ such that

$$Jg(x) := 2g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Let $g, h \in S$ be given such that $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$. Then

$$\|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq \varepsilon(\varphi(-x, -x, 2x) + 2\varphi(-x, x, 0))$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|Jg(x) - Jh(x)\| &= \left\| 2g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 2h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq 2\varepsilon \left(\varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2}, x\right) + 2\varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, 0\right) \right) \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon \frac{L}{2} (\varphi(-x, -x, 2x) + 2\varphi(-x, x, 0)) \leq L\varepsilon (\varphi(-x, -x, 2x) + 2\varphi(-x, x, 0)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. So $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$ implies that $d(Jg, Jh) \leq L\varepsilon$. This means that

$$d(Jg, Jh) \leq Ld(g, h)$$

for all $g, h \in S$.

It follows from (2.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f(x) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| &\leq \varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2}, x\right) + 2\varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, 0\right) \\ &\leq \frac{L}{2} (\varphi(-x, -x, 2x) + 2\varphi(-x, x, 0)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. So $d(f, Jf) \leq \frac{L}{2}$.

By Theorem 1.1, there exists a mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the following:

(1) H is a fixed point of J , i.e.,

$$H(x) = 2H\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \tag{2.8}$$

for all $x \in X$. The mapping H is a unique fixed point of J in the set

$$M = \{g \in S : d(f, g) < \infty\}.$$

This implies that H is a unique mapping satisfying (2.8) such that there exists a $\mu \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \mu (\varphi(-x, -x, 2x) + 2\varphi(-x, x, 0))$$

for all $x \in X$;

(2) $d(J^l f, H) \rightarrow 0$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. This implies the equality

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} 2^l f\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) = H(x)$$

for all $x \in X$;

(3) $d(f, H) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(f, Jf)$, which implies

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{2(1-L)} (\varphi(-x, -x, 2x) + 2\varphi(-x, x, 0))$$

for all $x \in X$.

It follows from (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|H(x) + u^*H(uy) + H(z) - \beta^{-1}H(\beta(x + y + z))\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) + u^*f\left(\frac{uy}{2^n}\right) + f\left(\frac{z}{2^n}\right) - \beta^{-1}f\left(\beta\left(\frac{x + y + z}{2^n}\right)\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $u \in U(A)$. So

$$H(x) + u^*H(uy) + H(z) - \beta^{-1}H(\beta(x + y + z)) = 0$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $u \in U(A)$. By Lemma 2.1, the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ is A -linear, as desired. \square

Corollary 2.4. Let $r > 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying

$$\|f(x) + u^* f(uy) + f(z) - \beta^{-1} f(\beta(x + y + z))\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r) \tag{2.9}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $u \in U(A)$. Then there exists a unique A -linear mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \frac{2^r + 6}{2^r - 2} \theta \|x\|^r$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof . The proof follows from Theorem 2.3 by taking $\varphi(x, y, z) = \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then we can choose $L = 2^{1-r}$ and we get the desired result. \square

Corollary 2.5. Let X be a complex normed space and Y a complex Banach space. Let $\varphi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L < 1$ with

$$\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right) \leq \frac{L}{2} \varphi(x, y, z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\|f(x) + \bar{\alpha} f(\alpha y) + f(z) - \beta^{-1} f(\beta(x + y + z))\| \leq \varphi(x, y, z) \tag{2.10}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$. Then there exists a unique \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{2(1-L)} (\varphi(-x, -x, 2x) + 2\varphi(-x, x, 0))$$

for all $x \in X$.

Theorem 2.6. Let $\varphi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L < 1$ with

$$\varphi(x, y, z) \leq 2L\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and (2.3). Then there exists a unique A -linear mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2(1-L)} (\varphi(-x, -x, 2x) + 2\varphi(-x, x, 0))$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof . It follows from (2.7) that

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{2} f(2x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\varphi(-x, -x, 2x) + 2\varphi(-x, x, 0))$$

for all $x \in X$.

Let (S, d) be the generalized metric space defined in the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Now we consider the linear mapping $J : S \rightarrow S$ such that

$$Jg(x) := \frac{1}{2} g(2x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3. \square

Corollary 2.7. Let $r < 1$ and θ be positive real numbers, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (2.9). Then there exists a unique A -linear mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \frac{6 + 2^r}{2 - 2^r} \theta \|x\|^r$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof . The proof follows from Theorem 2.6 by taking $\varphi(x, y, z) = \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then we can choose $L = 2^{r-1}$ and we get the desired result. \square

Corollary 2.8. Let X be a complex normed space and Y a complex Banach space. Let $\varphi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L < 1$ with

$$\varphi(x, y, z) \leq 2L\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and (2.10). Then there exists a unique \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2(1-L)}(\varphi(-x, -x, 2x) + 2\varphi(-x, x, 0))$$

for all $x \in X$.

3 Additive (u, β) -functional equation (0.1) in Banach modules II

In this section, using the direct method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive (u, β) -functional equation (2.1) in Banach modules.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\varphi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\Psi(x, y, z) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^j \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^j}, \frac{y}{2^j}, \frac{z}{2^j}\right) < \infty,$$

$$\|f(x) + u^* f(uy) + f(z) - \beta^{-1} f(\beta(x + y + z))\| \leq \varphi(x, y, z) \tag{3.1}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $u \in U(A)$. Then there exists a unique A -linear mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}(\Psi(-x, -x, 2x) + 2\Psi(-x, x, 0)) \tag{3.2}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof . Let $u = e$. It follows from (2.7) that

$$\left\|f(x) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\| \leq \varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2}, x\right) + 2\varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, 0\right)$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|2^l f\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) - 2^m f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right)\right\| &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\|2^j f\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) - 2^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right)\right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left(2^j \varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}, -\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{x}{2^j}\right) + 2^{j+1} \varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{j+1}}, 0\right)\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in X$. It follows from (3.3) that the sequence $\{2^k f(\frac{x}{2^k})\}$ is Cauchy for all $x \in X$. Since Y is a Banach space, the sequence $\{2^k f(\frac{x}{2^k})\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$H(x) := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^k f\left(\frac{x}{2^k}\right)$$

for all $x \in X$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.3), we get (3.2).

Now, let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be another additive mapping satisfying (3.2). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(x) - T(x)\| &= \left\| 2^q H\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) - 2^q T\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| 2^q H\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) - 2^q f\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) \right\| + \left\| 2^q T\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) - 2^q f\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq 2^q \Psi\left(-\frac{x}{2^q}, -\frac{x}{2^q}, \frac{2x}{2^q}\right) + 2^{q+1} \Psi\left(-\frac{x}{2^q}, \frac{x}{2^q}, 0\right), \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $q \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in X$. So we can conclude that $H(x) = T(x)$ for all $x \in X$. This proves the uniqueness of H .

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3. \square

Corollary 3.2. Let $r > 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (2.9). Then there exists a unique A -linear mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \frac{2^r + 6}{2^r - 2} \theta \|x\|^r$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof . The proof follows from Theorem 3.1 by taking $\varphi(x, y, z) = \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. \square

Corollary 3.3. Let X be a complex normed space and Y a complex Banach space. Let $\varphi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(x, y, z) &:= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^j \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^j}, \frac{y}{2^j}, \frac{z}{2^j}\right) < \infty, \\ \|f(x) + \bar{\alpha}f(\alpha y) + f(z) - \beta^{-1}f(\beta(x + y + z))\| &\leq \varphi(x, y, z) \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$. Then there exists a unique \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}(\Psi(-x, -x, 2x) + 2\Psi(-x, x, 0))$$

for all $x \in X$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\varphi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$, (3.1) and

$$\Psi(x, y, z) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} \varphi(2^j x, 2^j y, 2^j z) < \infty$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then there exists a unique A -linear mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}(\Psi(-x, -x, 2x) + 2\Psi(-x, x, 0)) \tag{3.5}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof . It follows from (2.7) that

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(2x) \right\| \leq (\varphi(-x, -x, 2x) + 2\varphi(-x, x, 0))$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{2^l}f(2^l x) - \frac{1}{2^m}f(2^m x) \right\| &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| \frac{1}{2^j}f(2^j x) - \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}f(2^{j+1} x) \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{2^{j+1}}\varphi(-2^j x, -2^j x, 2^{j+1} x) + \frac{1}{2^j}\varphi(-2^j x, 2^j x, 0) \right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in X$. It follows from (3.6) that the sequence $\{\frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{\frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x)\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in X$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.6), we get (3.5).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proofs of Theorems 2.3 and 3.1. \square

Corollary 3.5. Let $r < 1$ and θ be positive real numbers, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (2.9). Then there exists a unique A -linear mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \frac{6 + 2^r}{2 - 2^r}\theta\|x\|^r$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof . The proof follows from Theorem 3.4 by taking $\varphi(x, y, z) = \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. \square

Corollary 3.6. Let X be a complex normed space and Y a complex Banach space. Let $\varphi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$, (3.4) and

$$\Psi(x, y, z) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j}\varphi(2^j x, 2^j y, 2^j z) < \infty$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then there exists a unique \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $H : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}(\Psi(-x, -x, 2x) + 2\Psi(-x, x, 0))$$

for all $x \in X$.

Acknowledgements

Dedicated to the 70th Birthday of Professor Themistocles M. Rassias

References

[1] T. Aoki, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **2** (1950), 64–66.
 [2] B. Belaid and E. Elhoucien, *Solutions and stability of a generalization of Wilson’s equation*, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed. **36** (2016), 791–801.

- [3] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, *Fixed points and the stability of Jensen's functional equation*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **4**, no. 1, Art. ID 4 (2003).
- [4] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, *On the stability of the Cauchy functional equation: a fixed point approach*, Grazer Math. Ber. **346** (2004), 43–52.
- [5] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, *Fixed point methods for the generalized stability of functional equations in a single variable*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2008**, Art. ID 749392 (2008).
- [6] A. Chahbi and N. Bounader, *On the generalized stability of d'Alembert functional equation*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **6** (2013), 198–204.
- [7] J. Diaz and B. Margolis, *A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1968), 305–309.
- [8] G. Z. Eskandani and P. Găvruta, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of pexidized Cauchy functional equation in 2-Banach spaces*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **5** (2012), 459–465.
- [9] P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184** (1994), 431–43.
- [10] A. Ghaffari, *Module homomorphisms associated with Banach algebras*, Taiwanese J. Math. **15** (2011), 1075–1088.
- [11] S.G. Ghaleh and K. Ghasemi, *Stability of n -Jordan $*$ -derivations in C^* -algebras and JC^* -algebras*, Taiwanese J. Math. **16** (2012), 1791–1802.
- [12] A. Gilányi, *Eine zur Parallelogrammgleichung äquivalente Ungleichung*, Aequationes Math. **62** (2001), 303–309.
- [13] A. Gilányi, *On a problem by K. Nikodem*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **5** (2002), 707–710.
- [14] D.H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [15] G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias, *Stability of ψ -additive mappings: Applications to nonlinear analysis*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **19** (1996), 219–228.
- [16] R.V. Kadison and G. Pedersen, *Means and convex combinations of unitary operators*, Math. Scand. **57** (1985), 249–266.
- [17] D. Mihet and V. Radu, *On the stability of the additive Cauchy functional equation in random normed spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **343** (2008), 567–572.
- [18] C. Park, *Homomorphisms between Poisson JC^* -algebras*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. **36** (2005), 79–97.
- [19] C. Park, *Fixed points and Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Cauchy-Jensen functional equations in Banach algebras*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2007**, Art. ID 50175 (2007).
- [20] C. Park, *Orthogonal stability of a cubic-quartic functional equation*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **5** (2012), 28–36.
- [21] C. Park, *Quadratic ρ -functional inequalities in Banach spaces*, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed. **35** (2015), 1501–1510.
- [22] V. Radu, *The fixed point alternative and the stability of functional equations*, Fixed Point Theory **4** (2003), 91–96.
- [23] Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300.
- [24] S.M. Ulam, *A Collection of the Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publ. New York, 1960.