# APPROXIMATELY HIGHER HILBERT $C^{*}$-MODULE DERIVATIONS 

M. B. GHAEMI ${ }^{1}$ AND B. ALIZADEH ${ }^{2 *}$

Dedicated to the 70th Anniversary of S.M.Ulam's Problem for Approximate Homomorphisms
Abstract. We show that higher derivations on a Hilbert $C^{*}$-module associated with the Cauchy functional equation satisfying generalized Hyers-Ulam stability.

## 1. Introduction

Let $A$ be a $C^{*}$-algebra and $M$ be a linear space that is a left $A$-module with a scalar multiplication satisfying $\lambda(x a)=x(\lambda a)=(\lambda x) a$ for $x \in M, a \in A, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. The space $M$ is called a pre-Hilbert $A$-module or inner product $A$-module if there exists an inner product $<.,>: M \times M \rightarrow A$ with the following properties:
$1 .<x, x>\geq 0$; and $<x, x>=0$ iff $x=0$;
2. $\langle\lambda x+y, z\rangle=\lambda\langle x, z\rangle+\langle y, z\rangle$;
3. $<a x, y>=a<x, y>$;
4. $\left\langle x, y>^{*}=<y, x\right\rangle$.
$M$ is called a (left) Hilbert $A$-module, or a Hilbert $C^{*}$-module over the $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ if it is complete with respect to the norm $\|x\|=\|\langle x, x\rangle\|_{A}^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We always assume that the linear structure of $A$ and $M$ are compatible.
(i) The $C^{*}-\operatorname{algebra} A$ itself can be reorganized to become a Hilbert $A$-module if we define the inner product $\langle a, b\rangle=a b^{*}$. The Hilbert Submodules of $A$ are precisely its closed (left) ideals.
(ii) Every inner product space is a left Hilbert $\mathbb{C}$-module; cf [9, 27].

A linear mapping $d: M \rightarrow M$ is called a derivation on the Hilbert $C^{*}$-module $M$ if it satisfies the condition $d(\langle x, y>z)=<d(x), y>z+\langle x, d(y)\rangle z+\langle x, y\rangle$ $d(z)$ for every $x, y, z \in M$ (see [1, 10]). It is clear that every adjointable mapping $T$ satisfying $T^{*}=-T$ is a derivation. The converse is not true in general; see [1].

Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of natural numbers. For $m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}:=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. A sequence $H=\left\{h_{0}, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{m}\right\}$ (resp. $H=\left\{h_{o}, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}, \ldots\right\}$ ) of linear maps from Hilbert

[^0]$A$-module $M$ into Hilbert $A$-module $N$ is called a higher derivation of rank $m$ (resp. infinite rank) from $M$ into $N$ if
$$
h_{n}(<x, y>z)=\sum_{i+j+k=n}<h_{i}(x), h_{j}(y)>h_{k}(z)
$$
holds for each $n \in\{0,1, \ldots, m\}$ (resp. $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ ) and all $x, y, z \in M$. A higher derivation of rank 0 from $M$ into $N$ is a homomorphism; that is, $h_{0}$ is linear and $h_{o}(<x, y>z)=<h_{0}(x), h_{o}(y)>h_{o}(z)$. The higher derivation $H$ from $M$ into $N$ is said to be onto if $h_{o}: M \rightarrow N$ is onto. The higher derivation $H$ on $M$ is called strong if $h_{0}$ is an identity mapping on $M$. A strong higher derivation of rank 1 on $M$ is a derivation. Thus, a higher derivation is a generalization of both a homomorphism and a derivation (for similar definitions on algebras, see [7]).

The stability of functional equations was first introduced by S. M. Ulam [26] in 1940. In 1941, D. H. Hyers [5] gave a partial solution of Ulam's problem for the case of approximate additive mappings in the context of Banach spaces. In 1978, Th. M. Rassias [24] generalized the theorem of Hyers by considering the stability problem with unbounded Cauchy differences $\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| \leq$ $\epsilon\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right),(\epsilon>0, p \in[0,1))$. This phenomenon of stability that was introduced by Th. M. Rassias [24] is called the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability (or the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability). In 1992, Găvruta [4] generalized the Th.M. Rassias theorem as follows:

Suppose $(G,+)$ is an ablian group and $X$ is a Banach space $\varphi: G \times G \longrightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$
\tilde{\varphi}(x, y)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \varphi\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y\right)<\infty
$$

for all $x, y \in G$. If $f: G \rightarrow X$ is a mapping with

$$
\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y)
$$

for all $x, y \in G$, then there exists a unique mapping $T: G \rightarrow X$ such that $T(x+y)=$ $T(x)+T(y)$ and $\|f(x)-T(x)\| \leq \tilde{\varphi}(x, x)$ for all $x, y \in G$.
R. Badora [2] and T. Miura et al. [11] proved the Ulam-Hyers stability and the Isaac and Rassias-type stability of derivations [6]; M. Bavand Savadkouhi, M. Eshaghi Gorrdji, J. M. Rassias, and N. Ghobadipour [3] have contributed works regarding the stability of ternary Jordan derivations. Yong-Soo Jung and IckSoon Chang [7] investigated the stability and superstability of higher derivations on rings. Amyari and M. S. Moslehian [1] studied the stability of derivations on Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules (see also [12]-[25]).

## 2. Main Results

We start our work with a known fixed point theorem.
Theorem 2.1. (The alternative of fixed point). Suppose ( $X, d$ ) be a generalized complete metric space and $J: X \rightarrow X$ is a strictly contractive mapping; that is,

$$
d(J x, J y) \leq L d(x, y)(x, y \in X)
$$

for some $L<1$. Then, for each given element $x \in X$, either

$$
d\left(J^{n} x, T^{n+1} x\right)=\infty, \forall n \geq 0
$$

or

$$
d\left(J^{n} x, J^{n+1} x\right)<\infty, \forall n \geq n_{o}
$$

for some natural $n_{0}$. Moreover, if the second alternative holds, then:
(i) The sequence $\left\{J^{n} x\right\}$ is convergent to a fixed point $y^{*}$ of $J$;
(ii) $y^{*}$ is a unique fixed point of $J$ in $Y=\left\{y \in X: d\left(J^{n_{0}} x, y\right)<\infty\right\}$; and $d\left(y, y^{*}\right) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, T y)(x, y \in Y)$.
Lemma 2.2. ([lemma 2, 1]) Let $X$ be a linear space and $Y$ be a Banach space $0 \leq L<1$ and $\lambda \geq 0$ are given numbers and $\psi: X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ has the property

$$
\psi(x) \leq \lambda L \psi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)
$$

for all $x \in X$. Assume that $S=\{g: X \rightarrow Y: g(0)=0\}$ and the generalized metric d on $S$ is defined by

$$
d(g, h)=\inf \{c \in(o, \infty):\|g(x)-h(x)\| \leq c \psi(x), \forall x \in X\} .
$$

Then the mapping $J: S \rightarrow S$ given by $J g(x)=\frac{1}{\lambda} g(\lambda x)$ is a strictly contractive mapping.

Theorem 2.3. Let $\varphi: M^{5} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a control function such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y, 2^{n} u, 2^{n} t, 2^{n} z\right)}{2^{n}}=0
$$

for all $x, y, u, t, z \in M$. Suppose that $F=\left\{f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of mappings from $M$ into $N$ such that $f_{n}(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{n}(\lambda x+y+<u, t>z)-\lambda f_{n}(x)-f_{n}(y)-\sum_{i+j+k=n}<f_{i}(u), f_{j}(t)>f_{k}(z)\right\| \leq \varphi(x, y, u, t, z) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, u, t, z \in M, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \lambda \in \mathbb{T}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|=1\}$. Assume that there exists $0 \leq L<1$ such that the mapping $\psi(x)=\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, 0,0,0\right)$ has the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x) \leq 2 L \psi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in M$. Then there exists a unique higher derivation $H=\left\{h_{0}, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}, \ldots\right\}$ of any rank from $M$ into $N$ such that

$$
\left\|f_{n}(x)-h_{n}(x)\right\| \leq \frac{L}{1-L} \psi(x)
$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and for all $x \in M$.
Proof. Setting $\lambda=1, y=x$, and $u=t=z=0$ in (2.1) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{n}(2 x)-2 f_{n}(x)\right\| \leq \varphi(x, x, 0,0,0) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$
\left\|\frac{1}{2} f_{n}(2 x)-f_{n}(x)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \psi(2 x) \leq L \psi(x)
$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $x \in M$. So $d\left(f_{n}, T f_{n}\right) \leq L<\infty$, where the mapping $T$ defined on $S=\left\{g_{n}: M \rightarrow N: g_{n}(0)=0\right\}$ by $\left(T g_{n}\right)(x)=\frac{1}{2} g_{n}(2 x)$ is a strictly contractive function as in lemma 2.2. Applying the fixed point alternative, we deduce the existence of a mapping $h_{n}: M \rightarrow N$ such that $h_{n}$ is a fixed point of $T$ that is $h_{n}(2 x)=2 h_{n}(x)$ for all $x \in M$. Since $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} d\left(T^{m} f_{n}, h_{n}\right)=0$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_{n}\left(2^{m} x\right)}{2^{m}}=h_{n}(x) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in M, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. The mapping $h_{n}$ is the unique fixed point of $T$ in the set $U=\left\{g_{n} \in S: d\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right)<\infty\right\}$. Hence $h_{n}$ is the unique fixed point of $T$ such that $\left\|f_{n}(x)-h_{n}(x)\right\| \leq K \psi(x)$ for some $K>0$ and for all $x \in M$. Again, by applying the fixed point alternative theorem, we infer that

$$
d\left(f_{n}, h_{n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d\left(f_{n}, T f_{n}\right) \leq \frac{L}{1-L}
$$

so

$$
\left\|f_{n}(x)-h_{n}(x)\right\| \leq \frac{L}{1-L} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, 0,0,0\right)
$$

for all $x \in M, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. It follows from (2.1) that

$$
\left\|f_{n}(\lambda x+y)-\lambda f_{n}(x)-f_{n}(y)\right\| \leq \varphi(x, y, 0,0,0)
$$

By replacing $x$ and $y$ in (2.4) by $2^{n} x$ and $2^{n} y$, respectively, dividing both sides by $2^{n}$ and taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$
h_{n}(\lambda x+y)=\lambda h_{n}(x)+h_{n}(y),
$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $x, y \in M$.
Now, let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}(\lambda \neq 0)$ and let $K$ be a natural number greater than $4|\lambda|$. Then $\left|\frac{\lambda}{K}\right|<\frac{1}{4}<1-\frac{2}{3}=\frac{1}{3}$. By Theorem 1 in [8], there exist numbers $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3} \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $3 \frac{\lambda}{K}=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}$. By the additivity of each $h_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, we get $h_{n}\left(\frac{1}{3} x\right)=\frac{1}{3} h_{n}(x)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and all $x \in M$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{gathered}
h_{n}(\lambda x)=h_{n}\left(\frac{K}{3} \cdot 3 \cdot \frac{\lambda}{K} x\right)=\frac{K}{3} h_{n}\left(3 \cdot \frac{\lambda}{K} x\right)=\frac{K}{3} h_{n}\left(\lambda_{1} x+\lambda_{2} x+\lambda_{3} x\right) \\
=\frac{K}{3}\left(h_{n}\left(\lambda_{1} x\right)+h_{n}\left(\lambda_{2} x\right)+h_{n}\left(\lambda_{3} x\right)\right)=\frac{K}{3}\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right) h_{n}(x)=\lambda h_{n}(x),
\end{gathered}
$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and all $x \in M$, so that $h_{n}$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.
Next, we need to show that the sequence $H=\left\{h_{0}, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}, \ldots\right\}$ satisfies the identity

$$
h_{n}(<u, t>z)=\sum_{i+j+k=n}<h_{i}(u), h_{j}(t)>h_{k}(z)
$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and all $x, y, z \in M$. Putting $x=y=0$ in (2.1) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}(u, t, z)=f_{n}(<u, t>z)-\sum_{i+j+k=n}<f_{i}(u), f_{j}(t)>f_{k}(z), \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{o}$ and all $u, t, z \in A$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D_{n}\left(2^{r} u, 2^{r} t, 2^{r} z\right)}{2^{r}}=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and all $u, t, z \in M$. By using (2.4), (2.5), and (2.6), we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
h_{n}(<u, t>z)=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_{n}\left(2^{r}<u, t>z\right)}{2^{r}}=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_{n}\left(<\left(2^{r} u\right),\left(2^{r} t\right)>\left(2^{r} z\right)\right.}{2^{3 r}} \\
=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i+j+k=n}<f_{i}\left(2^{r} u\right), f_{j}\left(2^{r} t\right)>f_{k}\left(2^{r} z\right)+D_{n}\left(2^{r} u, 2^{r} t, 2^{r} z\right)}{2^{3 r}} \\
=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i+j+k=n}<\frac{1}{2^{r}} f_{i}\left(2^{r} u\right), \frac{1}{2^{r}} f_{j}\left(2^{r} t\right)>\frac{1}{2^{r}} f_{k}\left(2^{r} u\right) \\
+\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D_{n}\left(2^{r} u, 2^{r} t, 2^{r} z\right)}{2^{3 r}}=\sum_{i+j+k=n}<h_{i}(u), h_{j}(t)>h_{k}(z)
\end{gathered}
$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.
As a consequence of the previous theorem, we show the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of higher derivations.

Corollary 2.4. Let $0 \leq p<1, \alpha, \beta>0$ and $F=\left\{f_{o}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of mappings from $M$ into $N$ satisfying $f(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\| f_{n}(\lambda x+y+<u, t>z)-\lambda f_{n}(x)-f_{n}(y)-\sum_{i+j+k=n}<f_{i}(u), f_{j}(t)>f_{k}(z)\right] \| \\
\leq \alpha+\beta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}+\|u\|^{p}+\|t\|^{p}+\|z\|^{p}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $x, y, u, t, z \in M$.
Then there exists a unique higher derivation $H=\left\{h_{0}, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}, \ldots\right\}$ of any rank from $M$ into $N$ such that

$$
\left\|f_{n}(x)-h_{n}(x)\right\| \leq \frac{\alpha+\beta 2^{1-p}\|x\|^{p}}{2^{1-p}-1}
$$

for all $x \in M$.
Proof. Put $\varphi(x, y, u, t, z)=\alpha+\beta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}+\|u\|^{p}+\|t\|^{p}+\|z\|^{p}\right)$, and let $L=\frac{1}{2^{1-p}}$ in the previous theorem. Then $\psi(x)=\alpha+2^{1-p} \beta\|x\|^{p}$, and there exists a sequence $H=\left\{h_{0}, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}, \ldots\right\}$ with required properties.

In a similar fashion to theorem 2.3, we can prove the following theorem:
Theorem 2.5. Let $\varphi: M^{5} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a control function with the property

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{n} \varphi\left(2^{-n} x, 2^{-n} y, 2^{-n} u, 2^{-n} t, 2^{-n} z\right)=0
$$

for all $x, y, u, t, z \in A$. Assume that $F=\left\{f_{o}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of mappings from $M$ into $N$ satisfying $f(0)=0$ and
$\left\|f_{n}(\lambda x+y+<u, t>z)-\lambda f_{n}(x)-f_{n}(y)-\sum_{i+j+k=n}<f_{i}(u), f_{j}(t)>f_{k}(z)\right\| \leq \varphi(x, y, u, t, z)$,
for all $x, y, u, t, z \in M, \lambda \in \mathbb{T}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|=1\}$. Assume that there exists $0 \leq L<1$ such that the mapping $\psi(x)=\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, 0,0,0\right)$ has the property

$$
\psi(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} L \psi(2 x)
$$

for all $x \in M$. Then there exists a unique higher derivation $H=\left\{h_{0}, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}, \ldots\right\}$ of any rank from $M$ into $N$ such that

$$
\left\|f_{n}(x)-h_{n}(x)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{1-L} \psi(x)
$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and for all $x \in M$.
Proof. Setting $\lambda=1, y=x$, and $u=t=z=0$ in (2.7) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{n}(2 x)-2 f_{n}(x)\right\| \leq \varphi(x, x, 0,0,0) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $x$ by $\frac{x}{2}$ in (2.8), we obtain

$$
\left\|f_{n}(x)-2 f_{n}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\| \leq \psi(x) .
$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $x \in M$. Thus, $d\left(f_{n}, T f_{n}\right) \leq L<\infty$, where the mapping $T$ defined on $S=\left\{g_{n}: M \rightarrow N: g_{n}(0)=0\right\}$ by $\left(T g_{n}\right)(x)=2 g_{n}\left(\frac{1}{2} x\right)$ is a strictly contractive function, as in lemma 2.2. Applying the fixed point alternative, we deduce the existence of a mapping $h_{n}: M \rightarrow N$ such that $h_{n}$ is a fixed point of $T$ that is $h_{n}\left(\frac{1}{2} x\right)=\frac{1}{2} h_{n}(x)$ for all $x \in M$. Since $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} d\left(T^{m} f_{n}, h_{n}\right)=0$, it follows that

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} 2^{m} f_{n}\left(2^{-m} x\right)=h_{n}(x)
$$

for all $x \in M, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. The mapping $h_{n}$ is the unique fixed point of $T$ in the set $U=\left\{g_{n} \in S: d\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right)<\infty\right\}$. Hence, $h_{n}$ is the unique fixed point of $T$ such that $\left\|f_{n}(x)-h_{n}(x)\right\| \leq K \psi(x)$ for some $K>0$ and for all $x \in M$. Again, by applying the fixed point alternative theorem, we infer that

$$
d\left(f_{n}, h_{n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d\left(f_{n}, T f_{n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{1-L},
$$

so

$$
\left\|f_{n}(x)-h_{n}(x)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{1-L} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, 0,0,0\right)
$$

for all $x \in M, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. The rest is similar to the proof of theorem 2.3.
The following corollary is similar to corollary 2.4 for the case where $p>1$.
Corollary 2.6. Let $p>1, \alpha, \beta>0$ and $F=\left\{f_{o}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of mappings from $M$ into $N$ satisfying $f(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|f_{n}(\lambda x+y+<u, t>z)-\lambda f_{n}(x)-f_{n}(y)-\sum_{i+j+k=n}<f_{i}(u), f_{j}(t)>f_{k}(z)\right\| \\
\leq \alpha+\beta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}+\|u\|^{p}+\|t\|^{p}+\|z\|^{p}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $x, y, u, t, z \in M$. Then there exists a unique higher derivation $H=\left\{h_{0}, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}, \ldots\right\}$ of any rank from $M$ into $N$ such that

$$
\left\|f_{n}(x)-h_{n}(x)\right\| \leq \frac{\alpha 2^{p-1}+\beta\|x\|^{p}}{2^{1-p}-1}
$$

for all $x \in M$.
Proof. Put $\varphi(x, y, u, t, z)=\alpha+\beta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}+\|u\|^{p}+\|t\|^{p}+\|z\|^{p}\right)$, and let $L=\frac{1}{2^{p-1}}$ in the previous theorem. Then $\psi(x)=\alpha+2^{1-p} \beta\|x\|^{p}$ and there exists a sequence $H=\left\{h_{0}, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}, \ldots\right\}$ with required properties.
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